GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT WORK GROUP ACTION ITEM TRACKING REPORT

Updated: July 25, 2013

ITEM NO. / DATE	Note: Items marked "Closed" will be removed from the next iteration ACTION ITEM	Assigned To / Due Date	STATUS
Item 2006.Dec.02	 Secretary's Designee Limbaugh directed the Roles AHG to address Dr. Garrett's recommendations: 1. Develop improved methods and/or procedures for managers to establish and articulate priorities for specific 3-5 year time intervals. 2. Develop improved methods for managers and scientists to permit effective tradeoff assessments. 3. Develop more effective scientist/managers collaborative working procedures. 4. Implement methods to monitor and improve the adaptive management process. 5. Implement methods to define future conditions for the CRE resources of concern. 	Roles Ad Hoc Group	Open
Item 2010.Feb.07	Action item: Have a discussion on scientific controls with GCMRC, and the upper basin RIP; and develop a report from TWG to AMWG. July 13, 2010: On this date, Larry Stevens and Shane Capron discussed with Tom Czapla and Tom Chart from the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program the relevance of an ecological comparison of the little regulated Canyonlands Reach (the lower Colorado and Green River in Canyonlands National Park in Utah) to the highly regulated Colorado River ecosystem (CRE) in Grand Canyon and lowermost Glen Canyon. The call participants expressed interest in assessment of the feasibility and utility of pursuing a comparative river ecosystem analysis of the two reaches. The next step is to begin conversations with GCMRC.	Shane Capron and Larry Stevens; no deadline established	Open
Item 2012.Aug.01	Glen Knowles will work with Anne Castle to compare the 2004 AMWG/TWG priorities and the Secretary's Designee's priorities as established in 2011. The Secretary's Designee will report to AMWG on the results of this comparison.	G. Knowles A. Castle	Open

Note: Items marked "Closed" will be removed from the next iteration of the report.

ITEM No. / Date	ACTION ITEM	Assigned To / Due Date	STATUS
Item 2012.Aug.03	TWG (and its Socioeconomic Ad Hoc Group) is authorized to review the Secretary's response of April 30, 2012, to the AMWG motion from February 23, 2012, on the SEAHG report; and to consider a recommendation to AMWG on economics work to be completed by the AMP.	TWG	Feb 2013
Item 2013.Feb.01	The Charter Ad Hoc Group (CAHG) will assess the feasibility of adding Havasupai Tribe to AMWG, per Charter section 12 and considering operation costs, under Section 7. CAHG will draft a revised Charter that reflects its findings, if necessary. <u>5/8/13</u> : Reclamation staff made contact with the tribe and will hold additional meetings to see if they want to join.	CAHG	Open
Item 2013.Feb.02	AMWG will review the OPAHG Draft Operating Procedures between April and June 2013 and provide comments to OPAHG in order for TWG to adopt the Operating Procedures by their June TWG meeting. It is likely that input will be obtained through e-mail correspondence. <u>6/26/13</u> : AMWG reviewed the April 3 rd version and had no further comments. As such, the TWG approved the TWG Operating Procedures and they were signed by TWG Chair John Jordan on 6/27/13.	AMWG	Closed
Item 2013.Feb.03	DOI will e-mail AMWG and TWG with directions on how individuals can respond to the Resource Goals and Performance Metrics, along with the timing for responding. This e-mail will include the efforts that were taken in developing these items and what agencies were involved. 2/22/13: E-mail sent from Glen Knowles with draft performance criteria with request for input by 3/13/13.	DOI	Closed
Item 2013.may.01	Reclamation will check with contracting officials about releasing information on the AMWG facilitation bids and report back to the AMWG via e-mail. 5/15/13 : E-mail sent from Ann Gold to AMWG stating that facilitation contract was done through a competitive bid process so much of information is proprietary and confidential. Some details on the Scope of Work were provided.	BOR	Closed
Item 2013.may.02	Ms. Jeka will provide the cost of the steady flow operation in conjunction with the overflights to the AMWG. <u>7/15/13</u> : In response to the action item, Western Area Power Administration (Western) conducted a preliminary assessment which indicates an estimated cost of about \$74,000 for the steady flow operation required for the May 2013 overflights conducted by GCMRC. This amount should be considered a <u>preliminary</u> estimate only. Western's established protocols require that the	Lynn Jeka	Closed

ITEM No. / Date	ACTION ITEM	Assigned To / Due Date	STATUS
	final actual cost will be determined by Argonne National Laboratory technical staff after fiscal year end, September 30, 2013.		