



Nonnative Fish Control Plan: Responses to Reviews

M.E. Andersen

USGS SBSC Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center

- **SA and TWG Comment: Articulate:**
 - **Goal**
 - **Process for addressing priorities**
 - **Integration**
- **GCMRC Response:**
 - **Articulated goal: benefit native fish**
 - **Describe priority setting process; annual meeting**
 - **New science integration section articulating agency involvement in determining roles**
 - **Agencies will need to be involved in roles determination**

- **SA and TWG Comment: overall strategy needed**
- **GCMRC response: we are writing a new integration section to provide details on how the agencies and projects will integrate, provide more specific overall strategy**



- **SA and TWG comment: need to determine which species pose the greatest risk to natives**
- **GCMRC response:**
 - **we are pursuing development of one or more models to help evaluate which species pose greatest risk to natives**
 - **Valdez and Speas (2009) provides review of temperature effects**

- **TWG comment: contingency section will not suffice to address risk from rainbow trout**
- **GCMRC response: preparing new section to review details of how monitoring will inform need for nonnative control (number of trips per year to address variable immigration rates)**

- **SA and TWG comment: where do nonnative control efforts need to be focused?**
- **GCMRC response: adding map and historical data to illustrate which species have been captured and where; anticipate annual updating as new monitoring data are available**

- **SA and TWG comment: Planning activities need to be accelerated**
- **GCMRC response:**
 - **All planning elements in this plan, pursuing research and monitoring in future**
 - **Risk assessment is being developed. Once plan is complete will focus more on risk assessment along with ongoing nonnative control and monitoring**

- **SA Comment: Focus on nonnative control actions; research and monitoring secondary**
- **GCMRC Response: We have highlighted control actions, but believe the existing and proposed actions need to be supported by research and monitoring**

- **SA Comment: Contingency needs to be expanded with leadership from TWG management agencies**
- **GCMRC response: We've initiated the discussion re: contingency planning and funding with management agencies**