




SCORE Report Update

February 2005



For illustrative purposes only

SCORE Report Update

- Progress Report
- Contents
- Publication Schedule
- USGS Peer Review Process
- AMWG/TWG Review



Progress Report

- SCORE report is making good progress despite delays caused by the Nov. experimental flow and year-end leave
- Chapters received to date are of exceptionally high quality and provide cogent summaries of the state of our knowledge



Contents

- **Executive Summary**
- **Introduction**
- **Part 1: Physical Resources**
 - **Water Quality (Combines Lake Powell and downstream)**
 - **Climate and Drought**
 - **Power**
- **Part 2: Aquatic Ecosystem Resources**
 - **Sandbars and Fine Sediment**
 - **Coarse Sediment, Debris Flows, and Rapids**
 - **Aquatic Food Base**
 - **Fisheries Resources**

Contents (cont.)

Part 3: Terrestrial Ecosystem Resources

- Terrestrial Vegetation
- Birds
- Other Species of Concern

■ Part 4: Human Dimension of Ecosystem

- Cultural Resources
- Recreational Resources and Economics

■ Integrating Science

■ Glossary and Index

Publication Schedule

- **Internal Review & Revision – Complete by Mar. 1, 2005**
- **USGS Peer Review Process – Complete by Apr. 4, 2005**
- **Address Comments – Complete by April 14, 2005**
- **Solicit AMWG/TWG Comments – Complete by April 28, 2005**
- **Final Manuscript to Publisher – May 1, 2005**
- **Publication Production – Complete by July 15, 2005**
- **Printing – Complete by August 15, 2005**

USGS Peer Review Process

- Policy review by USGS Southwest Biological Science Center (SBSC) Director and Research Station Leader
- Peer review will be provided by two or more independent reviewers for each section
- Reviewers' comments will be received and reviewed by SBSC Director and transmitted to author with instructions for revision
- Author will address comments and provide Research Station Leader with itemized account of revisions and revised product
- When Center Director is satisfied with the revised product, written authorization will be provided

AMWG/TWG Review

Opportunities to provide feedback:

- Now, submit the names of qualified independent peer reviewers (Peer reviewers must hold a Ph.D. in topic and be independent of stakeholder)
- Provide comments after peer review is completed. Comments should be confined to errors of fact, omission, and commission.

