


GCD AMP COMMUNICATION DIAGRAM  
Solicitation for Input as Preparation for February 2001 TWG Meeting  
January 29, 2001

1. Are all entities now included? If not, where should they be placed and to which other entities should they be connected?
2. Do all entities in each box communicate with all entities to which they are connected in other boxes and do they do so in the same manner? An example of interest is the Independent Review Panels box (Protocol Evaluation Panels, Proposal Review Panels, Scientific Advisory Board, Ad Hoc Review Panels). This box originally contained only the Science Advisory Board and was connected to GCMRC and AMWG. Using the broader perspective of Independent Review Panels, it is now connected to GCMRC, AMWG, TWG, and Scientists. Are there different communication linkages for the different IRPs?
3. What are examples of past communications between or among entities? From your perspective, which of these worked well and which did not, i.e. broken arrows? Of those that worked well, what factors contributed to their success? Of those that did not work well, what factors contributed to their limited, or lack of, success? What recommendations do you have for improving future communications where you think improvements could, and should, be made?

Reply to Dennis Kubly at [dkubly@uc.usbr.gov](mailto:dkubly@uc.usbr.gov)

# GCD AMP COMMUNICATION NETWORK



### Protocol Evaluation Panel Process

