

# Glen Canyon Dam Technical Work Group Action Item Tracking Report

(U:\c5amp\uc\rm\amp\twg\mtgs\13jun26\Attach\_01.docx)

**Updated: June 26, 2013**

Action Number (year.mo.day- no.)	Action Item * Additional information in Meeting Minutes	Assigned to	Due Date	Status
2010:03-15-16(4)	<p><b>3/15/10:</b> Kurt wants an update from the Washington Office on continued tribal consultation plan.</p> <p><b>6/29/10:</b> Kurt said he would like to discuss his concerns under “New Business” today.</p> <p><b>11/15/10:</b> Kurt expressed his concerns (see minutes). Glen will follow up and report back to the TWG.</p> <p><b>1/20/11:</b> Glen said the Plan is still in review within DOI. There is a competing effort by Pres. Obama to have agencies develop agency-wide tribal consultation plans. The DOI is sorting out where this fits with the AMP plan.</p> <p><b>3/8/11:</b> Glen said this action item refers to the AMP’s Tribal Consultation Plan and one developed by DOI. DOI is seeking resolution of whether or not the AMP’s plan fits within the DOI tribal consultation plan .On Jan. 14, 2011, the DOI plan was sent to the tribes for a 60-day review.</p> <p><b>6/28/11:</b> The DOI Tribal Consultation Plan is out for public review. Once that plan has been completed, the AMP Tribal Consultation Plan will be modified to be in conformance with it.</p> <p><b>10/20/11:</b> Glen said the comment period closed the end of June. DOI is revising that plan in response to comments received. Once the DOI Consultation Plan is revised, the AMP consultation plan will be revised.</p> <p><b>2/2/2012:</b> Shane will discuss the TWG’s concerns with Lori Caramanian and also ask whether this should be addressed at the DOI/AMP tribal pre-AMWG meeting (Refer to minutes for more information.)</p> <p><b>4/16/12:</b> Glen read a motion passed by the AMWG at its Feb. 2012 meeting: “<i>AMWG requests the CRAHG compare the existing draft tribal consultation documents with Secretarial Order 3317 and the DOI tribal consultation policy, and make a recommendation through the TWG to the AMWG by its August meeting for an AMP tribal consultation policy.</i>” Kurt said the CRAHG will include with ongoing assignments and future reporting will be through AHG updates.</p> <p><b>6/20/12:</b> John Halliday said the CRAHG requested Hualapai take the lead in comparing the two plans. Dean Suagge (Hualapai) asked him for the most recent version. Last week Dean completed the comparison but needs authorization from Loretta to release it to him and/or distribute to others. The document is still being reviewed by the CRAHG.</p> <p><b>10/24/12:</b> Reclamation will clarify at the next TWG meeting what remains to be done on the plan.</p> <p><b>1/24/13:</b> Kurt said that Dean Suagge did a cross-</p>	Glen Knowles Shane Capron	Jan 2013	Open

Action Number (year.mo.day-no.)	Action Item * Additional information in Meeting Minutes	Assigned to	Due Date	Status
	<p>walk on the two plans at the last AMWG meeting. They seem to be in sync and now it's up to DOI on whether it will be implemented. Sarah Rinkevich (tribal liaison with Loretta Jackson-Kelly) said she was tasked by Lori Caramanian to prepare a final document based on comments received from the tribes and get their approval before DOI signs off on it in early February.</p> <p><b>6/26/13:</b> Sarah will be scheduling a conference call with the tribes for further discussion on the Plan.</p>			
2011:03-08-9(4)	<p><b>3/8/11:</b> The CMAHG will rewrite Appendix B (integrating Science Advisor comments and include criteria) and bring a revised version back to the TWG at its June meeting.</p> <p><b>6/28/11:</b> Shane said draft criteria were developed and a review of Appendix B needs to be done before approval of the plan. He has been waiting for the new GCMRC chief to come on board so the TWG and GCMRC can work together. He believes the plan will be ready for final review in September.</p> <p><b>10/20/11:</b> Shane said he would work with Jack behind the scenes to streamline reporting on projects, preparing documents, and developing the workplan, and then report to TWG.</p> <p><b>2/2/2012:</b> Shane said the next step is to have a CMAHG and GCMRC discussion about future directions. He will talk with Jack Schmidt about the Core Monitoring Plan and making arrangements for what needs to happen next.</p> <p><b>4/16/12:</b> Shane said there needs to be a meeting between Lori Caramanian, Jack Schmidt, Dave Garrett, himself and possibly others to discuss potential progress.</p> <p><b>6/20/12:</b> Shane said Jack has agreed to consider moving forward in working on this. A rewrite will be done to more fully integrate the AMWG's concerns. Shane will work with the CMAHG in preparing a revised version for the TWG to consider in October.</p> <p><b>10/24/12:</b> This item was dropped from the agenda due to time.</p> <p><b>Update 11/14/12:</b> Shane will schedule a CMAHG conference call and report back to the TWG.</p> <p><b>1/24/13:</b> Glen said DOI plans to rely on GCMRC to do a core monitoring plan as part of LTEMP EIS and has the TWG shouldn't take any action until the LTEMP EIS is completed.</p> <p><b>6/26/13:</b> The CMAHG will be handled through the LTEMP EIS process. This item is closed.</p>	Shane Capron & CMAHG	Ongoing	Closed
2012-10-24(5)	<p><b>10/24/12:</b> Update at the next TWG meeting on progress of non-native fish removal; the method deemed appropriate and the consultation that will be required, and a synthesis of HFE results.</p> <p><b>1/24/13:</b> Glen said there are no plans to implement NNFC because Whirling disease is in the system impacts doing live removal and the risk is too high to move the fish. The MOA says if live removal can't be done, then BOR will consult with the tribes to determine if there are other means to mitigate the</p>	Glen Knowles	Jan 2013	Open

Action Number (year.mo.day- no.)	Action Item * Additional information in Meeting Minutes	Assigned to	Due Date	Status
	<p>adverse effect.  <b>6/26/13:</b> This item was discussed as part of today's budget discussion. Refer to the meeting minutes for details.</p>			
2012-10-24(6)	<p><b>10/24/12:</b> Look into the potential for setting up a TWG ad hoc group on species of management concern to regularly present information on native and non-native species that influence CRE structure, function, and management decision-making.  <b>Update 11/14/12:</b> John will talk with Larry about this and report back to the TWG.  <b>1/24/13:</b> A charge will be developed in preparation for forming the Species of Management Concern Ad Hoc Group Ad Hoc Group (SMCAHG) at the next TWG meeting.  <b>6/26/13:</b> Larry Stevens will provide a list of tasks the ad hoc group should do and present to the TWG in October 2013 at which time the ad hoc group will be formed.</p>	Larry Stevens	April 2013	Open
2013-1-24(3)	<p><b>1/24/13.</b> Linda will send a reminder to the TWG with the "Roundtable Questions." TWG members should submit suggestions for the BAHG to consider for Year Two adjustments to the budget/workplan. They should provide issues and why it makes sense to reconsider. Due Date: Friday, Feb. 8, 2013. All submissions should describe how they meet the criteria in the streamlined budget process. Linda will set up a doodle poll for the BAHG meeting.  <b>1/30/13:</b> Doodle poll sent to BAHG &amp; then TWG.  <b>Update 2/14/13:</b> Shane sent EM to TWG &amp; BAHG stating limited concerns were received. More discussion will occur at May BAHG meeting and TWG meeting in June.  <b>6/26/13:</b> The TWG discussed changes to budget and developed a motion to be forwarded to the AMWG. This item is closed.</p>	BAHG/ TWG	June 2013	Closed