

Is rainbow trout control necessary, and if so what is the most cost-effective approach?

Lucas S. Bair, U.S. Geological Survey Charles B. Yackulic, U.S. Geological Survey Michael R. Springborn, University of California at Davis Mathew N. Reimer, University of Alaska at Anchorage Craig A. Bond, RAND Corporation

U. S. Department of Interior U.S. Geological Survey

#### Project 13.3: Applied Decision Methods

 Develop a bioeconomic model to identify the cost-effective management strategy for rainbow trout that achieves humpback chub population goals.





http://www.coloradoriverrecovery.org/general-information/the-fish/humpback-chub.html

#### **Presentation Outline**

- Importance of cost-effectiveness analysis
- Bioeconomic model with population and management components
- Ongoing and future workplan research





### **Cost-effectiveness Analysis**

- Comparing the costs of alternative means to achieve goals set through a political or public process (Sagoff 2009)
- Example: Determine an operation at GCD that limits impact to hydropower while meeting recovery and long-term sustainability of downstream resources (Reclamation, 1996).

Sagoff, M. 2009. Regulatory review and cost-benefit analysis. Philosophy & Public Policy Quarterly. 29(3/4):21-26.

U.S. Department of the Interior. 1996. Operation of Glen Canyon Dam, Record of Decision. Upper Colorado Region, Salt Lake City, Utah.



### **Presentation Outline**

- Importance of cost-effectiveness analysis
- Bioeconomic model with population and management components
- Ongoing and future workplan research





#### **Population Component**





# Humpback Chub (Gila cypha)





Adapted from: Yackulic, C. B., M.D., Yard, J. Korman, and D.R. Van Haverbeke. 2014. A quantitative life history of endangered humpback 7 chub that spawn in the Little Colorado River: variation in movement, growth, and survival. Ecology and Evolution 4(7): 1006-1018.

 $\tau$  – proportion of Colorado River fish in Colorado River HBC monitoring site

### Juvenile Humpback Chub Survival



Preliminary data, do not cite

cience for a changing wor

### Management Component

- Mechanical removal
  - Remove rainbow trout in the vicinity of JCM reach
  - Limit of one trip per month and six trips per year
- Minimize costs
  - Number of trips
  - Period of analysis





# **Bioeconomic Model**

- Simulate population component over 20 year period with:
  - Random rainbow trout recruitment at Lees Ferry
  - Fixed policy strategy where removals are triggered by rainbow trout numbers in the juvenile humpback chub monitoring reach





#### **Removal Options**





Expected Annual Trout in Juvenile Chub Monitoring Reach

# **Bioeconomic Model**

- Simulate population dynamics model over 20 year period with
  - Random rainbow trout recruitment at Lees Ferry
  - Fixed policy strategy where removals are triggered by rainbow trout numbers in the juvenile humpback chub monitoring reach
  - Rerun 1,000 times and identify the probability of meeting target survival and expected costs





Expected Annual Trout in Juvenile Chub Monitoring Reach





Preliminary data, do not cite







# Uncertainty in the Chub-Trout Relationship











### **Presentation Outline**

- Importance of cost-effectiveness analysis
- Bioeconomic model with population and management components
- Ongoing and future workplan research





# **Ongoing Workplan Research**

- Humpback chub population parameter uncertainty
  - Identify the importance of parameter uncertainty in prioritization of monitoring and research.
- Trout management flows
  - Incorporate additional management options and associated costs, such as trout management flows at GCD, to improve humpback chub survival.



#### Humpback Chub Uncertainty





Adapted from: Yackulic, C. B., M.D., Yard, J. Korman, and D.R. Van Haverbeke. 2014. A quantitative life history of endangered humpback chub that spawn in the Little Colorado River: variation in movement, growth, and survival. Ecology and Evolution 4(7): 1006-1018.

#### **Parameter Uncertainty**



#### **Trout Management Flows**



Example implementation of a two-cycle TMF in June and July with resumption of normal fluctuations between cycles and afterward

Adapted from: Glen Canyon Dam Long-Term Experimental and Management Plan December 2015 Draft Environmental Impact Statement http://ltempeis.anl.gov/documents/draft-eis/vol1/Chapter\_2-Alternatives.pdf



# Future Workplan Research

• Rainbow trout management triggers

ence for a chanaina w



#### Conclusions

- If trout removals are necessary, they are costeffective when implemented under moderate trout numbers, not too high, not too low
- A bioeconomic approach is useful for prioritizing research and evaluating experiments (e.g., TMFs) or other management actions (e.g., removal triggers)

