

Comments on Management Objectives  
Flip Charts (Sept. 21, 2000)

FC 1	Comment	Reference
	Budgetary reality	Draft Outline #6
	long-term perspective	Target levels and throughout
	Develop a plan outline of what is in the SP	draft done
	Develop a schedule of completion	draft done
	Responsibilities for various items in the SP (both for writing and accomplishing)	schedule 3/01 Draft Outline #6
	Tasks that need to be done to complete the outline	Input from TWG
	Items in plan outline listed in priority and sequence order	Draft Outline #6
	Use web for publishing iterative versions of SP	
FC 2	Don't reinvent the wheel - don't revisit decisions that have already been made	Draft Outline #2
	periodic review of decisions that have been made	Draft Outline #7
	Avoid thinking inside the box - is there a better, cheaper, faster way?	
	Avoid procrastination	
	list Ins only if unable to come up with reasonable first cut	direction to small group
	Develop a firm schedule for completion of the Strategic Plan and stick to it	
	Timeframe and cost to accomplish goals	Draft Outline #6

FC 1	Comment	Reference
FC 3	<p>Ad hoc to send draft survey to:</p> <p>TWG, AMWG, GCMRC</p> <p>Denny Fenn</p> <p>Chip Groat</p> <p>GC Researchers</p> <p>Steve Gloss (NRC)</p> <p>KAS panelists</p> <p>Kathleen Wheeler</p> <p>Duncan Patten</p> <p>David Wegner</p> <p>Dave Garrett</p> <p>House and Senate committee staffers</p> <p>Other funders</p> <p>Mark Schaefer</p> <p>Signa Larralde (ALB Office)</p> <p>website</p> <p>PA signatories</p> <p>Navajo Nation - DC Office</p> <p>PEP panel chairman and participants</p> <p>Chairs of 2 NRC (Dick Marsoff and Bill Lewis)</p> <p>Carl Walters</p> <p>Kai Lee</p> <p>THPO's</p> <p>Science Advisory Board</p> <p>NRC panelists</p> <p>Senator Cain and George Miller</p>	
FC 4	<p>Goal 1</p> <p>-Add "benthic invertebrates (IBI)" (Index of biological index)</p> <p>-Use conceptual model for indicators if the conceptual model is only based on dam operations, use other influences, too</p> <p>-Just use foodbase measures as an indicator</p>	

FC 1	Comment	Reference
FC 5	<p>Goal 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- definition of “viable” population very difficult</li> <li>-start with Upper Basin ideas</li> <li>- look at draft recovery criteria for HBC</li> <li>- difficult to achieve for RZB (see comment on MO-14)</li> <li>- MO13 questioned actual predation on native fish</li> <li>- propose to change “place” to “below Paria River”</li> </ul>	
FC 6	<p>Goal 3 - no comments Goal 4 - no comments</p> <p>Goal 5 - Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- small group will focus on temperature, water quality, and human health (W.Cook)</li> <li>- why is SWW FL targeted/associated with water quality?</li> </ul> <p>Goal 6 -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- cultural -&gt; “filling in arroyos” should be viewed as a placeholder – need more work</li> <li>- concerns about spawning habitat should be “above Paria”</li> <li>- no direction on priorities of resources</li> <li>* -&gt;ad hoc committee will address</li> </ul>	
FC 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence - “Given the limited ...” sounds like a goal in itself. (BUBF &amp; HMF)</li> <li>- 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence - add any other tools to move sediment in addition to HMF &amp; BUBF</li> <li>- should conform to biological (esp. Native fish) goals</li> <li>- concern about implication that we can control supplies - focus on retaining/storage</li> </ul> <p>GOAL 7</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- question about necessity /viability of tranlocated populations of KAS. (PEP report vs. Biological Opinion)</li> </ul>	

FC 1	Comment	Reference
FC 8	<p>- (B.O. has been changed (amount of take, “AZ” requirement)  - may not be target levels for translocated populations  - may be outside of AMP, but still important</p> <p>Goal 8  - MO 26 abundance → target should be habitat  - source of current level? (12 breeding pairs)  - MO26 should be included under extirpated species?  - critical habit is 70 miles in upper canyon (issue is “suitable, but not critical” habitat)  - there is occupied habitat, but not critical, habitat</p>	
FC 9	<p>Goal 9  - question about how to maintain OWHZ  use language that avoids specific cfs levels for BHBf’s → other management actions → put linkages to principles and issue papers.  - question about basing importance of retaining OHWZ just because it is hard to maintain  - prioritize four riparian communities  - need to define “native” species  - “naturalized” is proper term for carp, etc.</p>	
FC 10	<p>Goal 10  - legality of “absorbing” impacts - is there a better word?</p> <p>Goal 11  no comments</p> <p>Goal 12  - Overlap between agency responsibility and AMP actions  MO 41-42 → what does “preserve” mean. Need to specify agency responsibilities</p> <p>Goal 13  - why no biological, etc. data in addition to socioeconomic data?  - tribal participation is not just a cultural issue  - revise externally funded investigators (PI?)</p>	