Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group
Agenda Item Information
February 9-10, 2011

Agenda Item
Tribal Liaison Report

Action Requested
✓ This is an information item.

Presenter
John Halliday, Tribal Liaison, Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program, Office of the Assistant Secretary Water and Science

Previous Action Taken
✓ By AMWG: In May 2008, AMWG passed the following motion: AMWG recommends to the Secretary of the Interior to direct the DOI agencies, in collaboration with the tribes, to commit to establish, beginning in FY09, a tribal liaison position and its functions that will represent tribal concerns and interests in the GCDAMP.
✓ By Other: In August 2010, John Halliday was introduced at the AMWG meeting as the first Tribal Liaison (pending completion of appropriate paperwork).

Relevant Science
N/A

Background Information
During this presentation, John will review his job description and discuss his plans with the AMWG. He will also share some photos of his recent travels in behalf of the Assistant Secretary.

He will also review the draft DOI Tribal Consultation Policy (attached).
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR POLICY ON CONSULTATION WITH INDIAN TRIBES

I. PREAMBLE

The obligation for Federal agencies to engage with Indian Tribes on a government-to-government basis is based on the Constitution, treaties, statutes, executive orders, and policies. Federal agencies meet that obligation though consultation with Indian Tribes. The Department of the Interior satisfies its Tribal consultation obligations—whether directed by statute or administrative action such as Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) or other applicable Secretarial Orders or policies—by adhering to the framework described in this policy. This Policy reflects the Department’s highest commitment to the principles embodied in this policy and the Secretary’s support of Tribal sovereignty.

The Department’s Bureaus and Offices shall review their existing practices and revise them as needed to comply with the Department’s policy as described in this document. All Bureaus and Offices will report to the Secretary’s designee on their efforts to comply with this policy and as described in a companion Secretarial Order.

II. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

This policy broadly defines provisions for improving the Department’s consultation processes with Indian Tribes to the extent that a conflict does not exist with applicable law or regulations.

This policy requires that the Department’s government-to-government consultation involve the appropriate Tribal Officials and appropriate Departmental officials. The appropriate Departmental officials are knowledgeable about the matters at hand, are authorized to speak for Interior, and have decision-making authority in the disposition and implementation of a policy or are a program manager or staff who can ensure that Tribal concerns will be brought forward to final decision makers in the event that the decision makers are not present at the consultation meeting. The appropriate Departmental official will have an obligation to identify consulting parties early in the planning process and allow a reasonable opportunity for Indian Tribes to respond and participate as described in Section VIII. Department officials will make the effort to fully participate in the consultation process, ensure continuity, and demonstrate commitment to the process.

Consultation is a deliberative process that aims to create effective collaboration and informed decision making where all parties share a goal of reaching a decision together and it creates an opportunity for equal input from all governments. Consultation promotes an enhanced form of communication that emphasizes trust, respect, and shared responsibility and should be an open and free exchange of information. Federal consultation that is meaningful, effective, and conducted in good faith makes the Department’s operation and governance practices more efficient. Efficiencies that derive from including Indian Tribes at all stages of the Tribal consultation process and decision making process, help to ensure that future Federal action is achievable, comprehensive, long lasting, and reflect Tribal input.
DRAFT Tribal Consultation Policy

The United States has established a long-standing and inter-governmental relationship with Indian Tribes. Appropriate consultation practices will honor the government-to-government relationship between Indian Tribes and the United States; and will comply with President Barack Obama’s Executive Memorandum that affirms this relationship and obligates the Department of the Interior to meet the spirit and intent of Executive Order 13175.

The policy creates a framework for synchronizing the Department’s consultation practices with its Bureaus and Offices by providing an approach that applies in all circumstances where statutory or Administrative opportunities to consult with Indian Tribes exist.

III. DEFINITIONS

A. Bureau or Office – As defined in the Department of the Interior Manual.

B. Collaboration – The Department of the Interior working jointly with Indian Tribes to develop and implement positive solutions on issues that have an effect on Indian Tribes.

C. Consultation Policies – Those institutionalized policies established to comply with the procedures described in Section VIII of this document.

D. Departmental Action with Tribal Implications – Regulation, rulemaking, policy, guidance, legislative proposal made by the Department, grant funding formula changes, or operational activity that may have a substantial direct effect on Tribe or Tribal members’ traditional way of life, Tribal lands, Tribal resources, or access to traditional areas of cultural or religious importance on Federally-managed lands; or the ability of the Tribe to govern its members or to provide services to its members; or that may impact the Tribe(s) relationship with the Department or the distribution of responsibilities between the Department and Indian Tribes. This term does not include matters that are the subject of litigation or in settlement negotiations, or matters that undertaken in accordance with an administrative or judicial order.

E. Indian Tribe – Those Indian or Alaska Native Tribe, band, nation, pueblo, village, or community that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian Tribe pursuant to the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994, 25 U.S.C. 479a.

F. Tribal Consultation Team – The Secretary’s designee has established and may develop a plan of action for the continued involvement of a joint Federal-Tribal Team including making recommendations on the implementation of this policy.

G. Tribal Governance Officer (TGO) – An individual designated by the Department to assure compliance with the entire scope of this policy and any future policies related to the Executive Order 13175. The role of the TGO is to promote consultation as described in Section VIII of this policy and promote collaboration as defined in this section. The TGO will: be appropriately located within the Department so that the position may be accessible to Tribal Officials; ensure tribal interests are considered by Bureaus and Offices in conjunction with TLOs; ensure that all Department consultation efforts as described in Section VIII are documented and reported to the Department’s Point of Contact under the President’s Executive Memorandum on consultation; and, in particular,
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oversee the effective implementation of this policy with coordination of consultations across Bureaus and Offices. The TGO will be identified as serving in the office named under the most recent Departmental Action Plan.

H. Tribal Liaison Official (TLO) – Each Bureau or Office will have at least one person designated to fill the role of a Tribal Liaison Official. The TLO will: advocate for opportunities and positions of Indian Tribes consistent with agency missions; promote collaboration between Tribes and the Liaison’s Bureau or Office; when appropriate, reply to an Indian Tribe’s request for consultation as described in section VIII of this policy; and strive to enhance trusting and ongoing relationships with Indian Tribes consistent with applicable statutes, regulations, and executive orders.

1. Tribal Officials – An elected or duly appointed Tribal leader or official delegate designated in writing by an Indian Tribe.

IV. TRAINING

Trainings will aim to improve the Department’s capacity for promoting collaboration with Tribes and executing the consultation provisions of Section VIII. Training will:

a) Promote consultation, communication, collaboration, and other interaction with Tribes;

b) Outline and reinforce Department duties concerning tribal interests; and

c) Describe the legal, trust, and protective obligation of the Federal-Tribal relationship. Transfer the knowledge, skills, and tools necessary for collaborative engagement to Tribal and Departmental staff engaged in the consultative process;

The Department, through DOIU and other appropriate sources, will develop and deliver innovative training opportunities as described in the Section VII which includes training to improve sensitivity and understanding of traditional American Indian cultures and governments.

V. COMMUNICATION

Each Office or Bureau is responsible for meaningful communication with Indian Tribes that promotes regular and early consultation as described in Section VIII of this policy. Communication will be open and transparent without compromising the rights of federally recognized Indian Tribes and the government-to-government consultation process. Communication methods should avoid impersonal forms of communication, effectively gain the attention of individual Tribal leaders, build on best practices, and utilize appropriate forms of technology.

A Bureau or Office will notify Indian Tribes that an opportunity for consultation exists when considering a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications as described in Section VIII.

A Bureau or Office may appropriately communicate with Indian Tribes about a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications through the use of meetings, telephone conversations, written notice, or a combination of all three; and may enlist workgroups of Tribal leadership and representatives that are particularly useful for identifying issues. A Bureau or Office will identify
the participants in the consultation process including the decision makers and the affected Indian Tribes. A Bureau or Office will seek and promote cooperation and participation between agencies with overlapping jurisdiction, special expertise, or related responsibilities regarding a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications.

Following initial notification of the opportunity for consultation, on-going communications concerning issues affecting Indian Tribes are meant to promote regular consultation. On-going communication will include communication about the design of the consultation process, the execution of that process, and the process’ conclusion. When consultation is appropriate, ongoing communication will continue until the conclusion of the requirements under this policy.

The Department recognizes the value of communicating through a regular gathering of Indian Tribes which are meant to continue the discussion on improving consultation practices and the government-to-government relationship generally.

VI. ACCOUNTABILITY AND REPORTING

Methods that ensure accountability and reporting are essential to regular and meaningful consultation. The heads of Bureaus and Offices will include in future annual performance plans, a standard performance measure consistent with this policy.

On an annual basis, Bureaus and Offices shall report to the Secretary the results of their efforts to promote consultation with Indian Tribes. Reporting is intended to be comprehensive and may include, but is not limited to, the scope of consultation efforts, the cost of these efforts, and the effectiveness of consultation activities. Bureaus and Offices should provide a comprehensive listing of the topics on which consultations were held, training, innovations, and the engagement of senior leadership in these efforts.

Reports will account for the documents and correspondence with Indian Tribes to satisfy the Implementation of Final Federal Action Stage described in Section VIII or alternatively, summaries of such documents and correspondence with information concerning how the complete documents might be obtained. Methods of reporting may be both a description of budget expenditures in the execution of consultation efforts, narratives describing significant consultation efforts, and anticipation of forthcoming consultation opportunities.

The Secretary of the Interior will provide an annual report to Indian Tribes and may use the Department’s website to share the reporting information where appropriate.

For Federal block grants that only Indian Tribes are eligible to receive and in compliance with Federal statutes, a Bureau or Office will take special care to disclose actions it has taken to consult with Indian Tribes in the development of formulas to administer the block grants.

VII. INNOVATIONS IN CONSULTATION PRACTICES

The Department’s leadership will strive to advance Federal consultation practices and offer examples for innovation across the Administration. The Department will identify and seek to address impediments, both external and internal, to improving its consultation processes. The Department may consider soliciting Indian Tribes’ evaluation of consultation practices.
The Department’s Bureaus and Offices will be open to consultation opportunities initiated by Indian Tribes and seek opportunities to consult by communicating regularly with Indian Tribes. Communication outside of consultation is encouraged to the extent that a conflict does not exist with Federal statutes and does not interfere with Federal obligations under the government-to-government relationship.

The Department leadership may identify opportunities to inform Legislators and other Federal officials, where appropriate, of the benefits of meaningful tribal consultation.

Each Bureau and Office is to acknowledge and comply with existing processes for notifying its staff about the provisions in the Department’s consultation policy and identify opportunities to train individual Federal staff as well as Indian Tribal representatives in a manner that promotes inter-governmental relationships. Bureau and Office leadership shall seek permissible opportunities to share trainings among Federal and Tribal representatives and to highlight Tribal leader involvement.

Where significant conflict occurs between Indian Tribes and a Bureau or Office in the consultation process, Bureau and Office leaders in conjunction with the Solicitor’s Office are encouraged to promote the use of collaborative problem-solving, facilitation, mediation, and other existing processes for conflict resolution as tools to utilize in the consultation process.

VIII. Consultation Guidelines

Consultation guidelines are meant to establish uniform practices and common standards, that all Bureaus and Offices will use, except when otherwise agreed to in writing by a Bureau or Office and Indian Tribe through an individual protocol conforming with the guidelines in this section. Consultation and individual protocols will provide greater efficiency and transparency in Department practices in order to maximize Indian Tribes’ participation.

A. Initiating Consultation—A Bureau or Office must notify the appropriate Indian Tribe(s), of the opportunity to consult, when considering a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. The Bureau or Office will strive to ensure that a notice is given at least 30 days prior to a scheduled consultation. If exceptional circumstances prevent notice within 30 days of the consultation, explanation for the abbreviated notification will be provided in the invitation letter.

Adequate notice entails providing a description of the topic(s) to be discussed. Notification of a consultation should include sufficient detail of the topic to be discussed to allow Tribal leaders an opportunity to fully engage in the consultation. This does not mean that the Bureau or Office has reached a preliminary decision on the issue which is the topic of the consultation. However, the component should provide a brief discussion of the issues, a timeline of the process, and possible outcomes.

Beginning at the Initial Planning Stage, a Bureau or Office will consult with Indian Tribes on a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications.
An Indian Tribe may request the Department provide consultation when it believes that a Bureau or Office is considering a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. Requests should be made in writing to the Department’s TGO and describe the specific a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. However, the fact that an Indian Tribe may choose not to engage the TGO does not relieve a Bureau or Office of its obligation to engage in consultation as described by this Policy. In the event that the Bureau or Office makes an attempt to initiate consultation and does not receive a response, the Bureau or Office should make reasonable and periodic efforts throughout the process to repeat the invitation.

B. **Role of Tribal Governance Officer in Consultation Processes** — When a Bureau or Office provides notification of the opportunity for consultation, the Department’s TGO will document the notice of consultation. The TGO may serve as the Department representative in requests by an Indian Tribe for consultation to occur. The TGO will encourage Indian Tribes to request consultation directly from the appropriate Bureau or Office representative and help to ensure the resolution of all requests. In consultation efforts that are of national significance or involve multiple Bureaus or Offices, the TGO will take steps necessary to facilitate a government-to-government relationship that is honored by all parties.

C. **Guidelines for Response to Request for Consultation** — The TGO or appropriate representative will promptly confirm receipt of a request for consultation from a Tribal Official. When the request is directed to the TGO, the request is to be forwarded to the appropriate Bureau or Office. The TGO or appropriate representative will treat an official request for consultation in a manner similar to a letter received from a state governor and respond in writing, using the most expedient methods to communicate to the Tribe, that the Department has received their request.

D. **Stages of Consultation** — Bureaus and Offices will carry out the stages described below in order to satisfy consultation for a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. Consultation on a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications that are operational in nature—those that are regional and discrete actions, with impacts on a limited number of Indian Tribes—should be carried out consistent with the Section, but with discretion to utilize only those protocols of this Section that are appropriate to the operational action.

1. **Initial Planning Stage**

   Each Bureau or Office will consult at this stage when possible in consideration of a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications.

   Initial planning activities include efforts preparatory to issuance of draft regulations, administration proposals, legislation, and national or large-scale regional changes in procedures or policies. This would include project scoping, when incorporating the input of Indian Tribes is appropriate or required by statute or regulation.
A Bureau or Office may conduct a meeting or other forms of interaction with Indian Tribes in order to receive and evaluate comments received as part of the Initial Planning Stage.

2. Proposal Development Stage

The Proposal Development Stage begins once the Department discloses the scope of a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. Indian Tribes should be considered as appropriate collaborative partners, particularly where negotiated rule-making or a Tribal Leader Task Force is created.

The Bureau or Office will select a process for the Proposal Development Stage that maximizes the opportunity for timely input by Tribes and is consistent with both Tribal and Bureau schedules. The Bureau or Office should work with Indian Tribes to structure a process, which to the extent feasible, considers specific Indian Tribal structures, traditional needs, and schedules of the Tribes and may proceed with the expectation that interested Indian Tribes will respond within a reasonable time period. If litigation or legal requirements impact a Bureau’s or Office’s schedule for conducting consultation, then the Bureau or Office should explain these constraints to the Indian Tribe.

Examples of appropriate processes for the Proposal Development Stage include but are not limited to the following:

- **Negotiated Rule Making.** Where appropriate, the Bureau or Office should consider using negotiated rule making for developing significant regulations or other formal policies in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA).

- **Tribal Leader Task Force.** The Bureau or Office may use a Tribal Leader Task Force on matters that impact Tribes across the country where negotiated rule making is impractical. A Tribal Leader Task Force may also be used, in appropriate circumstances, on regional or issue-specific (e.g., timber) matters. In each instance, the composition of the Task Force shall be collaboratively determined by the Tribes, provided that the Task Force shall be a process open to all Tribes and, to the extent possible, represent a cross-section of Tribal interests with respect to the matter at issue. The number of meetings to be held and their location will conform to the expressed views of the Tribes, to the extent practicable and permitted by law and in accordance with FACA.

- **Series of Open Meetings.** The Bureau or Office may provide open invitations to Tribal leaders as part of a series of open meetings to consider action(s). Open meetings can be used for national, regional, or subject-matter specific issues.

- **Single Meetings.** The Bureau or Office may host Tribal leaders in a single meeting to discuss a federal action under consideration. Single meetings are particularly appropriate for local, regional, or single Tribe issues.
The Bureau or Office will solicit the views of affected Tribes regarding the process’ timeline to meaningfully consider a Departmental Action with Tribal Implications. The Bureau or Office should make all reasonable efforts to comply with the expressed views of the affected Tribes regarding the process timeline at this Stage, taking into account the level of impact, the scope, and the complexity of the issues involved in the Departmental action with Tribal Implications, along with the other factors driving the schedule. The process will be open and transparent. If the Bureau or Office determines that the Administrative Procedure Act or other Federal law or regulation expressly prohibits continued discussion at a specified point in the decision-making process, the Bureau or Office should so inform the Tribes at the outset of this Stage in the process. The Bureau or Office may proceed with the expectation that interested Indian Tribes will respond within a reasonable time period during this Stage.

3. Implementation of Final Federal Action Stage

In addition to any formal notice required by law or regulation, final decisions on Department Action should be communicated in writing to affected Tribes, with a short explanation of the final decision.

A Bureau or Office may consider implementing a post-consultation review process where it is consistent with law, regulations, and Executive Order 13175. Any review process shall not limit the Department’s deliberative process or privilege regarding internal considerations or any other applicable privilege.

The Bureau or Office at this Stage will consider the need for training or technical assistance on a new regulation or policy.

E. Impact of Consultation – Consultation as described in this section is not a basis for the Department to preclude requests or recommendations by Bureaus, Offices, or Indian Tribes to collaborate and foster trusting relationships between the Department and Indian Tribes outside of the processes described in this section. Exigent circumstances may allow the Department to take measures that deviate from this policy, but the Department should make every effort to comply and should explain to Indian Tribes as soon as emergency circumstances arise.

IX. Supplemental Policies

Bureaus and Offices, in collaboration with the TGO, are to review existing policies that may be impacted by this policy. All Bureau and Office policies are to conform to the Department policy. Where necessary, a Bureau or Office may develop a new policy in order to conform to this policy.

Consistent with Federal appropriations law, the Department shall develop a policy for consultation with Alaska Native Corporations. The policy will address when a Department action impacts an Alaska Native Corporation’s interest. The policy will not conflict with the
requirements of this document. The Secretary's designee will provide a Plan of Action for developing the Alaska Native Corporation consultation policy.

Other entities that are not Bureaus or Offices as defined in this policy may develop policies that conform to this policy. Other entities may develop such policies in coordination with the Secretary's designee.

X. DISCLAIMER

Except to the extent already established by statute, this Policy is intended only to improve the internal management of the Department, and is not intended to create any right, benefit, or trust responsibility, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the Department or any person. The Department also does not waive any applicable privilege that it may hold by virtue of this Policy.
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New Tribal Liaison Position

• OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY WATER AND SCIENCE

Native American Tribal Liaison

Appointed: September 20th 2010

• Examples of specialized experience are:

negotiate, develop and maintain agreements and working relationships under adverse and controversial situations to facilitate issues related to Native American Tribes; utilize Indian laws and policies in your work experience that created a working knowledge of the customs, traditions and values of Native American Tribes; present plans and ideas to senior level officials and representatives of Native American Tribes to resolve problems or cope with issues related to Native American Tribes.

• The major duties of this position include, but are not limited to the following:

• Work with the Secretary’s Designee to establish government-to-government relations with the tribal leaders of the eleven Tribes that have interests that may be affected by operations of Glen Canyon Dam.
• Represent the Secretary’s Designee in meetings with tribal representatives, assist and advise the Designee in maintaining productive relationships with the eleven affiliated Native American Tribes.
• Analyze proposed plans and projects potentially affecting Native American interests and works with the Tribes to ensure that each Tribe’s position is effectively communicated to the Secretary’s Designee.
• Collaborate with regional Department of the Interior personnel to ensure that the needs and expectations of Native American Tribes are understood and accommodated to the extent possible, and keep the Designee informed about such discussions.
• Ensure the availability of technical assistance to the Tribes.
• Primary contact on all issues regarding the implementation of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), the Native American Religious Freedom Act, the Native American-Self-Determination Act and other relevant laws and policies.
NEVADA TRIBES

1. Battle Mountain Colony
2. Duck Valley Reservation
3. Duckwater Reservation
4. Ely Reservation
5. Fallon Colony
6. Fallon Reservation
7. Fort McDermitt Reservation
8. Fort Mohave Reservation
9. Goshute Reservation
10. Las Vegas Reservation
11. Moapa Reservation
12. Odgers Reservation
13. Pyramid Lake Reservation
14. Reno/Sparks Colony
15. Ruby Valley Reservation
16. South Fork Reservation
17. Summit Lake Reservation
18. To Moak Reservation
19. Yerington Colony
20. Yerington Reservation
21. Yomba Reservation
22. Walker River Reservation
23. Washoe-Carson Colony
24. Washoe-Stewart Community
25. Washo Dresslerville Colony
26. Winnemucca Colony
UTAH TRIBES
TRIBAL PERSPECTIVES ACTIVITIES AND TRAINING

• Southwest Biological Science Center
  All Hands Meeting
  November 29 – December 2, 2010
  Radisson Hotel - Flagstaff, AZ
  Agenda version 13, Nov. 19, 2010

  **Tuesday, November 30**
  4:45 – 5:30 Native American Perspectives – John Halliday

• Grand Canyon National South Rim Park Meeting Place Dedication
  October 25th 2010
NNF-Removal Structured Decision Making Workshop
October 18-20 and November 8-10, 2010

- Tribal Representatives participated in SDM workshop and follow up conference calls: Hopi, Navajo, Zuni, Hualapai, Southern Paiute Consortium

- Purpose
  - As outlined by Anne Castle, Assistant Secretary of Interior, in her letter of September 17, 2010, the purpose of this set of workshops is to develop, assess, and evaluate alternatives for non-native fish control in the Grand Canyon. Recognizing that there are multiple objectives that are fundamentally important to an array of stakeholders, we intend to use methods from the field of decision analysis ("structured decision making") to help identify those objectives, craft alternatives that might meet those objectives, and evaluate those alternatives, and to allow the cooperating agencies and tribes to articulate their preferences.
Non-Native Fish Control below Glen Canyon Dam — Report from a Structured Decision-Making Project
By Michael C. Runge, Ellen Bean, David R. Smith, and Sonja Kokos

- This project consisted of two face-to-face workshops, held in Mesa, Arizona, October 18–20 and November 8–10, 2010. At the first workshop, a diverse set of objectives was discussed, which represented the range of concerns of those agencies and Tribes present. Multi-criteria decision analysis methods were used to examine the trade-offs inherent in the problem, and allowed the participating agencies and Tribes to express their individual judgments about how those trade-offs should best be managed in Reclamation’s selection of a preferred alternative.

- Trout removal strategies aimed at the Paria to Badger Rapid reach (PBR), with a variety of permutations in deference to cultural values, and with backup removal at the Little Colorado River reach (LCR) if necessary, were identified as top-ranking portfolios for all agencies and Tribes. These PBR/LCR removal portfolios outperformed LCR-only removal portfolios, for cultural reasons and for effectiveness—the probability of keeping the humpback chub population above a desired threshold was estimated to be higher under the PBR/LCR portfolios than the LCR-only portfolios.

- The full set of conclusions described above is not definitive, however. This analysis described in this report is a simplified depiction of the true decision; it is only meant to aid decision-makers by helping them see the structure of the problem, not to make the decision for them. This analysis can best be used as a starting point for the deliberative consultations that will lead to the final decision. In particular, this structured decision-making process will be useful to the Department of the Interior (DOI) as it undertakes an analysis of removal strategies under the National Environmental Policy Act.
NNF DRAFT EA RESULT

- BR NNF EA Draft January 28, 2010 page 37 - 2.3 Proposed Action

- The proposed action alternative was the top performing alternative in the SDM Project. This alternative combines a strategy of removing rainbow trout in the LCR reach (RM 56 to 66) to reduce the extant threat of large numbers of rainbow trout in that reach, with removal of trout in the PBR reach (RM 1 to RM 8) to test a strategy of removing rainbow trout immediately downstream of Lees Ferry to reduce emigration of rainbow trout from Lees Ferry to the LCR reach. Up to 6 LCR reach removal trips and up to 10 PBR reach removal trips would be conducted in any one year for the ten-year period of 2011-2020.

- In the short term (one to several years), the focus would be to reduce trout at the LCR reach. If trout abundance at the LCR reach can be kept low through PBR reach-removal (which assumes both that PBR removal is effective at limiting emigration of rainbow trout from Glen Canyon, and Lees Ferry is the primary source of rainbow trout in the LCR reach), effort can be shifted to the PBR reach to alleviate concerns of the tribes about removal in the LCR reach.

- Tribes are also concerned about the taking of life on a large scale with no beneficial use of the fish. The proposed action may result in thousands of fish being removed from the system per year. Prior efforts from 2003-2006 resulted in 23,266 non-native fish removed. To address the tribal concerns on the disposition of removed fish, it is anticipated that they would be frozen and stored for later beneficial use, used for human consumption, or for feeding eagles, other raptors, or other captive wildlife, particularly those animals kept and reared by tribes), or may be used for other purposes that may be identified through continued tribal consultation.
DOI - TRIBAL HFE-NNF-EA & LTEMP-EIS
CONSULTATION MEETING UPDATE

• Issues Identified:
  • Economic Impact, HFE-Notice, Sacred Site Protection, Traditional Cultural Properties Protection, Traditional Cultural Knowledge Inclusion, Consultation Policy Implementation

• Las Vegas Paiute Tribe: No concerns or comments about this project
• Moapa Band of Paiutes: No concerns or comments about this project
• Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah (PITU): Meeting held on December 13th 2010
• Hualapai Tribe: Meeting held on Jan 8th 2011
• Yavapai-Apache Nation: Meeting held on January 12th, 2011
• Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians: Meeting held on Jan 20th 2011
• Pueblo of Zuni: Meeting held on January 25th 2011
• Navajo Nation: Meeting held on January 26th 2011
• Hopi Tribe: Meeting held on completed on January 27th 2011
• Havasupai: Meeting scheduled for Feb 18th, 2011
• Pueblo of Jemez: Meeting currently scheduled for Feb 18th 2011
• Consultation Request Contact: Nov, 30th 2010 Official DOI/WS AS/Anne Castle Letter of Consultation Request – Dec 2nd 2010 to date, Email and follow up phone calls from DOI/WS Tribal Liaison John Halliday.
  • I have been in contact with the Tribal Council Secretary and the Pueblo of Jemez is recently held elections 12/28-29/10 and opted to not have G2G Consultations until the new Governor is elected. I have informed them of the deadline that we have set for completion and that scheduling needs to occur as soon as possible. The Tribal Council Secretary said that she will ask the new Governor to set a date for G2G Consultation. I have yet to hear of a set date.
• San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe:
  • Consultation Request Contact: Nov, 30th 2010 Official DOI/WS AS/Anne Castle Letter of Consultation Request – Dec 3rd 2010 to date, Email and follow up phone calls from DOI/WS Tribal Liaison John Halliday.
  • The San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe is a newly federally recognized tribe. I have made repeated attempts to contact them. The email I sent bounced back as undeliverable. All the phone numbers listed have been disconnected. To date I have not found anyone who knows how to contact them or why their contact information is not working. I will keep trying.
INDIAN COUNTRY
INDIAN COUNTRY