

Glen Canyon Dam Technical Work Group Action Item Tracking Report

(U:\c5amp\uc\rm\amp\twg\mtgs\14apr09\Attach_01.docx)

Updated: June 25, 2014

Action Number (year.mo.day-no.)	Action Item * Additional information in Meeting Minutes	Assigned to	Due Date	Status
2010:03-15-16(4)	<p>3/15/10: Kurt wants an update from the Washington Office on continued tribal consultation plan.</p> <p>6/29/10: Kurt said he would like to discuss his concerns under “New Business” today.</p> <p>11/15/10: Kurt expressed his concerns (see minutes). Glen will follow up and report back to the TWG.</p> <p>1/20/11: Glen said the Plan is still in review within DOI. There is a competing effort by Pres. Obama to have agencies develop agency-wide tribal consultation plans. The DOI is sorting out where this fits with the AMP plan.</p> <p>3/8/11: Glen said this action item refers to the AMP’s Tribal Consultation Plan and one developed by DOI. DOI is seeking resolution of whether or not the AMP’s plan fits within the DOI tribal consultation plan .On Jan. 14, 2011, the DOI plan was sent to the tribes for a 60-day review.</p> <p>6/28/11: The DOI Tribal Consultation Plan is out for public review. Once that plan has been completed, the AMP Tribal Consultation Plan will be modified to be in conformance with it.</p> <p>10/20/11: Glen said the comment period closed the end of June. DOI is revising that plan in response to comments received. Once the DOI Consultation Plan is revised, the AMP consultation plan will be revised.</p> <p>2/2/2012: Shane will discuss the TWG’s concerns with Lori Caramanian and also ask whether this should be addressed at the DOI/AMP tribal pre-AMWG meeting (Refer to minutes for more information.)</p> <p>4/16/12: Glen read a motion passed by the AMWG at its Feb. 2012 meeting: <i>“AMWG requests the CRAHG compare the existing draft tribal consultation documents with Secretarial Order 3317 and the DOI tribal consultation policy, and make a recommendation through the TWG to the AMWG by its August meeting for an AMP tribal consultation policy.”</i> Kurt said the CRAHG will include with ongoing assignments and future reporting will be through AHG updates.</p> <p>6/20/12: John Halliday said the CRAHG requested Hualapai take the lead in comparing the two plans. Dean Suagge (Hualapai) asked him for the most recent version. Last week Dean completed the comparison but needs authorization from Loretta to release it to him and/or distribute to others. The document is still being reviewed by the CRAHG.</p> <p>10/24/12: Reclamation will clarify at the next TWG meeting what remains to be done on the plan.</p> <p>1/24/13: Kurt said that Dean Suagge did a cross-</p>	Glen Knowles Shane Capron	Jan 2013	Open

Action Number (year.mo.day- no.)	Action Item * Additional information in Meeting Minutes	Assigned to	Due Date	Status
	<p>walk on the two plans at the last AMWG meeting. They seem to be in sync and now it's up to DOI on whether it will be implemented. Sarah Rinkevich (tribal liaison with Loretta Jackson-Kelly) said she was tasked by Lori Caramanian to prepare a final document based on comments received from the tribes and get their approval before DOI signs off on it in early February.</p> <p>6/26/13: Sarah will be scheduling a conference call with the tribes for further discussion on the Plan.</p> <p>1/30/14: Sarah said the Plan was re-drafted at the request of Anne Castle and Lori Caramanian, and Lori is currently reviewing the draft. Sarah said minor changes were made to include a section on TEK, updates on policy, and the tribal liaison information.</p> <p>4/8/14: The draft plan is still being reviewed by Lori Caramanian.</p> <p>6/24/14: Loretta and Sarah will review the plan to ensure it includes updated policy and TEK concerns. A draft will be provided to the tribes before the next AMWG meeting (Aug 27-28).</p>			