

**GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT WORK GROUP
ACTION ITEM TRACKING REPORT**

Note: Items marked "Closed" will be removed from the next iteration of the report.

ITEM NO. / DATE	ACTION ITEM	ASSIGNED TO / DUE DATE	STATUS
Item 2006.Dec.02	<p>Secretary's Designee Limbaugh directed the Roles AHG to address Dr. Garrett's recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Develop improved methods and/or procedures for managers to establish and articulate priorities for specific 3-5 year time intervals. 2. Develop improved methods for managers and scientists to permit effective tradeoff assessments. 3. Develop more effective scientist/managers collaborative working procedures. 4. Implement methods to monitor and improve the adaptive management process. 5. Implement methods to define future conditions for the CRE resources of concern. 	Roles Ad Hoc Group	Open
Item 2010.Feb.07	<p>Action item: Have a discussion on scientific controls with GCMRC, and the upper basin RIP; and develop a report from TWG to AMWG.</p> <p><u>July 13, 2010</u>: On this date, Larry Stevens and Shane Capron discussed with Tom Czapl and Tom Chart from the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program the relevance of an ecological comparison of the little regulated Canyonlands Reach (the lower Colorado and Green River in Canyonlands National Park in Utah) to the highly regulated Colorado River ecosystem (CRE) in Grand Canyon and lowermost Glen Canyon. The call participants expressed interest in assessment of the feasibility and utility of pursuing a comparative river ecosystem analysis of the two reaches. The next step is to begin conversations with GCMRC.</p>	Shane Capron and Larry Stevens; no deadline established	Open

Item 2010.Aug.02 Cont.	<p>Motion passed: The AMWG supports implementation of studies to further our understanding of the socioeconomics of adaptive management decisions within the GCDAMP; this includes and is not limited to market, non-market, and non-use studies. Thus, the AMWG directs TWG to further develop an economics implementation plan to be provided to AMWG at its next meeting for possible implementation starting in FY 2012. That implementation plan will include the following components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Information needs associated with each study or analysis and the prioritization of those needs, Scope and costs associated with each project and potential funding sources, A description of how the information would be useful to the program, and A more thorough review of the economics panel report. <u>Aug 29, 2012</u>. The SEAHG plan has been completed. More discussions will occur in future meetings. 	TWG; next AMWG meeting	Closed 8/29/12
Item 2010.Aug.04	<p>Motion passed: AMWG requests that POAHG, working with Reclamation, GCMRC, and other appropriate parties, develop and forward to TWG a recommendation with regard to a prospectus that identifies goals and objectives, scope, lead agency, cost, and funding source(s) for an RFP for an annotated administrative history of the AMP to document the history of events, people, sites, issues, and documents that have contributed to adaptive ecosystem management of the Colorado River ecosystem in relation to Glen Canyon Dam. AMWG further requests that TWG make a recommendation on the subject to AMWG by the summer 2011 AMWG meeting. <u>Aug. 30, 2012</u>. The following motion was passed was passed by consensus: AWMG accepts the Administrative History Prospectus dated August 2011, and directs the TWG to review it for potential implementation.</p>	POAHG, Reclama- tion, GCMRC, and TWG; by the summer 2011 AMWG meeting	Open
Item 2011.Feb.01	<p>Motion passed: AMWG approves the plan as described in “Study Plan—Biological Resource Responses to Fall Steady Experimental Flows Released from Glen Canyon Dam, 2009-2012,” dated February 2010, with the understanding that GCMRC will prepare a synthesis of results from the various studies identified in the plan and present that to the TWG in January 2013.</p>	GCMRC January 2013	Open

Item 2011. Aug.01	Anne Castle will see that a revision of the operating procedures (to be consistent with the new charter and other changes) is drafted for feedback from AMWG. <u>February 16, 2012</u> . The revised AMWG Operating Procedures signed by Anne Castle on August 19, 2011, were distributed via e-mail to the AMWG.	Secretary's Designee, no due date	Closed
Item 2012.Feb22.01	AMWG members will provide feedback on the Action Item Tracking Report with regard to any concerns regarding the items being proposed for closure to Linda Whetton by close of business Friday, March 9, 2012.	AMWG Members	Closed
Item 2012.Feb .02	AMWG members will review and provide comments on the revised AMWG Operating Procedures to Linda Whetton by close of business Friday, March 9, 2012.	AMWG Members	Closed
Item 2012.Aug.0 1	Mary Orton will work with Glen Knowles and Anne Castle to compare the 2004 AMWG/TWG priorities and the Secretary's Designee's priorities as established in 2011. The Secretary's Designee will report to AMWG on the results of this comparison	M. Orton G. Knowles A. Castle	Feb 2013
Item 2012.Aug.02	AMWG directed TWG to make a recommendation to AMWG on the outcomes of the work of the TWG Operating Procedures Ad Hoc Group.	TWG	Feb 2013
Item 2012.Aug.03	TWG (and its Socioeconomic Ad Hoc Group) is authorized to review the Secretary's response of April 30, 2012, to the AMWG motion from February 23, 2012, on the SEAHG report; and to consider a recommendation to AMWG on economics work to be completed by the AMP.	TWG	Feb 2013