

TWG Meeting
May 30-31, 2001

Flip Charts

Flip Chart 1

Memo 1-3 **OKAY**

History of Compliance:

- add NPS Organic Act as subhead

—> **Move to Institutional Scope section in the NPS subsection OKAY**

- include NHPA -> **OKAY**

- purpose of this section

Change heading to “History and Background of AMP - **OKAY**”

Move to Institutional Scope: NPS Organic Act, GMPs, Mgt. Policies, (NPS Activities on p. 15 would need rewrite)

- p. 3, line 35 - change to ESA **OKAY**

Flip Chart 2

History of Compliance

- Memo #4 - include laws and EOs relating to electrical production -> **NO**

- Include water delivery laws -> **OKAY (there already)**

- ~~Add a sentence or two, not a new section for each law/EO~~

- Memo #5: replace “first systematic” with “largest,” add “to date” at end of sentence

—> **“... related to the effects of the dam OKAY**

Memo #10 and #13: EIS didn't adopt anything -Substitute:

- This is an important ~~decision-making~~ document because it supplied the Secretary of the Interior with the most current scientific information at the time regarding the natural, cultural, and other resources from which to select a preferred alternative delineated in the ROD. - **OKAY**

Flip Chart 3

Memo #13 - add to end: “ ... intent. The ROD instituted the development of a long-term monitoring, research, and experimental program. -> **OKAY**

P. 5, line 39-40, explain sideboards and limitations (~~e.g., changes in flows outside the ROD requiring NEPA compliance~~) **Delete sentence -- OKAY**

p. 5, line 30, remove “it was felt that” → **OKAY**

Memo #12, add “monitoring and research” → **OKAY**

p. 6, lines 13-14, Native Americans didn’t relate in a scientific way - empirical approach
DELETED

Flip Chart 4

p. 6, Delete lines 13-22 → **OKAY**

P. 6, line 11, change the title to “Science in the Glen Canyon Dam AMP” → **OKAY**

P. 6, line 34, ROD established the AMP (inherent that it established the GCMRC, AMWG, TWG)
just change to “established the AMP” → **OKAY**

P. 7, line 23 add “tribal” after “Federal” → **OKAY**

P. 7, line 23 add “ authority and responsibility” → **OKAY**

Flip Chart 5

p. 7, line 25 - “... statute or tribal resolution, ordinances, or codes”
→ **OKAY**

p. 8, line 35 - not a good example

p. 8, line 11-15, change “SAB” to “Science Advisors” → **OKAY**

~~P. 7, line 33 The AMWG~~

Flip Chart 6

p. 31, line 40-41 - move this to p. 7, line 33 → **The AMWG Charter states “The group should attempt to seek consensus but in the event that consensus is not possible, a vote should be taken. OKAY**

~~Memo #16 “...enable changing operations over time”~~

~~Memo #16 “...to better achieve the target mix of resource benefits” →~~

P. 10, line 1 - “primarily from the forebay” **OKAY**

Flip Chart 7

P. 11, line 15-16, add “trust responsibilities” —> **OKAY**

P. 11, line 17-19, strike “the designee” -> **OKAY**

P. 11, line 17-19 - forward recommendation to SOTI? —> **OKAY**. 12, IRPs - add different kinds of IRBs - SA, PEP, etc. —> **OKAY**

~~P. 12, line 33—explain the section, introductory paragraph~~

Flip Chart 8

p. 13, PA - rewrite section -> **subgroup**

p. 13, line 24 & 25, - change to Kaibab Paiute Band of Indians —> **OKAY**

p. 13, line 26, change to “Pueblo of Zuni” —> **OKAY**

P. 13, line 38, delete 2nd and 3rd sentences. PA signatories make recommendations -> **subgroup**

Flip Chart 9

P. 14, line 16 not sure we need process here. -> **moved to Appendix**

P. 15, line 25, ~~“the making of every reasonable effort to establishment ...”~~ -> “from time to time, the BOR and FWS reconsult and these programmatic elements may change.

(BOs may change based on new information based on consultation.) **OKAY**

Include a discussion of Navajo and Hualapai lands that may have an impact on the program

What it takes to do research and monitoring on these lands.

-> **Tribal authority within the CRE** (New sub-section)

- access issues AMP recommendations may impact tribal economic development
- to be resolved in other venues->**Mary Orton will draft & bring tomorrow**
- may have an impact on the AMP
- compliance with tribal laws

Flip Chart 10

P. 18, L. 28 - Include a discussion of how trust responsibility is incorporated and implemented into the AMP -> **Kurt to bring a draft tomorrow**

P 18, Line 28 - Include a discussion of GCPA’s requirement of consultation with tribes.

---> **Kurt to bring a draft tomorrow**

~~GCPA/Tribal should be moved to Compliance section~~

Flip Chart 11

P. 19, line 38, very different discussion of PA from p. 13 under Institutional Scope.

Should be consistent → **subgroup**

P. 20, line 4 - Memo coming out on 5/31/01 for TWG approval - basis for this section

→ tomorrow

Calendar - lack of dates - can they be more specific? → **OKAY**

Flip Chart 12

P. 21, lines 9-11 - meaning? Delete? **OKAY**

~~Any GCMRC funded program undergoes the same review protocols~~

P 21, Line 3 “All AMP monitoring and ...” **OKAY**

Retitle Calendar to “Annual AMP Cycle” → **OKAY**

P. 23 - ask tribes for cites of treaties, agreements, etc. to include → **OKAY**

Diagrams → **GCMRC will prepare**

Flip Chart 13

Vision Narrative

Cultural - overall concerns -- **SUBGROUP**

~~Aquatic—more of a feeling of progression down river and tributary influence~~

Introduction - be more direct about what we want to achieve

Riparian - natural? Appropriate mix? “...are important and are maintained” → delete “**Our vision is...**” sentence

Flip Chart 14

Recreational - Para 3, 2nd sentence. → “**There are abundant beaches that have large expanses of sand with patches of vegetation for shade** **OKAY**

Water & Power - Memo #26 - add “relative” before “balancing” → **OKAY**

W&P - Renewable electricity? → **renewable energy OKAY**

Only load following capacity?

→ **It provides necessary base load and load following capacity... OKAY**

P5 , last sentence - revisit → Attach to previous paragraph -- **OKAY**

Flip Chart 15

Group to work on SP Narrative. Cultural resource issues:

Kurt, Jan, Nancy, Brenda, Mary, Lisa, (Ruth),

DEADLINE: 5/31/01

TWG Meeting
May 31, 2001

Flip Charts

Flip Chart 1

Tribal Authority within the CRE

[Where Native American lands resources are in the CRE] [affected by AMP activities], (2) there may be access and economic development and other issues for the AMP. This pertains to the Navajo Nation, Havasupai Tribe, and the Hualapai Tribe. In order for the AMP to carry out its monitoring and research functions in these areas, these access-issues may need to be resolved, probably in other forums.

~~This may impede the ability of the AMP to accomplish its goals~~

Flip Chart 2

~~In addition, the AMP must be sensitive to the fact that its recommendations may have negative impacts on tribal economic development.~~ Compliance with tribal laws is also an important consideration as the AMP proceeds.

Consultation through AMWG and TWG meetings with all affected tribes is vital to ensure accomplishment of AMP goals while minimizing negative impacts to the tribes.

Flip Chart 3

~~Where NA resources or access to NA lands may be affected by AMP activities (e.g., monitoring and research), the access and impact issues may need to be resolved through direct consultation with the appropriate tribal entity.~~

(1) When AMP activities take place on tribal lands, or have the potential to affect tribal resources,
OKAY

(B) For example, when tribes need a less-public venue in which to share information, or if a tribe wishes for a group of elders to meet with federal agencies. (A) It may be advisable for the GCMRC, Reclamation, ... tribes..., ... actively engaged in the AMP. **OKAY**

(C) The result ...