For BOR-DO-21-F001: WaterSMART Grants: Water and Energy Efficiency Grants for fiscal year 2021

Project: Track Lateral D - open ditch to pipeline conversion

Areas Affected by project:

Tribal Reservation: Yakama Reservation; Cities: Toppenish; Counties: Yakima; State: Washington

Mandatory Federal Forms (Completed via WEBFORM on grants.gov) Application for Federal Financial Assistance

Budget Information

Assurances

Project Title:

Track Lateral D - open ditch to pipeline conversion

Application package for Bureau of Reclamation, Funding Opportunity Announcement No. BOR-DO-21-F001

WaterSMART Grants:

Water and Energy Efficiency Grants for Fiscal Year 2021

Applicant:

Richard Dills, S.E.

Yakama Nation Department of Natural Resources: Engineering Program 401 Buster Rd.

P.O. Box 151

Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Project Manager:

Richard Dills, S.E.

Yakama Nation Department of Natural Resources: Engineering Program

401 Buster Rd. P.O. Box 151

Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Email: <u>richard_dills@yakama.com</u> Phone: (509) 865-5121, ext. 6733

Table of contents

Table of Contents

Mandatory Federal Forms	1
Application for Federal Financial Assistance	1
Budget Information	1
Assurances	1
Project Title:	2
Table of contents	3
Technical proposal and evaluation criteria	4
Executive summary	4
Project location	4
Technical project description	4
Evaluation criteria	6
E.1.1. Evaluation Criterion A – Quantifiable Water Savings:	6
E.1.2. Evaluation Criterion B – Water Supply Reliability:	7
E.1.3. Evaluation Criterion C – Implementing Hydropower: Not Applicable	9
E.1.4. Evaluation Criterion D – Complementing On-Farm Irrigation Improvements: Not Applical	ble10
E.1.5. Evaluation Criterion E – Department of the Interior and Bureau of Reclamation Priorities	10
E.1.6. Evaluation Criterion F – Implementation and Results:	13
E.1.7. Evaluation Criterion G – Nexus to Reclamation Project Activities:	14
E.1.8. Evaluation Criterion H – Additional Non-Federal Funding:	14
Project Budget	15
Funding plan and letters of commitment	15
Budget proposal	15
Budget narrative	16
Required permits or approvals	17
Letters of project support	17
Official Resolution	17
Annendix A 1 – Letter of Support	18

Technical proposal and evaluation criteria

Executive summary

Date: 09/08/2020

Applicant name: Yakama Nation Engineering; Richard Dills City: Topppenish; County: Yakima; State: Washington

The U.S. Bureau of Indian Affair's Wapato Irrigation Project (WIP), located in Central Washington on the Yakama Reservation, will construct conveyance improvements for the Track Lateral D irrigation canal. The lateral traverses ground that is very porous, and historically this area has been severely impacted by droughts. The District will replace the existing earthen canal with 15,254 feet of PVC pipeline to increase water use efficiency and reliability through optimal flow rates, reduced leakage, and reduced operational losses. The project is a top priority for the WIP and the Tribe and is expected to result in annual water savings of 1,504 acre-feet, which will remain in the system improving overall water supply.

Project location

Track Lateral D is located in Washington State, Yakima County, approximately 0.3 miles northeast of Toppenish. The project latitude is 46°23'1"N and longitude is 120°17'37"W.



Technical project description

The U.S. Bureau of Indian Affair's (USBIA) Wapato Irrigation Project (WIP), located in Central Washington on the Yakama Reservation, will construct conveyance improvements for the Track Lateral D irrigation canal. Yakama Nation Dept. of Natural Resources: Engineering (YNE) program provides engineering and surveying services to the WIP via a PL 93-638 contract between the USBIA and the Yakama Nation. YNE will be administering this project.

As a result of the efforts of developing a modernization and conservation plans, WIP has identified key earthen canals that have historically been problematic to operate due to conveyance losses. Track

lateral D is a canal that was identified to be modernized by converting the earthen canal to pressurized PVC pipe with datalogging flowmeters at farmer turnouts.

YNE will administer the project as follows:

Pre-Design: project initiation with a planning survey using YNE staff to establish project alignments and also initiate the permitting process.

Permitting: Obtain all necessary permits to proceed with construction.

Design: YNE engineer and EIT to design and draft plans for the modern pressurized PVC pipeline and turnouts to replace the existing earthen canal.

Material Procurement and Contracting: YNE engineer and EIT will prepare documents to solicit proposals for materials and construction. YNE staff to provide inspection on materials and construction. Closeouts: YNE engineer and EIT will complete closeout documents and inspections.

Evaluation criteria

E.1.1. Evaluation Criterion A – Quantifiable Water Savings:

The following table addresses the criterion set forth in PKG00262246-instruction document for the BOR-DO-21-F001 WaterSMART Grant application.

DO-21-1001 WaterSWART Grant applica	
Describe the amount of water savings	Based on calculations, we estimate that this earthen canal is
	losing approximately 1,500 acre feet per year.
Describe the current losses	Current losses in the canal occur through infiltration into the
	canal prism and operational spills at the tail of the ditch.
Describe the support/documentation	$AWS = (L \times P \times \overline{K}_{Sat} \times CF_1 + S) \times D \times CF_2$
of estimated water savings	Where:
	$AWS = Annual Water Savings \left[\frac{acreft}{yr} \right]$
	$L = length \ of \ earthen \ canal \ [ft]$
	P = Mean wetted perimeter for canal length [ft]
	$\overline{K}_{Sat} = Mean saturated hydraulic conductivity$
	of soil types intersecting canal $\left[\frac{inch}{hr}\right]$
	$CF_1 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{ft \cdot hr}{inch \cdot sec} \right]$
	$S = Operation Spill \left[\frac{ft^3}{sec} \right]$
	$D = Irrigation season \left[\frac{day}{year} \right]$
	$CF_1 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{ft \cdot hr}{inch \cdot sec} \right]$ $S = Operation Spill \left[\frac{ft^3}{sec} \right]$ $D = Irrigation season \left[\frac{day}{year} \right]$ $CF_2 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{acreft}{ft^3/sec} \right]$
	For Track Lateral D, $AWS = (15,254 \times 5 \times 1.7 \times 2.31E^{-5} + 1) \times 190 \times 1.98$ $AWS = \sim 1500 \left[\frac{acreft}{yr} \right]$

Type of Infrastructure Improvement:

1.	Car	nal Lining/Piping	
	a.	Methodology of water	Please see section E1.1.
		savings estimate	
	b.	Methodology of leakage	The leakage losses were calculated by determining NRCS soil
		losses	types that intersect the project canal and averaging the
			published saturated hydraulic conductivities for the soil types
			dominate the canal area, and adding the operational spills
			reported from irrigation system operators.
	c.	expected post-project	Post project seepage losses are expected to less than 1% of
		seepage losses determination	total flow as the PVC pipeline will eliminate the bulk fluid
		methodology	contact with soil.

	d.	Annual transit loss reductions [acre-ft/mile] for each section and overall project	Annual Transit Loss = $\frac{1,500 \frac{acreft}{yr}}{\frac{15,254 ft}{5,280 \frac{ft}{mi}}} = 519 \frac{acreft/yr}{mi}$
	e.	Methodology to verify actual canal loss reductions	Actual canal loss reductions will be determined by using the total flow diverted to the lateral minus the total flows at the delivery turnouts.
	f.	Description of materials being used	Mainline is gasketed PVC pipe with PVC glue joints. Control valves on the system are all gear operated steel butterfly valves, except 12" and smaller are travelling nut operated. Surge mitigation is via a Netafim QRF Valve. Measurement at diversion point is an insertion meter with datalogging capability (Seametrics EX250). Turnouts are measured with datalogging magnetic flowmeters (Seametrics AG300).
2.		unicipal Metering	Not Applicable
3.		gation Flow Measurement	
	a.	How have average annual water savings estimates been determined?	Please see section E1.1.1
	b.	Have current operational losses been determined?	Please see section E1.1.1
	C.	Are flows currently measured at proposed sites and if so, what is the accuracy of existing devices?	Existing flow measurement at proposed sites is inconsistent. As a result, accuracy cannot be quantified.
	d.	Provide detailed descriptions of all proposed flow measurement devices,	Measurement at diversion point is an insertion meter with datalogging capability (Seametrics EX250). Manufacturer stated 1% of full scale accuracy. Turnouts are measured with datalogging magnetic flowmeters (Seametrics AG300). Manufacturer stated 1% of full scale accuracy.
	e.	Will annual farm delivery volumes be reduced by more efficient and timely deliveries?	Calculation not performed
	f.	How will actual water savings be verified upon completion of the project	Comparison of values produced at the diversion point to the individual turnouts and cumulative turnout flows.
4.	Tui	rf Removal	Not Applicable
5.		art Irrigation Controllers and gh-Efficiency Nozzles	Not Applicable

E.1.2. Evaluation Criterion B – Water Supply Reliability:

Does/will the project:

1. Address a water reliability	
concern?	

_		
a.	What is impacting water reliability?	The Wapato Irrigation Project has documented over 100 million dollars in deferred maintenance. Much of the water supply issues within the Wapato Irrigation Project can be addressed by the modernization and conservation projects similar to the Track Lateral D project.
b.	How does the project address items impacting reliability?	The Track Lateral project is located in an area of highly permeable soils. Replacing the earthen canal with PVC pipe will reduce water loss due to infiltration and increase delivery efficiency.
c.	What is the mechanism that	The mechanism that will put the water to it's intended use is
	will put the water to its	conservation. Water conserved will be utilized to satisfy
	intended use?	needs on other portions of WIP.
d.	What quantity of conserved	100 % of the conserved water will be utilized to meet delivery
	water will go to the intended	needs in other portions of the project.
	purpose?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2. Mak	e water available for multiple	
	enefits?	
	Sectors/Users benefitted	Agriculture: Water saved by reducing seepage and spills will improve reliability of supply for proratable water users, especially in dry years. Flowmeters and valves at each turnout will make it possible to fairly distribute water. This portion of the WIP is severely impacted by droughts and it is difficult to deliver water to downstream irrigators. Additionally, the proposed pressurized systems would encourage irrigators to modernize on-farm irrigation. Modernized on-farm irrigation will lead to reduced nutrient loading and enhanced water availability. Additionally, onfarm electrical demand will be reduced since the each turnout will be pressurized. Environmental, Aquatic Habitat: the elimination of spills of warm irrigation water to the Yakima River will improve aquatic habitat for anadromous salmonids.
	 Specific Species 	Steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
	2. Larger initiative	
	beneficiaries	
b.	Benefit Indian Tribes?	Yes, the water will be used to benefit the Wapato Irrigation Project which is an Indian Irrigation Project located on the Yakama Reservation.
C.	Benefit rural/economically	Yes, the project will benefit farmers and workers within the
	disadvantaged communities?	boundaries of the Yakama Nation. This is a rural community with a documented economic disparities.
d.	How will multiple benefits be	The conserved water will be delivered to other portions of
	achieved? Where will	the Wapato Irrigation The water may also be utilized on
	conserved water go?	adjacent Yakama Nation Wildlife properties to establish and
		promote riparian and wetland habitats for multiple species.
		The conserved water will also allow operational flexibility,

	which may include leaving some water in natural creeks and streams.
3. Promote/encourage collaboration to increase water supply reliability?	
a. Have widespread support?	Conservation of ~1,500 acre feet of water will be counted towards the goals of YRBWEP phase III. Legislation supporting this ongoing conservation was passed in 2019.
	This project has widespread support within the Yakama Nation, WIP, and within the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan (YBIP). The YBIP Water Use Subcommittee ranked this project highly and identified it as a project with multiple benefits.
b. What is the significance of the collaboration	The collaboration within YRBWEP and the Yakima Basin Integrated plan is unprecedented. For the first time in the history of the Yakima Basin, irrigators, agencies, natural resource specialists and the Yakama Nation are working together to improve the water supply for both fish and farms in the Yakima Basin.
c. Is future water conservation improvements by others enhanced?	Yes. Projects such as this promote on farm conservation and other water use. Irrigation system upgrades such the Track Lateral, have previously been demonstrated to spur additional on conservation on farms. Additionally, this project is one component of the WIP modernization and conservation plans.
d. Prevent/diminish water- related crisis/conflicts?	The Yakima Basin has a long history of conflict. In 1977, the Acquavella Water Right Adjudication was initiated and continues to this day. The partners within the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan have found that partnerships and collaboration can solve many of the issues within the Yakima Basin. Conservation projects such as the one proposed in this application are central to the framework for success that has been established by YBIP partners.
 Is there history of frequent conflict/litigation in the basin? 	Yes, the Acquavella adjudication was initiated in 1977. Though it was finalized in 2019, the appeals have continued. On farm conservation and continued improvements in water supply for fish and farms has led to diminished litigation and increased project implementation.
e. What are the roles of partners in collaboration?	The Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Partners work together to implement projects consistent with the goals of the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan and YRBWEP legislation.
4. Address water supply reliability in other ways?	

E.1.3. Evaluation Criterion C – Implementing Hydropower: Not Applicable

E.1.4. Evaluation Criterion D – Complementing On-Farm Irrigation Improvements: Not Applicable

E.1.5. Evaluation Criterion E – Department of the Interior and Bureau of Reclamation Priorities:

Department of Interior Priorities:

	nent of Interior Priorities:	
	ting a conservation	
	dship legacy second only to	
Teddy	Roosevelt	
a.	Utilize science to identify best practices to manage land and water resources and adapt to changes in the environment	The WIP, in collaboration with the Yakama Nation and Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Partners have worked with the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center to establish a modernization and conservation plan. These plans include best practices in irrigation and conservation. The Track Lateral D pipeline conversion project has been identified as a high priority project in these studies.
b.	Examine land use planning processes and land use designations that govern public use and access	As mentioned prior, the WIP Modernization and Conservation plans include considerations for land use planning.
C.	Revise and streamline the environmental and regulatory review process while maintaining environmental standards	Yakama Nation Engineering has a proven track record of project implementation. The program actively works to minimize unnecessary regulatory process while satisfying appropriate federal and tribal regulations.
d.	Review Department water storage, transportation, and distribution systems to identify opportunities to resolve conflicts and expand capacity	The WIP modernization and conservation plans include considerations of ongoing conflict. The proposed project works to reduce conflict by conserving water and reducing water shortages on WIP.
e.	Foster relationships with conservation organizations advocating for balanced stewardship and use of public lands	The Yakama Nation has a proven track record of balancing the needs of fish, wildlife and farmers.
f.	Identify and implement initiatives to expand access to Department lands for hunting and fishing	The Yakama Nation has an ongoing hunting and conservation program. The Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion project is directly adjacent to lands managed by the Yakama Nation Wildlife program for waterfowl and upland game hunting.
g.	Shift the balance towards providing greater public access to public lands over restrictions to access	Enclosure of the Track Lateral D canal may provide additional pedestrian access to Yakama Nation Wildlife "feel free to hunt" properties.

2. Utili:	zing our natural resources	
a.	Ensure American Energy is	Not Applicable
a.	available to meet our	Troc repricuois
	security and economic needs	
h	Ensure access to mineral	Not Applicable
J.	resources, especially the	Not Applicable
	critical and rare earth	
	minerals needed for	
	scientific, technological, or	
	military applications	
c.	Refocus timber programs to	Not Applicable
C.	embrace the entire 'healthy	Not Applicable
	forests' lifecycle	
d.	Manage competition for	Not Applicable
	grazing resources	The companies of the co
3. Rest	oring trust with local	
commu	_	
a.	Be a better neighbor with	Increasing water reliability, improves relationship with
	those closest to our resources	farmers and the community.
	by improving dialogue and	
	relationships with persons	
	and entities bordering our	
	lands;	
b.	Expand the lines of	Continued investment in the WIP facilities, communication
	communication with	with the BIA and the Yakama Nation.
	Governors, state natural	
	resource offices, Fish and	
	Wildlife offices, water	
	authorities, county	
	commissioners, Tribes, and	
	local communities.	
4. Strik	ing a regulatory balance	
a.	Reduce the administrative	Yakama Nation Engineering has assumed the responsibility of
	and regulatory burden	permitting, design, and construction of many of the WIP
	imposed on U.S. industry and	conservation projects. This has thus reduced the
	the public	administrative and regulatory burden on the WIP and other
L	Facure that Fadanasad	federal entities.
D.	Ensure that Endangered	Not applicable
	Species Act decisions are	
	based on strong science and	
	thorough analysis	
5. Mod	ernizing our infrastructure	
a.	Support the White House	Not applicable
	Public/Private Partnership	
a.		ποι αρφιικάσιε

	Initiative to modernize U.S. infrastructure	
b.	Remove impediments to infrastructure development and facilitate private sector efforts to construct infrastructure projects serving American needs	Not applicable
C.	Prioritize Department infrastructure needs to highlight: Construction of infrastructure; Cyclical maintenance; Deferred maintenance	Not applicable

Bureau of Reclamation Priorities:

1. Increase Water Supplies, Storage,	Not Applicable
and Reliability under WIIN and other	
Authorities	
2. Streamline Regulatory Processes	Not Applicable
and Remove Unnecessary Burdens	
to Provide More Water and Power	
Supply Reliability	
3. Leverage Science and Technology	Pipeline modernization will improve reliability of supply to
to Improve Water Supply Reliability	both pro-ratable and non-proratable irrigation water users.
to Communities	
4. Address Ongoing Drought	Yes. Water saved by reducing seepage and spills will improve
	reliability of supply for proratable water users, especially in
	dry years. Flowmeters and valves at each turnout will make it
	possible to fairly distribute water. This portion of the WIP is
	severely impacted by droughts and it is difficult to deliver
	water to downstream irrigators.
5. Improve the Value of Hydropower	Not Applicable
to Reclamation Power Customers	
6. Improve Water Supplies for Tribal	Yes, the water will be used to benefit the Wapato Irrigation
and Rural Communities	Project which is an Indian Irrigation Project located on the
	Yakama Reservation. The project will benefit farmers and
	workers within the boundaries of the Yakama Nation. This is a
	rural community with a documented economic disparities.
7. Implementation of new Title	Not Applicable
Transfer authority pursuant to P.L.	
116-9	

E.1.6. Evaluation Criterion F – Implementation and Results:

1. Project Planning – Does the	
applicant have a Water Conservation	
Plan and/or System Optimization	
Review (SOR) in place?	
a. Identify any district-wide,	Yes, the Wapato Irrigation Project has both a Modernization
or system-wide, planning	and Conservation Plan. They were finalized in 2018 and
that provides support for	2019, respectively. The priorities identified in this plan have
the proposed project	been used to guide the selection of this project.
b. Describe how the project	The Wapato Irrigation Project has identified the need for
conforms to and meets	continued conservation to optimize operational efficiency,
the goals of any	improve drought resiliency and water use. The project meets
applicable planning	those goals through conserving 1,500 acre feet of water.
efforts and identify any	
aspect of the project that	
implements a feature of	
an existing water plan	
2. Performance Measures –Provide a	An inflow/outflow analysis will be performed between the
brief summary describing the	diversion measurement meter and the turnout flowmeters.
performance measure that will be	Flow comparisons will be between the diversion point and
used to quantify actual benefits	individual turnout meters, and the cumulative flow all meters
upon completion of the project	simultaneously.
3. Readiness to Proceed – Provide	Please see Chart E.1.6.3 – Estimated Project Schedule below
detailed project implementation	
plan (e.g., estimated project	
schedule that shows the stages and	
duration of the proposed work,	
including major tasks, milestones,	
and dates)	

Chart E.1.6.3 – Estimated Project Schedule

Track Lateral D Pipeline	Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion				
			Duration		
Task	Start Date	End Date	[days]	Staff	6 14 2021 7 121 2021 8 9 2021 9 6 2021 10 4 2021 11 12021 11 2021 12 2021 12 2021
Design Survey	6/13/2021	6/17/2021	4	BB, GS	
Design	6/18/2021	7/18/2021	30	DL, GS	
planning/permitting	7/18/2021	9/16/2021	60	DL, GS, RD	
material procurement	7/18/2021	10/16/2021	90	DL, GS	
Construction Staking	10/22/2021	10/30/2021	8	BB, GS, DL	
Mainline install	10/26/2021	12/25/2021	60	GS, DL	
Delivery Install	12/25/2021	12/30/2021	5	GS, DL	
Drain install	12/1/2021	12/6/2021	5	GS, DL	
Project Closeout	1/2/2022	1/12/2022	10	GS, DL, RD	

E.1.7. Evaluation Criterion G – Nexus to Reclamation Project Activities:

1. Is the proposed project connected to Reclamation project activities? If so, how?		
a. Does the ap receive Recl project wate	amation projec	he Wapato Irrigation Project receives Reclamation ct water.
b. Is the project Reclamation lands or invol Reclamation	project Basin, blving by the	e other prorateable irrigation districts within the Yakima , the Wapato Irrigation Project is owned and operated e Bureau of Indian Affairs.
c. Is the project basin as a Ro project or ac	eclamation respon	he Bureau of Reclamation Yakima Field Office is nsible for operations within the Yakima Basin.
d. Will the pro contribute v basin where Reclamation located?	vater to a Water conse	nlikely that the proposed project will contribute to Total r Supply Available (TWSA). However, the proposed ervation will contribute to more effienct use of water in WIP
2. Will the project benefit any tribe(s)?		his project will benefit Yakama Nation's on reservation resource.

E.1.8. Evaluation Criterion H – Additional Non-Federal Funding:

$$\frac{\$570,965.10}{\$1,141,930.21} = 50\% \text{ Non} - \text{Federal Funding}$$

Project Budget

Funding plan and letters of commitment

The non-federal share of project costs will be obtained through a negotiated funding agreement in the PL 93-638 contract agreement between the WIP and the Yakama Nation.

A letter of commitment from the WIP Administrator is not available at the time of submission. The letter will be sent no later than 30 days after the submission deadline.

Budget proposal

Total Project Cost Table:

Source	Amount
Costs to be reimbursed with the requested Federal funding	\$570,965.10
Costs to be paid by the applicant	\$570,965.10
Value of third-party contributions	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$1,141,930.21

Budget Description	Computation				
Salaries and Wages	\$/unit	Quantity	Quantity Type	Total Cost	
Manager, PE/Project Manager	\$ 55.05	160		\$ 8,808.00	
PE II	\$ 46.14	960		\$ 44,294.40	
Land Surveyor	\$ 43.00	240		\$ 10,320.00	
EIT	\$ 24.94	960		\$ 23,942.40	
GIS Specialist	\$ 23.97	240		\$ 5,752.80	
Tech	\$ 18.79	320		\$ 6,012.80	
TOTAL SALARIES				\$ 99,130.40	
Fringe Benefits (31%)				\$ 129,860.82	
Overhead (21.99%)				\$ 158,430.21	
Travel					
	\$ -			\$ -	
Equipment					
PVC Pipe, Fittings, Valves, Flow Meters, fasteners, etc.	\$ 550,000.00	1		\$ 550,000.00	
Supplies and Materials					
	\$ -			\$ -	
Contractual/Construction					
Construction Contract	\$ 425,000.00	1		\$ 425,000.00	
Third-Party Contributions					
	\$ -			\$ -	
Other					
Yakima Nation Cultural Resources Survey	\$ 8,500.00	1		\$ 8,500.00	
	TOTAL E	STIMATED PRO	OJECT COST	\$ 1,141,930.21	

Budget narrative

Task 1: Project – Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion

Salaries and Wages:

Richard Dills (Program Manager, \$55.05/hr., est. 160 hrs.) – Project Manager (Engineer II, \$46.14/hr., est. 960 hrs.) (Land Surveyor, \$43.00/hr., est. 240 hrs.) (EIT, \$24.94/hr., est. 960 hrs.) (GIS Specialist, \$23.97/hr., est. 240 hrs.) (Tech, \$18.79/hr., est. 320 hrs.)

The Program/project manager will supervise, coordinate and support staff throughout each phase of this task. The Land Surveyor is anticipated to spend 30% of their time in the pre-design phase preparing the planning survey and 60% of their time in the construction (staking) phase.

The Engineer II and EIT are anticipated to be splitting their time into 60% pre-construction activities (planning survey, design, permitting, material/contractual procurement), 30% construction activities (construction staking, inspection), and 10% project closeout (final reports/surveys). GIS Specialist will spend time updating/creating GIS databases and generating data/maps for reports/presentations. Tech will assist Engineer II, Land Surveyor, or EIT in the completion of each phase of the project.

Fringe Benefits:

Fringe benefits will be assessed at a rate of 31%

Travel:

There will be no travel costs under this contract.

Equipment:

Competitive bids will be solicited for the materials of the pipeline, i.e. PVC Pipe, fittings, valves, risers, spools, flowmeters/data accessories, turnout slabs, and hardware. Costs are estimated based on experience with similar projects in the local area.

Materials and Supplies:

There will be no materials or supplies costs under this contract.

Contractual:

Contractors will be hired to demolish/dispose of old infrastructure and install new pipeline materials. Costs are estimated based on experience with similar projects in the local area. Construction costs will be finalized through a competitive bidding process.

Third-Party In-kind Contributions:

There will be no Third-Party In-kind contributions under this contract.

Environmental and Regulatory Compliance Costs:

Environmental and Regulatory costs: Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Survey: \$8500.00

Other Expenses:

Direct Costs:

FY 2022 \$ 99,130.40 **Total construction:** \$ **99,130.40**

Indirect Costs:

Fringe cost rate is 31 percent as approved by Yakama Nation Tribal Council. Indirect (overhead) cost rate is 20.99 percent as approved by Yakama Nation Tribal Council.

This rate is applied as follows:

FY 2022 \$ 59,299.81 **Total indirect costs:** \$ **59,299.81**

Total expected costs:

FY 2022 \$ 158,430.21 **Total Expected Costs:** \$ **158,430.21**

Required permits or approvals

The following permits or approvals will be required prior to the beginning of project construction.

- 1. NEPA Where applicable, Categorical Exclusion, Environmental Assessment/Finding of no significant impact, or environmental impact statement and record of decision.
- 2. NHPA/THPA review and Section 106 compliance statement.
- 3. Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Program Survey
- 4. Yakama Nation Water Code Hydraulic Permit
- 5. ESA Section 7 compliance via USFWS or NOAA review

Letters of project support

Please refer to Appendix A.1.

Official Resolution

An official resolution was not available at the time of submission due to Tribal Government budget review. The official resolution will be mailed within 30 days of the original application deadline.

Appendix A.1 – Letter of Support



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS Wapato Irrigation Project 413 S Camas Avenue Wapato, WA 98951

In Response Reply to Wapato Irrigation Project

SEP 1 6 2020

Lorri Gray, Regional Director Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Regional Office (PN-6400) 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, ID 83706-1233

RE: YN Engineering application for funds under USBR funding opportunity No. BOR-DO-21-

F001 WaterSMART: Water and Energy Efficiency Grants for Fiscal Year 2021

Dear Ms. Gray:

The USBIA Wapato Irrigation Project is pleased to support the WaterSMART proposal "Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion" being submitted by Yakama Nation Engineering under the Fiscal Year 2021 WaterSMART: Water and Energy Efficiency grant application.

With an estimated water savings of 1,504 acre-ft per irrigation season, the elimination of tail-end spills, and providing transparency of operation through flow meter equipped turnouts this project proposal is perfectly aligned with the Wapato Irrigation Project's Modernization and Conservation Plan goals.

We encourage Reclamation's support and approval of this proposal. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at peter.plant@bia.gov.

Sincerely,

Peter L. Plant

Project Administrator Wapato Irrigation Project

Bureau of Indian Affairs

ATTACHMENTS FORM

Instructions: On this form, you will attach the various files that make up your grant application. Please consult with the appropriate Agency Guidelines for more information about each needed file. Please remember that any files you attach must be in the document format and named as specified in the Guidelines.

Important: Please attach your files in the proper sequence. See the appropriate Agency Guidelines for details.

1) Please attach Attachment 1	1236-BOR_DO_21_F001_WaterSMAR		
2) Please attach Attachment 2			
3) Please attach Attachment 3			
4) Please attach Attachment 4			
5) Please attach Attachment 5			
6) Please attach Attachment 6			
7) Please attach Attachment 7			
8) Please attach Attachment 8			
9) Please attach Attachment 9			
10) Please attach Attachment 10			
11) Please attach Attachment 11			
12) Please attach Attachment 12			
13) Please attach Attachment 13			
14) Please attach Attachment 14			
15) Please attach Attachment 15			

Mandatory Federal Forms (Completed via WEBFORM on grants.gov) Application for Federal Financial Assistance

Budget Information

Assurances

Project Title:

Track Lateral D - open ditch to pipeline conversion

Application package for Bureau of Reclamation, Funding Opportunity Announcement No. BOR-DO-21-F001

WaterSMART Grants:

Water and Energy Efficiency Grants for Fiscal Year 2021

Applicant:

Richard Dills, S.E.

Yakama Nation Department of Natural Resources: Engineering Program 401 Buster Rd.

P.O. Box 151

Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Project Manager:

Richard Dills, S.E.

Yakama Nation Department of Natural Resources: Engineering Program

401 Buster Rd. P.O. Box 151

Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Email: <u>richard_dills@yakama.com</u> Phone: (509) 865-5121, ext. 6733

Table of contents

Table of Contents

Mandatory Federal Forms	1
Application for Federal Financial Assistance	1
Budget Information	1
Assurances	1
Project Title:	2
Table of contents	3
Technical proposal and evaluation criteria	4
Executive summary	4
Project location	4
Technical project description	4
Evaluation criteria	6
E.1.1. Evaluation Criterion A – Quantifiable Water Savings:	6
E.1.2. Evaluation Criterion B – Water Supply Reliability:	7
E.1.3. Evaluation Criterion C – Implementing Hydropower: Not Applicable	9
E.1.4. Evaluation Criterion D – Complementing On-Farm Irrigation Improvements: Not Applical	ble10
E.1.5. Evaluation Criterion E – Department of the Interior and Bureau of Reclamation Priorities	10
E.1.6. Evaluation Criterion F – Implementation and Results:	13
E.1.7. Evaluation Criterion G – Nexus to Reclamation Project Activities:	14
E.1.8. Evaluation Criterion H – Additional Non-Federal Funding:	14
Project Budget	15
Funding plan and letters of commitment	15
Budget proposal	15
Budget narrative	16
Required permits or approvals	17
Letters of project support	17
Official Resolution	17
Annendix A 1 – Letter of Support	18

Technical proposal and evaluation criteria

Executive summary

Date: 09/08/2020

Applicant name: Yakama Nation Engineering; Richard Dills City: Topppenish; County: Yakima; State: Washington

The U.S. Bureau of Indian Affair's Wapato Irrigation Project (WIP), located in Central Washington on the Yakama Reservation, will construct conveyance improvements for the Track Lateral D irrigation canal. The lateral traverses ground that is very porous, and historically this area has been severely impacted by droughts. The District will replace the existing earthen canal with 15,254 feet of PVC pipeline to increase water use efficiency and reliability through optimal flow rates, reduced leakage, and reduced operational losses. The project is a top priority for the WIP and the Tribe and is expected to result in annual water savings of 1,504 acre-feet, which will remain in the system improving overall water supply.

Project location

Track Lateral D is located in Washington State, Yakima County, approximately 0.3 miles northeast of Toppenish. The project latitude is 46°23'1"N and longitude is 120°17'37"W.



Technical project description

The U.S. Bureau of Indian Affair's (USBIA) Wapato Irrigation Project (WIP), located in Central Washington on the Yakama Reservation, will construct conveyance improvements for the Track Lateral D irrigation canal. Yakama Nation Dept. of Natural Resources: Engineering (YNE) program provides engineering and surveying services to the WIP via a PL 93-638 contract between the USBIA and the Yakama Nation. YNE will be administering this project.

As a result of the efforts of developing a modernization and conservation plans, WIP has identified key earthen canals that have historically been problematic to operate due to conveyance losses. Track

lateral D is a canal that was identified to be modernized by converting the earthen canal to pressurized PVC pipe with datalogging flowmeters at farmer turnouts.

YNE will administer the project as follows:

Pre-Design: project initiation with a planning survey using YNE staff to establish project alignments and also initiate the permitting process.

Permitting: Obtain all necessary permits to proceed with construction.

Design: YNE engineer and EIT to design and draft plans for the modern pressurized PVC pipeline and turnouts to replace the existing earthen canal.

Material Procurement and Contracting: YNE engineer and EIT will prepare documents to solicit proposals for materials and construction. YNE staff to provide inspection on materials and construction. Closeouts: YNE engineer and EIT will complete closeout documents and inspections.

Evaluation criteria

E.1.1. Evaluation Criterion A – Quantifiable Water Savings:

The following table addresses the criterion set forth in PKG00262246-instruction document for the BOR-DO-21-F001 WaterSMART Grant application.

DO-21-1001 WaterSWART Grant applica	
Describe the amount of water savings	Based on calculations, we estimate that this earthen canal is
	losing approximately 1,500 acre feet per year.
Describe the current losses	Current losses in the canal occur through infiltration into the
	canal prism and operational spills at the tail of the ditch.
Describe the support/documentation	$AWS = (L \times P \times \overline{K}_{Sat} \times CF_1 + S) \times D \times CF_2$
of estimated water savings	Where:
	$AWS = Annual Water Savings \left[\frac{acreft}{yr} \right]$
	$L = length \ of \ earthen \ canal \ [ft]$
	P = Mean wetted perimeter for canal length [ft]
	$\overline{K}_{Sat} = Mean saturated hydraulic conductivity$
	of soil types intersecting canal $\left[\frac{inch}{hr}\right]$
	$CF_1 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{ft \cdot hr}{inch \cdot sec} \right]$
	$S = Operation Spill \left[\frac{ft^3}{sec} \right]$
	$D = Irrigation season \left[\frac{day}{year} \right]$
	$CF_1 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{ft \cdot hr}{inch \cdot sec} \right]$ $S = Operation Spill \left[\frac{ft^3}{sec} \right]$ $D = Irrigation season \left[\frac{day}{year} \right]$ $CF_2 = Conversion Factor \left[\frac{acreft}{ft^3/sec} \right]$
	For Track Lateral D, $AWS = (15,254 \times 5 \times 1.7 \times 2.31E^{-5} + 1) \times 190 \times 1.98$ $AWS = \sim 1500 \left[\frac{acreft}{yr} \right]$

Type of Infrastructure Improvement:

1.	Canal Lining/Piping		
	a.	Methodology of water	Please see section E1.1.
		savings estimate	
	b.	Methodology of leakage	The leakage losses were calculated by determining NRCS soil
		losses	types that intersect the project canal and averaging the
			published saturated hydraulic conductivities for the soil types
			dominate the canal area, and adding the operational spills
			reported from irrigation system operators.
	c.	expected post-project	Post project seepage losses are expected to less than 1% of
		seepage losses determination	total flow as the PVC pipeline will eliminate the bulk fluid
		methodology	contact with soil.

	d.	Annual transit loss reductions [acre-ft/mile] for each section and overall project	Annual Transit Loss = $\frac{1,500 \frac{acreft}{yr}}{\frac{15,254 ft}{5,280 \frac{ft}{mi}}} = 519 \frac{acreft/yr}{mi}$
	e.	Methodology to verify actual canal loss reductions	Actual canal loss reductions will be determined by using the total flow diverted to the lateral minus the total flows at the delivery turnouts.
	f.	Description of materials being used	Mainline is gasketed PVC pipe with PVC glue joints. Control valves on the system are all gear operated steel butterfly valves, except 12" and smaller are travelling nut operated. Surge mitigation is via a Netafim QRF Valve. Measurement at diversion point is an insertion meter with datalogging capability (Seametrics EX250). Turnouts are measured with datalogging magnetic flowmeters (Seametrics AG300).
2.		unicipal Metering	Not Applicable
3.		gation Flow Measurement	
	a.	How have average annual water savings estimates been determined?	Please see section E1.1.1
	b.	Have current operational losses been determined?	Please see section E1.1.1
	C.	Are flows currently measured at proposed sites and if so, what is the accuracy of existing devices?	Existing flow measurement at proposed sites is inconsistent. As a result, accuracy cannot be quantified.
	d.	Provide detailed descriptions of all proposed flow measurement devices,	Measurement at diversion point is an insertion meter with datalogging capability (Seametrics EX250). Manufacturer stated 1% of full scale accuracy. Turnouts are measured with datalogging magnetic flowmeters (Seametrics AG300). Manufacturer stated 1% of full scale accuracy.
	e.	Will annual farm delivery volumes be reduced by more efficient and timely deliveries?	Calculation not performed
	f.	How will actual water savings be verified upon completion of the project	Comparison of values produced at the diversion point to the individual turnouts and cumulative turnout flows.
4.	Tui	rf Removal	Not Applicable
5.		art Irrigation Controllers and gh-Efficiency Nozzles	Not Applicable

E.1.2. Evaluation Criterion B – Water Supply Reliability:

Does/will the project:

1. Address a water reliability	
concern?	

_		
a.	What is impacting water reliability?	The Wapato Irrigation Project has documented over 100 million dollars in deferred maintenance. Much of the water supply issues within the Wapato Irrigation Project can be addressed by the modernization and conservation projects similar to the Track Lateral D project.
b.	How does the project address items impacting reliability?	The Track Lateral project is located in an area of highly permeable soils. Replacing the earthen canal with PVC pipe will reduce water loss due to infiltration and increase delivery efficiency.
c.	What is the mechanism that	The mechanism that will put the water to it's intended use is
	will put the water to its	conservation. Water conserved will be utilized to satisfy
	intended use?	needs on other portions of WIP.
d.	What quantity of conserved	100 % of the conserved water will be utilized to meet delivery
	water will go to the intended	needs in other portions of the project.
	purpose?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2. Mak	e water available for multiple	
	enefits?	
	Sectors/Users benefitted	Agriculture: Water saved by reducing seepage and spills will improve reliability of supply for proratable water users, especially in dry years. Flowmeters and valves at each turnout will make it possible to fairly distribute water. This portion of the WIP is severely impacted by droughts and it is difficult to deliver water to downstream irrigators. Additionally, the proposed pressurized systems would encourage irrigators to modernize on-farm irrigation. Modernized on-farm irrigation will lead to reduced nutrient loading and enhanced water availability. Additionally, onfarm electrical demand will be reduced since the each turnout will be pressurized. Environmental, Aquatic Habitat: the elimination of spills of warm irrigation water to the Yakima River will improve aquatic habitat for anadromous salmonids.
	 Specific Species 	Steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
	2. Larger initiative	
	beneficiaries	
b.	Benefit Indian Tribes?	Yes, the water will be used to benefit the Wapato Irrigation Project which is an Indian Irrigation Project located on the Yakama Reservation.
C.	Benefit rural/economically	Yes, the project will benefit farmers and workers within the
	disadvantaged communities?	boundaries of the Yakama Nation. This is a rural community with a documented economic disparities.
d.	How will multiple benefits be	The conserved water will be delivered to other portions of
	achieved? Where will	the Wapato Irrigation The water may also be utilized on
	conserved water go?	adjacent Yakama Nation Wildlife properties to establish and
		promote riparian and wetland habitats for multiple species.
		The conserved water will also allow operational flexibility,

	which may include leaving some water in natural creeks and streams.
3. Promote/encourage collaboration to increase water supply reliability?	
a. Have widespread support?	Conservation of ~1,500 acre feet of water will be counted towards the goals of YRBWEP phase III. Legislation supporting this ongoing conservation was passed in 2019.
	This project has widespread support within the Yakama Nation, WIP, and within the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan (YBIP). The YBIP Water Use Subcommittee ranked this project highly and identified it as a project with multiple benefits.
b. What is the significance of the collaboration	The collaboration within YRBWEP and the Yakima Basin Integrated plan is unprecedented. For the first time in the history of the Yakima Basin, irrigators, agencies, natural resource specialists and the Yakama Nation are working together to improve the water supply for both fish and farms in the Yakima Basin.
c. Is future water conservation improvements by others enhanced?	Yes. Projects such as this promote on farm conservation and other water use. Irrigation system upgrades such the Track Lateral, have previously been demonstrated to spur additional on conservation on farms. Additionally, this project is one component of the WIP modernization and conservation plans.
d. Prevent/diminish water- related crisis/conflicts?	The Yakima Basin has a long history of conflict. In 1977, the Acquavella Water Right Adjudication was initiated and continues to this day. The partners within the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan have found that partnerships and collaboration can solve many of the issues within the Yakima Basin. Conservation projects such as the one proposed in this application are central to the framework for success that has been established by YBIP partners.
 Is there history of frequent conflict/litigation in the basin? 	Yes, the Acquavella adjudication was initiated in 1977. Though it was finalized in 2019, the appeals have continued. On farm conservation and continued improvements in water supply for fish and farms has led to diminished litigation and increased project implementation.
e. What are the roles of partners in collaboration?	The Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Partners work together to implement projects consistent with the goals of the Yakima Basin Integrated Plan and YRBWEP legislation.
4. Address water supply reliability in other ways?	

E.1.3. Evaluation Criterion C – Implementing Hydropower: Not Applicable

E.1.4. Evaluation Criterion D – Complementing On-Farm Irrigation Improvements: Not Applicable

E.1.5. Evaluation Criterion E – Department of the Interior and Bureau of Reclamation Priorities:

Department of Interior Priorities:

	nent of Interior Priorities:	
	ting a conservation	
	dship legacy second only to	
Teddy	Roosevelt	
a.	Utilize science to identify best practices to manage land and water resources and adapt to changes in the environment	The WIP, in collaboration with the Yakama Nation and Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Partners have worked with the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center to establish a modernization and conservation plan. These plans include best practices in irrigation and conservation. The Track Lateral D pipeline conversion project has been identified as a high priority project in these studies.
b.	Examine land use planning processes and land use designations that govern public use and access	As mentioned prior, the WIP Modernization and Conservation plans include considerations for land use planning.
C.	Revise and streamline the environmental and regulatory review process while maintaining environmental standards	Yakama Nation Engineering has a proven track record of project implementation. The program actively works to minimize unnecessary regulatory process while satisfying appropriate federal and tribal regulations.
d.	Review Department water storage, transportation, and distribution systems to identify opportunities to resolve conflicts and expand capacity	The WIP modernization and conservation plans include considerations of ongoing conflict. The proposed project works to reduce conflict by conserving water and reducing water shortages on WIP.
e.	Foster relationships with conservation organizations advocating for balanced stewardship and use of public lands	The Yakama Nation has a proven track record of balancing the needs of fish, wildlife and farmers.
f.	Identify and implement initiatives to expand access to Department lands for hunting and fishing	The Yakama Nation has an ongoing hunting and conservation program. The Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion project is directly adjacent to lands managed by the Yakama Nation Wildlife program for waterfowl and upland game hunting.
g.	Shift the balance towards providing greater public access to public lands over restrictions to access	Enclosure of the Track Lateral D canal may provide additional pedestrian access to Yakama Nation Wildlife "feel free to hunt" properties.

2. Litili:	zing our natural resources	
a. Ensure American Energy is		Not Applicable
۵.	available to meet our	Troc repricuois
	security and economic needs	
h	Ensure access to mineral	Not Applicable
J.	resources, especially the	Not Applicable
	critical and rare earth	
	minerals needed for	
	scientific, technological, or	
	military applications	
c.	Refocus timber programs to	Not Applicable
C.	embrace the entire 'healthy	Not Applicable
	forests' lifecycle	
d.	Manage competition for	Not Applicable
	grazing resources	The companies of the co
3. Rest	oring trust with local	
commu		
a.	Be a better neighbor with	Increasing water reliability, improves relationship with
	those closest to our resources	farmers and the community.
	by improving dialogue and	
	relationships with persons	
	and entities bordering our	
	lands;	
b.	Expand the lines of	Continued investment in the WIP facilities, communication
	communication with	with the BIA and the Yakama Nation.
	Governors, state natural	
	resource offices, Fish and	
	Wildlife offices, water	
	authorities, county	
	commissioners, Tribes, and	
	local communities.	
4. Strik	ing a regulatory balance	
a.	Reduce the administrative	Yakama Nation Engineering has assumed the responsibility of
	and regulatory burden	permitting, design, and construction of many of the WIP
	imposed on U.S. industry and	conservation projects. This has thus reduced the
	the public	administrative and regulatory burden on the WIP and other
h	Encure that Endament	federal entities.
D.	Ensure that Endangered	Not applicable
	Species Act decisions are	
	based on strong science and	
	thorough analysis	
5. Mod	lernizing our infrastructure	
a.	Support the White House	Not applicable
	Public/Private Partnership	
a.		ποι αργιικασίε

	Initiative to modernize U.S. infrastructure	
b.	Remove impediments to infrastructure development and facilitate private sector efforts to construct infrastructure projects serving American needs	Not applicable
C.	Prioritize Department infrastructure needs to highlight: Construction of infrastructure; Cyclical maintenance; Deferred maintenance	Not applicable

Bureau of Reclamation Priorities:

1. Increase Water Supplies, Storage,	Not Applicable
and Reliability under WIIN and other	
Authorities	
2. Streamline Regulatory Processes	Not Applicable
and Remove Unnecessary Burdens	
to Provide More Water and Power	
Supply Reliability	
3. Leverage Science and Technology	Pipeline modernization will improve reliability of supply to
to Improve Water Supply Reliability	both pro-ratable and non-proratable irrigation water users.
to Communities	
4. Address Ongoing Drought	Yes. Water saved by reducing seepage and spills will improve
	reliability of supply for proratable water users, especially in
	dry years. Flowmeters and valves at each turnout will make it
	possible to fairly distribute water. This portion of the WIP is
	severely impacted by droughts and it is difficult to deliver
	water to downstream irrigators.
5. Improve the Value of Hydropower	Not Applicable
to Reclamation Power Customers	
6. Improve Water Supplies for Tribal	Yes, the water will be used to benefit the Wapato Irrigation
and Rural Communities	Project which is an Indian Irrigation Project located on the
	Yakama Reservation. The project will benefit farmers and
	workers within the boundaries of the Yakama Nation. This is a
	rural community with a documented economic disparities.
7. Implementation of new Title	Not Applicable
Transfer authority pursuant to P.L.	
116-9	

E.1.6. Evaluation Criterion F – Implementation and Results:

1. Project Planning – Does the			
applicant have a Water Conservation			
Plan and/or System Optimization			
Review (SOR) in place?			
a. Identify any district-wide,	Yes, the Wapato Irrigation Project has both a Modernization		
or system-wide, planning	and Conservation Plan. They were finalized in 2018 and		
that provides support for	2019, respectively. The priorities identified in this plan have		
the proposed project	been used to guide the selection of this project.		
b. Describe how the project	The Wapato Irrigation Project has identified the need for		
conforms to and meets	continued conservation to optimize operational efficiency,		
the goals of any	improve drought resiliency and water use. The project meets		
applicable planning	those goals through conserving 1,500 acre feet of water.		
efforts and identify any			
aspect of the project that			
implements a feature of			
an existing water plan			
2. Performance Measures –Provide a	An inflow/outflow analysis will be performed between the		
brief summary describing the	diversion measurement meter and the turnout flowmeters.		
performance measure that will be	Flow comparisons will be between the diversion point and		
used to quantify actual benefits	individual turnout meters, and the cumulative flow all meters		
upon completion of the project	simultaneously.		
3. Readiness to Proceed – Provide	Please see Chart E.1.6.3 – Estimated Project Schedule below		
detailed project implementation			
plan (e.g., estimated project			
schedule that shows the stages and			
duration of the proposed work,			
including major tasks, milestones,			
and dates)			

Chart E.1.6.3 – Estimated Project Schedule

Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion						
			Duration			
Task	Start Date	End Date	[days]	Staff	617813057 2117512057 31612057 101813057 171712057 171812057 171813057	
Design Survey	6/13/2021	6/17/2021	4	BB, GS		
Design	6/18/2021	7/18/2021	30	DL, GS		
planning/permitting	7/18/2021	9/16/2021	60	DL, GS, RD		
material procurement	7/18/2021	10/16/2021	90	DL, GS		
Construction Staking	10/22/2021	10/30/2021	8	BB, GS, DL		
Mainline install	10/26/2021	12/25/2021	60	GS, DL		
Delivery Install	12/25/2021	12/30/2021	5	GS, DL		
Drain install	12/1/2021	12/6/2021	5	GS, DL		
Project Closeout	1/2/2022	1/12/2022	10	GS, DL, RD		

E.1.7. Evaluation Criterion G – Nexus to Reclamation Project Activities:

1. Is the proposed project connected to Reclamation project activities? If so, how?				
a.	Does the applicant receive Reclamation project water?	Yes, the Wapato Irrigation Project receives Reclamation project water.		
b.	Is the project on Reclamation project lands or involving Reclamation facilities?	Unlike other prorateable irrigation districts within the Yakima Basin, the Wapato Irrigation Project is owned and operated by the Bureau of Indian Affairs.		
C.	Is the project in the same basin as a Reclamation project or activity?	Yes, the Bureau of Reclamation Yakima Field Office is responsible for operations within the Yakima Basin.		
d.	Will the proposed work contribute water to a basin where a Reclamation project is located?	It is unlikely that the proposed project will contribute to Total Water Supply Available (TWSA). However, the proposed conservation will contribute to more effienct use of water within WIP		
2. Will the project benefit any tribe(s)?		Yes, this project will benefit Yakama Nation's on reservation water resource.		

E.1.8. Evaluation Criterion H – Additional Non-Federal Funding:

$$\frac{\$570,965.10}{\$1,141,930.21} = 50\% \text{ Non} - \text{Federal Funding}$$

Project Budget

Funding plan and letters of commitment

The non-federal share of project costs will be obtained through a negotiated funding agreement in the PL 93-638 contract agreement between the WIP and the Yakama Nation.

A letter of commitment from the WIP Administrator is not available at the time of submission. The letter will be sent no later than 30 days after the submission deadline.

Budget proposal

Total Project Cost Table:

Source	Amount
Costs to be reimbursed with the requested Federal funding	\$570,965.10
Costs to be paid by the applicant	\$570,965.10
Value of third-party contributions	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$1,141,930.21

Budget Description	Computation		Quantity Type	
Salaries and Wages	\$/unit	Quantity		Total Cost
Manager, PE/Project Manager	\$ 55.05	160		\$ 8,808.00
PE II	\$ 46.14	960		\$ 44,294.40
Land Surveyor	\$ 43.00	240		\$ 10,320.00
EIT	\$ 24.94	960		\$ 23,942.40
GIS Specialist	\$ 23.97	240		\$ 5,752.80
Tech	\$ 18.79	320		\$ 6,012.80
TOTAL SALARIES				\$ 99,130.40
Fringe Benefits (31%)				\$ 129,860.82
Overhead (21.99%)				\$ 158,430.21
Travel				
	\$ -			\$ -
Equipment				
PVC Pipe, Fittings, Valves, Flow Meters, fasteners, etc.	\$ 550,000.00	1		\$ 550,000.00
Supplies and Materials				
	\$ -			\$ -
Contractual/Construction				
Construction Contract	\$ 425,000.00	1		\$ 425,000.00
Third-Party Contributions				
	\$ -			\$ -
Other				
Yakima Nation Cultural Resources Survey	\$ 8,500.00	1		\$ 8,500.00
	TOTAL E	\$ 1,141,930.21		

Budget narrative

Task 1: Project – Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion

Salaries and Wages:

Richard Dills (Program Manager, \$55.05/hr., est. 160 hrs.) – Project Manager (Engineer II, \$46.14/hr., est. 960 hrs.) (Land Surveyor, \$43.00/hr., est. 240 hrs.) (EIT, \$24.94/hr., est. 960 hrs.) (GIS Specialist, \$23.97/hr., est. 240 hrs.) (Tech, \$18.79/hr., est. 320 hrs.)

The Program/project manager will supervise, coordinate and support staff throughout each phase of this task. The Land Surveyor is anticipated to spend 30% of their time in the pre-design phase preparing the planning survey and 60% of their time in the construction (staking) phase.

The Engineer II and EIT are anticipated to be splitting their time into 60% pre-construction activities (planning survey, design, permitting, material/contractual procurement), 30% construction activities (construction staking, inspection), and 10% project closeout (final reports/surveys). GIS Specialist will spend time updating/creating GIS databases and generating data/maps for reports/presentations. Tech will assist Engineer II, Land Surveyor, or EIT in the completion of each phase of the project.

Fringe Benefits:

Fringe benefits will be assessed at a rate of 31%

Travel:

There will be no travel costs under this contract.

Equipment:

Competitive bids will be solicited for the materials of the pipeline, i.e. PVC Pipe, fittings, valves, risers, spools, flowmeters/data accessories, turnout slabs, and hardware. Costs are estimated based on experience with similar projects in the local area.

Materials and Supplies:

There will be no materials or supplies costs under this contract.

Contractual:

Contractors will be hired to demolish/dispose of old infrastructure and install new pipeline materials. Costs are estimated based on experience with similar projects in the local area. Construction costs will be finalized through a competitive bidding process.

Third-Party In-kind Contributions:

There will be no Third-Party In-kind contributions under this contract.

Environmental and Regulatory Compliance Costs:

Environmental and Regulatory costs: Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Survey: \$8500.00

Other Expenses:

Direct Costs:

FY 2022 \$ 99,130.40 **Total construction:** \$ **99,130.40**

Indirect Costs:

Fringe cost rate is 31 percent as approved by Yakama Nation Tribal Council. Indirect (overhead) cost rate is 20.99 percent as approved by Yakama Nation Tribal Council.

This rate is applied as follows:

FY 2022 \$ 59,299.81 **Total indirect costs:** \$ **59,299.81**

Total expected costs:

FY 2022 \$ 158,430.21 **Total Expected Costs:** \$ **158,430.21**

Required permits or approvals

The following permits or approvals will be required prior to the beginning of project construction.

- 1. NEPA Where applicable, Categorical Exclusion, Environmental Assessment/Finding of no significant impact, or environmental impact statement and record of decision.
- 2. NHPA/THPA review and Section 106 compliance statement.
- 3. Yakama Nation Cultural Resources Program Survey
- 4. Yakama Nation Water Code Hydraulic Permit
- 5. ESA Section 7 compliance via USFWS or NOAA review

Letters of project support

Please refer to Appendix A.1.

Official Resolution

An official resolution was not available at the time of submission due to Tribal Government budget review. The official resolution will be mailed within 30 days of the original application deadline.

Appendix A.1 – Letter of Support



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS Wapato Irrigation Project 413 S Camas Avenue Wapato, WA 98951

In Response Reply to Wapato Irrigation Project

SEP 1 6 2020

Lorri Gray, Regional Director Bureau of Reclamation Pacific Northwest Regional Office (PN-6400) 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, ID 83706-1233

RE: YN Engineering application for funds under USBR funding opportunity No. BOR-DO-21-

F001 WaterSMART: Water and Energy Efficiency Grants for Fiscal Year 2021

Dear Ms. Gray:

The USBIA Wapato Irrigation Project is pleased to support the WaterSMART proposal "Track Lateral D Pipeline Conversion" being submitted by Yakama Nation Engineering under the Fiscal Year 2021 WaterSMART: Water and Energy Efficiency grant application.

With an estimated water savings of 1,504 acre-ft per irrigation season, the elimination of tail-end spills, and providing transparency of operation through flow meter equipped turnouts this project proposal is perfectly aligned with the Wapato Irrigation Project's Modernization and Conservation Plan goals.

We encourage Reclamation's support and approval of this proposal. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at peter.plant@bia.gov.

Sincerely,

Peter L. Plant

Project Administrator Wapato Irrigation Project

Bureau of Indian Affairs