


TWG Chair Report
on

GCMRC's
Monitoring and Research
Plan

TWG Comments on Monitoring and Research Plan

- Relationship between the AMP Strategic Plan (prioritized RINs) and the strategic science questions posed in the MRP unclear.
- Unclear relationship between the strategic science questions and the proposed (5 yr.) GCMRC science programs.
- The core monitoring development process did not follow the process developed and recommended by the core monitoring team.
- The Humpback chub science questions and information needs only partially address the HBC questions and needs and those identified are not the top priorities.
- The sediment questions fail to provide a monitoring plan that will lead to the identification of the sediment lost or redistributed outside the primary study reach as a result of a BHBF.
- Need to accept the document with its short-comings and move forward.



TWG ACTION ON MONITORING AND RESEARCH PLAN

Motion: TWG recommends to the AMWG (a) acceptance and implementation of the September 13, 2006, draft of the MRP and (b) the MRP be amended to incorporate the long-term experimental plan once it is finalized by the AMP/DOI.

Voting results: Yes= 16 No= 6 Abstain= 2

Motion Passes

MRP MOTION VOTE BY TWG STAKEHOLDER

<u>Stakeholder</u>	<u>Vote</u>	<u>Stakeholder</u>	<u>Vote</u>
Arizona Game and Fish	Y	Grand Canyon River Guides	Y
Bureau of Indian Affairs	A	Arizona	Y
Bureau of Reclamation	N	California	Y
Hopi Tribe	Y	Colorado	N
Hualapi Tribe	Y	Nevada	Y
National Park Service – Grand Canyon	N	New Mexico	Y
National Park Service – Glen Canyon	N	Utah	Y
Navajo Nation	Y	Wyoming	Y
Pueblo of Zuni	Absent	Colorado River Energy Distributors	Y
San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe	Vacant	Utah Associated Municipal Power	Y
Southern Paiute Consortium	Y		
US Fish and Wildlife Service	N		TOTAL YES = 16
Western Area Power Administration	N		TOTAL NO = 06
Grand Canyon Trust	A		ABSTAIN = 02
Grand Canyon Wetlands Council	Y		
Federation of Fly Fishers	Y		