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Progress Report

- SCORE report is making good progress despite delays caused by the Nov. experimental flow and year-end leave.
- Chapters received to date are of exceptionally high quality and provide cogent summaries of the state of our knowledge.
Contents

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Part 1: Physical Resources
  - Water Quality (Combines Lake Powell and downstream)
  - Climate and Drought
  - Power
- Part 2: Aquatic Ecosystem Resources
  - Sandbars and Fine Sediment
  - Coarse Sediment, Debris Flows, and Rapids
  - Aquatic Food Base
  - Fisheries Resources
Contents (cont.)

Part 3: Terrestrial Ecosystem Resources
- Terrestrial Vegetation
- Birds
- Other Species of Concern

Part 4: Human Dimension of Ecosystem
- Cultural Resources
- Recreational Resources and Economics

Integrating Science
Glossary and Index
Publication Schedule

- Internal Review & Revision – Complete by Mar. 1, 2005
- USGS Peer Review Process – Complete by Apr. 4, 2005
- Address Comments – Complete by April 14, 2005
- Solicit AMWG/TWG Comments – Complete by April 28, 2005
- Final Manuscript to Publisher – May 1, 2005
- Publication Production – Complete by July 15, 2005
- Printing – Complete by August 15, 2005
USGS Peer Review Process

- Policy review by USGS Southwest Biological Science Center (SBSC) Director and Research Station Leader
- Peer review will be provided by two or more independent reviewers for each section
- Reviewers’ comments will be received and reviewed by SBSC Director and transmitted to author with instructions for revision
- Author will address comments and provide Research Station Leader with itemized account of revisions and revised product
- When Center Director is satisfied with the revised product, written authorization will be provided
AMWG/TWG Review

Opportunities to provide feedback:

- Now, submit the names of qualified independent peer reviewers (Peer reviewers must hold a Ph.D. in topic and be independent of stakeholder)
- Provide comments after peer review is completed. Comments should be confined to errors of fact, omission, and commission.