

**GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT WORK GROUP  
ACTION ITEM TRACKING REPORT**

Updated: February 21, 2014

Note: Items marked “Closed” will be removed from the next iteration of the report.

ITEM No. / DATE	ACTION ITEM	ASSIGNED To / DUE DATE	STATUS
Item 2006.Dec.02	<p>Secretary’s Designee Limbaugh directed the Roles AHG to address Dr. Garrett's recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop improved methods and/or procedures for managers to establish and articulate priorities for specific 3-5 year time intervals.</li> <li>2. Develop improved methods for managers and scientists to permit effective tradeoff assessments.</li> <li>3. Develop more effective scientist/managers collaborative working procedures.</li> <li>4. Implement methods to monitor and improve the adaptive management process.</li> <li>5. Implement methods to define future conditions for the CRE resources of concern.</li> </ol> <p><b>2/19/14 Update:</b> This will remain open as some items may evolve as the LTEMP EIS nears completion and have a better idea of where the science priorities are going as a result of the new long-term plan.</p>	Roles Ad Hoc Group	Open
Item 2010.Feb.07	<p>Action item: Have a discussion on <b>scientific controls</b> with GCMRC, and the upper basin RIP; and develop a report from TWG to AMWG.</p> <p><u>July 13, 2010:</u> On this date, Larry Stevens and Shane Capron discussed with Tom Czapl and Tom Chart from the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program the relevance of an ecological comparison of the little regulated Canyonlands Reach (the lower Colorado and Green River in Canyonlands National Park in Utah) to the highly regulated Colorado River ecosystem (CRE) in Grand Canyon and lowermost Glen Canyon. The call participants expressed interest in assessment of the feasibility and utility of pursuing a comparative river ecosystem analysis of the two reaches. The next step is to begin conversations with GCMRC.</p> <p><b>2/19/14 Update:</b> This item was closed based on work being done by the TWG, with the potential for a recommendation back to the TWG at some point in the future.</p>	Shane Capron and Larry Stevens; no deadline established	Closed

ITEM NO. / DATE	ACTION ITEM	ASSIGNED TO / DUE DATE	STATUS
Item 2012.Aug.01	<p>Glen Knowles will work with Anne Castle to compare the 2004 AMWG/TWG priorities and the Secretary's Designee's priorities as established in 2011. The Secretary's Designee will report to AMWG on the results of this comparison.</p> <p><b>2/19/14 Update:</b> The science plan for the LTEMP EIS will establish the science priorities looking forward and is an independent process from the AMWG. Upon completion of the LTEMP, the AMWG would reconsider science priorities to integrate with the LTEMP and a possible referral to the TWG.</p>	G. Knowles A. Castle	Open
Item 2012.Aug.03	<p>TWG (and its Socioeconomic Ad Hoc Group) is authorized to review the Secretary's response of April 30, 2012, to the AMWG motion from February 23, 2012, on the SEAHG report; and to consider a recommendation to AMWG on economics work to be completed by the AMP.</p> <p><b>2/19/14 Update.</b> Dr. Garrett provided an update at today's meeting.</p>	TWG	Open
Item 2013.Feb.01	<p>The Charter Ad Hoc Group (CAHG) will assess the feasibility of adding Havasupai Tribe to AMWG, per Charter section 12 and considering operation costs, under Section 7. CAHG will draft a revised Charter that reflects its findings, if necessary.</p> <p><b>5/8/13:</b> Reclamation staff made contact with the tribe and will hold additional meetings to see if they want to join.</p> <p><b>2/19/14 Update:</b> The Havasupai Tribe has been contacted and BOR is awaiting a response from them.</p>	CAHG	Open