

FY2011 WaterSMART Water and Energy Efficiency Grants: Performance Measures

All WaterSMART Grant applicants are required to propose a method (or “performance measure”) of quantifying the actual benefits of their project once it is completed. Actual benefits are defined as water actually conserved, marketed, or better managed, as a direct result of the project. A provision will be included in all assistance agreements with WaterSMART Grant recipients describing the performance measure, and requiring the recipient to quantify the actual project benefits in their final report to Reclamation upon completion of the project. Quantification of project benefits is an important means of determining the relative effectiveness of various water management efforts, as well as the overall effectiveness of WaterSMART Grants.

The following information is intended to provide applicants with examples of some acceptable performance measures that may be used to estimate pre-project benefits and to verify water saved or marketed after the project is completed. **However, the following is not intended to be an exclusive list of acceptable performance measures. Applicants are encouraged to propose alternatives to the measures listed below if another measure is more effective for the particular project.** Reclamation understands that, in some cases, baseline information may not be available, and that methods other than those suggested below may need to be employed. If an alternative performance measure is suggested, the applicant must provide information supporting the effectiveness of the proposed measure as applied to the proposed project.

1. Canal Lining or Piping

Canal lining or piping projects are implemented to decrease canal seepage and evaporation.

Pre-project estimations of baseline data:

To calculate potential water savings, physical measurements of seepage losses are necessary. Two testing procedures which can be used are listed below:

- **Ponding tests:** Conduct ponding tests along canal reaches proposed for lining or piping.
- **Inflow/Outflow testing:** Measure water flowing in and out of the canal reach, taking evaporation into consideration.

If ponding or inflow/outflow tests cannot be performed, document the estimated historical seepage and evaporation rates for the canal reach based on historical knowledge.

Postproject methods for quantifying the benefits of canal lining or piping projects:

- Using tests listed above, compare preproject and postproject test results to calculate water savings. For inflow and outflow testing, remember to consider losses from evaporation.
- If ponding or inflow/outflow tests cannot be performed, benefits can be calculated by comparing the estimated historic seepage and evaporation rates for the canal reach to the post project seepage and evaporation.

- Results can be verified using a ratio of historic diversion-delivery rates. Also include a comparison of historical canal efficiencies and current canal efficiencies. For example, if an irrigation district needed to divert 6 acre-feet of water to deliver 2 acre-feet of water to a field through an unlined or unlined canal, this would be a 67-percent inefficiency ($[100\% - (2 \text{ acre-feet} / 6 \text{ acre-feet} * 100)] = 67\%$ inefficiency). If after lining or piping the canal, the irrigation district only needed to divert 4 acre-feet of water to deliver the 2 acre-feet; this would be a 17-percent improvement in efficiency ($[100\% - (2 \text{ acre-feet} / 4 \text{ acre-feet} * 100)] = 50\%$ inefficiency).
- Record reduction in water purchases by shareholders and compare to historical water purchases. Use of this method would require consideration and explanation of other potential reasons for decreased water purchases.

For more information regarding canal seepage monitoring and verification, visit <http://www.agwatercouncil.org/Monitoring-Protocols/Monitoring-Protocols/menu-id-61.html>.

2. Measuring Devices

Good water management requires accurate water measurement. Potential benefits derived from measurement include:

- Quantification of system losses between measurement locations
- Accurate billing of customers for the actual amount of water used
- Facilitation of accurate and equitable distribution of water within a district
- Implementation of future system improvements such as remote flow monitoring and canal operation automation

Installation of measuring devices may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Flow meters
- Weirs
- Flumes
- Meter gates

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

Preproject flows are difficult to estimate without a measuring device in place. However, the applicant may be able to use data from measurement devices located elsewhere in the delivery system (if available). Otherwise, the applicant may have to rely on other historical data.

Postproject methods for quantifying the benefits of projects to install measuring devices:

- Compare postproject water measurement (deliveries or consumption) data to preproject water uses.

- Compare preproject and postproject consumptive use by crop via remote-sensing information.
- Survey users to determine utility of the devices for decision making.
- Document the benefits of any rate structure changes made possible by the installation of measuring devices. For example, if districts are able to convert from billing water users at a flat rate to billing for actual water use using a volumetric or tiered water pricing structure. (Assumes conversion from a nonmetered to metered district.)

3. New Technologies for Improved Water Management

a. Data Acquisition

Proposals may involve the installation or expansion of a SCADA system that monitors flows in an individual district or in a basin including several districts. SCADA systems provide water managers with real-time data on the flow and volume of water at key points along a water delivery system. Access to such data allows water managers to make accurate and timely deliveries of water, reducing over-deliveries and spillage at the end of the canal.

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

- Collect data on diversions and deliveries to water users, making estimates if necessary
- Document employee time spent preproject on ditch/canal monitoring and water control

Postproject methods for quantifying benefits of SCADA system projects:

- Calculate amount of increased carryover storage in associated reservoirs. This is a long-term measure which will be more meaningful over a period of years.
- Track and record the diversions to water users and compare to preproject diversions. This would show results of improved management if yearly fluctuations in weather are accounted for.
- Report delivery improvements (i.e., changes in supply, duration, or frequency that are available to end users because of SCADA).
- Document other benefits such as less mileage by operators on dusty roads (which saves time and influences air quality) and less damage to canal banks due to fluctuating water levels in canals.

b. System Control

Proposals may include system automaton projects aimed at *preventing* spillage from canals, or drainage capture/reuse projects focused on *intercepting* spills and redirecting them to drains, canals, or reregulation reservoirs for reuse.

(1) Spillage Reduction through System Automation.

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

- Establish baseline data by measuring existing spillage or document historic spillage. A rated measuring device should be positioned to measure spillage losses. To account for temporal variations, a minimum of a one-year history of preproject measurements is desirable for future comparison to postproject water usage. Spillage volumes can vary substantially between wet and dry years; therefore, some multiyear estimates of spillage may be necessary.
- Track preproject water diversions using district or State diversion records.

Postproject methods for quantifying benefits of spillage reduction projects:

- Using rated devices, measure postproject flows. Gather enough data to account for seasonal and temporal variations. Using baseline and postproject data, calculate savings using the following calculation:
$$\text{Savings} = (\text{Spillage})_{\text{w/o project}} - (\text{Spillage})_{\text{w/project}}$$
- Track postproject changes in the amount of water diverted and compare to preproject diversion data.
- Compare estimated historic spills from district/project boundaries to postproject spills.
- Document how the additional water resulting from the reduction in spillage was used (i.e., water retained in the river to support riparian habitat, transferred for another use, or used to meet normal water demands in times of drought).
- Report specific volume changes to spills, diversions, or deliveries due to system automation.

For more information regarding canal seepage monitoring and verification, visit

<http://www.agwatercouncil.org/images/stories/monitoring_and_verification_canal_seepage.pdf

>

(2) Drainage Reuse Projects. Drain water reuse can be a district level or regional conservation effort that consists of recovering residual irrigation water from drains and returning it to the water supply system for delivery to users.

Several types of projects can focus on drainage and reuse, including:

- Pump stations with constant flow rates
- Variable speed pump stations without SCADA controls

- Variable pump stations with SCADA controls
- Storage reservoirs with pump stations and constant flow rate
- Storage reservoirs with variable speed pump stations and SCADA controls

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

- A rated measuring device should be positioned to measure drain water losses. To account for temporal variations, a minimum of a one-year history of preproject measurements is desirable for future comparison to postproject water usage. Drainage volumes can vary substantially between wet and dry years; therefore, some multiyear measurements of drain water losses may be necessary.

Postproject methods for quantifying benefits of drainage reuse projects:

Using rated devices, measure post-project flows. Gather enough data to account for seasonal and temporal variations. Using baseline data and post-project data, calculate savings using the following calculation: Savings = (Drainage_{w/o project} - Drainage_{w/project}) + (Spillage_{w/o project} - Spillage_{w/project}).

- Take readings from measuring devices positioned to measure drain water loss. A system analysis can be done with the following calculation: Drainage_{w/project} = (1 - %Reuse) * Drainage_{w/o project}.
- Measure and record post-project water deliveries to fields, tailwater volumes entering reservoirs and tailwater volumes recycled to fields. Compare this data to previous history.
- Estimate any benefits to farmers, such as improved flexibility in water management, reduction in shortages of supply to tailenders, etc. If it is not possible to quantify these benefits in acre-feet, a narrative explanation is acceptable.

For more information regarding drainage reuse monitoring and verification, visit <http://www.agwatercouncil.org/Monitoring-Protocols/Monitoring-Protocols/menu-id-61.html>.

c. Evapo-transpiration Controllers

An ET controller automatically adjusts the amount of water applied to landscape based on weather conditions. The “smart” ET controller receives radio, pager, or Internet signals with ET information, so that watering is limited to the replacement of only the moisture that the landscape lost due to heat, humidity, and wind. Other controllers use historical data to adjust the watering program.

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

Domestic (interior) water usage: In many cases, landscape water use and domestic water use are measured together. In these cases, domestic water use can be estimated and then subtracted from the total water use to estimate landscape water use using one of the following methods:

- Domestic water use can be estimated based on the number of persons in the household and type of plumbing (low flow or not).
- Domestic usage can also be estimated using the assumption that landscape water is negligible during certain parts of the year, and therefore, Domestic Usage = (Average Use per Capita) determined non-irrigation season.

Once the domestic usage value is obtained, landscape water applied can be calculated using the following calculation:

$$(\text{Landscape water applied})_{\text{w/o ET Controllers}} = \text{Total water use} - \text{Domestic Water}$$

Postproject suggested methods for quantifying benefits of ET controllers:

- To calculate water savings, the following calculation can be applied:
Estimated Savings = $N [(\text{Average amount of landscape water applied per participant})_{\text{w/o ET Controller}} - (\text{Average amount of landscape water applied per participant})_{\text{w/ ET Controller}}]$
where N = number of participants (households or landscapes)
- Compare meter readings prior to ET controller installation and postinstallation.
- Compare actual water applied postproject to estimated water application if only using sprinkler controller on a set timer application.

For more information regarding ET controller monitoring and verification, visit <http://www.agwatercouncil.org/Monitoring-Protocols/Monitoring-Protocols/menu-id-61.html>.

d. On-Farm System Improvements

On-farm system improvements increase the efficiency of the irrigation system by reducing water losses from deep percolation and unrecoverable tailwater.

Irrigation system improvements may include:

- Converting to more efficient irrigation systems based on crops, soil, terrain, and weather conditions.
- Upgrading existing irrigation systems (i.e., shifting sprinkler nozzle size, upgrading to surge irrigation).
- Improving irrigation scheduling, management, or delivery methods.

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

Documentation of water savings based on delivered water is complicated by the fact that crops are rotated from year to year, and weather patterns and water availabilities also change. However, you should record on-farm water deliveries and crop ET of irrigation water to make post-project comparisons possible.

Postproject methods for quantifying the benefits of on-farm improvements:

- Record postproject on-farm water deliveries and crop ET of irrigation water and apply the following formula:
Savings = [(On-farm delivery)/(Crop ET of irrigation water) _{w/o project}] – [(On-farm delivery)/(Crop ET of irrigation water)] _{w/project}
- Monitor delivery to affected fields and calculate water savings using delivery records and calculation above.
- Compare postproject volume of water applied and runoff with the historical water volume applied and runoff.
- Document the Distribution Uniformity (DU) of the original system and compare it to the new system DU because yield and water savings may be difficult to document over a 1-year study period due to yearly and crop variations.

For more information regarding canal seepage monitoring and verification visit <http://www.agwatercouncil.org/Monitoring-Protocols/Monitoring-Protocols/menu-id-61.html> .

4. Water Markets

a. Water Marketing (Transfers)

Water marketing is the temporary or long-term transfer of the right to use water from one user to another, by sale, lease, or other form of exchange, as allowed under State laws. Water marketing is a method of moving water supplies to areas of greatest financial value and can be a useful mechanism to increase the beneficial use of existing water supplies. Depending on the State laws, there are various methods in which a seller can make water available for transfer.

Examples include:

1. Ground water substitution is one method in which a seller uses their ground water resources in-lieu of receiving surface water. This frees up the surface water for transfer.
2. Crop idling or shifting, whereby sellers agree to idle fields or shift from higher to lower water using crops, can make water available for transfer. The seller is then able to transfer water based on the difference in crop consumption that is realized from the idling or shifting.

3. Conserved water made available through canal modernization or other conservation projects may also be available for transfer, depending on State laws.

To identify other methods that can be used by a seller to transfer water, consult State law.

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

Collect preproject monthly ground water pumping, water consumption, water quality, diversion, and cropping information, using measuring devices and/or historical data.

Postproject methods for quantifying benefits of water marketing projects:

Ground Water Substitution Transfers

- Track monthly diversions, by year and type of use (agriculture, municipal, environmental, etc.), for both the buyer and seller of the marketed water and compare to preproject diversions.
- For all wells utilized in the transfer, track monthly ground water pumping, by year and type of use and compare to preproject pumping volumes. This should be done with inline flowmeters.
- Provide a map indicating location of ground water wells and all features of the underlying aquifer to ensure that the ground water is not impacting streamflows.
- Compare postproject ground water pumping costs, including capital and O&M costs to preproject costs.

Crop Shifting or Idling Transfers

- Track monthly diversions by year and type of use and/or crop, before and after project implementation, for both the buyer and seller of the marketed water.
- Compare cropping records by year and crop type, and compare preproject and postproject records for seller of the marketed water.
- Devise a field monitoring procedure to verify that fields remain fallowed.
- Use remote-sensing technology to verify fallowed fields, crop water consumption, and uniformity of crop water consumption on seller(s)' fields.

Other Transfers

- Compare prewater market streamflow measurements with streamflow measurements during the water market period.

- Compare pre- and post-water market effects in terms of the length of the irrigation season. Determine whether or not water marketing helped extend the irrigation season.
- Compare pre- and post-water balances that are associated with the seller(s)' transfer where the differences were used or stored. The water balance should include all water supplies, uses, and losses associated with the water that was transferred.
- Measure the benefits resulting from the application of the transferred water. For example, state how many acres were irrigated that could not otherwise have been irrigated or whether the transfer had environmental benefits, such as providing flows for endangered fish or aquatic species or maintaining wetland areas.
- Compare pre-water market stream water quality measurements with measurements during the water market period. This may include pre/post changes in water temperature during critical months, pathogens, bacteria count, etc.
- Document local economic impacts of transfer.

b. Ground Water Banking (Conjunctive Use)

Some districts are implementing programs regarding ground water banking to control water quantity and quality issues. Program elements may address:

- Active accounting of water supply and monitoring of water quality
- Rules regulating ground water deposits and withdrawals including production limits
- Creation or expansion of recharge and/or recharge capabilities
- Pricing incentives for users to use conjunctive use of water supplies
- Securing reliable surface water supply

Preproject estimations of baseline data:

- Establish a baseline with historical data from existing wells, including pumping volumes (amount, duration, and timing) and depth to ground water elevations
- Document streamflows and spring discharges

Postproject methods for quantifying the benefits of ground water banking projects:

- Compare preproject and postproject recharge and/or pumping volumes
- Compare preproject and postproject changes (amount, duration, and timing) in affected streamflows or changes in spring discharge related to ground water banking

- Compare preproject and postproject depth to ground water elevations
- Determine changes in net ground water use through a water table-specific yield method coupled with a detailed sub-basin hydrologic balance

5. Energy-Water Nexus

Energy efficiency projects are intended to increase the use of renewable energy and increase overall energy efficiency in the management and delivery of water. Applicants should address the following as part of the performance measures they submit with their applications:

a. Implementation of Renewable Energy Improvements Related to Water Management and Delivery

- Explain the methodology used for quantifying the energy generated from the renewable energy system
- Explain the methodology for calculating the quantity of energy savings resulting from the activity
- Explain anticipated cost savings for the project
- Include an estimate of energy conserved

b. Increasing Energy Efficiency in Water Management

- Explain the methodology for calculating the quantity of energy savings resulting from the water management improvements or water conservation improvements
- Explain anticipated cost savings

6. Benefits to Endangered Species

Improved water management and delivery should benefit endangered and/or candidate species. Applicants should address:

- The methodology used for determining the recovery rate of the threatened and/or candidate species
- How their projects will address designated critical habitats, including acres covered, species present, and how the water savings are expected to benefit the habitat