

GLEN CANYON DAM ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

PROGRAM AREA	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Budget	FY 2002 Proposed
1. Reclamation Program Administration Costs			
A. Adaptive Management Work Group ⁽¹⁾	226,299	223,000	228,000
B. Technical Work Group ⁽²⁾	72,584	129,000	132,000
C. Science Advisory Board	0	15,000	15,000
D. Compliance Documents ⁽³⁾	1,872	26,000	26,000
E. Temperature Control Device ⁽⁴⁾	0	0	0
F. Contract Administration	10,756	55,000	25,000
Subtotal Reclamation Administration	311,511	448,000	426,000
2. Native American Involvement			
A. Participation/Consultation ⁽⁵⁾	0	325,000	325,000
B. Tribal Monitoring Trips ⁽⁶⁾	48,000	75,000	75,000
Subtotal Native American Involvement	48,000	400,000	400,000
3. Programmatic Agreement			
A. Completion of Historic Preservation Plan	0	294,500	294,500
B. Monitoring and Mitigation ⁽⁷⁾		0	0
C. Reclamation Administration	60,000	50,000	50,000
D. Tribal Cooperative Agreements ⁽⁸⁾	0	0	0
Havasupai	0	0	0
Hopi	150,000	0	0
Hualapai	80,000	0	0
Navajo	80,000	0	0
Paiute Consortium	80,000	0	0
Zuni	80,000	0	0
E. National Park Service - Grand Canyon Monitoring	201,000	201,000	201,000
F. National Park Service - Glen Canyon Monitoring	27,500	27,500	27,500
G. Protocol Evaluation Panel	83,834	0	
Subtotal Programmatic Agreement	842,334	573,000	573,000
TOTAL AMP FUNDING	1,201,845	1,421,000	1,399,000

Note: A final review of the FY-2000 budget was performed to ensure current program objectives would be met. A few modifications were made to improve clarity and provide for new objectives. Modifications are described below:

- (1) This line item includes Reclamation administrative costs previously identified for both AMWG and AMP administrative costs.
- (2) This line item includes Reclamation costs identified for both TWG administration and some environmental compliance activities.
- (3) This compliance line item covers those activities that occur as a result of AMWG recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior.
- (4) Temperature Control Device environmental compliance, preconstruction design and construction costs are covered by appropriated funds.
- (5) Native American participation consists of equal funding of each of the 5 involved tribes and is not tied to specific monitoring and research activities (5 tribes x \$80,000 per tribe = \$400,000). An additional \$75,000 is expected in FY 2001 from appropriations in addition to \$325,000 in AMP funding for a total of \$400,000 to fund participation.
- (6) In FY 1999, neither the Navajo nor the Zuni Tribes charged the AMP for monitoring trips. In FY 2000, the \$80,000 cost for the tribal monitoring trips will be funded by appropriations. In FY 2001 and following, Tribal monitoring trips will be funded as an AMP administrative cost.
- (7) The specifics of the FY 2001 monitoring and mitigation activities will be unclear until the PEP and HPP are completed. It is important to note that 13 sites have been identified by the National Park Service in the Grand Canyon that are in critical need of some type of protection or mitigation.
- (8) For FY 2001, the tribal cooperative agreements will be replaced by the participation line item 2.A. In FY 1999, these cooperative agreement line items were for specific work activities and the total dollar value varied between Tribes.