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Goals and Objectives

Project Objectives

GCDAMP Regulatory Goals:

- GCPA goal: Resource improvement
- LTEMP and NHPA goal: “Preservation in place.”
  - Maintain the integrity of potentially affected NRHP-eligible or listed historic properties in place, where possible, with preservation methods employed on a site-specific basis.

Project Objectives:

- Determine whether increasing the frequency of HFEs increases the resupply of river sand to archaeological sites in the river corridor and offsets erosion.
- Determine if removal of riparian vegetation located between HFE sediment supplied sand bars and archaeologic sites increases the probability of preservation in place.
- Determine if vegetation and biological soil crust cover within archaeological sites that are not resupplied with sediment from HFEs help to reduce erosion and increase the probability of achieving GCDAMP goals.
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Weather Conditions

Dam-Regulated Flows (volumes, magnitudes, fluctuations, etc.)

Riparian Vegetation

Sand Supply & Area/Locations of Deposition

Sediment Transfer by wind & runoff

Human Behavior & Choices

NPS Policies (use limits, visitation, law enforcement, wilderness management, rehab activities, etc.)

Cultural/Archaeological Site Condition
Background: Lack of floods and decrease in windblown (aeolian) river sand supply have resulted in expanded gullying at archaeological sites from pre- to post-dam time periods.
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Background: Long-term increases in riparian vegetation on sandbars have decreased windblown (aeolian) sand supply from sandbars to archaeological sites.
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Background: Measuring physical changes to archaeological site condition with annual high-resolution lidar

- Lidar surveys are used to measure changes in site condition owing to the burial or exposure of archaeological sites in sediment
Background: Interpreting physical changes to archaeological site condition through decadal geomorphic classifications

- Dam operations influence river flow elevation, sandbars, and vegetation growth.
- These factors in-turn affect site stability through burial or exposure.

Adapted from East and others, 2017
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decreasing preservation potential</th>
<th>More Degraded</th>
<th>No Change</th>
<th>Less Degraded</th>
<th>Indeterminate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeolian Type</td>
<td>More Degraded</td>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>Less Degraded</td>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Degraded</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Degraded</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPLANATION
- Decreased potential for in-situ site preservation
- No change in site preservation potential
- Increased potential for in-situ site preservation

Preliminary results, do not cite
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aeolian Type</th>
<th>Monitoring Site</th>
<th>Topographic Change</th>
<th>Drainage Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C:06:0003B*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C:05:0031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C:13:0321</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B:10:0225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G:03:0072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C:06:0003A*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C:13:0365+</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C:13:0006</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B:14:0105*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C:13:0348</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C:13:0346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>G:03:0041**</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B:13:0002+</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area normalized annual mean change in surface elevation (mm)

+ Annual mean represents a one-year survey interval
* Annual mean calculated from a survey interval of four years or less
**Annual mean calculated from survey interval of more than 10 years
LTEMP Vegetation Project (Reclamation TWP projects C.7 and C.8 led by NPS)

- Experimental riparian vegetation treatments as mitigation for dam operations
  1. Control nonnative plant species affected by dam operations; including tamarisk and other highly invasive species
  2. Develop native plant materials for replanting through partnerships and the use of regional greenhouses
  3. Replant native plant species at priority sites along the river corridor; including native species of interest to tribes
  4. Remove vegetation encroaching on campsites
  5. Manage vegetation to assist with cultural site protection
Manage vegetation to assist with cultural site protection

NPS has conducted experimental vegetation removal treatments on sandbars in Grand Canyon to increase the supply of HFE sediment via aeolian processes for in-situ preservation of archaeological sites in dunefields.

Initially Implemented: April 2019

Repeated: September 2020, October 2021, & 2022...
Manage vegetation to assist with cultural site protection

5 coupled sandbar-archaeological site areas selected based on:

- NPS management priorities
- USGS lidar monitoring data with observed changes in geomorphic condition
Results: Effects of vegetation removal on sediment availability and implications for changes in site condition (Area 2)

Preliminary results, do not cite
Results: Effects of vegetation removal on sediment availability and implications for changes in site condition (Area 3)

Preliminary results, do not cite
The End