<u>Agenda Item</u>

Basin Hydrology and Operations

<u>Action Requested</u> Information item only

Presenter

Katrina Grantz, Hydraulic Engineer, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region

Previous Action Taken

N/A

Relevant Science

N/A

Background Information

The presentation is intended to provide pertinent information to AMWG members on current water supply and forecasted hydrologic conditions within the Upper Colorado River Basin. The presentation will focus on projected reservoir conditions and operations at Lake Powell/Glen Canyon Dam for the remainder of water year 2013 and provide a provisional outlook for water years 2014 and 2015.

The presentation will cover the implementation of the *Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and the Coordinated Operations of Lake Powell and Lake Mead* and the potential for an annual release volume of 7.48 maf from Lake Powell in water years 2014 and/or 2015. Such information is provided to assist the AMWG in developing recommendations to the Secretary on the operation of *Glen Canyon Dam for water year 2014.*

RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

Upper Basin Hydrology and Projected Operations Water Years 2013 and 2014

Adaptive Management Work Group August 8-9, 2013



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation

Presentation Overview

- Current status upper basin reservoirs
- August inflow forecasts
 - Water year 2013 and water year 2014
- July* modeling projections
 - *August modeling is currently underway
 - releases and reservoir elevations
 - long-term modeling projections
- Scheduled Glen Canyon powerplant maintenance

Upper Basin Current Status

Data Current as of: 08/06/2013



2013 April – July Observed Unregulated Inflow

| Reservoir | Volume (kaf) | Percent of Average ¹ |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Fontenelle | 317 | 44 |
| Flaming Gorge | 361 | 37 |
| Blue Mesa | 346 | 51 |
| Navajo | 267 | 36 |
| Powell | 2559 | 36 |

¹ Percentages and percent of average based on period of record from 1981-2010.

ECLAMA











Lake Powell & Lake Mead Operational Table

Projected Operational Tiers for 2014 based on the July 2013 24-Month Study

| | Lake Powell | | Lake Mead | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Elevation | Operation According | Live Storage | Elevation | Operation According | Live Storage | | | |
| (feet) | to the Interim Guidelines | (maf) ¹ | (feet) | to the Interim Guidelines | (maf) ¹ | | | |
| 3,700 | Equalization Tier Equalize, avoid spills or release 8.23 mat | 24.3 | 1,220 | Flood Control Surplus or Quantified Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | 25.9 | | | |
| 3,636 - 3,666 (2008-2026) | Upper Elevation Balancing Tier ^a Peleose 8,23 mat | 15.5 - 19.3 (2008-2026) | 1,200 (approx.) ² | Domestic Surplus or ICS Surplus Condition Deliver > 7.5 maf | 22.9 (approx.) ² | | | |
| | if Lake Mead < 1.075 feet | | 1,145 | | 15.9 | | | |
| | balance contents with a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.0 maf | | 1,105 | Normal or ICS Surplus Condition Deliver ≥ 7.5 maf | 11.9 | | | |
| 3 575 | | 9.5 | | | | | | |
| | Mid-Elevation Release Tier Release 7.48 maf; if Lake Mead < 1,025 feet, release 8.23 maf | | 1,075 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.167 ⁴ maf | 9.4 7.5 | | | |
| 3,525 | | 5.9 | | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.083 ⁵ maf | | | | |
| | Lower Elevation | | 1,025 | | 5.8 | | | |
| 3,490 | Balancing Tier Balance contents with a min/max release of 7.0 and 9.5 maf | 4.0 | 1,000 | Shortage Condition Deliver 7.0 ⁶ maf Further measures may be undertaken ⁷ | 4.3 | | | |

WY2014 operational tiers will be determined after August 24-Month Study modeling is completed

Diagram not to scale

Acronym for million acre-feet

¹ This elevation is shown as approximate as it is determined each year by considering several factors including Lake Powell and Lake Mead storage, projected Upper Basin and Lower Basin demands, and an assumed inflow.

Subject to April adjustments which may result in a release according to the Equalization Tier

Of which 2.48 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.287 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.40 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.283 maf to Nevada

Of which 2.32 maf is apportioned to Arizona, 4.4 maf to California, and 0.280 maf to Nevada

⁷ Whenever Lake Mead is below elevation 1,025 feet, the Secretary shall consider whether hydrologic conditions together with anticipated deliveries to the Lower Division States and Mexico is likely to cause the elevation at Lake Mead to fall below 1,000 feet. Such consideration, in consultation with the Basin States, may result in the undertaking of further measures, consistent with applicable Federal law.



Probabilities of Occurrence of Event or System Condition Results from July 2013 CRSS^{1,2} (values in percent)

| | Event or System Condition | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| | Equalization Tier | 0 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 30 |
| | Equalization – annual release > 8.23 maf | 0 | 14 | 19 | 23 | 30 |
| | Equalization – annual release = 8.23 maf | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Upper | Upper Elevation Balancing Tier | 0 | 45 | 50 | 48 | 39 |
| Basin | <i>Upper Elevation Balancing – annual release > 8.23 maf</i> | 0 | 12 | 35 | 33 | 29 |
| - | <i>Upper Elevation Balancing – annual release = 8.23 maf</i> | 0 | 33 | 15 | 15 | 9 |
| Lake | <i>Upper Elevation Balancing – annual release < 8.23 maf</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Powell | Mid-Elevation Release Tier | 100 | 38 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| | <i>Mid-Elevation Release – annual release = 8.23 maf</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | <i>Mid-Elevation Release – annual release = 7.48 maf</i> | 100 | 38 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| | Lower Elevation Balancing Tier | 0 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| | Shortage Condition – any amount (Mead ≤ 1,075 ft) | 0 | 2 | 51 | 59 | 60 |
| Lower | Shortage – 1 st level (Mead \leq 1,075 and \geq 1,050) | 0 | 2 | 49 | 40 | 31 |
| Basin | Shortage – 2^{nd} level (Mead < 1,050 and \ge 1,025) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 24 |
| _ | Shortage – 3 rd level (Mead < 1,025) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Lake | Surplus Condition – any amount (Mead ≥ 1,145 ft) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| Mead | Surplus – Flood Control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Normal or ICS Surplus Condition | 100 | 98 | 48 | 34 | 31 |
| ¹ Reservoir i 2013, from tl ² Hydrologic | nitial conditions based on projected levels on December 31, ne July 2013 24-Month Study inflow traces based on resampling of the observed natural flow | CL | A | MA | TI | ON |

Glen Canyon Power Plant Planned Unit Outage Schedule for Water Year 2013 Oct Nov Feb Mar May Jul Sep Unit Dec Jan Apr Jun Aug 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 2013 Number 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Units 5 8 5 7 7 5 5 6 6 5 6 6 Available 6 14,900 25,200 Capacity 19,500 21,800 21,600 14,800 18,600 14,700 17,900 17,900 15,200 18,000 (cfs) 18,600 21,700 Capacity 1310 1380 1290 1290 920 1090 1110 980 1070 1110 1110 910 (kaf/month) Max (kaf)¹ 800 600 Most (kaf)² 494 730 801 801 600 600 551 602 800 847 800 600 Min (kaf)¹ 800 600 ___ ___ ___

1 Based on Apr 2013 Min / Max probable 24-Month Study

2 Based on July 2013 Most probable 24-Month Study

(updated 8-7-2013)

RECLAM

| Glen C | anyon | Powe | r Plant | <u>Provi</u> | <u>sional</u> | Unit O | utage | Schedu | le for | Water | Year 2 | 014 |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unit Number | Oct 2013 | Nov 2013 | Dec 2013 | Jan 2014 | Feb 2014 | Mar 2014 | Apr 2014 | May 2014 | Jun 2014 | Jul 2014 | Aug 2014 | Sep 2014 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Units Available | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 56 | 6 | 56 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Capacity (cfs) | 15,100 | 3 17,800 | 17,800 | 17,800 | 12,800 | 14,300 17,800 | 17,800 | 14,300 17,800 | 17,800 | 17,900 | 17,900 | 14,600 |
| Capacity (kaf/month) | 930 | 1060 | 1100 | 1100 | 710 | 1000 | 1040 | 990 | 1080 | 1100 | 1100 | 890 |
| Max (kaf) ¹ | 600 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 650 | 850 | 900 | 630 |
| Most (kaf) ² | 480 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 600 | 600 | 500 | 600 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 600 |
| Min (kaf) ¹ | 480 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 600 | 600 | 500 | 600 | 600 | 800 | 800 | 600 |
| 1 Based on | Apr 20 | 13 Min/M | ax proba | able 24-N | Nonth Study | udy | La contra de la co | | | (upda | ated 8-7- | 2013) |

LAMAI

3 Total release during a HFE = Capacity +15,000 cfs bypass (e.g., Nov 2013 Total Possible Release = ~32,800 cfs)

Katrina A. Grantz kgrantz@usbr.gov (801)524-3635

Hydraulic Engineer/Glen Canyon Reclamation/UC Region Resource Management Division Water Resources Group



Extra slides follow



| Lake Powe | II Unregulated In | flow WY2013 |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Scenario | 2013 AOP | Current Most |
| | WY 2013 | Probable |
| | | WY 2013 |
| | Developed Aug 2012 | Developed Aug 2013 |
| Minimum | 5.00 maf | |
| Probable | (46 %) ¹ | |
| Most | 8.85 maf | 4.43 maf |
| Probable | (82 %) | (40 %) |
| Maximum | 16.00 maf | |
| Probable | (148 %) | |







Glen Canyon Dam Hourly Release Pattern OCT 2013

RECLAMA