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| 2009:6-22-23(2)                 | **6/22/09:** Greater effort will be made to distribute meeting materials to the TWG within the 10-day deadline and no action will be taken on agenda items if the materials aren’t delivered on time.  
**9/29/09:** This is a continuing issue.  
**1/21/10:** This is a continuing issue.  
**3/15/10:** This is a continuing issue.  
**6/29/10:** This is a continuing issue.  
**11/15/10:** Shane said he felt there was a good effort in identifying the agenda items earlier, but more attention needs to be made in getting documents posted earlier as well.  
**1/20/11:** This is a continuing issue.  
**3/8/11:** This is a continuing issue.  
**6/28/11:** This is a continuing issue.  
**10/20/11:** Since Mary Orton is now assisting with TWG meeting facilitation, Shane feels the meeting materials will be posted in a timely manner. | Reclamation & USGS           |             | Closed  |
| 2010:03-15-16(4)                | **3/15/10:** Kurt wants an update from the Washington Office on continued tribal consultation plan.  
**6/29/10:** Kurt said he would like to discuss his concerns under “New Business” today.  
**11/15/10:** Kurt expressed his concerns (see minutes). Glen will follow up and report back to the TWG.  
**1/20/11:** Glen said the Plan is still in review within DOI. There is a competing effort by Pres. Obama to have agencies develop agency-wide tribal consultation plans. The DOI is sorting out where this fits with the AMP plan.  
**3/8/11:** Glen said this action item refers to the AMP’s Tribal Consultation Plan and one developed by DOI. DOI is seeking resolution of whether or not the AMP’s plan fits within the DOI tribal consultation plan. On Jan. 14, 2011, the DOI plan was sent to the tribes for a 60-day review.  
**6/28/11:** The DOI Tribal Consultation Plan is out for public review. Once that plan has been completed, the AMP Tribal Consultation Plan will be modified to be in conformance with it.  
**10/20/11:** Glen said the comment period closed the end of June. DOI is revising that plan in response to comments received. Once the DOI Consultation Plan is revised, the AMP consultation plan will be revised. | Glen Knowles                      | Ongoing  | Open    |
| 2010:06-29-30(5)                | **6/29/10:** Reclamation (Dennis/Glen) will check with the Denver Office staff on the possibility of them preparing an administrative history on the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program and what the associated staff costs might be. They will report back to the TWG at the next meeting.  
**11/15/10:** Glen said the AMWG passed a motion at | Mike Yeatts                    | Ongoing  | Closed  |
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| their last meeting tasking the POAHG to develop an RFP for an annotated administrative history of the AMP and that TWG make a recommendation on the subject to AMWG by the summer 2011 AMWG meeting. Mike Yeatts said he didn’t feel there would be any action before January. He said if any TWG members want to assist the POAHG, they should contact him.  
1/20/11: Mike Yeatts said he and Larry Stevens laid out a framework for proceeding with development of a white paper on information needed and creating a link between that, current sources, and new information. A POAHG meeting is scheduled for Feb. 2011.  
3/8/11: Mike said the POAHG met on 2/9/11 and intends to develop a broad outline of the GCDAMP history that will be the basis for development of a white paper. Once the POAHG reviews that paper, it will be presented to the TWG for further review.  
6/28/11: The POAHG continues to work on developing a prospectus. Shane will work with Mike on establishing a timeline for the TWG to review it and develop a recommendation for the AMWG’s consideration.  
10/20/11: The TWG discussed at today’s meeting and made a recommendation that the AMWG recommend to the Secretary to accept the Administrative History Prospectus. | | | |
| 2011:03-08-9(2)  
3/8/11: Shane will follow up with Ms. Castle on the base case and change case policy issues.  
6/28/11: Shane said there would be a larger discussion at tomorrow’s meeting which was captured in the meeting minutes.  
10/20/11: Shane said the SEAHG is going to need to do more work and develop an action plan to determine whether it needs to be elevated to the AMWG and AS-WS or can be resolved in the SEAHG. | Shane Capron | Ongoing | Open |
| 2011:03-08-9(4)  
3/8/11: The CMAHG will rewrite Appendix B (integrating Science Advisor comments and include criteria) and bring a revised version back to the TWG at its June meeting.  
6/28/11: Shane said draft criteria were developed and a review of Appendix B needs to be done before approval of the plan. He has been waiting for the new GCMRC chief to come on board so the TWG and GCMRC can work together. He believes the plan will be ready for final review in September.  
10/20/11: Shane said he would work with Jack behind the scenes to streamline reporting on projects, preparing documents, and developing the workplan, and then report to TWG. | Shane Capron CMAHG | Ongoing | |
| 2011-10-20(1)  
10/20/11: TWG will provide comments on the revised meeting minutes (track change version) to Linda Whetton (lwhetton@usbr.gov) by 11/4/11. | TWG | 11/4/2011 | Open |
| 2011-10-20(2)  
10/20/11: TWG requests that they be allowed to review and comment on the final BO. Reclamation will inform the TWG on how they intend to handle | Glen Knowles | | Open |
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| **2011-10-20(3)** | **10/20/11**: The CRAHG will work on answering the following questions and provide an update to the TWG at its February 2, 2012, meeting:  
- How should the program fairly treat conflicts of cultural values, specifically those involving Native American perspectives?  
- How will tribal values be monitored and tracked in this program  
- How should TEK be integrated into the GCDAMP science program?  
Shane will contact Kurt to see what his schedule is like for holding CRAHG meetings and will work together on how to address the direction given from the AMWG to the CRAHG. | Kurt Dongoske, Shane Capron | 2/2/2012 | Open   |
| **2011-10-20(4)** | **10/20/11**: SEAHG members planning to attend the SEAHG meeting at the Salt River Project (SRP) office on November 2, 2011, need to let Dave Garrett (m3research@2starband.net) and Linda Whetton (lwhetton@usbr.gov) know by October 26, 2011. | TWG               | 10/26/2011 | Done   |