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PART I - Explaining the 2004 Test Results
(Topping and others, 2006)

• Mixed Sand Bar Results - There was less sand system-wide in 2004 than in 1996, owing to sand export and sand bar erosion for 8 years under MLFF

• Robust Response in Upper Marble Canyon - Owing to new localized sand enrichment by Paria River, bars in Upper Marble Canyon increased in area and volume - results were mixed downstream where supply was depleted

• Mass Balance - The net mass balance for sand during the 2004 test was actually positive system-wide, despite depleted conditions below Marble Canyon (an encouraging sign tied to a shorter peak-flow duration)

• More Sand Needed – continued sand bar restoration likely requires additional high flows timed in combination with new sand from tributaries – more uniform distribution of new sand might result in more uniform response
1996
Channel was sand-depleted.
Overall sandbar growth was minimal or negative.
Not a sustainable plan.
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Channel was sand-depleted.
Overall sandbar growth was minimal or negative.
Net mass balance of sand was negative
Not a sustainable plan.
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1996
Channel was depleted.
Overall sandbar growth was minimal or negative.
Not a sustainable plan.

2004
• Channel was enriched locally in Upper Marble Canyon.
• Bar growth was more substantial within enriched reach.
• Promising, but additional sand is needed. (Requires more frequent floods, exploiting bigger inputs, adding sediment, or constraining flows between floods.)
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2004
• Channel was locally enriched in Upper Marble Canyon.
• Bar growth was more substantial within enriched reach.
• Promising, but more sand is needed. (Requires more frequent floods, exploiting bigger inputs, adding sediment, or constraining flows between floods.)
Total Sand Bar Area at 12 Sites in Marble Canyon

Source Data: Northern Arizona University – Preliminary, Subject to Review & Revision
Total Sand Bar Volume at 12 Sites in Marble Canyon

Source Data: Northern Arizona University – Preliminary, Subject to Review & Revision
PART II

Where are We On Sand Supply in 2006?

• Channel is a factor of 2 to 3 times more sand-enriched than in 2004.

• Potential to increase bar size and suppress subsequent sand export, while testing flow-only treatment.
STATUS OF SAND SUPPLIES IN THE COLORADO BELOW GLEN CANYON DAM

Tributaries Have Delivered 1.7 – 2.6 Million Metric Tons of SAND

Experimental Research Opportunities to study beach habitat building flows under current sand enrichment are rare

The Paria River Inputs are Now Equal to Five-Year Recurrence Interval
Estimating Fate of Recent Paria River Sand Inputs?

Rubin et al., 2002, *Eos.*
Potential for Equalization Releases in WY 2007

- October Inflow Has Increased Probability That WY 2007 Annual Release May Include Equalization Flows From Glen Canyon Dam

- New Sand Supplies Will Be Exported Faster Under Higher Peak Flows Associated With Larger Summer Volumes

- Probability of Equalization Releases in WY 2007 is currently 50 %

- 50 % Exceedance [A-J ~ 91 %] Avg Summer Releases ~ 13,000 cfs
- 40 % Exceedance [A-J ~ 101%] Avg Summer Releases ~ 16,000 cfs
- 30 % Exceedance [A-J ~ 114 %] Avg Summer Releases ~ 20,000 cfs
- 20 % Exceedance [A-J ~ 131 %] Avg Summer Releases ~ 22,000 cfs
- 10 % Exceedance [A-J ~ 155 %] Avg Summer Releases ~ 24,000 cfs*

* may not be achievable due to maintenance