



## Chapter VII

---

# CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

*Introduction*

*Public Involvement*

*Agency Consultation and Coordination*

*Other Consultation/Coordination Functions*

*Distribution List*

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter documents the consultation and coordination activities undertaken by the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) during preparation of this planning report and final environmental impact statement (PR/FEIS) for the Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (proposed project).

## PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Public involvement is a process for including interested and affected individuals, organizations, agencies, and government entities in an agency's decision process.

### Scoping Process

The scoping process was initiated in early 2000 to receive public input on the scope of the proposed project, consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations.

#### *Scoping Meetings*

A Notice of Intent to prepare a planning report and draft environmental impact statement (PR/DEIS) on construction and operation of the proposed project appeared in the *Federal Register* on March 27, 2000. The notice announced that public scoping meetings were to

be held throughout the proposed project area. A news release announcing the scoping meetings was distributed to an extensive mailing list as well as to the local media.

Meeting locations and dates were as follows:

Crownpoint Chapter House, Crownpoint, New Mexico	April 25, 2000
Saint Michaels Chapter House, Saint Michaels, Arizona	April 26, 2000
University of New Mexico Campus, Gallup, New Mexico	April 27, 2000
Diné College, Shiprock, New Mexico	May 2, 2000
San Juan College, Farmington, New Mexico	May 3, 2000

### ***Scoping Issues***

Various issues were identified during the scoping process, which included:

- Support for the proposed project to supply municipal water to the Navajo Nation and the city of Gallup to provide a comfortable and healthy place to live, promote a sense of community, and provide for economic development.
- A Navajo water rights settlement is needed along with the proposed project.
- Good quality drinking water is as important as quantity of water.
- Support was expressed for the San Juan River diversion alternatives with pipelines paralleling Route 491 and U.S. Highway 550. These are corridors where growth is planned to occur.
- Impacts to the Jicarilla Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement Act (Public Law 102-441) should be considered.
- Impacts to Colorado River Basins States' ability to use compact apportionments should be considered.
- There is a concern that the city of Gallup will get water and that areas of the Navajo Nation will not.
- There is a concern that the water will promote uranium development and processing, resulting in contamination, as has happened in the past.
- American Indian (Indian) and non-Indian communities need to work together to make this project happen.

- There is a concern that tying into the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project (NIIP) may impact the NIIP and may not provide a reliable municipal water supply.
- The Navajo chapters surrounding the city of Gallup need a domestic water supply.
- Water for agriculture is also needed, at least for gardens and livestock.
- There is a demand for water further west of Window Rock, Arizona.
- There was support for concurrent groundwater development to get water to people before surface water would be available.
- There is a need to have water piped to locations where people are, and will be, living.
- There is a concern about sharing pipeline rights-of-way with the highway; the highway could have priority in the future.

## **Public Review of the Planning Report and Draft Environmental Impact Statement**

The PR/DEIS was filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Notice of Availability of the PR/DEIS was published on March 30, 2007, in the *Federal Register*. Volumes I and II of the PR/DEIS were sent to government agencies, interest groups, and individuals on the distribution list.

In November 2007, several sections of the PR/DEIS were updated in a report entitled, *Cost and Economic Update to 2007 Prices of the Planning Report and Draft Environmental Impact Statement*. All entities on the mailing list were either sent the report or postcards notifying them of the report's availability.

### ***Public Comments***

The official 90-day public comment period was from March 30, 2007, to June 28, 2007. There were about 280 comments identified from letters and public hearings that were addressed for inclusion in this PR/FEIS and available in volume III. Of the nearly 280 comments identified, 98 were from Tribes and Tribal Nations (73 of those were Navajo Nation), 90 were State and local governments, 36 were power and water interests, 10 were other organizations, and 45 were individuals. Approximately 30 percent of the comments came from public hearings.

## **Public Hearings**

Public hearings were held in the same five locations as the scoping meetings between May and June 2007 to provide an opportunity for public written and oral testimony about the PR/DEIS. Approximately 240 individuals, including agency representatives and cooperators, attended. More detailed information about the comments and Reclamation's responses can be found in volume III.

## **AGENCY CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION**

Interagency/intergovernmental coordination and consultation constitute an essential part of the environmental impact statement (EIS) process. They provide a forum in which close working relationships are developed with agencies and organizations that are affected by or concerned about a proposed project. A key objective of a consultation and coordination program is to provide an opportunity for agencies and organizations to participate in the investigation of project alternatives and to provide input about specific project-related issues.

### **Coordination Activities**

As the lead agency responsible for preparation of the PR/FEIS, Reclamation used an interdisciplinary team of Reclamation and consulting resource specialists to prepare the document, including the Navajo and Jicarilla Apache Nations and their staff and consultants. (A list of preparers is contained in the "List of Preparers" section). In addition, several other Federal, State, and local agencies participated with the interdisciplinary team during preparation of the PR/FEIS. Table VII-1 provides a list of those agencies with jurisdictional authority, interest, or expertise in the activities or issues associated with the proposed project.

### **Consultation Activities**

#### ***U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service***

*Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.*—Reclamation and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) have consulted, both formally and informally, regarding potential impacts to special status species that may be affected as a result of the development and operation of the proposed project.

Table VII-1.—Agencies and organizations that participated in the project consultation and coordination process

<b>Federal agencies</b>
Bureau of Indian Affairs <sup>1</sup>
Bureau of Land Management
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Energy, Western Area Power Administration
Environmental Protection Agency
Indian Health Service
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
<b>State of Colorado Water Conservation Board</b>
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish
New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission <sup>1</sup>
<b>Local governments</b>
Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments <sup>1</sup>
City of Gallup <sup>1</sup>
<b>Tribal governments</b>
Hopi Tribe
Isleta Pueblo
Jicarilla Apache Nation <sup>1</sup>
Laguna Pueblo
Navajo Nation <sup>1</sup>
Pueblo of Zuni
Southern Ute Indian Tribe

<sup>1</sup> PR/EIS cooperating agencies.

A biological assessment was developed by Reclamation, and the Service issued a final biological opinion under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) dated February 26, 2009 (see volume II, appendix C, part III).

The final biological opinion incorporates a Navajo Nation Depletion Guarantee, which limits new depletion associated with the project to 5,271 acre-feet at full development (see chapter VI and volume II, appendix C). The biological opinion concludes that the 5,271 acre-feet of new depletions associated with the proposed project will not jeopardize the continued existence of the Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, or Mesa Verde cactus or adversely modify their designated critical habitat. However, because larval fish may be lost due to the project diversions, the fish would be adversely affected. The biological opinion identifies reasonable and prudent measures to reduce incidental take of Colorado pikeminnow and razorback sucker and incorporates conservation measures to reduce the direct take of Mesa Verde cactus. The biological opinion also states that if

reinitiation is required, the Service will follow the procedures regarding reinitiation of consultation pursuant to the “Principles for Conducting Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultations on Water Development and Water Management Activities Affecting Endangered Fish Species in the San Juan River Basin.”

*Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.*—A Planning Aid Memorandum and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) report have also been completed by the Service (volume II, appendix C). The FWCA report contains numerous recommendations that are described in the “Wildlife Commitments” section of chapter VI. The Service’s official recommendations will be contained in the final FWCA report, which will be included in this PR/FEIS.

To minimize project impacts to fish and wildlife resources, the FWCA recommends that Reclamation incorporate the mitigation measures described below. Reclamation’s preliminary responses to the FWCA recommendations follow each recommendation. Mitigation measures are discussed in greater detail in chapters V and VI.

**FWCA Recommendation 1:** Replace any woody vegetation (e.g., willows) unavoidably lost by establishing 2 acres of native vegetation for every acre impacted. If trees are removed, the Service recommends a minimum ratio of 10 saplings be planted for each mature tree lost. Planting of willows and cottonwood poles should be dense and in a location where adequate water is available to ensure that mitigation is successful. Mitigation should cover the direct removal of vegetation during construction as well as induced mortality that may occur in future years.

**Reclamation’s Response 1:** Reclamation would minimize impacts to wetland and riparian vegetation where possible. Reclamation would replace removed riparian and wetland habitat with creation of acre-per-acre replacement or the enhancement of 3 acres for each acre lost. On-site and adjacent mitigation sites would be considered before enhancing off-project sites. Reclamation would revegetate disturbed areas using native species and monitor plantings to ensure establishment of native cover equivalent to pre-construction levels.

**FWCA Recommendation 2:** Tree stands or vegetated areas slated for grubbing or clearing should be surveyed for the presence of nesting birds during the general migratory bird nesting season of March through August. Avoid disturbing nesting areas until nesting is complete.

**Reclamation’s Response 2:** Reclamation would survey previously undisturbed areas or suitable habitats for the presence/absence of nesting birds prior to implementing construction activities during the nesting season. If nesting birds are identified, construction activities would be modified to avoid disturbing nesting birds during the nesting season from March 15 to July 15.

**FWCA Recommendation 3:** Employ silt curtains, cofferdams, straw bales, or other suitable erosion control measures during construction.

**Reclamation's Response 3:** Best Management Practices are included to protect water quality and soils.

**FWCA Recommendation 4:** Monitor intake pump, sump, and settling ponds to estimate larval entrainment during periods of drift. Contact the Service to determine if further project review under the FWCA is appropriate if entrainment exceeds the estimates.

**Reclamation's Response 4:** Reclamation proposes that monitoring of larval entrainment be conducted as an activity of the San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program (SJRBRIP). Reclamation would reconsult with the Service under section 7 of the ESA if incidental take of threatened and endangered species exceeded the limits identified in the Incidental Take Statement likely included in the biological opinion.

**FWCA Recommendation 5:** Dewater in-channel construction areas prior to construction. Maintain fish passage around dewatered construction areas during construction. Construct the proposed project during periods of low flow and low precipitation.

**Reclamation's Response 5:** All in-channel construction areas would be dewatered prior to construction. Fish passage around the dewatered construction areas would be maintained while the Public Service Company of New Mexico fish passage was in operation (April through October). In-channel construction activities would be limited to the period November through March.

**FWCA Recommendation 6:** Monitor water quality before, during, and after construction to ensure compliance with State water quality standards.

**Reclamation's Response 6:** Reclamation and its contractors would comply with all permit requirements issued under section 401 (State and Tribal Water Quality Certification), 402 (Dewatering) and 404 (Dredge and Fill) of the Clean Water Act.

**FWCA Recommendation 7:** Contain poured concrete in forms and/or behind cofferdams to prevent discharge into the river. Contain and treat or remove for off-site disposal any waste water from concrete-batching, vehicle wash-down, and aggregate processing.

**Reclamation's Response 7:** These recommendations are standard language included in Reclamation's construction contracts. Contractors are required to prepare and submit storm water and waste management plans for all construction-related activities.

**FWCA Recommendation 8:** Store and dispense fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids, and other petrochemicals outside the 100-year flood plain. Inspect construction equipment daily for petrochemical leaks. Contain and remove any petrochemical spills and dispose of these materials at an approved upland site. Park construction equipment outside the 100-year flood plain during periods of inactivity.

**Reclamation's Response 8:** These recommendations are also standard language included in Reclamation's construction contracts. Contractors are required to prepare and submit a Spill Prevention and Containment Plan for all construction-related activities.

**FWCA Recommendation 9:** Carry an oil kit or spill blanket at all times. Ensure equipment operators are knowledgeable in the use of spill containment equipment. Develop a spill contingency plan prior to initiation of construction. Immediately notify the proper Federal and State authorities in the event of a spill.

**Reclamation's Response 9:** See Reclamation's Response 8 above.

**FWCA Recommendation 10:** Use only clean cobble and quarry stone from an upland source. Use uncontaminated earth or alluvium suitable for revegetation with indigenous plant species for backfill. Revegetate or reseed backfill and other disturbed areas with native plants or seeds to accelerate revegetation with native species.

**Reclamation's Response 10:** Reclamation would use only suitable uncontaminated material during construction. All disturbed areas would be revegetated with native plant species. Where feasible during pipeline and other project feature construction, top soils would be stockpiled and used in revegetation efforts.

**FWCA Recommendation 11:** Where possible, minimize trapping of wildlife during pipeline installation by trenching and burying pipeline concurrently. Leave the least amount of trench open overnight and provide escape ramps for trapped wildlife.

**Reclamation's Response 11:** Reclamation would minimize trapping of wildlife during pipeline installation by trenching and burying pipeline concurrently and leaving the least amount of trench open overnight as possible. Escape ramps would be provided for trapped wildlife.

**FWCA Recommendation 12:** Revegetate all upland areas disturbed during construction, using native plants and seeds. For those upland areas where soils have become compacted as a result of heavy equipment operation, soils should be scarified or additional topsoil placed prior to revegetation.

**Reclamation's Response 12:** Reclamation would return all disturbed areas as nearly as possible to previous conditions. Topsoil removed during construction would be stockpiled for revegetation efforts and native plants and seeds would be used. If soils were compacted, the areas would be scarified prior to planting.



**FWCA Recommendation 13:** Minimize electrocution risk to raptors by installing perch guards or raptor-safe configurations on all transmission structures. Minimize collision risks to raptors and other bird species by marking transmission lines that pose a high collision risk with spiral vibration dampers or bird flight diverters.

**Reclamation's Response 13:** Raptor perch guards or raptor-safe configurations would be incorporated on all new transmission structures.

### ***Environmental Protection Agency/U.S. Army Corps of Engineers***

Reclamation would coordinate with the EPA and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding potential project effects on wetlands and water quality.

### ***Cultural Resources***

Consultation/coordination occurred in two phases: (1) the Overview phase in 1992–93 and (2) the Alternatives Evaluation phase from 2000 through the present. During each phase, various parties were contacted to gather information and/or solicit their concerns about impacts and procedures to address those impacts.

#### **Overview Phase:**

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation  
Bureau of Land Management, Farmington, New Mexico  
Hopi Tribe  
Jicarilla Apache Nation  
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department  
New Mexico Laboratory of Anthropology  
New Mexico Office of Cultural Affairs, Historic Preservation Division  
New Mexico State Land Office  
Pueblo of Acoma  
Pueblo of Zuni  
Southern Ute Indian Tribe  
The All-Indian Pueblo Council  
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe

#### **Alternatives Evaluation Phase:**

Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), Navajo Region (by copy)  
Bureau of Land Management, Farmington, New Mexico  
Burnham Chapter  
City of Gallup, New Mexico

Counselor Chapter  
Coyote Canyon Chapter  
Hopi Tribe  
Huerfano Chapter  
Jemez Pueblo  
Jicarilla Apache Nation  
Nageezi Chapter  
Nahodishgish Chapter  
Nambe Pueblo  
Naschitti Community Chapter  
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department  
Navajo Nation Water Resources Department  
Nenahnezad Chapter  
New Mexico Office of Cultural Affairs, Historic Preservation Division  
Newcomb Chapter  
Ojo Encino Chapter  
Pojoaque Pueblo  
Pueblo of Acoma  
Pueblo of Laguna  
Pueblo of Zuni  
Pueblo Pintado Chapter  
Rock Springs Chapter  
San Felipe Pueblo  
San Idelfonso Pueblo  
San Juan Chapter  
San Juan Pueblo  
Sandia Pueblo  
Sanostee Chapter  
Santa Ana Pueblo  
Santa Clara Pueblo  
Santo Domingo Pueblo  
Sheep Springs Chapter  
Shiprock Chapter  
Southern Ute Indian Tribe  
Standing Rock Chapter  
Taos Pueblo  
Tesuque Pueblo  
Tohatchi Chapter  
Torreon Chapter  
Tsayatoh Chapter  
Twin Lakes Chapter  
Two Grey Hills Chapter

Ute Mountain Ute Tribe  
Whiterock Chapter  
Zia Pueblo

Most of the contacts were made via correspondence. Meetings were convened and phone calls were made. As a result of these contacts, studies were undertaken, and from consultations with the Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department in particular, the general impacts have been determined. An approach to addressing impacts was developed, as described in chapter VI.

### ***Navajo-Gallup Steering Committee***

A project steering committee has been established and functioning since the early 1990s. The committee's purpose is to oversee and guide the planning and implementation of the proposed project. The committee is composed of representatives from the Navajo and Jicarilla Apache Nations, State of New Mexico, Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments, city of Gallup, Navajo Tribal Utility Authority, BIA, Indian Health Service, and Reclamation. Since 2000, the committee has met approximately four times a year to discuss planning status, address issues, and make assignments. The assignments are carried out by the various entities represented by the committee and interagency teams. For purposes of EIS preparation, the steering committee members also serve as the cooperating agencies for implementation of NEPA. Cooperating agencies are Federal agencies and local, State, and Tribal governments with appropriate expertise or jurisdiction.

### ***The Upper Colorado River Commission***

The Upper Colorado River Commission (Commission) has been engaged in project water supply issues. The Commission passed a resolution in June 2003 supporting the proposed project and consenting to a diversion of water from the Upper Basin for use in the Lower Basin within New Mexico (attachment E). The Commission also passed a resolution in June 2006 supporting a proposed hydrologic determination by the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) that sufficient water is reasonably likely to be available to fulfill the proposed project needs in New Mexico from the Navajo Reservoir water supply (attachment E). This water is in addition to existing Navajo Reservoir water supply contract water for other uses under the allocations made to New Mexico in Articles III and XIV of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact. The hydrologic determination prepared by Reclamation was signed by the Secretary on June 8, 2007 (attachment N).

### ***The Arizona Department of Water Resources***

The Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) has been involved with the proposed project's Arizona water supply. Discussions among Reclamation, the Navajo Nation, and the ADWR have been ongoing since 2003.

### ***San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program***

Project planning has been discussed with the SJRBRIP Biology, Hydrology, and Coordinating Committees with presentations and discussions since 2002.

## **OTHER CONSULTATION/COORDINATION FUNCTIONS**

In April 1998, George Galanis, the Mayor of the city of Gallup, and Thomas Atcitty, President of the Navajo Nation, signed an agreement to cooperate on the planning for the proposed project. That document commits the city and the Navajo Nation to:

- A cooperative effort to proceed with planning and development
- A project that works conjunctively with the NIIP
- A project that will result in a fair and equitable distribution of project water between the city of Gallup and the Navajo communities
- Cooperatively investigate all viable alternative project configurations
- Support the commitment of BIA to engage in consultation with the Service as quickly as possible
- Work together to resolve issues affecting the implementation of the proposed project

The Memorandum of Agreement continues to serve as the basis for the collaborative efforts of the Navajo Nation and the city of Gallup to develop the proposed project (attachment A).

## DISTRIBUTION LIST<sup>1</sup>

Congressional delegations	
U.S. and State Representatives	City, State
Mark Udall U.S. Senator of Colorado	Washington, D.C.
Jeff Bingaman U.S. Senator of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Ray Begaye New Mexico State Representative	Shiprock, New Mexico
Tom Udall U.S. Senator of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Jane Poudreil-Culbert New Mexico State Representative	Corrales, New Mexico
Jon Kyl U.S. Senator of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Linda Lovejoy New Mexico State Senator	Crownpoint, New Mexico
W. Ken Martinez New Mexico State Representative	Crownpoint, New Mexico
John McCain U.S. Senator of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Harry Teague U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Anne Kirkpatrick U.S. Representative of Arizona	Washington D.C.
John T. Salazar U.S. Representative of Colorado	Washington, D.C.
Michael Bennett U. S. Senator of Colorado	Washington, D.C.

<sup>1</sup> This distribution list varies from the one in the PR/DEIS because some individuals or organizations requested to be removed, others added, and some elected officials have changed.

**Congressional delegations (continued)**

<b>U.S. and State Representatives</b>	<b>City, State</b>
Thomas C. Taylor New Mexico State Representative	Farmington, New Mexico
Paul Bandy New Mexico State Representative	Aztec, New Mexico
Ben Lujan U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Martin Heinrich U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington, D.C.
<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
House Appropriations Committee	Washington, D.C.
House Energy and Water Development	Washington, D.C.
House Resources Committee	Washington, D.C.
House Water and Power Subcommittee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Appropriations Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Indian Affairs Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Water and Power Subcommittee	Washington, D.C.

**Federal Government agencies**

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Lakewood, Colorado Washington, D.C.
BLM/FS Public Land Center	Durango, Colorado
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Albuquerque, New Mexico Chinle, Arizona Dulce, New Mexico Española, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Ignacio, Colorado Shiprock, New Mexico Towaoc, Colorado Zia Pueblo, New Mexico

**Federal Government agencies (continued)**

<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
Bureau of Land Management	Albuquerque, New Mexico Bluff, Utah Durango, Colorado Farmington, New Mexico Lakewood, Colorado Moab, Utah Montecillo, Utah
Bureau of Reclamation	Albuquerque, New Mexico Boulder City, Nevada Denver, Colorado Durango, Colorado Farmington, New Mexico Grand Junction, Colorado Phoenix, Arizona Salt Lake City, Utah Washington, D.C.
Department of the Interior Office of the Field Solicitor Office of the Regional Solicitor – Southwest Region	Salt Lake City, Utah Albuquerque, New Mexico
Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Division/Policy Section	Washington, D.C.
Environmental Protection Agency	Dallas, Texas Denver, Colorado San Francisco, California Washington, D.C.
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	San Francisco, California Washington, D.C.
Indian Health Service	Gallup, New Mexico Window Rock, Arizona
International Boundary and Water Commission	El Paso, TX
National Park Service	Denver, Colorado Mesa Verde, Colorado Santa Fe, New Mexico
Natural Resource Conservation Service	Durango, Colorado
Office of Emergency Management	Golden, Colorado
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Durango, Colorado Sacramento, California Washington, D.C.

<b>Federal Government agencies (continued)</b>	
<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Albuquerque, New Mexico Denver, Colorado Grand Junction, Colorado Lakewood, Colorado
U.S. Geological Survey	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Western Area Power Administration	Salt Lake City, Utah
<b>Tribal governments</b>	
Hopi Tribe	Kykotsmovi, Arizona
Jicarilla Apache Nation	Dulce, New Mexico
<b>Navajo Nation</b>	
Beclabito Chapter	Shiprock, New Mexico
Casamero Lake Chapter	Prewitt, New Mexico
Crownpoint Chapter	Crownpoint, New Mexico
DSFC OEHE NAIHS	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Agricultural Products Industry	Farmington, New Mexico
Navajo Nation Council	Gallup, New Mexico Mentmore, New Mexico Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Fish and Wildlife	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Justice	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Water Resources	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department	Window Rock, Arizona
Nenahnezad Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico
NNEPA/PWSSP	Window Rock, Arizona
NTUA	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Office of the President of the Navajo Nation	Window Rock, Arizona
San Juan Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico
San Juan Diné Water Users Association	Shiprock, New Mexico
Shiprock Chapter	Shiprock, New Mexico
Shiprock Planning Commission	Shiprock, New Mexico
Upper Fruitland Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico



<b>Tribal governments (continued)</b>	
<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
<b><i>Pueblo Indian Tribes</i></b>	
Pueblo of Acoma	Acoma, New Mexico
Pueblo of Cochiti	Cochiti Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Isleta	Isleta Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Jemez	Jemez Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Laguna	Laguna Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Nambe	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of Ohkay Owingeh	San Juan Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Picuris	Penasco, New Mexico
Pueblo of Pojoaque	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of San Felipe	San Felipe Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of San Ildefonso	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of Sandia	Bernalillo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santa Ana	Bernalillo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santa Clara	Española, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Taos	Taos, New Mexico
Pueblo of Tesuque	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of Zia	Zia Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Zuni	Zuni, New Mexico
San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe	Tuba City, Arizona
Southern Ute Indian Tribe	Durango, Colorado Ignacio, Colorado
<b><i>Ute Mountain Ute Tribe</i></b>	
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	Boulder, Colorado Towaoc, Colorado
Weeminche Construction Authority	Towaoc, Colorado

State government agencies	
Colorado Attorney General	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Department of Agriculture	Lakewood, Colorado
Colorado Department of Education, Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Department of Health	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Department of Natural Resources	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Division of Water Resources	Durango, Colorado
Colorado Division of Wildlife	Denver, Colorado Durango, Colorado
Colorado Local Affairs Department	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Office of the Governor	Denver, Colorado
Colorado State Parks	Arboles, Colorado Clifton, Colorado Denver, Colorado
Colorado Water Conservation Board	Denver, Colorado
Navajo Lake State Park	Aztec, New Mexico
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish	Navajo Dam, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico DOH/McKinley County Health Office	Gallup, New Mexico
New Mexico Energy Minerals & Natural Res. Dept.	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Environment Department	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Historic Preservation Division	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Office of the State Engineer	Aztec, New Mexico Denver, Colorado
New Mexico State Land Office	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico State Parks	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments	Gallup, New Mexico
State of Colorado	Denver, Colorado
State of New Mexico, Office of the Governor	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Upper Colorado River Commission	Salt Lake City, Utah
Utah Department of Natural Resources	Salt Lake City, Utah
Utah Division of Wildlife	Salt Lake City, Utah

<b>City government agencies</b>	
City of Aztec	Aztec, New Mexico
City of Bloomfield	Bloomfield, New Mexico
City of Cortez	Cortez, Colorado
City of Durango	Durango, Colorado
City of Farmington	Farmington, New Mexico
City of Gallup	Gallup, New Mexico
City of Gallup/GJU	Gallup, New Mexico
Town of Bayfield	Bayfield, Colorado
Town of Ignacio	Ignacio, Colorado
Town of Kirtland	Kirtland, New Mexico
<b>County government agencies</b>	
La Plata County Commissioners	Durango, Colorado
McKinley County	Gallup, New Mexico
Montezuma County Commissioners	Cortez, Colorado
San Juan County	Aztec, New Mexico
<b>Local government agencies</b>	
Colorado Water Congress	Denver, Colorado
<b>Water users</b>	
Animas-La Plata Water Conservancy District	Durango, Colorado
Blanco Water Users Association	Blanco, New Mexico
Bloomfield Irrigation District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Bloomfield Irrigation Ditch Company	Blanco, New Mexico
Colorado River Water Conservation District	Glenwood Springs, Colorado
Dolores Water Conservancy District	Cortez, Colorado
Florida Farmers and Florida Cooperative Ditches	Durango, Colorado
Florida Water Conservancy District	Durango, Colorado
Hammond Conservancy District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Hammond Water Conservancy District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
La Plata Conservancy District	La Plata, New Mexico
La Plata Water Conservancy District	Hesperus, Colorado
Lower Valley Water Users	Kirtland, New Mexico
Mancos Water Conservancy District	Mancos, Colorado
Navajo Dam Water Users Association	Navajo Dam, New Mexico

<b>Water users (continued)</b>	
<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
Pine River Irrigation District	Bayfield, Colorado
San Juan River Dineh Water Users, Inc.	Shiprock, New Mexico
San Juan Water Commission	Farmington, New Mexico
Southwestern Water Conservation District	Durango, Colorado
Turley Ditch Company	Blanco, New Mexico
Turley-Manzanares Ditch	Blanco, New Mexico
Upper La Plata Water Users Association	La Plata, New Mexico
West Hammond Water Users Association	Bloomfield, New Mexico
<b>Utility companies</b>	
Arizona Public Service	Fruitland, New Mexico
Colorado River Energy Distributors Association	Tempe, Arizona
Colorado Water Resources and Power	Denver, Colorado
Farmington Electric Utility System	Farmington, New Mexico
Public Service Company of New Mexico	Waterflow, New Mexico
<b>Mineral companies</b>	
BHP Minerals	Farmington, New Mexico
Bloomfield Refining Company	Bloomfield, New Mexico
<b>Recreation/Tourism</b>	
American Fisheries Society	Bethesda, Maryland
Artemis Wilderness Tours	El Prado, New Mexico
B-Square Ranch	Farmington, New Mexico
Born-n-Raised on the San Juan River, Inc.	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Durangers	Durango, Colorado Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Four Corners River Sports	Durango, Colorado
Guide Service	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Mountain Waters Rafting	Durango, Colorado
Navajo Dam Enterprises – Marina	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
New Mexico Trout	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Outlaw River & Jeep Tours	Durango, Colorado
Recapture Lodge	Bluff, Utah

**Recreation/Tourism (continued)**

<b>Businesses or agencies</b>	<b>City, State</b>
Rizutos Fly Shop	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
San Juan Flyfishing Federation	Farmington, New Mexico
San Juan Shrine Club	Farmington, New Mexico
Sportsman Inn	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Trout Unlimited	Arlington, Virginia
Wild Rivers Expeditions	Bluff, Utah

**Environmental groups**

Cedar Hill Clean Water Coalition	Aztec, New Mexico
Citizens Progressive Alliance	Littleton, Colorado
Clean Water Action	Denver, Colorado
Colorado River Alliance	Durango, Colorado
Earth Justice Legal Defense Fund	Denver, Colorado Washington, D.C.
Electors Concerned about Animas Water	Farmington, New Mexico
Environmental Defense Fund	New York, New York
Four Corners Action Coalition	Aztec, New Mexico
Glen Canyon Action Network	Moab, Utah
HCCA/Sierra Club	Crested Butte, Colorado
National Wildlife Federation	Washington, D.C.
San Juan Audubon Society	Durango, Colorado
Sierra Club	Boulder, Colorado San Francisco, California
Taxpayers for the Animas River	Durango, Colorado Mancos, Colorado
The Nature Conservancy	Arlington, Virginia
The Wildlife Society	Bethesda, Maryland

**Media**

Albuquerque Journal	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Albuquerque Tribune	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Associated Press	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Four Corners Broadcasting	Durango, Colorado

Media (continued)	
Businesses or agencies	City, State
Four Corners Flyer	Farmington, New Mexico
Gallup Independent	Gallup, New Mexico
Grand Junction Sentinel	Grand Junction, Colorado
KDGO – KISZ Radio	Durango, Colorado
KENN/KRWN Radio	Farmington, New Mexico
KKFG Radio Station	Farmington, New Mexico
KLLV Radio	Hesperus, Colorado
KOAT ABC Action 7 News	Albuquerque, New Mexico
KOAT TV	Farmington, New Mexico
KOBF – TV	Farmington, New Mexico
KREZ – TV	Durango, Colorado
KSJE Radio	Farmington, New Mexico
KSUT Radio	Ignacio, Colorado
KTNN Radio	Window Rock, Arizona
KTRA FM	Farmington, New Mexico
KWYK-KNDN Radio Station	Farmington, New Mexico
Pagosa Springs Sun	Pagosa Springs, Colorado
Pine River Times	Bayfield, Colorado
The Cortez Journal	Cortez, Colorado
The Daily Times	Farmington, New Mexico
The Durango Herald	Durango, Colorado
The Durango Telegraph	Durango, Colorado
The Navajo Times	Window Rock, Arizona
The New Mexican	Santa Fe, New Mexico
The Southern Ute Drum	Ignacio, Colorado
Times Independent	Moab, Utah
Libraries	
Albuquerque Public Library	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Alturian Public Library	Aztec, New Mexico
Aztec Public Library	Aztec, New Mexico
Bloomfield City Library	Bloomfield, New Mexico

**Libraries (continued)**

Colorado School of Mines Library	Golden, Colorado
Colorado State University	Fort Collins, Colorado
Cortez Public Library	Cortez, Colorado
Denver Public Library	Denver, Colorado
Diné College	Shiprock, New Mexico
Durango High School Library	Durango, Colorado
Durango Public Library	Durango, Colorado
Farmington Public Library	Farmington, New Mexico
Fort Lewis College Library	Durango, Colorado
Navajo Nation Library	Window Rock, Arizona
New Mexico State Library	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico State University Library	Las Cruces, New Mexico
San Juan College Library	Farmington, New Mexico
University of Colorado Libraries	Boulder, Colorado
University of Denver Library	Denver, Colorado
University of New Mexico	Albuquerque, New Mexico
University of Northern Colorado	Greeley, Colorado

**Schools and colleges**

Crownpoint Institute of Technology	Gallup, New Mexico
Diné College	Shiprock, New Mexico
Fort Lewis College	Durango, Colorado
New Mexico State University	Las Cruces, New Mexico
Northern Arizona University	Flagstaff, Arizona
San Juan College	Farmington, New Mexico
Southwest Open High School	Cortez, Colorado
University of New Mexico, Department of Biology	Albuquerque, New Mexico
University of Northern Colorado	Greeley, Colorado

**Legal**

Herb Becker	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Greene, Meyer & McElroy PC	Boulder, Colorado
Dan Israel	Boulder, Colorado

**Legal (continued)**

Maynes, Bradford, Shipps & Sheftel	Durango, Colorado
Nordhaus Law Firm	Albuquerque, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico

**Consultants**

Architectural Research Consultants	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Ayes Associates	Fort Collins, Colorado
Bio/West, Inc.	Logan, Utah
Canyonlands Field Institute	Moab, Utah
Dornbusch & Company, Inc.	Berkeley, California
Ecosystems Research Institute	Logan, Utah
EMI, Inc.	Durango, Colorado
Gold H <sub>2</sub> O Pro, Inc.	Salt Lake City, Utah
HabiTech, Inc.	Laramie, Wyoming
Harris Water Engineering, Inc.	Durango, Colorado
Hill & Robbins PC	Denver, Colorado
Hydrosphere Resource Consultants	Boulder, Colorado
Keller-Bliesner Engineering	Logan, Utah
Mactec – ERS	Grand Junction, Colorado
Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc.	Fort Collins, Colorado
Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Parson Engineering Science	South Jordan, Utah
Pinnacle West Capital Corp	Phoenix, Arizona
Water Consult	Loveland, Colorado
William J. Miller Engineers, Inc.	Santa Fe, New Mexico

**Individuals**

<b>Name</b>	<b>City, State</b>
Debbie Abbott	Farmington, New Mexico
Robert Ahkeah	Shiprock, New Mexico
Elisa Arviso	Window Rock, Arizona
Lloyd D. Ayliffe	Broomfield, New Mexico
Robert Baker	Hesperus, Colorado
Cliff Barrett	Perry, Utah
Kaibah Begay	Shiprock, New Mexico



<b>Individuals (continued)</b>	
Ernest Beleen	Fruitland, New Mexico
Mark Belles	Rowlett, Texas
Herb Beyale Jr.	Shiprock, New Mexico
David Biser	Kirtland, New Mexico
Clayton Bond	Kirtland, New Mexico
Larry Bonney	Shiprock, New Mexico
Dick Brown	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Bob Browning	Farmington, New Mexico
Ralph E. Clark III	Gunnison, Colorado
Mark Condiotti	Durango, Colorado
Cathrine Condon	Boulder, Colorado
Margie Connolly	Dolores, Colorado
Cy Cooper	Farmington, New Mexico
Deborah Cozens	Tempe, Arizona
Dale Diamond	Fallbrook, California
Leslie Dimmick	Farmington, New Mexico
Kevin Doyle	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Doug Echols	Farmington, New Mexico
Steve Ellison	Farmington, New Mexico
Pat Flavian	Farmington, New Mexico
Kent Ford	Durango, Colorado
Maxwell C. Freudenberg	Durango, Colorado
Raymond Fulton	Waterflow, New Mexico
Perry Garnenez	Shiprock, New Mexico
Prestene Garnenez	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Dorinda Gaston	Bloomfield, New Mexico
John Geddie	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Jana Gunnell	Gallup, New Mexico
Chris Harbin	Louisville, Kentucky
Daniel B. Harper	Durango, Colorado
Gary Horner	Farmington, New Mexico
Sandra Hunt	Waterflow, New Mexico
Lula Jackson	Shiprock, New Mexico

Individuals (continued)	
Name	City, State
Sammie Jim	Crownpoint, New Mexico
Martin L. Johnson	Farmington, New Mexico
Rick Johnson	Flagstaff, Arizona
Lolly Jully	Shiprock, New Mexico
Neal Kelemen	Denver, Colorado
Tom Kilmartin	Lombard, Illinois
Steve Krest	Marvel, Colorado
Tony Lee	Fruitland, New Mexico
Anita Mayes	Shiprock, New Mexico
Danny Milo	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Dan Neifert	Farmington, New Mexico
Ron Nott	Farmington, New Mexico
Michael H. Paine	Farmington, New Mexico
Kathleene Parker	White Rock, New Mexico
Lee Norberto	Naqeer, New Mexico
Evert Oldham	Flora Vista, New Mexico
Adelaide Paiz	Dulce, New Mexico
Janet Parkes	Farmington, New Mexico
Melia Pope	Brighton, Colorado
Lori Potter	Denver, Colorado
Tracy Raymond	Shiprock, New Mexico
Janet Reed	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Katherine Roxlau	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Ken Rustad	Farmington, New Mexico
Bill & Janice Schnorr	Farmington, New Mexico
James Spence	Farmington, New Mexico
Paul Stavely	Farmington, New Mexico
Travis Stills	Durango, Colorado
Michael D. Sullivan	Farmington, New Mexico
Jerry A. Swingle	Durango, Colorado
Bill Utton	Aztec, New Mexico

---

**Individuals (continued)**

---

Name	City, State
Orion J. Utton	Aztec, New Mexico
Louise Voelker	Durango, Colorado
Renee Wilhelm	Ignacio, Colorado
Sandy Williams	Flora Vista, New Mexico