

Information Needs - General Issues

Flip Chart 1

“Dam” vs. “ROD” operations

“ROD” includes HMF, BHF, load following, etc.

Use “GCD operations” language developed at the last TWG Meeting.

(Minutes, pg. 3, 2nd para: *GCD operations refers to the operation of the powerplant and other release structures, such as bypass structures, spillways, and potentially a temperature control device among others. Their uses conform to applicable law. The AMWG develops recommendations for all of the dam’s structures to further the purposes of the GCPA, the EIS, and the ROD. This is done within the limits of the ROD and/or through experimentation.*)

Add “tribal” to NPS Management Plans

Replace GCNP w/CRE in 9.4

Change 9.5 to “Maintain or enhance . . .”

Core monitoring should determine if ROD is accomplishing resource objectives

Flip Chart 2

Core monitoring should measure against the ROD - Core monitoring INs should be modified to reflect this

INs should address the ROD directly

Real issue is what we define as baseline

Not focusing enough on effects of preferred alternative

Goal of Clayton’s comment already in INs (?) → core monitoring already addresses issue of ROD

Flip Chart 3

existing monitoring and research program can address status and trends of resources (core, exp. effects, research)

cause and effect should be measured against a baseline

1-day INs meeting @ PHX Airport on October 22, 2001

revised IN document mailed by GCMRC to be sent Sept. 14

Comments due to GCMRC by Oct. 5
Comments table sent by GCMRC by October 12

Flip Chart 4

Goal 10

eliminate the concept of decreasing power generation flexibility

substitute “CRE” for “downstream resources”

use “maintain or increase” in INs to parallel the MO and the goal