

Programmatic Agreement Signatory Status

• History

- 2004: Letter to all current signatories requesting comment on the addition of CREDA, Western and BIA as signatories to the PA.
- 2004: Responses from ACHP, Arizona SHPO, NPS and Hopi questioning the addition.
- 2005: Letter to all signatories responding point-by-point to questions with new signature page requesting signature and concurrence. Only CREDA, Western, BIA and Zuni signed and returned the PA signature page. Email responses from ACHP and Arizona SHPO asserted that the PA would have to be rewritten prior to signatory additions. They do not object to the addition of CREDA, Western and BIA if this is accomplished. They further assert that GCMRC should also be a signatory to the new PA.
- 2005: Denver office of the ACHP is shut down as a consequence of reorganization. ACHP representative to the AMP (Marge Nowick) uses last two months of employment working with the Arizona SHPO to rewrite the PA.
- New draft PA appears to commit same errors as the original by attempting to combine NHPA and GCPA in a single document. It also incorporates GCMRC as a signatory without requesting participation.

Programmatic Agreement Signatory Status

- Options
 - Rewrite the PA to cover section NHPA 106 and GCPA compliance and repeat the signatory process with the new additions.
 - Await the recommendations of the GLCA and GRCA treatment plans and:
 - Enter into and MOA for treatment with Arizona SHPO, and NPS to implement treatment and satisfy NHPA section 106 requirements. Terminate the current PA.
 - Develop a new PA restricted to long-term monitoring under GCPA.
 - Consider additional options in consultation with NPS and Arizona SHPO

GLCA Treatment Plan

- 2004: Cooperative Agreement with NNAD
 - 100K Obligated
- 2005: Field work completed
 - Archaeological assessment of significance completed and submitted to PA group for review
- 2006: Geomorphological assessment submitted for review.
- 2006: Treatment recommendations in progress. Treatment plan will include sufficient data for an IGCE for FY08 treatment implementation budget request.
- FY07: budget request will reflect necessary funding for Native American, NPS and Arizona SHPO consultation.

GRCA Treatment Plan

- 2005: CESU cooperative agreement with USU.
 - 250K obligated for FY05 AMWG recommendation.
 - 155K obligated for FY06 250K AMWG recommendation.
 - Remainder held in abeyance if defined tasks cannot be accomplished.
 - ZCRE to accomplish archaeological requirements under subcontract with USU.
 - NPS to accomplish logistic assistance under subcontract with USU.
- 2006: Preliminary in-depth assessment of extant GIS, map, and database information completed by ZCRE.
- 2006: Preliminary assessment of geomorphological models completed by USU.
- First field work scheduled for March 2006.
- Field work and first drafts of recommended treatment plans to be completed by January 1, 2007.
- Treatment plan will include sufficient data for an IGCE for FY08 treatment implementation budget request.
- FY07: budget request will reflect necessary funding for Native American, NPS and Arizona SHPO consultation.

NPS Mitigation Project for 10 Threatened Sites

- Testing, Excavation, Interpretation and Stabilization of 10 National Register eligible properties (B:15:138, C:02:096, C:13:010, C:13:070, C:13:099, C:13:100, C:13:291, C:13:347, C:13:371, and G:03:020) located along the Colorado River corridor.
- Monitoring and consultation background.
- Preservation efforts.
- Monitor observations of sediment depletion and visitation.
- Inherent overlap between Reclamation and NPS NHPA responsibilities.
- GRCA funding source.
- Review schedule.
- Tribal participation.
- Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act.