

# Science Update: Lake Powell, Riparian Vegetation, and Bug Flows

Bryce Mihalevich, Bridget Deemer, Emily Palmquist, Theodore Kennedy\* US Geological Survey, Southwest Biological Science Center, Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center \*-presenting

\*-presenting

Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group February 15, 2023, Phoenix, AZ

\*This information is preliminary and is subject to revision. It is being provided to meet the need for timely best science. The information is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the U.S. Government shall be held liablefor any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the information.

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

# **Goal 2. Natural Processes**



Restore, to the extent practicable, ecological patterns and processes within their range of natural variability, including the natural abundance, diversity, and genetic and ecological integrity of the plant and animal species native to those ecosystems.

Flow regimes are a major driver of Natural Processes



Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite

Natural

Annual

Seasonal

all different

**Processes**?

### **≈USGS**

From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy of Bridget Deemer & Emily Palmquist

# Outline

- Lake Powell-mechanistic model (4 slides)
- Dissolved oxygen (4 slides)
- Riparian vegetation (4 slides)
- Bug Flows (4 slides)



From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy of Bridget Deemer & Emily Palmquist

Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite



### **Model Updates**

- 1. Incorporate new bathymetry data
  - Match the volume-elevation curve used by BOR's CRSS
  - Represents ~7% loss in storage from last bathymetry dataset





Jones and Root, 2021

### **Model Updates**

- 2. Increased vertical and longitudinal resolutions (mainly in mainstem)
  - Improves numerical stability
  - Better represents physical features

	Current	New
Cell Depth (m)	1.75	1.00
Avg Cell Length (km)	~ 5.8	~ 2.5
Min Cell (km)	2	1.5
Max Cell Length (km)	17.4	4.75





### **Model Inputs**

- Inflows
  - Discharge
  - Temperature
  - Constituents (TDS)
- Outflows
  - Penstock and Bypass Release
- Weather
  - Air Temperature
  - Dew Point Temperature
  - Solar Radiation
  - Wind Speed/Direction
  - Cloud Cover





Glen Canyon Dam Releases

### Preliminary Results Cont'd Model started in 2010





Forebay

≈USGS

# Outline

- Lake Powell-mechanistic model (4 slides)
- Dissolved oxygen (4 slides)
- Riparian vegetation (4 slides)
- Bug Flows (4 slides)



From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy of Bridget Deemer & Emily Palmquist

Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite



### Low Reservoir Water Levels= New Era of Water Quality



Physiology Note: DO <5mg/L is chronic stressor for trout, and <3mg/L is acute stressor

**≊USGS** 

### Metalimnion Low Dissolved Oxygen Events



Quantified the mean DO concentration In metalimnion (between 23 and 164 feet deep)

Focused on the summer and fall (July-October)

Did not use 2020 given limited data

Periods of low DO at penstocks



### **Recent Elevation vs. DO Relationship**

General linear model of 50 years of dissolved oxygen data: <u>Best model</u> DO ~ Spring Inflow + Years Since Filling + Elevation \* Years Since Filling.

Largest effect: Elevation\*Years Since Filling







### What does this mean for the Glen Canyon Tailwater? • ~1 mg/L increase in DO between forebay and tailrace

### Dam is in "rough zone" at low elevations

 "rough zone" operations add oxygen to release waters, somewhat mitigating low DO



Conclusion is corroborated by observations of bubbles in draft tubes



# Outline

- Lake Powell-mechanistic model (4 slides)
- Dissolved oxygen (4 slides)
- Riparian vegetation (4 slides)
- Bug Flows (4 slides)



From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy of Bridget Deemer & Emily Palmquist

Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite



## We're Good at Tracking Current Vegetation

### Annual monitoring program supports LTEMP proposed metrics

Inactive floodplain (elevations >45kcfs)

Active floodplain (elevations >25kcfs & <45kcfs)

Active channel (elevations >8kcfs & <25kcfs)



<page-header>



Year

### We're Good at Predicting Vegetation Under Current Conditions

### Strong effects of:

- Elevation above river stage
- Minimum temperature

But predicting how departures from current conditions (i.e., aridification) requires extrapolation



Hydrology



# Vegetation Modeling: Challenges and Solutions

Challenge	Solution
Altered hydrograph	<ul> <li>Use data from other river systems with similar species, but different hydrographs</li> </ul>
Correlation ≠ causation	Conduct experiments that control for multiple correlated factors



Develop dynamic, integrative models across systems



**Current Work** 

# Breaking the Correlation between Drought and Inundation with Controlled Experiments

Received: 1 July 2022 Accepted: 23 November 2022

DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.16115

### RESEARCH ARTICLE

Botany 🖉 👔

Provenance, genotype, and flooding influence growth and resource acquisition characteristics in a clonal, riparian shrub

Emily C. Palmquist<sup>1,2</sup> | Kiona Ogle<sup>3</sup> | Thomas G. Whitham<sup>2,4</sup> | Gerard J. Allan<sup>2,4</sup> | Patrick B. Shafroth<sup>5</sup> | Bradley J. Butterfield<sup>2</sup>

-Arrow weed thrives with inundation, drying, you name it -Quantifying environment effects on shrubs separately from trees improves models. Upshot: experiments identify mechanisms underlying prolific arrow weed growth, inform predictions of future



Photo credit: Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center



conditions (aridification)

# Outline

- Lake Powell-mechanistic model (4 slides)
- Dissolved oxygen (4 slides)
- Riparian vegetation (4 slides)
- Bug Flows (4 slides)



From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy of Bridget Deemer & Emily Palmquist

Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite



# Why Bug Flows?

 Daily hydropower flows create "tides"

- Insects lay eggs at water line
- When tide drops, eggs dry, die

Bug Flows tested 2018-2020 & 2022 Weekends, May-Aug.



**≥USGS** 

Illustration by Jeremy Monroe Freshwaters Illustrated, from Kennedy and others 2016, Bioscience



Why Bug Flows?

### Daily Tides

### Lees Ferry-discharge



https://www.gcmrc.gov/discharge\_qw\_sediment/station/GCDAMP/09380000

### Why?

Gage Height (ft)

Stabilize near-shore habitats that are critical to insect egg laying. Eggs laid on weekends will never dry

From Natural Processes-metrics draft, courtesy Anya Metcalfe

Preliminary data, subject to change, do not cite

**ZUSGS** 

# Insect response 2018-2020 Bug Flows 400% increase in caddisflies 2021 paused ~50% decline in midges Consistent with hypothesis that Bug Flows enhancing insects





Community scientists collecting a light trap sample



Estimates of annual average from mixed effects model

Preliminary data, subject to change

No

# Insect response

### 2022 Bug Flows

- 80% increase in midges\*
- 120% increase in caddisflies\*

**Consistent with hypothesis** 

that Bug Flows supporting

### aquatic insect populations

Note that 2021 & 2022 had similar environmental conditions

Kennedy's professional opinion: SMB represent far greater threat to native fish conservation than low diversity/production of prey base. SMB Flows take precedence over Bug Flows.



Unpublished data, subject to change, do not cite.



Estimates of annual average from mixed effects model

### Conclusions

- Improvements to Lake Powell model greatly improve accuracy of predictions
- Low (stressful) dissolved oxygen more likely with:
  - Iow reservoir elevations, high inflows, older reservoir
- Riparian models predict current vegetation
  - Experiments, other advancements help predict future conditions
- Bug Flows useful tool for improving natural processes and health of aquatic insect assemblages









