SEQUENCING INFORMATION NEEDS

o   AMWG April Mtg. – Recommended process for TWG to place INs in sequence order

o   Purpose is to GCMRC with AMWG direction on which INs to work on first and in what order

o   Goal is to have AMWG approve the IN sequencing at January 2003 mtg.

o   AMWG agreed that the Core Monitoring Information Needs and Effects Information Needs would not be apart of this process – Exercise focused on the RINs and SINs.

Paired Comparison Exercise – Results (16 RINs sequenced)

1. humpback chub – sources of mortality (RIN 2.1.2)
2. extent of RBT threat as predators to humpback chub (RIN 4.2.6)
3. techniques to determine natal stream of native fish (RIN 2.2.10); existing historic data sets for all resources (RIN 12.2.4); and value and availability of drift as food source for humpback chub changed since ROD (RIN 1.5.3).
4. sources of impacts to historic properties (RIN 11.1.1); changes in flow volume and rate affect food base and energy productivity(RIN 7.4.3); what elements of ROD operations are critical to conserve fine sediment input > 25K cfs (RIN 8.5.1).
5. How do top-down effects (grazing and predation) affect the abundance and composition of drift (RIN 1.5.2); effects of financial exception criteria (RIN 10.3.1).
6. What digital or other technologies are useful to record field observations and spatial reference these data to facilitate integration into GCMRC databases (RIN 12.2.3).

Paired Comparison Results - Continued

7. Effects of administrative (research & monitoring activities) trips on recreational users (RIN 9.5.1).

8. What constitutes suitable SWW Flycatcher habitat (RIN 6.7.3).

9. Historic range of <u>Oxyloma haydeni</u> (RIN 5.1.7).

10. Changes in genetics or "strain" of RBT in Lees Ferry reach to account for decrease in average size (RIN 4.1.4).

11. Introduction of razorback suckers in CRE compromise genetic integrity of flannelmouth suckers due to hybridization (RIN 2.5.1).

TWG INs SEQUENCING EXERCISE

- Email remaining RINs and SINs to TWG members to fit within the existing framework.

- If the RIN or SIN should be addressed before any on the existing framework – receives a value of 0

- If addressed after any on the existing framework – value of 12

- If addressed at a time between two INs on the existing framework – value of .5 (fit between 3 and 4 give value of 3.5)
- Due 16 July 2002