Demographic Information

A- What state do you reside in?

- Arizona: 19
- Colorado: 3
- New Mexico: 2
- Utah: 8
- Nevada: 1
- California: 2
- Wyoming: 0
- Other: 0

B- Role of Participants

- AMWG: 27
- TWG: 22
- GCMRC: 0
- Science Advisors: 0
- PA Signatory: 11
Revised Stakeholder Questions to Address in the Upcoming Budget Cycle

A. Why are the Humpback chub not thriving, and what can we do about it? How many Humpback chub are there and how are they doing?

B. -

C. C - What will happen when we test or implement the TCD? How should it be operated? Are safeguards needed for management?

D. How can we best manage for what appear to be competing resources - a quality recreational fishery in Glen Canyon upstream of the Paria River and viable native fish populations in lower Marble and Grand Canyon?

E. How can we best understand and protect the aquatic foodbase?

F. How can we best protect water quality?

G. What is the impact of sediment loss and what should we do about it?

H. How can we create and/or protect recreational fisheries elsewhere than in the Glen Canyon reach?

I. -

J. What is the combined effect of sediment loss and vegetation encroachment on the recreational experience?

K. How do we quantify and integrate the full range of socio-economic concerns into dam re-regulation, in addition to hydropower concerns?

L. What are the status and trends to terrestrial flora and fauna?

M. Which cultural resources, including TCPs, are within the APE, which should we treat, and how do we best protect them? What are the status and trends of cultural resources and what are the agents of deterioration?

N. What is the best flow regime?

O. Can we achieve the goals of the AMP Strategic Plan by actively managing for some ecosystem elements but not all of them? If so, which elements should we actively manage for and how do those management actions indirectly benefit other ecosystem elements?
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Meeting Evaluation

How effective was this meeting in setting program priorities? (All Participants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role: All</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How effective was this meeting in setting program priorities? (AMWG Participants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role: AMWG</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How effective was this meeting in setting program priorities?
(TWG Participants)

- Not at all: 1
- Not very: 5
- Somewhat: 2
- Effective: 9
- Very: 2

How effective was this meeting in setting program priorities?
(PA Signatory Participants)

- Not at all: 0
- Not very: 1
- Somewhat: 1
- Effective: 7
- Very: 1