AHCIO Comments recorded on Flip Charts:

- Goal 12 – narrative may might
- Limit the Secretary?
  → Advisory to her
- Don’t like bright-line distinctions on what power revenues should be used for (B’s).
- C = not our authority, we shouldn’t pay other orgs to do those
- Category B includes flexibility for use of power revenues
- Category B information needs that should be funded by (various) sources other than power revenues
- AMP should have funding partnerships, not just power revenues should support the program. There should be some flexibility in funding. There was willingness to consider a case as being an exception.
- If we can’t get other funding, should we use power revenues?
- It seems to me that the GCPA that the Secretary has the AMWG to make recommendations on how to recover the resources in the canyon.
- This has been approved by AMWG in the past and everyone may have a different legal view on the GCPA, the Guidance Document. Not willing to open that discussion.
- This is not a legally binding document. We can revisit this issue and make changes. Don’t need to wordsmith the document any further.
- There’s always a rift at the table and wonder how we’re going to bridge our understanding.
- The Upper Basin RIP put a funding agreement in place with everyone contributing dollars and then only had to decide which projects get funded.
- Suggest RIN 2.6.1 be moved to Category C.
- Definitions were approved in January and don’t want to change.
- Don’t like the bright-line implication. We need to make choices about what power revenues pay for and what is paid for by other sources. Need to look at the GCPA language.
- The AMP should be a partnership. There shouldn’t be one sponsor, one payer. There should be financial participation from other entities.
- The Strategic Plan is a useful tool in preparing the budget. We need to resolve the one RIN and finish the report.
- Category B information needs should be funded by various sources.