

INDIVIDUALS

84. Roger and Denice Aagard
85. Jana Abrams (April 22)
86. Jana Abrams (May 26)
87. John and Mary Allan
88. Ace Allred
89. Bryan Allred
90. David Allred
91. Michael Alsop
92. Connie Ames
93. Mindy Ames
94. Chet Andersen
95. Brook Anderson
96. Casey Anderson
97. Clinton Anderson
98. Corey Anderson
99. Eugene Anderson
100. Gregg Anderson
101. Hazel Anderson (May 27)
102. Hazel Anderson (May 30)
103. Jane Anderson
104. Jeff Anderson
105. Jenna Anderson
106. Jill Anderson
107. Justin Anderson
108. Kathy Anderson
109. Kevin Anderson
110. Lane Anderson
111. Lee and Judy Anderson
112. Lowell Anderson
113. Maxine Anderson
114. McKelle Anderson
115. Pamela Anderson

- 116. Paula Anderson**
- 117. Rian Anderson**
- 118. Ron Anderson**
- 119. Steven Anderson**
- 120. Thomas Anderson**
- 121. Tracy and Melanie Anderson**
- 122. Travis Anderson**
- 123. Trent Anderson**
- 124. Victor Anderson**
- 125. Wyatt Anderson**
- 126. Hayley Andrus**
- 127. David Angerhofer**
- 128. Kyle Arnoldson**
- 129. La Verl Ashcroft**
- 130. Justin Atkinson**
- 131. Natalie Atkinson**
- 132. Joyce and Gary Backus**
- 133. David Bailey**
- 134. Joseph Bailey**
- 135. Glen Bair**
- 136. Christy Baldwin**
- 137. Ron and Barbara Ballard**
- 138. Alexander Barton**
- 139. Denna Barton**
- 140. Derek Barton**
- 141. Kevin Barton**
- 142. Michael Barton**
- 143. Kathryn Baughman**
- 144. James and Julie Baxter**
- 145. Carol and LaMar Beardall**
- 146. LaMar Beardall**
- 147. Boyd Beck**
- 148. Cade Beck**
- 149. Mark Beck**

150. Carol Beesley
151. James Bench
152. Lynda Bench
153. Ralph Bench
154. Steven Bench
155. Jennifer Benson
156. Jim Berlin
157. Cameo Berlow
158. Carolyn Bessey
159. John and Sandra Bigler
160. Jesse and Pauline Birch
161. Lori Birch
162. Troy Birch
163. Valarie Black
164. Casey Blackburn
165. Kristine Blackburn
166. Darlene Blackham
167. Leonard and Laura Blackham
168. Tim Blackham
169. Alicia Blain
170. Jeremiah Blain (May 20)
171. Jeremiah Blain (May 27)
172. Jon Blair
173. Allen Boore
174. Audrey Boore
175. Danny Boore
176. Nancy Boore
177. Paul and Heidi Bouck
178. Clark Bown
179. Elise Bown
180. Catherine Boyington
181. Terry Bradley
182. Janell and Owen Braithwaite
183. Cheryl Brewer

- 184. Robert and Paula Brewer**
- 185. Matthew Briggs**
- 186. Sheila Bringhurst**
- 187. Avrin and Boyd Brothersen**
- 188. Rich Brotherson**
- 189. Terry Brotherson**
- 190. Tom Brunner**
- 191. Vernon Buchanan**
- 192. Boyd Bunnell**
- 193. Virginia Butler**
- 194. Kathryn Carrillo**
- 195. Wade and Lynette Carter**
- 196. Morris Casperson**
- 197. Dan Joel Chidester**
- 198. Joel Chidester**
- 199. Alan and Jared Christensen**
- 200. Andy and Jennie Christensen**
- 201. Barbara Christensen**
- 202. Barry and Carrie Christensen**
- 203. Brent Christensen**
- 204. Daniel Christensen**
- 205. Devan Christensen**
- 206. Don L. Christensen**
- 207. Erick Christensen**
- 208. Garth Christensen**
- 209. Gene Christensen**
- 210. Gwen Christensen**
- 211. J. Gordon Christensen**
- 212. Jim and Leslee Christensen**
- 213. JoAnne Christensen**
- 214. Jordann Christensen and Family**
- 215. Karl and Carolyn Christensen**
- 216. Kassy Christensen**
- 217. Kevin Christensen**

- 218. LaMar Christensen**
- 219. Loran Christensen**
- 220. Michael and Celeste Christensen**
- 221. Reed and Myrla Christensen**
- 222. Rex Christensen**
- 223. Scott Christensen**
- 224. Tracy Christensen**
- 225. Wes Christensen**
- 226. Zeb Christensen**
- 227. Bruce Christenson**
- 228. Marian Christenson**
- 229. N. Tim Christenson**
- 230. Dennis Christiansen**
- 231. Diane Christiansen**
- 232. Gerald and Jennifer Christiansen**
- 233. Steven Christiansen**
- 234. Cameron Christison**
- 235. Gary and Frankie Christofferson**
- 236. Brandon Church**
- 237. Cody Church**
- 238. Kimbal and Carmel Clark**
- 239. Noel and Carol Clark**
- 240. Kathy and Robert Clift**
- 241. Brandon Cloward**
- 242. Perry Cloward**
- 243. Robert Clyde**
- 244. Doyce Coates**
- 245. Lynn Cook**
- 246. Thomas and Holly Cook**
- 247. Branch Cox**
- 248. Clint Cox**
- 249. David Cox**
- 250. Dorothy Cox**
- 251. Karl Cox**

- 252. Lee Cox**
- 253. Mark Cox**
- 254. Neal Cox**
- 255. Phyllis Cox**
- 256. Richard Cox**
- 257. Roger Cox**
- 258. Ross Cox**
- 259. Sandra Cox (May 27, 2010)**
- 260. Sandra Cox (May 30, 2010)**
- 261. Richard and Kaye Crane**
- 262. David Crosland**
- 263. Sandra Crosland**
- 264. Harold Cunningham**
- 265. Neal Curtis**
- 266. Wesley Curtis**
- 267. Cecil and Betty Cutler**
- 268. Linda Dahl**
- 269. Brent Daniels**
- 270. Robin Davis**
- 271. Thomas Davis**
- 272. Allen Day**
- 273. Thomas Day**
- 274. Wayne DeBate**
- 275. Blake DeMill**
- 276. Sonia DeMill**
- 277. Diane Denton**
- 278. Chad Dewey**
- 279. Darcie Dickinson**
- 280. Carol Dixon**
- 281. Blake Donaldson**
- 282. Boyd Donaldson**
- 283. Roy Drew**
- 284. LaMar Dyches**
- 285. Sheran Dyches and Mary Mower**

- 286. Sally East**
- 287. Elwood and Louise Eddy**
- 288. Frank Eliason**
- 289. John and Lila Ericksen**
- 290. Terry Eyre**
- 291. Emily Fauz**
- 292. George Faux**
- 293. Darron Fewkes**
- 294. David Fillis**
- 295. Glen Flory and Susan Hamilton Flory (Letter)**
- 296. Glen and Susan Hamilton Flory (Email)**
- 297. Carrie Follett**
- 298. Kyle Follett (May 27, 2010, 5:58 p.m.)**
- 299. Kyle Follett (May 27, 2010, 2:06 p.m.)**
- 300. Reva Follett**
- 301. A. Joel Frandsen**
- 302. Kathy Frischknecht**
- 303. Brent and Patti Funk**
- 304. William Funk**
- 305. Debbie Gordon**
- 306. Garrett Gordon**
- 307. Phillip Gordon**
- 308. Daniel Green**
- 309. Jody Green (May 31, 2010)**
- 310. Jody Green (June 1, 2010)**
- 311. Norm and Ilene Greenhalgh**
- 312. Robert Greenwall and Duane Peterson**
- 313. Jeffery Greenwell**
- 314. LuAnn Greenwell**
- 315. Justin Grover**
- 316. Judith Gubler**
- 317. Kimberly Hackwell**
- 318. Fred Hadley**
- 319. Peter Hafen**

- 320. **LuDene Hamilton**
- 321. **DeAnna Hansen**
- 322. **Debra Hansen**
- 323. **Erma Hansen**
- 324. **Harvey Hansen**
- 325. **Holly Hansen**
- 326. **Kristin Hansen**
- 327. **LaRell Hansen**
- 328. **Larry Hansen**
- 329. **Lee Ann Hansen**
- 330. **Niels Hansen**
- 331. **Quay Hansen**
- 332. **Spencer Hansen**
- 333. **Zane Hansen**
- 334. **Bryan Hansgen**
- 335. **Howard Hansgen**
- 336. **Don Hardy**
- 337. **Lorie Hard**
- 338. **Kenneth Harman**
- 339. **Joel Harmon**
- 340. **Peter Harvey**
- 341. **Debbie Hatch**
- 342. **Roy Hatch**
- 343. **Steven Healey (May 22, 2010)**
- 344. **Steven Healey (May 30, 2010)**
- 345. **John Hendrickson**
- 346. **Dale and Kay Henningson**
- 347. **Cameron Hermansen**
- 348. **Dave Hermansen**
- 349. **Marlene Hermansen**
- 350. **Reed Hill**
- 351. **Scott Hintze**
- 352. **Paul Hoffman**
- 353. **Christianna Holman**

- 354. David Holman**
- 355. R. Gayle Holman**
- 356. Michelle Howcroft**
- 357. Mike and Suzy Howell**
- 358. Owen Howell**
- 359. James and Thelma Howerton**
- 360. Michael and Renie Howerton**
- 361. Ralph Huddlestone**
- 362. Nathan Huntington**
- 363. Trine Huntington**
- 364. Gene and Carol Hyatt**
- 365. John Irons**
- 366. Joni Ison**
- 367. Carole Jackman**
- 368. Ferron Jackman**
- 369. George Jackman**
- 370. Valear Jackman (April 28, 2010)**
- 371. Valear Jackman (May 10, 2010)**
- 372. Bryce Jackson**
- 373. Dennis Jackson, John Mc Gugin, James Wilkinson**
- 374. Georgia Jackson**
- 375. Kim Jackson**
- 376. Carol Jacobsen**
- 377. Doug and Jolene Jacobson**
- 378. Eugene and Nancy Jensen**
- 379. Kathleen Jensen**
- 380. Kendal and Christine Jensen**
- 381. Kevin and Tammie Jensen**
- 382. Lynne Jensen**
- 383. Mardell Jensen**
- 384. Norman Jensen**
- 385. R. Jensen**
- 386. Rachel Jensen**
- 387. Wesley Jensen**

- 388. Amy Johansen, Evan Johansen, Steven Johansen,
Amanda Johansen, Sabrina Johansen, Kevin Johansen
- 389. Lori Johansen
- 390. Mark Johansen
- 391. Brian Johns
- 392. Aaron Johnson
- 393. Evan Johnson
- 394. Randy Johnson
- 395. Talisha Johnson
- 396. Angie Jorgensen
- 397. Carson Jorgensen
- 398. Drew Jorgensen
- 399. Jason Jorgensen
- 400. Jenny Jorgensen
- 401. Neil Jorgensen
- 402. Shelby Jorgensen
- 403. Taylor Jorgensen
- 404. Alan Justesen
- 405. Scott Justesen
- 406. Gust Kalatzes
- 407. John and Diane Keeler
- 408. Shelley Keisel
- 409. Con and Margaret Keller
- 410. Kerry Kelly
- 411. Joshua Kelson
- 412. Vicki Kelson
- 413. Paul and Roxanna Kendall
- 414. Bryan Kimball
- 415. Arthur King
- 416. Robert King
- 417. Jeff Lamb
- 418. Phil Lambertsen
- 419. Jim Lansbarkis
- 420. Bruce Larsen

- 421. Christy Larsen
- 422. Gary Larsen
- 423. James Larsen
- 424. Jon Larsen
- 425. Julie Larsen
- 426. Kal Larsen
- 427. Karl Larsen
- 428. Katie Jean Larsen
- 429. Keith Larsen
- 430. Kelly Larsen
- 431. Kip Larsen
- 432. Kirk Larsen
- 433. Susan Larsen
- 434. Wayne Larsen
- 435. Melanie Lee
- 436. Joshua Leek
- 437. Dale Lewis
- 438. Emily Lillie
- 439. Shawn Lindow
- 440. Belva Locke
- 441. Larry Luke
- 442. Alma Lund (Email)
- 443. Alma Lund (Letter)
- 444. Cheryl and Gary Lupo
- 445. Debi Lusty
- 446. Randy Lusty
- 447. Nancy MacKay
- 448. Jeremy Madsen
- 449. Natasha Madsen
- 450. Nick Madsen
- 451. Priscilla Madsen
- 452. Lance Maki
- 453. Mindee Maki
- 454. Larry Masco

- 455. Sara Masco**
- 456. Miriam Mason**
- 457. Richard Mason**
- 458. Jack and Joan McAllister**
- 459. Todd and Shalynn McCall**
- 460. Leonard McCosh**
- 461. Jeff McDonald**
- 462. Charles McKay**
- 463. Ted Meikle**
- 464. Randy Mellor**
- 465. Tracy Mellor**
- 466. William Mickelson**
- 467. Beth Mikkelson**
- 468. Linda Miller**
- 469. Marie Miller**
- 470. Kris Mills**
- 471. Joseph Dylan Mincks**
- 472. Lynette Mincks**
- 473. Barbara Miner**
- 474. Florence Mitchell (May 2, 2010)**
- 475. Florence Mitchell (June 1, 2010)**
- 476. Matthew Mitchell**
- 477. Sterling Monk**
- 478. Glen Moore**
- 479. Alan Morley**
- 480. Greg Morley**
- 481. Diane Morris**
- 482. Clyde Mortensen**
- 483. A.J. Mower**
- 484. Glenn Mower**
- 485. Roland Mower**
- 486. Scott Mower**
- 487. Virginia Mower**
- 488. Brian Murray**

- 489. Kathy Murray
- 490. Grant Nelson
- 491. Jerry Nelson
- 492. Rachel Nelson
- 493. Brandy Nielsen
- 494. Marilyn Nielsen
- 495. Richard Nielsen
- 496. Russ Nielsen
- 497. Brian Nielson
- 498. Burke and Dixie Nielson
- 499. J. Neil Nielson
- 500. Richard Nielson
- 501. Wayne Nielson
- 502. Waylon Nunley
- 503. Wayne Nunley
- 504. David Nuttall
- 505. Craig and Diane Oberg
- 506. Craig Oberg
- 507. G.O. (unidentified name)
- 508. Diane Ogden
- 509. Merrill Ogden
- 510. Tom Ogden
- 511. Betty Oliver
- 512. Bree Olsen
- 513. Corinne Olsen
- 514. Darrel Olsen
- 515. Jay Olsen
- 516. Margo Olsen
- 517. Michael Olsen
- 518. Rich Olsen
- 519. Scott Olsen
- 520. Travis Olsen
- 521. Tyler Olsen
- 522. AnnJeanette Olson

- 523. Glen Olson**
- 524. Larry and Sonja Orton**
- 525. Andy Osborne**
- 526. Maggon Osmond**
- 527. Keisha Otten**
- 528. Lynn and Geneene Page**
- 529. Charlene Palmer**
- 530. Guy Palmer**
- 531. Katrina Palmer**
- 532. Kenneth Palmer**
- 533. Nate Palmer**
- 534. Stacy Palmer**
- 535. Greg Parker**
- 536. Christopher Parry**
- 537. Kayce Parry**
- 538. Ramona Parry**
- 539. Ken Paulson**
- 540. Dale Peel**
- 541. David Peel**
- 542. Seth Petersen**
- 543. Charles Peterson**
- 544. Marjorie Peterson**
- 545. Russell Peterson**
- 546. William and Cindy Peterson**
- 547. Jason Pipes**
- 548. Jim Bob Pipes**
- 549. Don and Joan Pollock**
- 550. Cody and Linda Poulsen**
- 551. Christian Probasco**
- 552. Sarah Probasco**
- 553. Darin Ray**
- 554. Paul and Janice Ray**
- 555. Rebecca Rees**
- 556. George Richardson**

- 557. Suzuan Richardson**
- 558. John Roper**
- 559. Rebecca Rosas**
- 560. Eric Rouska**
- 561. Karla Rouska**
- 562. Warren Royall**
- 563. Margaret Ruiz**
- 564. Robert Runyan**
- 565. Bryan Russell**
- 566. Carol Russell**
- 567. Neil Schauers**
- 568. Toby Schiess**
- 569. Larry Schlappi**
- 570. Mark Seastrand**
- 571. Donny Seely**
- 572. Haylee Seely**
- 573. K. Seely**
- 574. Ted and Tena Seely**
- 575. Terrel Seely**
- 576. Frank and Cherrie Servey**
- 577. Doug and Julie Shelley**
- 578. Deon and Sandra Sidwell**
- 579. Brent Simmons**
- 580. Kimberly Simons**
- 581. Kris Simons**
- 582. Dennis and Shirley Slack**
- 583. Dan Smith**
- 584. Dave Smith**
- 585. Erwin and Deon Smith**
- 586. Jan Smith**
- 587. Larry Smith**
- 588. Ross and Mary Smith**
- 589. Ryan Smith**
- 590. Verla Smith**

- 591. Erma Sorensen**
- 592. Lee Ray and Venice Sorensen**
- 593. Gregory Soter**
- 594. Sam Soter**
- 595. Dixie Spencer**
- 596. Richard Spotts**
- 597. Nolan and Carolyn Squire**
- 598. Sara Staker**
- 599. Tad Steadman**
- 600. Lloyd Stevens**
- 601. Marietta Stewart and Family**
- 602. David Strate**
- 603. Radene Sunderland**
- 604. Josh Swapp**
- 605. Helen Swensen**
- 606. Lisa Syme**
- 607. Jeanne, Tom, and Brian Taskar**
- 608. Eric Taylor**
- 609. Megan Taylor**
- 610. Sandra Taylor**
- 611. Evelyn Terry**
- 612. Jeanette Terry**
- 613. Ross Terry**
- 614. Scot Terry**
- 615. Theresia Terry**
- 616. Wanda Terry**
- 617. Coach "Frits" Tessler**
- 618. John and Rinda Thompson**
- 619. Todd Thorne**
- 620. Lynna Topolovec**
- 621. Michael Traina**
- 622. Kammy Tucker**
- 623. Lois Tucker**
- 624. JoAnn Turpin**

- 625. Kevin Turpin
- 626. Kory Turpin
- 627. Kyle Turpin
- 628. Brad VanDyke
- 629. Larry Veenker
- 630. Lanny Wakefield
- 631. Brooks Walh
- 632. Robert Walsh
- 633. Roxey Washburn
- 634. A. Kaye Watson
- 635. Jeff and Laura Watson
- 636. R. Dennis Watson
- 637. Gerald and Shauna Wayman
- 638. Barbara Wheeler
- 639. Katerina Wheeler
- 640. Mont Wheeler
- 641. Darrell White (May 20, 2010)
- 642. Darrell White (May 21, 2010)
- 643. LuDean White
- 644. Ronald Whiteley
- 645. Scott Whitman
- 646. Rodney Wilde
- 647. Ernie Williams
- 648. Chris Williams
- 649. James and Kay Williams
- 650. William Williamson
- 651. Bradley Winn
- 652. Richard Winn
- 653. Barbara Wintch
- 654. Elmo and Jo Ann Winward
- 655. Norma Wocknitz
- 656. Elizabeth Woodard
- 657. Jon Woodard
- 658. Cathy Woodward

- 659. Ned Worthington**
- 660. Robert and Sandy Wright**
- 661. Anita Yardley**
- 662. Bret Yardley**
- 663. Cynthia Yardley**
- 664. Gene Yardley**
- 665. Jann Yardley**
- 666. Jay Yardley**
- 667. Russell Yardley**
- 668. Travis Yardley**
- 669. Gay Zabriskie (May 29, 2010, 8:53 p.m.)**
- 670. Gay Zabriskie (May 29, 2010, 9:01 p.m.)**
- 671. Glen Zumwalt**
- 672. Judy Zumwalt**

84. ROGER AND DENICE AAGARD

May 20, 2010

To Whom it May Concern:

84-1 As residents of Sanpete County, we are greatly in favor of the Narrows Project. It has been promised to Sanpete County for nearly 80 years. Carbon County has received all the water it was promised, it should now be Sanpete County's turn.


Sanpete County has a significant water shortage, so we desperately need the water storage that the project would provide. We believe that storing water to enable Sanpete residents to farm and have culinary water for all residents is extremely important.

We also feel the Narrows should be built where proposed, as proposed. It will be of economic benefit and have recreational benefit, not only for Sanpete County but surrounding communities as well.

Water supply is the critical issue. The people of Sanpete County and their need for water are far more important than any other issues that have been brought up and the State of Utah agrees that the Narrows should be built. We feel that the Narrows Project is extremely important for the future of Sanpete County.

Sincerely,

Roger Aagard
Denice Aagard
Roger Aagard
Denice Aagard



PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 21 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>JS</i>	100
	<i>JS</i>	105
	<i>JS</i>	107
	<i>JS</i>	100
6/4/10	<i>JS</i>	100
6/4/10	<i>JS</i>	100

Action:

Classification: ENV-6.00

Project: Narrows

Comments: 10034461

11228/6

85. JANA ABRAMS (APRIL 22)

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jana Abrams [Jana.Abrams@carbon.utah.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 8:27 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Gooseberry

- 85-1 Peter Crookston, As a home grown citizen of Carbon County I have seen years where we have been in a drought situation and have had to ration drinking water or even ration outside watering of our lawns and gardens. As a farm girl I have seen years where we have not had the water to irrigate through the entire summer season. It is the memories of these past years, and there will be years in the future as well, where we will be rationing our water that scare me. If water is diverted to the Gooseberry dam we loose valuable drinking water. It is my understanding that Sanpete County wants the water to have an additional crop of hay, etc. It is not to supply them with drinking water, but to increase crop production. For us here in Carbon County it is not a matter of additional crop production, it is a matter of life sustaining drinking water.

Thank you for your time.

Jana Abrams
 Coordinator - Energy Loop:
 Huntington/Eccles Canyons
 National Scenic Byway
 Utah's Castle Country
 81 N. 200 East
 Price, Utah 84501
 (435) 636-3701
 Fax: (435) 637-7010

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
 RECEIVED
 JUN 1 10

DATE	INITIALS	TIME
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
6/30/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
7/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	774cy

ENV-6.00
 Narrows
 10044372
 1122816

86. JANA ABRAMS (MAY 26)

May 26, 2010

ORIGINAL

Mr. Peter Crookston
PRO-774
Bureau of Reclamation
302 E. 1860 South
Provo, UT 84606-7317

Dear Mr. Crookston:

86-1 I was in attendance at the public meeting held in Price, Utah on the Gooseberry project.

I can't believe after the compelling evidence that you were presented with by several local businesses that a decision in favor of Sanpete County would be given by your department. However, I learned long ago that what seems evident as a decision to some will not be the decision that comes through. I also know politics can raise its ugly head at any time and play a huge role in people's decisions.

I was particularly impressed with the information given to you about a Supreme Court decision that had already been made years ago on this same issue. I hope you will look at all of the facts. I will not go over the facts that were presented to you, as I have seen the letters sent by Commission Krompel and from the Carbon County Chamber of Commerce. They say all I would say.

I would hope that you will see the value in the sustainability of a community who depends greatly on the Scofield water for their mere existence, not for further recreational opportunities or for an additional crop of hay.

Sincerely,

Jana Abrams
Carbon County Lifelong Resident

Jana Abrams
2768 E. Coal Creek Rd.
Price, Utah 84501

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 01 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/17/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/21/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	7740
Action:		
Classification: <i>ENV-1600</i>		
P. # <i>NARROWS</i>		
Comments: <i>10137990</i>		
Index: <i>1122816</i>		

87. JOHN AND MARY ALLAN

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: John & Mary Allan [johnandmary@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 02, 2010 7:35 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project - Sanpete County, Utah

To Whom It May Concern:

- 87-1 Sanpete County is growing rapidly and the need for water is greater than ever. There is no way we can continue counting on the water to run off as it should for both home and agriculture. We need help to make sure that we can store both agricultural and drinking water. Please help us by completing the project that was promised to us nearly 80 years ago.

Thanks for your consideration.

John and Mary Allan
Mount Pleasant, Utah

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 1 2010

DATE	BY	AMOUNT
	John & Mary Allan	100
		105
		107
	KS	700
6/30/10	RC	770
7/22/10	RC	774cy

END - 6.00
Narrows
10044352
1122816

88. ACE ALLRED

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Phylis Allred [pcallred@gtelco.net]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 11:59 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

To Whom it May Concern:

88-1 My name is Ace Allred and I live in Centerfield, Utah located in Sanpete County.

I am in agreement of the Narrows Project and the water be restored back to Sanpete County. This water is needed to water my garden that helps grows vegetables that is can or freeze to supply food for my family throughout the winter.

It also it also helps to provide watering of my lawn which helps to cool may home so I am no using so much electricity or natural gas which helps cut down own the cost of producing this.

Many of my neighbors are farmers and ranchers. This is the sole income for them and they heavily rely on water to water cropy in the field and water to their animals. If these people were to go out of business it would be 1 more person on unemployment and one more family of a dwindling family support service.

To deny Sanpete county water would have a such a domino affect that people at a large desk with a steady paycheck don't realize what they do has an affects on so many people, in a beauty area. This is could happen and is happening now. Have they ever to gotten home from a long day at work to find there is thing for dinner and your kids have been hungry all day, your lighted turned off and your freeze and refrigerator are off so what food you did have is now spoiled, and cupboards a basically.

Please don't let Carbon County continue to use what is rightly ours. I they will not let go, start to build a new one.

Sincerely;
Ace Allred
Proud
Centerfiled, Ut

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 15 '10

Rec'd Date	By	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	774 cy

Action:
Disposition: EAP - 6.00
Narrows
10043123
1122816

89. BRYAN ALLRED

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Susan Allred [ballred@cut.net]
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 4:57 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: infavor of narrows project

May 29, 2010

89-1 Dear Bureau of Reclamation,
I am writing to express my views on the Gooseberry Narrows Project. I am very much in favor of this project. I am a farmer in Sanpete and I feel this project is way over due.
As a farmer and resident of Sanpete we need this water that was promised to us to us over 70 years ago.
My livelihood would be effected for the better when this project is completed. I would beable to improve the farm in ways that my father was not able to do. This project is important to all of Sanpete County. Sanpete County clearly owns the water rights involved. The Utah Supreme Court and the US Department of Justice have both acknowledged Sanpete's water rights. I want to express my opinion in favor of this project and hope you will consider how important this water is to us.
Thank you and please help us get what is rightfully ours.

Sincerely,

Bryan E. Allred
P.O. Box 116
Fountain Green, UT 84632

OFFICIAL FILE CO.

RECEIVED

JUL 8 '10

		100
		105
		107
	KS	700
7/8/10	BCA	770
7/12/10	PC	774

END - 6.00
Narrows
10045021
1122816

91. MICHAEL ALSOP

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: michael alsop [michaelalsop8@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2010 5:43 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: The Narrows Dam & Reservoir

Dear USBR,

- 91-1 I would strongly recommend that the Bureau of Reclamation Issue a favorable Record of Decision on the Narrows Dam and Reservoir.

I am a property owner and farmer in Sanpete County. I have a small farm where I grow hay for my animals. For many years, I am given a watering schedule that goes from April to November...yet I receive no water after August. We always run out. Consequently, I have only one hay crop a year...barely enough to feed my animals...not enough to grow and expand. I can't afford to go out and buy the need hay to expand my operation, so I have to work elsewhere.

It is sad that over 70 years ago, we split the water with Carbon county on the east side of the mountains, yet they have not honored their sworn word and have kept all the water. The plan was that storage would be created to supply both counties. Carbon received their end of the bargain over 50 years ago. Sanpete has so far received none of the water storage that was promised to it.

The Narrows should be built as soon as possible as a matter of fairness and integrity. Law suits, delays and other legal manipulations of the system, have denied our county it's legal portion.

Construction will benefit our state by creating new jobs that are desperately needed in our county. Rescent changes in the economy have dramatically affected our area, with many unemployed.

New jobs bring new incomes that help the tax base in our county, which will have a marked impact on education for our families.

The EIS, which has been done three times now, points out that water conservation is a very important part of the solution to the water shortage problem. Sanpete county has an enviable track record on water conservation and the conservation infrastures needed, which have saved 8000 acre-feet of water.

Every reasonable alternative to the Narrows has been considered. There are no alternatives that are a productive, environmentally-friendly or cost-effective as the proposed Narrows project.

Thankyou for your consideration,

Dr. Michael E. Alsop

92. CONNIE AMES

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Connie Ames [cljames@cut.net]
Sent: Saturday, May 01, 2010 10:38 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: I SUPPORT THIS PROJECT

To whom it may concern:

92-1 This is definitely a worthy project. That water would benefit the people of Sanpete County immensely.

My father, Grant Johansen, encouraged and worked hard and fought for these "RIGHTS" many years ago.

I fully support those diligent people who are trying to get this "Narrows Project" approved.

GOOD LUCK TO THEM!

Connie Ames

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 2010

Log Data		
Date	Inventory	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	KS	700
6/30/10	BSA	770
7/30/10	PC	774cy

EX-11-6.00
Narrows
10044339
11228/6

93. MINDY AMES

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Mindy Ames [mindy.ames@nsanpete.k12.ut.us]
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2010 9:45 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

To whom it may concern:

93-1 I am writing to express the severe need of the Narrows Project to Sanpete County. As a Sanpete County resident I see only the good that can come out of this project. We are desperately in need of water for our homes, farms and communities. I know in Mt. Pleasant we start running out of water around July 15th and that is not even half way through the summer. I have a beautiful yard that I hate to see burn up because of lack of water. I realize yards are not nearly as important as crops to farmers. It is devastating to drive through Sanpete and see fields left to burn up because there is not enough water. This not only effects the farmer but everyone. How you might ask? If the farmer cannot grow his own product he has to buy from someone else, this in turn cost the farmer lots of money. Which can then lead to financial distress, which might eventually lead to failure of their farm, which then leads to unemployment, not only for him, but for the many people that they employ. It is a terrible cycle that possible could be prevented if we had more water.

The other thing I love about the Narrows Project is the thought of having a wonderful recreational area that would bring in tourist to our beautiful county. We already have many, many people come to snowmobile, fish, camp, hike etc. I can not even imagine the number of people that would come if we had this beautiful place that will just enhance what we already have. What an opportunity we would have to share our valuable and beautiful resources.

The other area the Narrows Project would help out with is the unemployment problems that are abounding in our state. What a wonderful way to create jobs in construction, maintenance, service etc. This would be such an incredible asset to Sanpete County and the State of Utah.

I understand this water has been promised to us for over 80 years! I do not understand what the hold up is. This is ridiculous! Why do we need to continue to fight for this when it has been given to us by the State and Federal government? It is ours give it to us and let's get on with the wonderful things it will come our way because of this.

Sincerely,

Mindy Sunderland Ames
209 South State
Mt. Pleasant, UT 84647

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Date	Total	Cash
	500	100
	400	105
	300	107
	KP	700
6/21/10	BCH	770
6/21/10	PC	774 cty
Total		
ENV - 6.00		
Narkows		
10040473		
1122816		

94. CHET ANDERSEN

OR

Crookston, Peter L

From: Chet Andersen [cna@cut.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 7:53 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows

94-1 We need to get this done it has been a long time coming. It will be A help for all concerned.
Thank you
Chet Andersen
Fairview, Utah

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

Log Entry		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/30/10	BCH	770
7/22/10	PC	774cy

END - 6.00
Narrows
10044402
1122816

95. BROOK ANDERSON

AL

Crookston, Peter L

ORIGINAL

From: Anderson Service Center [asc@cut.net]
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 4:56 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

To Whom it May concern,

95-1 Sanpete County has been promised the 5,400 acer-feet of water for nearly 80 years. Not only is that a ridiculously long time to wait for a promise to be fulfilled, it is pathetic. I remember going for drives with my Grandpa and him showing me the site of the soon to come Narrows project and that was over 15 years ago. He was so excited to be able to drive only 30 mintues to go fishing instead of the nearly hour and twenty mintues to get to the nearest "decent fishing hole" as he put it. Not only would it create recreational benifits, but it would also create jobs for the residents of Sanpete County and surrounding areas.

So why not just get to the promised made nearly 80 years ago and give Sanpete County what they already have rights to according to the Utah Supreme Court and the US Department of Justice.

Brooke Anderson

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initial	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/10/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
		774cy
Action:		
Classification: ENV-6.00		
Narrows		
10039720.		
1122816		

96. CASEY ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: casey anderson [caseyjill2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 12:56 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 96-1 I would like to take the opportunity to express a couple hopeful concerns with this water project. I have been a resident of sanpete county almost my whole life being born and raised in Fairview, UT. I currently reside in this town and have a wife and three kids. I can honestly remember hearing my grandparents discuss the water project of the narrows at the top of Fairview canyon being talked about when I was just a tiny kid. I may have been about five years old. I know have a four year old myself and nothing has been done in all of that time. From my research I have decided that it is about time to get this project constructed and completed for the citizens of Sanpete County. The water has been taken away for way to long. Anyone who believes in ethics and standards should agree and feel the obligation to provide what has been promised. Please move forward with this project so that the growth and viability of this county can be provided for the generations to come. I would much rather take my grandkids for a ride up to the lake 25 years from now, then to have to tell the stories of what should have been like my grandpa told to me. Thanks,
Casey Anderson
118 North 100 West
Fairview, UT 84629

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 1 2010

		100
		105
		107
	KS	210
7/8/10	bat	220
7/12/10	PC	77404

END-600
NARROWS
1004501616
1122816

97. CLINTON ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: clinton anderson [csafree2roam@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 3:10 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: NARROWS PROJECT

To whom it may concern:

My name is Clinton Anderson from Fairview Utah.

- 97-1 I grew up on a farm in Fairview helping my dad raise 2500 head of sheep and about 50 cows. I move sprinklers all summer and try to keep the flood irrigating going. I have seen first hand the effects of not enough water for the crops and fields. It is tough to only get two crops of hay when we know we should be getting three or four. It is also hard to watch the pastures dry up and not have any feed left for the sheep. This kills the life blood of our business, raising lambs.

This project has been in the planning phase for years now. It is well past time to get it going. All human life in Sanpete county depends on this project and the water that comes from our beautiful mountains. Lets grow Sanpete together, and make this happen.

Thanks, Clint

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Copy Date	Initials	Costs
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
4/20/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/21/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	774.00

ENV - 6.00
 Narrows
 10040324
 1122816

98. COREY ANDERSON

Crookston, Peter L

From: Hazel [hazel@cut.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 10:13 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

To Whom It May Concern;

- 98-1 I am a farmer and rancher and have lived in North Sanpete for 58 years. I am in favor of the Narrows Project as proposed. Our county needs water storage to ensure a continuous supply of water throughout the summer. We've watched our crops dry up in August because of lack of water. The water right belongs to Sanpete. It's legal as has been shown by the courts and the Division of Water Resources. Carbon County's use of the water will never equal ownership of the water right. Carbon County needs to live up to the signed contracts and support the Narrows Project.

Sincerely,

Corey Anderson

RR 1 Box 215

Fairview, Utah 84629

NO OFFICIAL FILE CO
RECEIVED

JUN 18 '10

		100
		105
		107
	KS	700
7/8/10	ACM	776
7/12/10	PC	774cy

ENV-6.00
NARROWS
10045221
1122816

99. EUGENE ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: eanderson9513@lycos.com
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2010 12:29 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS; PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Sanpete needs water storage project completed

99-1 I agree with the independent 3rd party study which indicates to me:

The best option is to build the storage at the proposed location and at the size presently proposed.

A smaller storage is not the best choice in this project.

Carbon county has their water storage and Sanpete needs water storage improvement.

This project has many benefits for central Utah.

Thank You,

Eugene Anderson

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Study Date	Studyer	Code
	PC	100
	PC	105
	PC	107
	KS	700
6/21/10	PC	770
6/24/10	PC	774cy
Amount:	ENW-10.00	
Location:	Narrows	
Project:	10040443	
Page:	122816	

101. HAZEL ANDERSON (MAY 27)

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Hazel [hazel@cut.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 11:16 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows project

To whom it may concern:

101-1 I want to be on record as strongly supporting the Narrows Project, and favor its immediate start.

As a lifelong resident of north Sanpete County, our means of supporting our family has been agriculture and livestock. Perhaps no one outside our area can appreciate the challenges of making a living in this manner. We chose our life's work, and have no regrets. It has been a good life for our family.

Perhaps the most difficult thing that we have, so far, been unable to remedy is the fact that after the spring run-off, our water supply rapidly diminishes. We lack sufficient water storage to sustain our crops and herds (to say nothing of our gardens and our yards) through the summer. A second or third crop of hay, or green pastures would mean a lot to those of us engaged in agriculture. In many cases it could make the difference between operating at a loss, breaking even, or (in rare instances) turning a little profit.

Thanks to the forward-thinking of those who have gone before us, a partial solution to this problem was put in place decades ago – what has become known as the Narrows Project. Unfortunately, it was not immediately implemented, and now there are those who would like to forget it ever occurred. This is sad.

Let's forget politics and move on.

Sincerely,

Hazel J. Anderson
 PO Box 21
 Fairview, Utah 84629

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 01 '10

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Code
		JS	100
		JS	105
		JS	107
		RS	700
6/10/10		BCA	770
6/15/10		PC	7740
Action:			
Classification: EAU-6.00			
Topic: Narrows			
Accession Number: 100 39180			
Barcode: 1122816			

103. JANE ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Rian B. Anderson [rian@cut.net]
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 8:08 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

Dear Sirs:

- 103-1 I'm writing this e-mail in support of the Narrows Project. I feel it is important to store all the water we can. We've seen a very wide swing over the past few years in our winter snow pack. Some years its good, but many years it falls well below that into the drought category. Water storage helps even these years out. What is the problem? Lets build the dam.

Sincerely,

Jane H. Anderson
 45 S 400 E
 Fairview, UT 84629

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	[Signature]	100
	[Signature]	105
	[Signature]	107
	KS	700
6/10/10	Butt	770
		77404
Action:		
Classification: ENV-10.00		
Project: NARROWS		
File Number: 100 39 765		
Page: 1122816		

104. JEFF ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jeff [mooney@mail.manti.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2010 5:20 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows project

Bureau of Reclamation,
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

- 104-1 In the 1930's, water storage was promised to Sanpete County, and the doubling of Scofield Reservoir for additional water storage was promised to Carbon County. Carbon received their 30,000 acre-feet of additional water storage; Sanpete has yet to receive the 5,400 acre-feet that were promised.

I think Carbon county is being very narrow minded about this project. This project could generate money and jobs for them as well as Sanpete county. I have lived in Sanpete all my life I know that every year mid to late summer we are strapped for water. I also like to fish and camp this could be A great recreation area. I also think that we should build the larger of the proposed reservoirs.

I think if we do not get the reservoir promised Sanpete should sell the water to Nevada or California at least Sanpete would get some benefit from *OUR WATER!!*

Jeff Anderson
554 West 300 South
Manti, Ut. 84642

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date	Disc	Comments	Cmts
		REP	100
		REP	105
		REP	107
		KS	700
6/16/10		REP	770
6/23/10		REP	774cy

Project: ENV-6.00
Title: Narrows
File Number: 10040009
Page: 1122 816

105. JENNA ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jenna Anderson [cowgirlchick@microsoft.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 10:14 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: what i think!

- 105-1 this is a good idea because not only are more and more people coming to these areas but water supply is getting slim because of the the growth. if a storage place is provied it will help greatly in providing us more surety of having water supply in the future. i am for ths new water storage.
Jenna Anderson

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 1 2010

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
	RS	100
	RS	105
	RS	107
	RS	100
4/23/10	BCA	776
6/23/10	RE	774 ccy

ENVU-6.00
Narrows
10041540
1122816

106. JILL ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jill Anderson [andjill83@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 1:03 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows comments.

- 106-1 I would like to take a quick moment and express my support of the building and construction of the narrows project at the top of Fairview Canyon.
I have heard it talked about for years. I agree that it should be done. Water rights owned by Sanpete County for all this time should be delivered to the rightful owners. I feel good about the opportunity for job creation as well as the recreational opportunities it will provide. I am excited for the growth and quality of life that this water will provide to the generations of sanpete county residents.
It is the ethical, moral, and right thing to do. Please make this happen!
Thank you,
Jill Anderson
118 N 100 W
Fairview, UT 84629

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 18 10

	100
	105
	107
	200
7/8/10	600
7/12/10	770
	774 af

ENV - 6.00
NARROWS
10045072
1/228/6

107. JUSTIN ANDERSON

AL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Justin Anderson [andersonbuildersllc@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2010 10:16 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project needs to move ahead.

To whom this may concern:

- 107-1 This project needs to move forward to protect the citizens of Sanpete county and their farms. The water is right fully theirs and should be used accordingly. This has gone on long enough...please move forward and quit wasting tax dollars in all of the muck.

Sincerely

Justin Anderson

Anderson Builders LLC
6272 W Alder Ave,
Littleton CO. 80128
Phone: 303-351-6478
FAX: 303-997-1889

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Page 1 of 1		
Date	Initials	Cost
	JS	100
	JS	100
	JS	107
	JS	700
6/10/10	JS	770
6/15/10	JS	77404

Action:

Classification: ENO-6.00

Narrows

100 390 88

11 22 816

108. KATHY ANDERSON

ATL

ORIGIN

Crookston, Peter L

From: Kathy Anderson [keenterprise@lycos.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 11:05 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS; PRO NarrowsEIS

- 108-1 I agree with the 3rd party study which indicates to me to be the best option is to build the storage at the proposed location and at the size presently proposed.
Carbon Count has their water storage and now Sanpete needs water storage improvement. This project has many benefits for Cental Utah.
Thank You,
Kathy Anderson

Kathy Anderson
KE Enterprise
1-435-427-9375

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 10

Reply Date		
Date	Author	Code
	KS	100
	KS	105
	KS	107
	KS	100
6/23/10	KS	770
6/23/10	KS	774cy

ENCLOSURE
Classification: ENV - G.00
Narrows
10641444
1122816

109. KEVIN ANDERSON

25 May 2010

Bureau of Reclamation,
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

ORIGINAL

Re: Narrows Project Sanpete County

Dear Mr. Crookston;

109-1 I have lived in Fairview for fifty-four years. I am the sixth generation of my family living in Fairview. My family used to make a living with land and livestock. I grew up on the farm. Over the years, economic benefits derived from farming have dwindled restricting the capacity of the ranch to provide for relatives. Lack of irrigation water in the latter part of the summer on dry years has hurt our ability to prosper and keep more jobs in our area. After marrying I found employment over the county line. I commuted to work for 22 years before gaining employment in Sanpete sufficient to sustain my family.

I remember my grandfather talking of the "Mammoth Reservoir" and the effort to reclaim water over the years. My father and uncles talked of the fight over the water rights and the new tunnel. There has been consistent effort because the need is real. We are not the wealthy trying to abuse the poor. Neither are we content accepting the crumbs of those who are well positioned. I hope to be alive when the Narrows Project finally delivers water to Sanpete. I would take pleasure in telling my five children, who have all moved away to find jobs, that we now have in place the delivery system that will begin to make our little valley blossom both literally and figuratively.

The use of bureaucracy to stall completion of worthwhile projects is particularly distasteful when jobs are badly needed. I personally feel that the Narrows Project has been held hostage by self-serving government individuals. I now hold some hope that the day of the self-serving politician may be limited.

Sanpete is nearer to the Wasatch front and any benefit will in turn serve the more populated counties of the state. Many of my neighbors currently travel to northern counties for employment. We have become a bedroom community. Having more water storage will attract more residents and more business. I do not personally agree with this thinking but I know those who read this will equate more people and more taxes. Another plus for the project in your eyes.

With it's policies our government during my lifetime has proceeded to take land out of production and champion tourism. I do not agree with their thinking. In the short term... tourism is good, but long term... this pel-mel dash to quick profit may be costly. Tourism is a business that suffers quickly in any economic downturn. In a state that prides itself in "paying as you go" the drastic fluctuations caused by "happy industries" such as tourism creates further suffering. This project does no harm to production and includes tourism features or it would probably not even have made it to this point. Fishing, boating, and camping will be a nice way to spend my later years if the project is allowed to move forward quickly.

Carbon county's agreement to work with Sanpete should be upheld and Sanpete should be made whole.

It is my hope that before four generations of my family pass our owner's rights will finally be made available for our use.

Sincerely,

Kevin L. Anderson

Kevin L. Anderson
RR1 Box 313
Fairview, UT 84629

cc: Senators Hatch & Bennett; Congressmen Chafetz, Bishop, & Matheson

RECEIVED
 MAY 26 '10
 Reply Date
 Date Initials Code
 5/23/10 [Signature] 100
 5/27/10 [Signature] 105
 5/27/10 [Signature] 107
 5/27/10 [Signature] 100
 5/27/10 [Signature] 110
 5/27/10 [Signature] 114
 Action:
 Classification: ENU-1200
 Project: MARRIOWS
 Control No:
 Folder I.D.:

110. LANE ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Lane Anderson [landers@utah.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 2:53 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 110-1 I am in favor of continuing forward with this project and think it is about time, (after 40 years), that the process of construction begin. This is an agreement that is long past due.

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 04 '10

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Code
		[Signature]	100
		[Signature]	105
		[Signature]	107
		KS	700
5/21/10		SM	770
6/21/10		PC	774 cy
Action:			
Classification: ENV-6.00			
Narrows			
Search no: 10040323			
11 22816			

111. LEE AND JUDY ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Lee & Judy Anderson [ljanders@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 21, 2010 2:22 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS

- 111-1 We are in favor of hurriedly going ahead with the Narrows Project. The North part of Sanpete County doesn't have storage for its entitled runoff. When it is gone, it is gone, and that leaves us without water when we really need it. The project should have been completed years ago to give Sanpete its rightful water. By all means lets get going on this project.

Sincerely,
Lee J. and Judy M. Anderson
305 E. 500 S.
Manti, Ut. 84642

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 10

DATE	INITIALS	AMOUNT
	CS	100
	KS	105
	KS	107
6/30/10	ACB	700
7/22/10	AC	770
		774.49

ENV - 6.00
Narrows
10044370
1122816

112. LOWELL ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Ira [Ira@cut.net]
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 5:11 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project should be built SDEIS

Bureau of Reclamation, Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

112-1 I strongly favor construction of the Narrows Dam & Reservoir. It seems to me, after seventy years, all that can be said has been said regarding this project. If we cannot come to an agreement now to supplement Sanpete county water supply we should stop wasting more money and just let us dry up.

Sanpete clearly owns the water rights involved. Utah House of Representative and Utah State Senate Passed Resolutions in 2008 & 2009 in favor. The state natural resource experts and the State Engineer agree that the Narrows should be built.

The US Bureau of Reclamation has completed planning and construction of water projects in Utah for many years and these projects have been very important, to communities and to the state. These projects are now repaying their reimbursable costs with interest. Sanpete Water Conservancy District will contract to do the same.

I hope that Reclamation can approve the Sanpete county application for a loan to construct the Narrows Project. I favor a perpetual easement being granted for the construction, operation and maintenance of this project. Sanpete County has invested heavily over the years to consider various sites, but the current proposed site is the most ideal. Putting the reservoir here would greatly enhance the functional and aesthetic quality of this beautiful mountain area.

Really, I would like to live long enough to see this project completed, but another 70 years is out of the question. Please put this project on the front burner!

Sincerely

Lowell R. Anderson
265 East Center Street
Fairview, Utah 84629

113. MAXINE ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Maxine Anderson [garymax@cut.net]
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 12:25 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: It is needed

- 113-1 I'm am a life time resident of Fairview, and I think the water is very necessary for this side of the mountain. My vote is yes.
Thank you. Maxine Anderson Fairview Utah

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 10

	100
	105
	107
	200
7/5/10	770
7/12/10	774cy

EXD-6.00
Narrows
10044052
1122816

114. McKELLE ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: McKelle Anderson [softball07_babes@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 10:25 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS

- 114-1 I agree with the independent 3rd party study which indicates we need more water storage in Sanpete County.

Thank you,
Mckelle Anderson

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 10 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Cost
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/23/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/23/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	7740y

Action:
Classification: ENU-6.00
Narrows
10041599
1122816

115. PAMELA ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Pam Anderson [pamchop@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 6:22 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project

- 115-1 The Narrows Dam Project should be allowed to be completed. The nearly 100 years of waiting is TOO long! Sanpete County, especially the northern part of the county, really needs this water.

Water Rights "Them's fightin' words" is very much a part of life in the West. We are arid. I believe that the more water storage facilities the better. It is so much the better to be prepared for the lean years that always come. Water storage is a godsend. I love the land and the life on it, but I believe that these things can adapt/relocate as needed and that human need for water far out ways any negative arguments presented against this project. The environment can only benefit from this as well.

We need to hold to the previous approval and stop wavering.

Please, let's get on with the construction.

Pamela Anderson
Resident and City Council Person
240 N 400 E
PO Box 409
Spring City, UT 84662

OFFICIAL FILE C...
RECEIVED
JUL 13 '10

	100
	105
	107
7/8/10	100
7/12/10	770
	774cy

ENV - 6.00
Narrows
10045128
1122816

116. PAULA ANDERSON

25 May 2010

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

ORIGINAL

RE: Narrows Project Sanpete County

Dear Mr. Crookston;

- 116-1 I have lived in Fairview for twenty-nine years. A shortage of water has always been a problem in the late summer months. That doesn't seem fair when we have the right to water we cannot use. The need is real. My husband was raised on the family farm. It was a large farm mostly consisting of grazing sheep and cattle. Agriculture has changed and what use to provide for three families now provides for one if the spouse has a good job. A third crop of hay is almost unheard of in our part of the valley. We used to export lamb, beef, milk, turkey and hay from our end of the county but lately our most valuable export is our children as they look for employment and opportunity elsewhere.

There have been years when the water runs out before the vegetables in my personal garden can mature enough to harvest.

We as a population are dying on the vine. I see the increased storage of water as one of the first hurdles that must now be passed in order to make economic recovery begin. Our only value is as a dormitory for those not wanting to live in a city. Culinary water systems seem to be near capacity as well.

For years I have watched the eyes of my neighbors light up when talk of the narrows project seems hopeful. When I think of the immediate jobs and the sustainable benefits of this project, I feel a little excitement as well. However, over the years I have seen my neighbor's disappointment. If you sense some apathy among my neighbors it might be attributable to recurring dissatisfaction. The bureaucracy which, in this case, has damned our progress has been a colossal waste of time and money.

It would be nice to see the fruits of those who have championed this effort to secure our legal rights begin now to be harvested.

Sincerely,

Paula S. Anderson

Paula S. Anderson
RR1 Box 313
Fairview, UT 84629

cc: Senators Hatch & Bennett; Congressmen Chafetz, Bishop, & Matheson

PRO OFFICIAL FILE
RECEIVED
MAY 26 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	PC	100
	PC	105
	PC	107
	PC	109
5/27/10	PC	110
5/27/10	PC	111

Action:
Classification: ENV-10-00
Project: Narrows
Control No:
Folder LE: 10035772
1122816

cy

117. RIAN ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Rian B. Anderson [rian@cut.net]
Sent: Saturday, May 08, 2010 8:49 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Sanpete County Narrows Project

Dear Sirs:

117-1 This letter is in reference to the Narrows Project in Fairview Canyon, above Fairview, UT.

I whole-heartedly support the project. Utah is a desert state where water is a valuable commodity and in short supply. As population increases and more people need to be serviced with this commodity, it is becoming more valuable than ever. I feel every effort should be expended to store and conserve the water we do have. As I understand it, this is also one of the major reasons the Bureau of Reclamation was established.

The Narrows is a common-sense project. As the name implies, the gap that has to be filled to create this reservoir is relatively small and would be a dollar-wise investment.

I strongly encourage that the Narrows project go ahead without further delay. We have waited long enough!

Sincerely,

Rian B. Anderson
45 S 400 E
Fairview, UT 84629

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 1 2010

		100
		105
		107
		700
6/30/10	RC	776
7/24/10	RC	774cy

ENV-6.00
NARR
10044388
1122816

118. RON ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Ron Anderson [ron.skyview@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:11 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Sanpete County - Narrow Project

Ron Anderson
57 W. 400 N.
Centerfield, Utah 85622

To: Bureau of Reclamation,
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606
Bureau of Reclamation

- 118-1** My feelings in regards to the Narrows Project is that it is a sound project based on sound principles, history, and need. The project has been delayed enough. It is time for northern Sanpete County to have what was promised over 70 years ago! The sooner the better.

I have personally been lobbied by Sanpete residents concerned that the project will increase taxes and benefit only a small portion of the county 's residents. I DO NOT AGREE. I believe that the potential economic gain from the project will far outweigh any potential future costs. Either, way I would hope if the situation reversed and there was a possibility for water storage and increase in opportunity such as offered by this project were to happen in south Sanpete County that the north county residents would support it. I support the north county residents opportunity at this time.

Any opposition from Carbon County -at this point - is unfounded in my opinion, and not to be considered. They have gained exponentially from their protest of the project to this point, and far more concession has been laid at their feet, so to speak, than should have been.

Sanpete County owns the water rights (as endorsed by the Utah Supreme court). Sanpete has at every opposition patiently demonstrated all the right reasons to continue the project. The benefits are many, badly needed and proven. What more can Sanpete do to prove the projects worth?

Please, continue the project to completion as quickly as feasible.

Thank You

Ron Anderson

119. STEVEN R. ANDERSON

119-1 The water was promised to Sanpete County, I think we should keep it in Sanpete County.

Steven R. Anderson
Indianola
HC 13 Box 4316

120. THOMAS ANDERSON

HL

To The Bureau of Reclamation
Attn: Peter Crookston

- 120-1 It is time to get past the rhetoric, the delays and the procrastination and get the promised water to where it rightfully and legally belongs (and has done for many years) namely to Sanpete County!!! I highly favor the construction of the Narrows Dam and Reservoir.

This construction will be a water conservation project. It needn't be environmentally bad. There are always a few trade offs, but the fact remains that the need for water is with this county, and the time is far spent when that which is ours should be delivered to its rightful owner, as agreed upon by the Utah Supreme Court and the US Dept of Justice.

I would urge un-procrastinated immediate action.

Sincerely
Thomas E. Anderson DVM

630 South Main PO Box 760
Gunnison, Utah 84634

cc

Senator Orrin Hatch
Senator Robert Bennett
Congressman Jason Chaffetz
Congressman Jim Matheson
Congressman Rob Bishop

GUNNISON VALLEY ANIMAL CLINIC, APC
THOMAS E. ANDERSON, D.V.M. CHRIS R. LARSEN, D.V.M.

630 South Main Street
P.O. Box 760
Gunnison, Utah 84634
Telephone 528-7900

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 13 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
5/14	PC	100
	PC	105
	PC	107
	PC	720
5/23/10	PC	770
5/27/10	PC	774 cy

Action:
Classification: ENV-10.00
Project: Narrows
Comments: 100 31624
I.D.: 1122816

121. TRACY AND MELANIE ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Melanie Anderson [melanderson929@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 1:18 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: I support the Narrows Project

- 121-1 I have reviewed the information on the Narrows Project website and I support this project. It is a cost-effective and environmentally friendly means of storing water. My husband grew up in Fairview. His mother and many relatives still live there and throughout Sanpete County. The residents of Sanpete County NEED this water. Their crops and livestock need this water. Many depend on this water to make a living.

Please proceed with all plans to build the Narrows Project!

Thank you,
Melanie and Tracy Anderson
Spanish Fork, UT
801-798-7134

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 3 '10

DATE	NAME	QTY
	RC	100
	RC	105
	RC	107
	RC	700
6/30/10	RC	770
7/22/10	RC	774cy

ENV - 6.00
Narrows
10044347
1122816

122. TRAVIS ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: travis anderson [travis_ndrsn@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 3:51 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS; PRO NarrowsEIS

122-1 I strongly agree that the narrows is a good idea and will benifet the farmers of sanpete greatly.

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 13 '10

Each State		
Date	Initials	Cost
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	FS	700
6/30/10	RC	770
7/22/10	RC	774cy
Approved		
Classification: ENV-6.00		
Re: Narrows		
Case No: 10044300		
File No: 1122816		

123. TRENT ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Trenton Anderson [tjanderson02@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 4:33 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS; PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project

- 123-1 My name is Trent Anderson and I have lived in Fairview my whole life. I grew up on a farm and towards the end of the summer we would always run out of water. Animals need feed just as humans do and to get them the feed, water is necessary. If we were promised this project I think we should get what we were told we would.

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
 RECEIVED
 JUN 8 10

	100
	105
	107
	700
7/8/10	770
7/12/10	774 off

ENV - 6.00
 Narrows
 10045091
 1122816

124. VICTOR ANDERSON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: victor anderson [victorja08@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 9:59 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: need for more water

To Whom it May Concern:

124-1 I grew up on a farm in Fairview and I still have interest there. I had heard of this project as a child. I understood it was supposed to go in right after the Scofield Dam but never did because of financing. Back then, I thought it would be neat to have a dam above Fairview to go fishing at. But now I see irrigation as an even more important issue. At present, irrigation is very regulated - "this part of town can water on this day between blank and blank." Lines are always plugging up because the pond runs dry and fills the lines with debris. This is a beautiful valley that needs more water, so I think another dam is a good idea.

Victor Anderson

Hotmail has tools for the New Busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. [Learn more.](#)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 2010

Date	Initials	Code
	SCA	100
	SCA	105
	SCA	107
	KS	700
6/23/10	SCA	770
6/23/10	PC	7740y

END-6.00
Narrows
10041531
1122816

125. WYATT ANDERSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: wyatt anderson [wyattande@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 9:32 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: In Favor of Narrows Project

To Whom it May Concern,

125-1 We as life long residence of Sanpete County are In Favor and do support the Narrows Project. We as Farmers and Ranchers realize the importance of it and the impact it will have on our county. We strongly support and believe in the project. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ephraim Residence

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 8 '79

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
7/8/10	KS	120
7/12/10	BCA	105
	PC	107
		700
		770
		774.00

ENV-6.00
Narrows
10045057
1122876

126. HAYLEY ANDRUS

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Hayley Andrus [hayandrus@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 3:52 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Support for Utah Narrows Project

June 1, 2010

To whom it may concern,

126-1 I am supportive of the decision to build a dam at the Narrows Project site in Sanpete County. I was raised on a ranch in Mt. Pleasant Utah and knew first hand of the difficulty of farming because we lacked sufficient water. As the county grows water is increasingly the most pressing need to the economic livelihood of the area.

In graduate school I studied Environmental Science and often used the Narrows as a case study for many projects in classes. In studying every aspect of this debate I tried not to vilify Carbon county's work to stop the dam. But in the end the reasons put forward on their side of the debate are illegal and dishonest. In broader terms, I understand that building a dam in 2010 is extremely different than and building a dam in 1940 (when the original project was proposed). Dams are now one of the hottest environmental topics. However, in this case, I strongly feel that the dam is economically necessary, legally appropriate, and environmentally sustainable.

I support the building of this dam and especially the ripple effect it will have on the economy in Sanpete County agriculturally as well as supporting their tourism industry.

Thank for you time,
Hayley Andrus

PRO OFFICIAL FILE

RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Code
	6/1/10	[Signature]	100
		[Signature]	105
		[Signature]	107
		[Signature]	109
		[Signature]	110
		[Signature]	111
		[Signature]	112
		[Signature]	113
		[Signature]	114
		[Signature]	115
		[Signature]	116
		[Signature]	117
		[Signature]	118
		[Signature]	119
		[Signature]	120
		[Signature]	121
		[Signature]	122
		[Signature]	123
		[Signature]	124
		[Signature]	125
		[Signature]	126
		[Signature]	127
		[Signature]	128
		[Signature]	129
		[Signature]	130
		[Signature]	131
		[Signature]	132
		[Signature]	133
		[Signature]	134
		[Signature]	135
		[Signature]	136
		[Signature]	137
		[Signature]	138
		[Signature]	139
		[Signature]	140
		[Signature]	141
		[Signature]	142
		[Signature]	143
		[Signature]	144
		[Signature]	145
		[Signature]	146
		[Signature]	147
		[Signature]	148
		[Signature]	149
		[Signature]	150
		[Signature]	151
		[Signature]	152
		[Signature]	153
		[Signature]	154
		[Signature]	155
		[Signature]	156
		[Signature]	157
		[Signature]	158
		[Signature]	159
		[Signature]	160
		[Signature]	161
		[Signature]	162
		[Signature]	163
		[Signature]	164
		[Signature]	165
		[Signature]	166
		[Signature]	167
		[Signature]	168
		[Signature]	169
		[Signature]	170
		[Signature]	171
		[Signature]	172
		[Signature]	173
		[Signature]	174
		[Signature]	175
		[Signature]	176
		[Signature]	177
		[Signature]	178
		[Signature]	179
		[Signature]	180
		[Signature]	181
		[Signature]	182
		[Signature]	183
		[Signature]	184
		[Signature]	185
		[Signature]	186
		[Signature]	187
		[Signature]	188
		[Signature]	189
		[Signature]	190
		[Signature]	191
		[Signature]	192
		[Signature]	193
		[Signature]	194
		[Signature]	195
		[Signature]	196
		[Signature]	197
		[Signature]	198
		[Signature]	199
		[Signature]	200

Action:

Classification: E.A.U. - 1000

Project: NARROWS

Control No: 10001000

Folder ID: 1122810

127. DAVID ANGERHOFER

7L

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Elaine-home [elainea@xmission.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 1:28 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows project

Dear Sir:

127-1 I am in favor of the Narrows project. I live in Sanpete County and feel the water would help economic development here. Furthermore, I think that Carbon County should be required to honor its agreement.

Sincerely

David Angerhofer
PO Box 482
Mt. Pleasant, UT 84647
435-462-5456

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 10 '10

Apply Date	Amount	Code
	100	100
	105	105
	107	107
	100	100
6/22/10	770	770
6/22/10	774cy	774cy

Action:

Classification: ENV - G. 00

Narrows

10043131

1122816

128. KYLE ARNOLDSON

AL

Crookston, Peter L

From: kyle arnoldson [kylearnoldson85@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 6:35 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 128-1 My name is Kyle Arnoldson. I live at 430 E. 322 S. Ephriam Utah. I was raised in Fairview Utah and am in favor of the Narrows Project. We need the water that was promised us for many years and also this project will bring alot of needed jobs to this area. Since I was young I have heard about this lake going to be built and have never seen anything done. Now my dreams might come true to see the water promised us, come to us.

Thank you for letting me voice my opinion,
Kyle Arnoldson

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date	Initials	Case
	BS	100
	BS	105
	BS	107
	KS	700
6/10/10	BS	770
		774cy

Action:
Classification: ENV-6.00
J. Narrows
10039704
ID: 1122816

129. LA VERL ASHCROFT

AL

ORIGINAL

Eagar Arizona
30 April, 2010

Bureau of Reclamation
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

SUBJECT: Proposed GOOSEBERRY NARROWS Water Conservation Project

Attention: **PETER CROOKSTON**
PRO - 774

Dear Sir:

PRO OFFICIAL FILE		
RECEIVED		
MAY 03 '10		
Reply Date	Initials	Code
5/4	[Signature]	100
	[Signature]	105
	[Signature]	107
	[Signature]	100
	[Signature]	110
	[Signature]	77404
Action:		
Classification: EX-100		
Project: Narrows		
Control No: 150-2999-1		
Folder I.D.: 1122816		

129-1 By way of introduction, I am an Arizonan by birth (1937 vintage) and a current resident of Arizona. I have a keen interest in GOOSEBERRY NARROWS because I joined with a fellow Arizonan in obtaining a few acres of choice farm land on the west side of Mt. Pleasant four years ago.

I live in Eagar (N/E Arizona). We share with Springerville a small valley in the White Mountains at 7000 Ft. Our total Round Valley population is around 8000. Because of the continuing drought in our area, I felt inclined to seek a small amount of agricultural property in a location showing better promise of sufficient moisture to achieve mature summer crops. I currently lease my few acres to a reputable local farmer in Mt. Pleasant. Sprinklers were added last year in an effort to help with water conservation.

I add my voice to the many others that favor GOOSE BERRY NARROWS. Although my understanding of this project is limited, I see nothing but positive aspects with NO negatives. I wholeheartedly endorse the project.

In Utah, as in Arizona, my basic philosophy is that of conserving water at any cost and putting it to good common sense use. I don't believe we will see population growth in our western mountains diminish to any great degree over time.

I appreciate the time and effort you allocate to GOOSEBERRY NARROWS and, for that matter, any similar water conservation items that come before you.

Sincerely, La Verl E. Ashcroft
La Verl E. Ashcroft

COPY TO: Senator Orrin Hatch Senator Bob Bennett

Rep. Jason Chaffetz Rep. Rob Bishop Rep. Jim Matheson

Mr. Glen P. Peel, President --- Twin Creek Irrigation Company

Mr. Wayne R. Slade (my Partner)



Laverl E Ashcroft
617 South Burk St
Eagar AZ 85925-9760

130. JUSTIN ATKINSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: justin atkinson [justinat@cut.net]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 9:30 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project

Dear Mr. Crookston:

- 130-1 As a long time resident of Sanpete County, I have heard much discussion in regards to the proposed narrows project but have not fully understood the situation until I received the letter from the Sanpete Water Conservancy District. Frankly, I was very surprised of the things I read. I had not realized the unfulfilled commitments that have occurred.

From what I understand of the project, It appears that the pros definitely outweigh the cons and would be beneficial to Sanpete County in numerous areas. I am somewhat familiar with the salinity control program sponsored by the BOR and do understand that this situation is quite different. However, I believe that funding a project as this would be well within the BOR's capacity.

We in Sanpete County do need additional storage capacity to fulfill our agricultural and residential needs and would appreciate a good hard look at what can be done to provide us with the water that has been designated for our use. Thanks

Sincerely,

Justin Brent Atkinson
193 West 500 South
Mount Pleasant, UT 84647

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

Date	Name	Code
	RS	100
	RS	105
	RS	107
	RS	100
6/23/10	BAT	770
6/23/10	PC	774cy

ENR-6.00
NARROWS
10042035
1122816

131. NATALIE ATKINSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: justin atkinson [justinat@cut.net]
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2010 6:13 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 131-1 On October 11, 1943, Carbon County, Sanpete County and the US Government signed an agreement. Is there anything else to say. Sanpete County should receive what was agreed upon. If grown adults can not do as they are supposed to do by honoring their agreements, what can we expect from our future generations. Sanpete County is in need of water. Please act as we all think adults should, and get on with the project. Our future depends on it.
- Thanks for your time.
Natalie Atkinson
Mount Pleasant Ut.

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 1970

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
6/30/70	KS	100
7/22/70	RCM	105
	RC	107
		700
		770
		774.00

ENV-6.00
Narrows
10045845
1122816

Crookston, Peter L

132-1 It has come to our attention that there is debate as to the need of a reservoir to provide water for the Sanpete Valley. We have property in the valley that goes to waste or provides food only for the grasshoppers because of the limited availability of water. We have said for a number of years that there needs to be a reservoir to hold back some of the Spring runoff so we can have some of this water when needed.

Thanks

Sanpete Valley residents

RECEIVED
JUN 8 '40

END-6.00
Narrows
10045892
1122816

133. DAVID BAILEY

AL

ORIGINAL

April 28, 2010

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn: Peter Crookston
PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Dear Mr. Crookston,


133-1 As a life long resident of Sanpete County, I have witnessed many times the crops and farm ground go dry in late summer because of a lack of water. Land owners and crop producers have not been able to sufficiently water the developed land let alone the many acres of land undeveloped that could be productive.

I strongly encourage the much needed "Narrows Project" to be allowed to go forward to completion!

The residents and land owners of Sanpete County are not asking for any thing that is not already legally and morally theirs.

Please!! Do what is right and fair in allowing the Narrows Project to be built as soon as possible.

Sincerely,



David Bailey
P.O. Box 405
Moroni, Utah 84646

Cc: Senator Orrion Hatch
131 Russell Bldg
Washington, DC 20510

Senator Robert Bennett
431 Dirksen Bldg
Washington, DC 20510

Congressman Jason Chaffetz
1032 Longworth Bldg
Washington, DC 20515

Congressman Rob Bishop
124 Cannon Bldg
Washington, DC 20515

Congressman Jim Matheson
410 Cannon Bldg
Washington, DC 20515

135. GLEN BAIR

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Glen Bair [gbair@mail.manti.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 6:39 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: (no subject)

- 135-1 I am definately in favor of the narrows project. A deal was made about 80 years ago for Sanpete to get the water, and I think it's time that it happens.

Glen E. Bair
226w 300n
Manti, Utah 84642
435-835 6621

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 3 2010

		100
		105
		107
	KS	700
7/8/10	BCM	770
7/12/10	PC	7740y

ENV-6.00
Narrows
10045134
1122816

136. CHRISTY BALDWIN

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Christy Baldwin [christy_baldwin@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 7:55 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Dam and Reservoir

- 136-1 My name is Christy Baldwin and I am a land owner in the Sanpete County area of Wales. I believe that the current proposed site for the construction of the Narrows is the best. I also feel that it's the least expensive to build there and would require no pumping costs to get stored water to where it needs to go! I favor this proposal and would like to see it approved. It needs to be built and in use as soon as possible for all land owners and farmers alike to utilize. Thank you for your listening ear and together we should move forward on this issue.

Christy Baldwin
1323 N. 1000 E
Layton, Utah 84040
Christy_baldwin@hotmail.com

Hotmail is redefining busy with tools for the New Busy. Get more from your inbox. [See how.](#)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 10 '10

DATE	INITIALS	COST
		100
		105
		107
		700
6/30/10	RC	770
7/22/10	RC	774cy

ENV-6.00

NARROWS

10041377

1122816

137. RON AND BARBARA BALLARD

ORIGINAL

May 23, 2010

To: Peter Crookston

137-1 We are writing to you from Manti, Utah and want to encourage you to support Sanpete County with regard to the Narrows Project.

As landlords for several properties, we feel that we need to have the water that we own and deserve. Our towns are growing and with that growth we need additional water. Otherwise, our farmers will be forced to give away water they sorely need to continue their livelihood. If we don't get the water that is ours, we will be unable to grow in these communities.

It is my understanding that the courts have always ruled in our favor and that the Utah House of Representatives and the Utah State Senate have already passed resolutions on our behalf. So, what are we waiting for?

We are also concerned about high taxes, especially in low income communities. The building of the Narrows Dam & Reservoir will improve the tax rates in Sanpete County. It will also provide jobs and will have a positive economic affect on our county.

Carbon County already has over their shares of water. Please consider our plea and help us get the water that is ours.

Sincerely,

Ron and Barbara Ballard

Ron and Barbara Ballard
360 East Union Street
Manti, Utah 84642

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 27, 10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>RS</i>	100
	<i>RS</i>	105
	<i>RS</i>	107
	<i>RS</i>	100
5/28/10	<i>RS</i>	100
5/28/10	<i>PC</i>	114

Actions
Classification: ENV - 6.00
Narrows
10036172
1122811

138. ALEXANDER BARTON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Alexander Barton [alexanderwaynebarton@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 8:28 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

Dear Mr. Crookston and the Utah Bureau of Reclamation:

138-1 I'm writing this letter to state my support for the Narrows Dam and Reservoir Project in Sanpete County. I urge you to join other county, state and federal supporters on this issue.

There are a host of reasons to rally for the Narrows Project. Sanpete County, the state's largest producer of turkeys and sheep and second-largest producer of hay, is primarily an agricultural county. But without any reservoirs for water storage, farmers in Sanpete find agriculture is a difficult game. If Sanpete County is to maintain its status as one of the state's premier cultivating counties, it's going to need water. Seasonal snowpack alone cannot sustain the county's water needs.

Economically, the project is a no-brainer. Statistics from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service indicate the Narrows Project will pump around one million dollars into the local economy *per year*. This is a lot of money, especially in a rural county. The project is estimated to create 369 job years of employment, according to information from the Office of the President's Council of Economic Advisors. Most of these jobs will be filled by Utah residents, and many by locals.

Agricultural and economic benefits aside, the Narrows Project narrows down to a single issue: integrity. The project has been promised to Sanpete County for nearly 80 years. The water belongs to Sanpete County. Carbon County enjoys our water by virtue of a world war, Sanpete County's integrity, and Carbon's lack thereof. Just because Carbon residents are using our water and are less than ready to give it up doesn't mean it's theirs.

Economic and agricultural benefits far outweigh the minimal environmental impact the project is expected to make, as indicated in the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Study. Your support for the Narrows Project will provide needed water for Sanpete County, jobs for surrounding economies and tax dollars for the state of Utah. Most importantly, the Narrows Project is an opportunity to amend past wrongs, and in an era when political corruption and dishonesty threaten to derail our American way of life, I think it's important to stand for principles.

Thank you for your time,

Alexander Barton
Manti

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 8 2010

	100
	105
	107
	200
7/8/10	770
7/12/10	77409

ENV-600
Narrows
10045141
1122 816

139. DENNA BARTON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Denna Barton [dennabarton@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 9:23 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

139-1 Mr. Crookston,
I want to express my support for the Narrow Project - Sanpete County. I've lived in this area for ten years now and have become very aware of our need for water. Once the snow pack melts, we have no more water for the year. Water supply is the critical issue here. Please, let's move forward with this project. Thank you - Denna Barton

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 8 '10

MS	100
MS	105
MS	107
KS	700
BCA	770
PC	774 cy

ENV-6.00
Narrow
10045161
1122816

140. DEREK BARTON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: derek barton [derek.barton@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 9:02 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

140-1 To whom it may concern,
I favor the creation of the Narrows Dam & Reservoir. Sanpete County owns the water rights and both the Utah Supreme Court and the US Department of Justice have acknowledged Sanpete's water rights. As a young citizen of Sanpete County, I am concerned that we will have enough water for our needs. I know once the snow pack melts, we are out of water for the year. I hope you will consider our needs and do what is right for our area...create the Narrows Dam & Reservoir. Thank you. Derek Barton (Manti)

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 8 '10

	100
	10.5
	10.7
	100
7/8/10	770
7/12/10	774cy

END=6.00
Narrows
10045153
1122816

141. KEVIN BARTON

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Kevin Barton [bartonkev@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 12:54 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS

To whom it may concern,

- 141-1 Please move forward on the Narrows project and allow Sanpete County to finally obtain what is rightfully theirs.
It would be unjust not to do so.

Thanks,

Kevin Barton DDS
Chico California

The New Busy is not the old busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. [Get started.](#)

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 10 '10

Copy Date	Initials	Count
	KS	100
	KS	105
	KS	107
	KS	700
6/23/10	KS	770
6/23/10	KS	7740y

ENVI-6.00
NARROWS
10041542
1122816

143. KATHRYN BAUGHMAN

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Kathryn Baughman [kathrynbaughman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 8:58 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Sanpete needs water project completed

143-1 I agree with the independent party 3rd party study which indicates:

The best option is to build the storage at the proposed location and at the size that has been presently proposed.

A smaller storage is not the best choice in this project.

Carbon County has their water storage and Sanpete county needs improvement.

Thank you,

Kathryn Baughman, LMT
www.energizemyfamily.com
435-565-2830

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 8 '10

	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/30/10	BCD	770
7/27/10	PC	774cy

ENV - 6.00
Narrows
10045893
1122816

144. JAMES AND JULIE BAXTER

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: baxtju [baxtju@gtelco.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 4:38 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS

144-1

I'm from Sanpete county and we need this .as you can tell we live in a desert and by the end of the summer we all worried if were going to have enough water to flush are toilet ,some years we just let are lawns burn up and hope for the best that they'll come up ,because there is not enough water to go around for every one and as we grow and get more families moving in there going to be less water to go around we have to plan for the future and look out side the box .just not stick are head in the sand and say they'll fix it down the road .its not going to hurt the environment ,it will help it .thank you for listening to us .

James Baxter
Julie Baxter
maris Baxter
Raymond Baxter
Libby Baxter
al shurtz
Inga shorts

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date	Initials	Code
	PC	100
	PC	105
	PC	107
	K9	700
5/25/10	PC	770
6/2/10	PC	774cy
Approved		
Classification	ENV-6.00	
Project	Narrows	
Document No.	10040389	
File No.	1122816	

145. CAROL AND LaMAR BEARDALL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Carol & LaMar Beardall [beaca2@cut.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 5:34 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project comments

145-1

We are a nation of laws. The Utah supreme court and the justice department have declared that the water belongs to San Pete County. This is not a shouting match to see who has the loudest voice or who has outside influence. This is the law. The water belongs to San Pete County. Lets end this forty year war and build the damn. Carbon and San Pete will benefit from the jobs provided in construction and both counties will have water for future development. Lets do the right thing and finish this project.

OFFICIAL FILE CO-
 RECEIVED
 JUL 10

4/30/10	RC	100
7/22/10	RC	105
	RC	107
	RC	700
	RC	770
	RC	77404

END - 6.00
 Narrows
 10045871
 1122816

146. LAMAR BEARDALL

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Beardall, Lamar - Moroni, UT [rlamar.beardall@usps.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 5:19 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: comments

- 146-1 The narrows water has been given to Sanpete County by the courts. The water right was given prior to World War Two. It is time to get this project in action. It would create much needed employment for both Carbon and San Pete counties. I support this project because it's the right and legal thing to do.

Respectfully
LaMar Beardall
210 S 100 E
PO Box 539
Moroni Ut 84646

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Apply Date	Rate	Amount	Code
		656	100
		105	105
		107	107
		700	700
6/10/10	644	770	
		774	

ENV-6.00
Narrows
10039706
1122816

147. BOYD BECK

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Boyd.Beck@cut.net
 Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2010 10:20 AM
 To: PRO NarrowsEIS
 Subject: Narrows Project

To Whom It May Concern:

147-1 I am very much in favor of the Narrows Project! My name is Boyd Beck and I was born in Spring City and live there now. I taught Chemistry at Snow College for 31 years. In my youth in Spring City I worked on our family farm. I remember very well that in late summer we did not have enough water to supply more than a couple of furrows--I watched our crops wither and die. My father told me of the Narrows Project and said that it could help. He also told me that he did not think that it would happen in his lifetime--he lived to 81 but would now be 113. I now feel very strongly that I will see it in my lifetime.

We have done the best we could with the water that we had. Our farms were some of the first in the county to install a sprinkler system in the 70's. This helped a great deal, but due to the lack of water storage there is still not enough water in late summer. I am now retired, but I would be glad to do anything I can to get the Narrows Project completed.

In the 40's during World War II, Sanpete agreed to allow Scofield to be saved so that the railroad line that carried Carbon's coal could be saved. The Narrows project was postponed. No one at that time realized that the postponement of Sanpete's water storage would be for 70 years, but at that time it seemed the best thing to do for America's war effort.

The economy in Sanpete has suffered several blows. In the 80's the railroad to both Sanpete and Carbon was partially destroyed when a landslide blocked the stream at Billy's Mountain. The railroad between Carbon and Spanish Fork was quickly repaired, but the railroad to Sanpete was taken out. Turkey production in Sanpete has been one of its largest agricultural industries. In 2008 Moroni Feed Company closed its plant. Although it has been partially reopened, the economy in Sanpete, like many other areas, has been very slow.

Nearby counties have both railroads and water storage, but Northern Sanpete has neither. I believe that the Narrows Project could provide water storage that has been promised for decades, and it would greatly improve the economy in this area. There are many who would work to realize the dream of their ancestors.

I hope that these comments will help you in your consideration of the Narrows Project.

Hopefully,

Boyd Beck

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY		
RECEIVED		
JUN 04 '10		
Reply Date	Initials	Code
		100
		101
		102
		103
		104
		105
		106
		107
		108
		109
		110
		111
		112
		113
		114
		115
		116
		117
		118
		119
		120
		121
		122
		123
		124
		125
		126
		127
		128
		129
		130
		131
		132
		133
		134
		135
		136
		137
		138
		139
		140
		141
		142
		143
		144
		145
		146
		147
		148
		149
		150
		151
		152
		153
		154
		155
		156
		157
		158
		159
		160
		161
		162
		163
		164
		165
		166
		167
		168
		169
		170
		171
		172
		173
		174
		175
		176
		177
		178
		179
		180
		181
		182
		183
		184
		185
		186
		187
		188
		189
		190
		191
		192
		193
		194
		195
		196
		197
		198
		199
		200
		201
		202
		203
		204
		205
		206
		207
		208
		209
		210
		211
		212
		213
		214
		215
		216
		217
		218
		219
		220
		221
		222
		223
		224
		225
		226
		227
		228
		229
		230
		231
		232
		233
		234
		235
		236
		237
		238
		239
		240
		241
		242
		243
		244
		245
		246
		247
		248
		249
		250
		251
		252
		253
		254
		255
		256
		257
		258
		259
		260
		261
		262
		263
		264
		265
		266
		267
		268
		269
		270
		271
		272
		273
		274
		275
		276
		277
		278
		279
		280
		281
		282
		283
		284
		285
		286
		287
		288
		289
		290
		291
		292
		293
		294
		295
		296
		297
		298
		299
		300

148. CADE BECK

Crookston, Peter L

From: Cade Beck [cade@snowbigdeal.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 4:54 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: I Support the Sanpete Narrows Project

Bureau of Reclamation:

148-1 I am writing to express my support for the Narrows Project. I was born and raised in Sanpete county and have since obtained a Master's degree and moved to Denver, Colorado. The reason for moving away from Sanpete was strictly because of the lack of jobs in the area. My dream one day would be able to create a business large enough to support families in Sanpete county. I truly believe that allowing the Narrows project to move forward will create jobs and provide multiple families in the Sanpete county area with jobs that otherwise would not exist. Or on the other hand, the Narrows project could remain in limbo for several more decades and provide a job for a bureaucrat or two. Jobs would be created starting with the planning & construction phase and once the project was complete it would create jobs in the agriculture business and bring income into the area for recreation; thus creating more jobs.

The Narrows project has been promised to Sanpete for decades. The water rights belong to Sanpete and Sanpete has made more than its fair share of concessions to try and get this project completed. The time is past due for the government and judicial system to allow this beneficial project get started.

Thank You,

Cade Beck
303.249.1876

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date	Initials	Code
	ASH	100
	PLM	105
	ASH	107
	KS	700
6/10/10	ASH	770
		774cy

Location: ENV-6.00
Narrows
10039708
112 2816

149. MARK BECK

May 25, 2010

ORIGINAL

Dear Bureau of Reclamation:

149-1

I would like to express my support for the Narrows Project. My name is Mark Beck from Mt. Pleasant, Utah, and I have lived here my entire life. As long as I can remember I have heard the Narrows Project talked about and the many benefits it would afford our valley.

I am sure I could fill this page with reasons as to why the Narrows dam and reservoir should be built, but I will list just a few.

First of all, to point out the legitimate need, I attended a public hearing on the Narrows Project a few years ago in Mt. Pleasant. At that meeting a person representing Carbon County's interest stated that a few years prior they "just about" ran out of water. Immediately following that individual a local farmer and businessman stood up and stated that "EVERY" year we run out of water in North Sanpete.

Here in Sanpete County we have struggled economically for many years, which is probably why we have not seen the project completed, to date. We haven't had the economic resources to push this through. All the counties on the East side of the mountain have big businesses such as power plants, mines and so forth. This has given them the revenue to keep this in litigation for approximately 70 years now; even though time and time again it is confirmed that Sanpete County has the rights to the water.

I would just like to list one more observation for you to consider. On the second page of this PDF is a terrain map of the mountain range separating Sanpete County on the West and Carbon and Emery counties on the East. This map shows the mountain drainage that goes into mountain storage reservoirs. The normal spring runoff area is excluded; it only shows the area of reservoir storage for delayed usage.

- I have tried to approximately depict in the highlighted area the storage going to the East side of the mountain in the purple highlight.
- The area with current water storage for the North Sanpete area is shown within the black dotted line.
- Finally, the area that the Narrows Project would encompass is shown in the pink dotted line. Keeping in mind that a good portion of the pink dotted area would remain going East because of the 1984 Compromise Agreement.

This map shows just how small the area is of the Narrows Project relative to that which benefits the counties on the East side of the mountain.


The economic benefits that the people of Sanpete County would derive from the irrigation and drinking water would be huge. There would also be a very positive economic impact for our county from the recreational benefits that it would afford.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Beck
14 W Main St
Mt. Pleasant, Utah 84647
Phone 435-462-2698

nonfo impoer for on NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY RECEIVED JUN 04 '10		
Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
6/10/10	LS	100
6/10/10	RS	109
6/10/10	RS	107
6/10/10	RS	700
6/10/10	RS	776
6/17/10	PC	774
Action: Classification: ENV-6 00 Project: 1122RC013 Control No: 10032654 Folder LD: 1122R116		



150. CAROL BEESLEY

ORIGINAL

Carol Beesley
425 East 100 South #78
Mt Pleasant, UT
84647

150-1

Sarpate needs this water

I am an 86 yr old woman & remember my dad saying we should get this water soon. That was what he talked about when I was growing up and also this went on until he passed away. He had a small farm & needed water - there was plenty water in the spring & always dwindled down as the weather got warmer - that is the way it is today also.

My husband passed away a few years ago - we had bought a small farm 20 acres - It was the same way with water & they rationed it so it would last - my son now handles the farm plus some more he has bought - It is hard to make the water reach your crops (alfalfa) as it has always been I do hope we get this water as it will help everyone in Sarpate. I understand we need help I am thinking of our younger generation - & ~~think~~ know I am speaking for those that has been on - & those older like myself

over ✓

151. JAMES BENCH

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: jimarion@xmission.com
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 7:35 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 151-1 Attention: Peter Crookston. Mr Crookston; I am James D. Bench. I have a cabin at Faiview Lakes, that overlooks the entire valley and dam site for the Narrows Project Lake. My Father had a cabin on forest land for over 50 years at the beaver dams area. In fact, my grandfather John L. Bench Jr. was trying to get this project completed when I was 5 years old. I remember him talking about it. I am nearly 80 years old and know the country around this proposed lake quite well. I feel that for the sake of good water storage for this part of Utah this dam is extremely essential. I do not know why Carbon County is so selfish when it comes to the water from this area. Carbon County and Emery County have at least seven lakes for water storage in this range of mountains: Scofield Reservoir, Huntington Lake, Cleveland Lake, Electric Lake, Gooseberry Lake, Miller's Flat Lake and Joe's Valley Reservoir. They enjoy 35-40 miles of watershed for water run-off. Sanpete County has only Fairview Lake for storage, and about 4 miles of watershed from these mountains. It seems to me that the history of this project would show that this project should have been accomplished years ago. During World War II this project was put off so that the dam at Scofield Reservoir could be repaired. And it seems that Carbon County has been unfairly fighting Sanpete County's right to build this dam ever since. I feel that it is now time to "do it." The growth of Sanpete County requires it. Also, inasmuch as Utah is the nation's second driest state, and one of the fastest-growing states, storage and wise use of water is more critical than ever. Thank you for your consideration. James D. Bench 7075 Village Place Midvale, Utah 84047 (801) 566-7768

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

6/30/10	KS	700
7/22/10	PC	774.00
ENV-6.00		
Narrows		
10045853		
1122816		

152. LYNDA BENCH

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Lynda Bench [benchfam@cut.net]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 6:18 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Vote For

- 152-1 My husband's grandfather was John L. Bench Sr. He was a surveyor when this project was first envisioned. At the time when the decision was made to do the Price side first, Sanpete had to take a back seat. Agreements made in those days were honored sometimes with just a handshake. I don't know if this was the case here, but the men who made the agreement are not here at this time. Just because they are not here, doesn't void the agreement. Price should honor the agreement and let Sanpete finish up with the rest of the project. Thank you for your time. This is long overdue.

Lynda Bench
 R.R. 1 Box 244
 Fairview, Utah 84629

435-427-3346

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
 RECEIVED

JUN 1 2010

	100
	100
	107
	100
4/23/10	100
6/23/10	100
	77400

FAIV - 6.00
 Narrows
 1004 202-2
 1122816

153. RALPH BENCH

April 24, 2010

Bureau of Reclamation:

153-1 As a farmer in Sanpete County, Fairview, Utah, I encourage you to make a favorable decision to fund the Narrows Dam and Reservoir Project. My name is Ralph B. Bench, Fairview, Utah. I have been a dairy farmer for the past 30 years. Water is the life blood of the farming industry, without it our industry will not survive.

This project will make it possible to continue to farm. It will provide the needed late water we so desperately need. With that additional water, we can increase production on hay by 2-3 tons per year per acre. We have done all we can to conserve water by installing sprinkler systems throughout this county. There is no other way I know of to get more water in this valley than build this reservoir for storage. It's time for the Narrows Dam.

Sincerely,

Ralph B. Bench

23020 N 11430 E

Fairview, UT 84629

154. STEVEN BENCH

Steven R. Bench
92 W. 200 N.
P.O. Box 82
Moroni, Utah 84646-0082

Bureau of Reclamation
Attention: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

RE: Gooseberry Narrows

May 26, 2010

ORIGINAL

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 23 '10
COPY

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
		100
		105
		107
		700
		770
		774cy

Action:

Classification: ENV-16-00

10037377
Narrows
1122816

- 154-1 It's ours! It's time! It's needed! The water belongs to Sanpete. My grandfather and father hoped to see the project completed; now I am a grandfather. First put on hold for a good reason then held up by litigation. There is not sufficient water storage for North Sanpete the Narrows project was the solution and has always been needed and is now essential. This wonderful resource belongs to Sanpete and it's time that this much needed project be successfully completed.

Respectfully,


Steven R. Bench

155. JENNIFER BENSON

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jennifer Benson [jenben@cut.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 12:54 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: I love Fairview

- 155-1 I have lived in Fairview, Utah for 12 years now and am lucky enough to work at a local telephone company. There are many others, however who are not employed, but would like jobs. My son joined the Air Force 6 months ago, mainly because of the lack of employment locally. At some point I would love for him and my other children who desire to live here have jobs they can come to. I can see of no other way to build our city, but to attract tourism and businesses that support it. We are not within the I-15 corridor and thus not very appealing for large corporations, which is fine for right now. I love Fairview and it's small town feel, but change must happen and I think that the integrity of the town can be sustained and improved upon with the right plan. I feel that the narrows project is the right plan to bring jobs to Fairview.

Jennifer Benson

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 14 '10

6/30/10	RS	100
7/12/10	RS	105
	RS	107
	RS	100
	RS	110
	RS	114cy

ENV-6.00
Narrows
10044398
1122816

156. JIM BERLIN

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: jim.berlin@wasatchacademy.org
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 9:33 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: COMMENT

- 156-1 I hope that several hydro plants will be included in the pipeline of the narrows project. I would be a shame to have all that potential power production not be put to use. Perhaps Sanpete county could form a co-operative to impliment this into the project.
- Jim Berlin
 Mount Pleasant- Utah

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
 RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Audit Data		
Date	Initials	Value
	JSB	100
	JSB	105
	JSB	107
	JSB	700
6/10/10	JSB	770
6/11/10	JSB	774cy
Summary		
ENV - 600		
Narrows		
100 37341		
11 22816		

157. CAMEO BERLOW

157-1 Don't you think 70 years is large enough?

Cameo Berlow

174 N. 200 E

Fairview ut 84629

158. CAROLYN BESSEY

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: rbessey@mail.manti.com
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 5:50 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

Dear Mr. Crookston,

158-1 I'm a 53 year resident of Sanpete County ("Manti High School, Class of '63. Twelve years were spent in other civilized areas.) My deceased(1995) father was a Manti City Councilman, my deceased (1980) uncle was the mayor , my deceased 199?) cousin (twice removed) was both a city councilman and a Sanpete County Commissioner. They all labored to bring the Narrows Project to fruition for many years. All they ever received for their efforts were some pretty big steps forward and then baby ones back, long waits, and some beautiful glossy paper yearly reports. (Big money spent on those, into infinity.) And speaking of all those millions spent, are we going to just throw that away? My husband has been a Manti City Councilman, the mayor, and a Sanpete County Commissioner. I do not want him to go to his grave, as countless others have, with the hope of realizing the Narrows project, but never getting to actually see it. This letter is definitely with tongue in cheek, but it's time to sign the papers. Love ya'all, (please don't disappoint my dear husband), Carolyn Bessey, concerned citizen and watchful supporter of the Narrows Project

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Entry Date		Items	Cost
		PC	100
		CD	125
		Antenna	107
		KS	700
6/6/10		241	770
6/6/10		PC	774
Totals			
Days		ENH - 16.00	
		Narrows	
		10040341	
		1122 816	

159. JOHN AND SANDRA BIGLER

AL

ORIGINAL

April 28, 2010

Bureau Of Reclamation
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Dear Mr. Crookston

PRO OFFICIAL FILE		
RECEIVED		
APR 29 '10		
Reply Date		
Date	Initial	Rate
4/20	AS	100
	AS	100
	AS	100
	AS	100
5/14/10	AS	770
5/28/10	PC	774.00
Action:		
Classification: EAD-10.00		
Project: Narrows		
Control No: 10023009		
Folder ID: 11228716		

- 159-1 I encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to issue a favorable Record of Decision on the Narrows Dam and Reservoir.

Fundamental fairness dictates that the Narrows be built as soon as possible. It is a matter of integrity. It is not right for promises to be made, then not kept, or for contracts to be signed, then broken.

Sanpete County clearly owns the water rights involved. The Utah Supreme Court and the US Department of Justice have both acknowledged Sanpete's water rights.

When our run off from snow melt is gone it is gone and we have no more water, it is important to our agriculture which is a big part of Sanpete County. This project has been promised since the 1930's, it is time for it to happen. We need the water storage.

We appreciate the opportunity to express our opinions on this project.

Sincerely


John & Sandra Bigler
250 North 300 West
Mt. Pleasant, Utah 84647

160. JESSE AND PAULINE BIRCH

17L

ORIGINAL

36 South 100 West
Ephraim, UT 84627
May 27, 2010

Bureau of Reclamation
Attention: Peter Crookston
PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Dear Sir:

160-1 Concerning the Narrows Project we are in favor of this water storage project. This will give Sanpete County a small water storage facility that will insure the county a longer period of water usage when the spring water run off ends.

This project has been adjudicated by courts and county commissioners giving Sanpete County 5,400 acre feet of water rights. The dam site is in the proper location. Inasmuch as the legal right has been established lets build the dam and get the Narrows Project completed and the water flowing into Northern Sanpete County. Lets start receiving the economic benefits which Sanpete County residents need and are currently being denied.

Having family members who live in the North Sanpete area we have experienced first hand their need to store run off water in the spring for later irrigation use. Beautiful gardens and agricultural crops are planted in that area, but are deprived of adequate water mid-way through the growing season.

Over the years our family has enjoyed the recreational benefits of such a lake. Swimming, picnics, boating, water skiing, and fishing would all be additional benefits enjoyed by the entire community when this project is completed.

This agreement was made in 1902 and modified in June 1984 that Sanpete County receive 5,400 acre feet of water to be stored in the Narrows Project Dam. It would appear that implementing this agreement would solve the problem and is way past due. North Sanpete residents deserve to receive their rightful share of this water. Carbon County has used Sanpete County's share of the water for free for many years. That no longer should be allowed.

Citizens of Sanpete County

Jesse D. Birch
Jesse D. Birch

Pauline C. Birch
Pauline C. Birch

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY		
RECEIVED		
JUN 01 '10		
Reply Date:		
Date	Initials	Code
	JP	100
	JP	105
	JP	107
	JP	200
6/15/10	JP	270
6/21/10	JP	2740
Action:		
Classification: ENV-1000		
Project: NARROWS		
Control No: 10037072		
Folder ID: 1122816		

161. LORI BIRCH

AL

ORIGINAL

May 29, 2010

To The Bureau of Reclamation,

161-1 I am writing this letter in support of the Narrows Project. Building this dam and having a water storage reservoir for North Sanpete Valley is vital to the livelihood of our area. Growing up in Mt. Pleasant, we have always been very aware of how we use our water. Our water is dependent upon the runoff from the mountains and we constantly struggle with a shortage later in the summer when our water is gone. I understand that Mount Pleasant City is one of the last areas in the State of Utah without a reservoir for water storage to address this need. Yearly we are placed on water rations in July and we often run out of secondary water before the end of the summer. A reservoir would supply our culinary needs as we grow and provide secondary water for our yards and gardens to continue to grow throughout the growing season.

The water that falls on the mountains located in Sanpete County is legally ours and yet it has been used for many years by Carbon County. They have become accustomed to it and are doing all they can to keep this source of water without any compensation to Sanpete County for the use of this natural resource. I asked a resident of Price at the meeting in Manti on the Narrows Project if they have to ration their secondary water he stated that they usually have an irrigation supply until October. At the same meeting, the power company stated that if Sanpete received their water it would hurt their ability to produce power during droughts. How could someone build a business around another's water rights without compensation? It is wrong, legally and ethically.

Carbon County has been given their water and the reservoirs were built to hold their water and then the reservoirs were fixed and enlarged. In each case there was an agreement that Sanpete would receive their own reservoir in return for their cooperation. Sanpete has waited patiently for decades for Carbon County to keep their part of the agreement but to no avail. In the 1980's Sanpete County made a compromise of a reduced amount of water that Sanpete County would receive in order to eliminate the stalemate and move forward with the reservoir. Then Carbon used litigation and joined forces with environmental groups who receive no benefit from the water in question.

I am also frustrated with those who run for political office and are unwilling to take a stand on an issue because they are afraid they will lose out on votes. Isn't it time to vote for what is right? I want people in office with integrity that will keep promises and agreements and expect others to do so.

Water is our natural resource. We don't have oil or coal to sell. Carbon County and those downstream have been the beneficiaries of our natural resource without compensation. It is time for us to get the water that others have used without compensation.

It is true that the reservoir will provide other benefits, like employment and recreational activities, but the real issue is the critical need that North Sanpete Valley has for water storage to sustain their needs in late summer. Please weigh our needs against the other arguments that have been brought forward to stop the dam from being built. I believe the plan is solid and well thought through and is the right thing to do.

Thank you!

Lori Birch
Mount Pleasant Utah

162. TROY BIRCH

ORIGINAL

May 24, 2010

To The Bureau of Reclamation,

162-1 My name is Troy Birch and I am a resident of Mount Pleasant (360 N. 300 W.) Utah. I am writing about three thoughts (Reservoirs, Natural Resources, and Politics) that I have had on the narrows project.

Reservoirs: I have lived in Sanpete County for the last 18 years. 13 of those years my family lived in Gunnison. Gunnison Valley is a very dry valley whose secondary water resources are provided for by the Nine Mile and Gunnison Reservoirs. Because of their water storage they are able to provide water needs to their residents from April 15 to October 15. In the 13 years we lived in Gunnison we had only one year where our secondary water was rationed in July because of lack of water. Delta, another dry valley is able maintain their water needs because of Yuba and Gunnison Reservoirs. My wife asked a resident from Price if they have secondary water restrictions and he said that they generally have water from April to October. The reason is because they have Gooseberry and Schofield Reservoirs. Even Emery County has water reservoirs in Electric Lake, Huntington, Cleveland, Ferron and Joe's Valley Reservoirs. Having a reservoir in North Sanpete is not only logical but also ethical since the original agreement included reservoirs for Carbon County (Schofield) and Sanpete (the Narrows Project).

Mount Pleasant doesn't have a reservoir. As a result, in the 5 years we have lived in Mount Pleasant we have rationed our secondary water every July. The reason is because our secondary water is determined by the water runoff from the mountain creeks. When the water flow is reduced in July, so is our secondary water supply. A reservoir would allow us to store surplus spring water (owned by Sanpete County) for the late summer months. To the critics that state we are misusing our current water supply, I would inform them that for many in our city we do not start watering our yards until the middle of May and we are restricted on our water use in July. We are water conscious! As a result of the winter and spring storms our valley usually looks green through June and then many resident yards and church property turn brown and nearly dead by early August because of a lack of water.

Natural Resources: Ironically, much of the water that is used to fill the aforementioned reservoirs comes from our mountains here in North Sanpete County. You might say that water is our natural resource. However, unlike the resources that Carbon, Emery County and Delta (coal and electricity generated from the coal) our resource is distributed from our land and county to their reservoirs without compensation. As a result the North Sanpete School District is one of the poorest districts in the State of Utah. For decades Carbon County has received water that has been legally designated as owned by Sanpete County without compensation. If the decision is made not to build the reservoir, then Sanpete County should seek for ways to be compensated for this natural resource. One way to do that is to rent (not sale) the water rights for an extended time period. Since the water flows from Sanpete County Mountains toward Schofield lake and then to the Green River, next down to Lake Powell and Lake Meade, it is feasible to suggest that any community downstream from North Sanpete to Las Vegas should be able to rent the water if they are the highest bidder.

Politics: The reason I say we should rent not sale the water rights is because of the need of water in North Sanpete. This need has been understood for decades; however it has not been realized because of legal finagling and political indifference. Often it seems that politicians are not fully engaged in this project because to vote for the project would cause the Carbon delegation to retaliate and they could lose their vote. However, as more individuals move to North Sanpete and commute to the Wasatch Front and Carbon County's population continues to decline, it will become more expedient politically for State delegates to vote for the Narrows project providing culinary and secondary water to North Sanpete.

The Narrows Project should be built now in order to have a reservoir of water for culinary and secondary needs of the residents of North Sanpete. We have the need now. We have the plan in place now. If we wait the cost for constructing the reservoir will be higher in the future.

Thank you!
Troy Birch

AL

ORIGINAL

From: Valerie Black [vblack@morenifeed.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:44 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project

- 163-1** Sanpete County should be able to store and use the water that has been decided that belongs to them. This project will help the agricultural needs of the county and also give us more recreational uses, which in turn also will help the economy.
Please let the project move forward.
Valarie Black

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	Scs	100
	Scs	105
	Pullman	107
	KS	700
6/10/10	Root	770
6/15/10	PC	774C
Action:		
Classification: ENCL-600		
Dapways		
10039137		
1122816		

164. CASEY BLACKBURN

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Casey Blackburn [cblackburn@dalboinc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 1:00 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Please support Sanpete County

164-1 Please support Sanpete County regain the control and use of their rightfully owned water.
 Thank You
 Casey M. Blackburn, Sanpete County Landowner
 4170 W 500 N
 Vernal UT 84078
 Ph. 435-781-8445

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
 RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

Item	Part	Code
	100	100
	105	105
	107	107
	100	100
6/30/10	BCA	770
7/22/10	RC	774cy

ENV-16.00
 Narrows
 10044410
 1122816

166. DARLENE BLACKHAM

ORIGINAL

May 28, 2010

COPY

166-1

As a concerned citizen of Sanpete County pertaining to the Gooseberry Narrows Water Project, I am very much in support of a reservoir being built for water storage purposes.

I know just how "precious" water has been for both residential and agricultural use in my 60 some years as a resident. My father and grandfather were both farmers.

The Narrows will have a favorable impact on families. Farmers will be able to increase their ability to enhance the productivity of their land with the water the Narrows will provide. The people who decide whether we get the project do not live around here. They do not feel the impact of having no water.

I understand by building this reservoir we will have more employment, recreation, and etc. which will be a plus to our county. Sanpete County clearly means the water rights involved and we do have a significant water shortage which is likely to increase with future growth.

I am saddened that the federal government has promised water storage to Sanpete and is yet unfulfilled. Carbon County received their end of the bargain over 50 years ago and they continue to object even though they have promised to cease objections to the Narrows Project and keep using our water for free.

People and their need for water are far more important than any issues offered by opponents that the Narrows should not be built.

-2-

It is time to build the Narrows we
have had coming to us after being ignored for
80 years that we deserve.

Sincerely,

Marlene Blackburn

Marlene Blackburn
163 North 100 East
Manti, Utah 84642

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 01 '10

COPY

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
		100
		105
		107
		700
		770
		774cy

Action:

Classification: EXU-600

Project: NARROWS

Contract No.: 10037469

Date: 11/22/81

167. LEONARD AND LAURA BLACKHAM

AL

ORIGINAL

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

APR 27 '10

Leonard M & Laura B Blackham
210 East Main
PO Box 337
Moroni, Utah 84646

April 25, 2010

Bureau of Reclamations, Attn: Peter Crookston, Pro-774
302 East 1860
Provo, Utah 84606

Dear Sir:

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Code
	4/30	[Signature]	100
		[Signature]	105
		[Signature]	107
		[Signature]	700
5/4/10		[Signature]	770
5/3/10		PC	774cy
Action:			
Classification: ENV-6.00			

- 167-1 We are strongly in favor of the Narrows Project in Sanpete County. The project is very much needed NARROWS for the present and future needs of the county. The North Sanpete area has very little water storage 10027106 1122816 capacity. Therefore, in the late summer, both the North Sanpete residential yards and farmers are very short of important water needs to complete the growing season. In Moroni, in fact, many times the farmers have allowed the citizens to use their water to keep the city green in late summer.

Due to this shortage of late summer water, the agriculture production capacity has not been reached. Weather changes have also expanded the growing season and increased the problem. An economic analysis of agriculture just completed by Utah State University shows that agriculture production accounts for 2% of the state economy and that agriculture production plus food manufacturing accounts for 14% of the state economy. Most of these manufacturing jobs depend on our agriculture production. Improving agriculture production in Sanpete will greatly help the economy of central Utah.

Sanpete County is also expected to become the next bedroom community for the Wasatch Front. The Narrows project will help meet the need for secondary water systems in the North Sanpete area.


The US government has spent hundreds of millions of dollars to do conservation projects in the Carbon and Emery counties to reduce the salinity in the Colorado River. These projects are mainly with farmers and have created substantial amount of additional water conservation in these counties. It has also greatly improved the water reserves available for industry users. I think this additional capacity alone more than offsets the reduction that the area will see with the transfer of water into Sanpete.

Sanpete County has spent substantial money over the years to consider many alternatives and reduced the project size substantially to reach agreement. The proposed site will not diminish the beauty of the area and in fact will create a beautiful lake and additional recreation opportunities for a growing population.


The last point is that it is the right thing to do. The ownership and need is not a question. How long should someone else get to use another's water? The alternatives have been reviewed and reviewed. Obstacle after obstacle has been created to stop the project. The environmental issues are more than fairly addressed and will be mitigated. We encourage your support and approval of the Narrows project. It is time that the agreement reached in 1984 is honored.

We encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to approve the application for a loan; approve the use of Reclamation-withdrawn lands; and grant the perpetual easement needed for construction, operation, and maintenance of the Narrows Project.

Sincerely



Leonard M. Blackham



Laura B. Blackham

Cc: Senator Orrin Hatch
Senator Robert Bennett
Congressman Jason Chaffetz
Congressman Jim Matheson
Congressman Rob Bishop
State Representative Bill Wright
State Senator Ralph Okerlund
Edwin Sunderland, Chairman Sanpete County Water Conservancy District

168. TIM BLACKHAM

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Tim Blackham [timblackham@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 10:57 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: GooseberryNarrows Project

To whom it may concern;

- 168-1 As a citizen of Sanpete county, I would like to voice my strong support for this project. I am a life time member of this community and have watched for many years the arguments that have gone on ad nauseum. It is time for this project to move forward. The arguments have been made and the decisions reached as to who this water belongs to. It is badly needed in our county. It will help to provide much needed water to an already dry county. It will help an already struggling economy. It will provide not only much needed water, but also a great recreational opportunity for all people of our area. Please, lets work together to move this great opportunity forward for those generations to come. Thank-you for your consideration.

Respectively yours
 Tim Blackham
 260 N. 400 E.
 Moroni Utah 84646
 435-436-8559

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 1 10

Reply Date	Initial	Cost
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
6/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/23/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	774cy

Action:
 Classification: *ENVU - 6.00*
Narrows
10041643
1122816

169. ALICIA BLAIN

Alicia Blain
641 S. 60 E.
Ephraim, UT 84627

*file code
on
back*

Dear Peter Crookston (Bureau of Reclamation):

169-1

I am writing regarding the Narrows Water Project that is being proposed in Sanpete County, Utah. I am fairly new to the area, my husband and I have only lived in Ephraim, UT about 2 years, but we enjoy Sanpete County and all that it offers, which includes its water. The Draft EIS states that new water development is necessary and I strongly agree. The following are reasons why I believe it is vital for our community and farms in Sanpete County.

Sanpete County has been promised a water storage facility (the Narrows Project) for decades, *nearly 80 Years!* If it is rightfully ours, and we have been paying for it for decades, it needs to be given back to us. It really upsets me that we pay for water that we aren't given; where's the fairness in that?!? Our county desperately needs the water storage that would be provided by the dam and reservoir that would be built. Once the snow pack has melted and run past the communities and farms, Sanpete is out of water for the year. Since Sanpete County has a significant water shortage, it will only get worse. The summer of 2009 was our first summer in Sanpete County and my husband and I were shocked when we were informed that we could only water on certain days. I grew up in southeast Idaho and that sort of thing never happened.

Carbon County has suggested that if this project goes forward, Price and other Carbon County communities will be left with insufficient water. However, Carbon has received all the water it was promised. *It's not up to Sanpete to tell them how, or to provide them with the water to do so.* It's Carbon's responsibility to decide how to meet their priorities and right now, they're doing it with Sanpete's water! While Carbon County has been recently opposing and fighting the construction of the project, they should be reminded that in 1984, Carbon County agreed--in writing--that the Narrows Project should be built, and agreed not to oppose it.

Another positive in the construction of the Narrows Project is that it will have a favorable job impact: 369 jobs that last a full year, or 185 jobs that last two years, or 123 jobs that last three years, etc.; almost exclusively for Utahans, particularly residents of Sanpete and surrounding counties. Sanpete County is suffering economically. We have a high unemployment rate and this project would help these residents find work and take care of themselves and their families.

To conclude, Sanpete County clearly owns the water rights involved. The Utah Supreme Court and the US Department of Justice have both acknowledged Sanpete's water rights, while Utah's House of Representatives and State Senate passed Resolutions in 2008 and 2009 in favor of the Narrows Project. The State's Natural Resources experts and the State Engineer also agree that the Narrows should be built. Sanpete county residents are ready to start this project so let's get it going IMMEDIATELY. We need this for our county, our people and our farmlands. I strongly support the Narrows Project, as does the Supreme Court. Let's stop the bureaucracy and get the Narrows Project moving forward NOW.

Kind regards,

Alicia Blain
Alicia Blain

170. JEREMIAH BLAIN

Crookston, Peter L

From: j.blain@cut.net
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2010 10:21 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Water Project

Hello Peter,

- 170-1 My name is Jeremiah Blain and I recently got married and moved to Ephraim, UT. I have been following the Narrows Water Project and to be honest, it has got my blood boiling. I don't understand how Sanpete County isn't being given the water that rightfully belongs to us. It has gone to the Supreme Court and has been granted to us. What is the hold up? President Obama said he wanted job creation and with this Narrows Water Project, new jobs would be ready right away. Sanpete County residents, my family included, are ready to start this project so let's get it going NOW. We need this for our county and our people. I support the Narrows Project and so does the Supreme Court. Quit the bureaucracy and let's get this project moving forward NOW.

Thanks,

Jeremiah Blain
435-469-0681
j.blain@cut.net

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Entry Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
6/24/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	770
6/24/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	774cy

Approved: ENV-6.00
NARROWS
10040411
1122816

171. JEREMIAH BLAIN

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Jeremiah Blain [jeremiahblain@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 12:48 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: In Favor

To whom it may concern,

- 171-1 My family and I are in favor of the narrows project. Lets get it going asap. If carbon county has any honor th will allow the narrows project to continue.

Thanks,

Jeremiah Blain

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 1 2010

	100
	105
	107
	700
6/30/10	770
7/22/10	774.00

E.N.V.-6.00
Narrows
10045856
1122816

172. JON BLAIR

ORIGINAL

To: Peter Crookston

172-1

I am writing this letter to tell you that I am in favor of the narrows project 774. The water belongs to Sanpete; so let us use it.

We have owned that water for ~~8~~ eighty years; so let's get started on the Narrows Project NOW. So we can use what belongs to us NOW.

THANK YOU

Jon L. Blair

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 25 '10

*Jon L. Blair
PO BOX 384
SPRING CITY, UT
84662*

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	700
6/4/10	Bat	770
6/21/10	PC	774cy
Action:		
Classification: ENV-6.00		
Project: NARROWS		
Contract No: 10635342		
Job No: 1122816		

173. ALLEN BOORE

Allen Boore
P.O. Box 21028
2620 Jackrabbit Way
Axtell, Utah 84621

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn. Peter Crookston PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Comment on Sanpete Narrows Project:

- 173-1 Its very important to the residents of Sanpete County that the Narrows Project be completed. First and foremost this project has been legally committed to be built for more than 70 years. It is time that this commitment is fulfilled.

Secondly, the water from this project is badly needed in the Sanpitch River Drainage. Sanpete County is one of the most economically challenged areas of the United States. Utah's per student school funding is among the lowest in the nation and Sanpete School Districts are among the lowest in the state. A project such as this will have many positive and lasting economic impacts on downstream residents.

Third the positive benefits to local economies, recreation, equal treatment of all citizens under the law, and improved standard of living, ALL outweigh ANY negative environmental consequence.

Please press forward at all possible speed to build this important water project.



Allen Boore

ORIGINAL

PRO OFFICIAL FILE		
RECEIVED		
MAY 27 '10		
Reply Date: May 26, 2010		
Date	Initials	Code
5/26/10	ES	100
5/26/10	PC	105
5/26/10	ES	107
5/26/10	ES	100
5/26/10	PC	770
5/26/10	PC	774

Action:
Classification: EXU-6.00
Project: NARROWS
Project No: 100-21143
I.D.: 1122316

cy

174. AUDREY BOORE

41

ORIGINAL

May 25, 2010

Audrey Boore
P.O. Box 21060
P.A. Hill Drive
Axtell, Utah, 84621

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn. Peter Crookston PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Comment on Sanpete Narrows Project:

- 174-1 Building the Narrows Project would be very important to the residents of Sanpete County. This project was approved over 70 years ago and it this commitment needs to be fulfilled.

The water from this project is badly needed in the Sanpitch River Drainage. Sanpete County is one of the most economically challenged areas of the United States. This project will have many positive and lasting economic impacts on downstream residents. It will also provide many jobs for the area residents.

The positive benefits to local economies, recreation, equal treatment of all citizens under the law, and improved the standard of living all out weigh any negative environmental consequence.

This project needs to press forward with all possible speed to build this important water project.

Audrey Boore
Audrey Boore

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 01 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>SCB</i>	100
	<i>SCB</i>	105
	<i>SCB</i>	107
	<i>KS</i>	700
6/16/10	<i>SCB</i>	770
6/23/10	<i>PC</i>	774c
Action:		
Classification: <i>ENV-600</i>		
Topic: <i>NARROWS</i>		
Classification: <i>10037617</i>		
File No: <i>122816</i>		

175. DANNY BOORE

ORIGINAL

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

MAY 27 '10
May 26, 2010

Danny L. Boore
P.O. Box 21028
2620 Jackrabbit Way
Axtell, Utah 84621

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn: Peter Crookston PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
5/26/10	But	110
5/28/10	PC	114

Action:
Classification: ENV - 6.00
Narrow
1122816

Comment on Sanpete Narrows Project:

- 175-1 It is very important to the residents of Sanpete County that the Narrows Project be built. First and foremost this project has been legally committed to be built for more than 70 years. It is time that this commitment is fulfilled.

Secondly, the water from this project is badly needed in the Sanpitch River Drainage. Sanpete County is one of the most economically challenged areas of the United States. Utah's per student school funding is among the lowest in the nation and Sanpete School Districts are among the lowest in the state. A project such as this will have many positive and lasting economic impacts on downstream residents.

Third the positive benefits to local economies, recreation, equal treatment of all citizens under the law, and improved standard of living ALL outweigh ANY negative environmental consequence.

Please press forward at all possible speed to build this important water project.



Danny L. Boore

176. NANCY BOORE

ORIGINAL

May 26, 2010

Nancy T. Boore
P.O. Box 21028
2620 Jackrabbit Way
Axtell, Utah 84621

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn. Peter Crookston PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, Utah 84606

Comment on Sanpete Narrows Project:

- 176-1 It is very important to me and my family that the Narrows Project be built. This project has been committed to be built for more than 70 years. The storage is needed now with more urgency than in the past.

The water from this project is badly needed in the Sanpitch River Drainage. The economic benefit to Sanpete County is also needed. Utah's per student school funding is among the lowest in the nation and Sanpete School Districts are among the lowest in the state. The jobs it will create and the influence it will have on the communities will be a great benefit to all that live downstream. A project such as this will have many positive and lasting economic impacts on downstream residents.

The positive benefits to local economies, recreation, equal treatment of all citizens under the law, and improved standard of living ALL outweigh ANY negative environmental consequence.

Please move forward to build this water project.


Nancy T. Boore

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
MAY 27 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
5/28/10	BCA	110
5/28/10	PC	114

cy

Action:
Classification: ENV-6.00
Narrows
10031159
11228116

177. PAUL AND HEIDI BOUCK

TL

ORIGINAL

June 2, 2010

Paul & Heidi Bouck
10975 E. 17050 N.
Mt. Pleasant, Utah 84647

To Whom It May Concern:

- 177-1 We are very concerned citizens/farmers of Sanpete County, and are writing today to express our concerns and want to do all we can to help push the narrows project through. I (Heidi) have grown up here in Sanpete County, and know very well the hard ships that have come because of the lack of water. We can only get 2 crops off our hay fields per year because of the lack of water, where most others get 3 and 4 crops a year thus increasing their profit. By the time July rolls around we are usually out of water. We work hard and long to raise good crops on what little water we do have, but most of the time it is not enough to raise productive animals or crops to make a profit. We make a little money to hopefully see our farm through another year, taking jobs on the side just to make it work. I know that if we had the water that is our "right" to have, our lives here in Sanpete County would be much more profitable. Our lively hood would be greater and our farms would survive from year to year.

I grew up on a family farm, and because of this farm I was taught how to work and work hard. We are lacking this work ethic among our youth today, and I believe that if we can obtain more water to produce crops and continue our family farms we will find a greater work ethic among our children and in our society.

I cannot understand the politics, and fight that has been going on for decades. It blows my mind because the truth of the matter is it's OUR water! In my mind if it's OUR water, it makes no sense to me why Carbon County is fighting to keep or take away OUR water. Do we not deserve what is rightfully ours?

I am begging you to please, please keep fighting for the narrows to happen!! If the report by Salt Lake consulting firm CH2MHill found that the Narrows was the most cost-effective, environmentally friendly, least maintenance intensive solution for delivering Sanpete's 5,400 acre-feet of water. then what are we waiting for? This is OUR water and we desperately need OUR water to help make our lively hoods continue on from generation to generation.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Paul & Heidi Bouck

178. CLARK BOWN

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Bown Dairy [bowncows@gtelco.net]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 11:30 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows

178-1 I am a third generation farmer in Sanpete county. We own several thousand acres but a limited to farming only five hundred because of the lack of dependable water. I can't understand why this is even up for debate we clearly own the water right. This water has been promised to us for so long and it makes no sense to open it to debate it's final destination. Farming is such a hard way to make a living and without that valuable, dependable water we suffer year after year. To me water is more precious than gold. The ground is not worth much without the water. The Narrows Dam project would provide so much value for our entire county. It would be easy to build and maintain. Carbon county received additional water storage in the 1930's at schofield. Please stop walking over us, grant us our given right to grow and prosper we need this so bad we own it why not let us have what is legally ours.

sincerely,
 Clark Sheldon Bown
 50 N. 330 E. Gunnison Ut. 84634

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 13 '10

DATE	NAME	TYPE	AMOUNT
	Paul		100
	Mike		105
	Miller		107
	Bob		700
6/22/10	Pat		770
6/22/10	PC		774 cy
END-6.00			
Narrows			
10043114			
1122816			

179. ELISE BOWN

AL

Crookston, Peter L

ORIGINAL

From: Bown Dairy [bowncows@gtelco.net]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 11:49 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: narrows project

- 179-1 Being a newcomer to Sanpete County, only 20 years here, I have always heard of this magical water that has been promised to Sanpete County for several decades. As the wife of a dairy farmer, I have a unique understanding of the life-altering value of water. The current proposed site finally offers a viable avenue for this promise to finally take place. It is not fair or wise that Sanpete be out of water when the snow pack has run its course. It has been a much-studied and reviewed project and even independent studies agree that this is the right time, the right place and the right plan to best help central Utah area. I whole-heartedly support this plan and ask that this cost-effective plan be put into construction ASAP. Thank you for your time and careful consideration as you approve the Narrows Dam and Reservoir.

Elise Bown

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 1 '10

Date	Initials	Code
	[Signature]	100
	[Signature]	105
	[Signature]	107
	[Signature]	700
6/22/10	BCA	770
6/22/10	PC	774

Action: _____
Classification: ENV - 6.00
Project: Narrows
Number: 10043120
To: 1122810

180. CATHERINE BOYINGTON

AL

Crookston, Peter L

From: crboyington [crboyington@mail.manti.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2010 8:02 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Fw: Narrows Project

----- Original Message -----

From: crboyington
To: narrowsSDEIS@usbr.gov
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2010 8:00 AM
Subject: Narrows Project

180-1 I am writing to express our concern for the Narrows project.

As you know the water storage for Sanpete County was first addresses in 1930 to provide water for Carbon County and Sanpete County.

In 1940 Carbon County benefited from the water storage by doubling the capacity of Scofield Reservoir. Sanpete Country has so far received none of the water storage that was promised.

Due to the increase in residential growth in Sanpete County there is more need now for the additional water then there was in the past.

Not only will Sanpete County benefit from the increased water but the construction of the Narrows will impact jobs not only for the residence of Sanpete County but other counties in the area.

With the completion of the Narrows project it will also increase revenue for Sanpete County and surrounding areas due to people visiting the Narrows recreational area.

Not only did the State's Natural Resource experts and the State Engineer agree that the Narrows should be built but Carbon County agreed in writing that the Narrows project should be built. This was addressed in the 1984 Compromise Agreement.

In all fairness the Narrows project should be built as soon as possible. We need to get past broken promises and broken contracts and Carbon County needs to stop objecting to the Narrows project.

Water supply is a critical issue. Our needs for water is above any issues from the opposition that the Narrows project should not be built.

This project is a promised project for Sanpete County and that promise needs to be fulfilled.

Thank you.

Chauncey R. Boyington

Gatherine M. Boyington
394 East 100 South
Manti, Utah 84641

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 07 '10

Receipt Date	Item	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	RS	200
6/1/10	ECM	770
6/21/10	PC	774cy

Action: ENV - 6.00
 Task/Location: DAPPDOWS
 Amount: 10040336
 Date: 1122816

181. TERRY BRADLEY

77-

ORIGINAL

May 25, 2010

Dear Mr. Crookston,

181-1

I am a life long resident of Sanpete County. A family member served on the water conservancy board for many years. He worked really hard on getting the Narrows Project to come to pass. There have been many points of view expressed on the project, but the bottom line is that it is our water and we need to have a way for it to be delivered to Sanpete County. There is no water storage available for the northern half of Sanpete County. If we could get the late water from the Narrows Project we could possibly grow a 3rd crop of alfalfa and we could utilize our pastures better because we would have water for our animals.

The people who years ago filed on that water knew how important it would be to our area. I feel that there would be many good benefits come from the Narrows Project. The dam would provide not only the water we need but would boost the local economy through recreation. Municipalities would also benefit from having this water for future growth.

It just seems that if this is our water, and there seems to be no dispute about that, we should be able to get the water. It's just wrong that Carbon County has been able to use our water all these years and has forced us to spend thousands of dollars to fight for something that should have been done 60 years ago.

If this is our water, I just don't understand why the Narrows Project is being debated at all. It's time to do the right thing and for politicians to stop pandering to Carbon County in the hopes of being re-elected. I think it's also a shame that for the price of a postage stamp, environmental groups can stop the work that decent, honest men have spent years working on.

I hope you will be fair in your decision because right is right and wrong is wrong. The right thing to do is to give Sanpete County a way to get the water that is our.

Sincerely,



177 WEST CENTER
EPINAM, UT 84627

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 02 '10

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Code
			100
			105
			107
			700
6/16/10	6/16/10	BC	770
6/23/10	6/23/10	TC	774cy

Action:

Classification: E111-6.00

File # 10037586

Comments: 1177816

182. JANELL AND OWEN BRAITHWAITE

AL

ORIGINAL

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

Crookston, Peter L

JUN 07 '10

From: Janell Braithwaite [JanellB@gunnisoncity.org]
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 1:38 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

May 28, 2010

Bureau of Reclamation
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, UT 84606

Reply Date	Initials	Code
	JS	100
	JS	105
	JS	107
	JS	700
6/2/10	JS	770
6/2/10	JS	77404

Action: EAH-600
Classification: Narrows
Comments: 100406710
ID: 1122816

- 182-1 We would like to voice our strong support for the Narrows Project in Sanpete County. As citizens of Sanpete County, we are well aware of the importance of this Project for our County.

Does it honestly take 70 years to make good on this? Sanpete County clearly owns the water rights involved. This has been acknowledged by the Utah Supreme Court the US Department of Justice. What more does it take? When Sanpete County's snow pack has melted and run past the communities, Sanpete is out of water for the year, while Carbon County has the capacity of their Scofield Reservoir doubled. Carbon County has received their end of the bargain, now it is past time to finish the agreement. While being raised on a farm in Sanpete County, Janell watched her Father and Brothers struggle through the years, working hard to earn a living, hoping they had enough water to take care of their crops. What do you think feeds this great Nation? Everything has become more important than taking care of the basic fundamentals in this Country and the little men who work so hard to put food on the tables for millions. We are too involved importing, supporting those out of our Country rather than taking care of our own. Our County also needs the stimulation this could provide to our economy. The impact this Project could make on our County far out weighs any issues offered by opponents of this Project. We are a very poor County, that doesn't make us any less important than anyone else. It means we are the backbone of this great Nation and work hard for everything we have.

This great Nation was built on integrity. Now is the time to take care of the citizens in Sanpete County and do what is right. Promises have been made and not kept. In 1984, Carbon County agreed, in writing, the narrows Project should be built. Carbon County needs to honor its commitments. It is time Reclamation makes sure this happens.

Sincerely,

Janell Braithwaite
Owen Braithwaite
195 South 400 East
Centerfield, UT 84622

183. CHERYL BREWER

May 31, 2010

ORIGINAL

To Whom It May Concern:

- 183-1 I just wanted to take this opportunity to comment on the Narrows Project. From all of the information that I have read and gathered, I have a strong conviction that the time is now to give the go-ahead with this project.
- The people of Sanpete have been put off far too long. We deserve to have the benefits of this project that have been promised to us for so many years. Our economy is such, we are one of the poorest counties in the state, that this project would give us a badly needed boost. We do not have a freeway or railroad through our county. This adversely affects our ability to draw businesses into our area. The project would also serve as a stimulus for our economy, creating jobs and recreational opportunities.
- We are also farmers. This additional water would help us to increase our crop production. We have seen our water rationed for many years beginning in the month of June. We absolutely need more water.
- Sanpete should receive a fair shake on this project. The project has been promised to us for many years. The trickle effect on our economy would help us immensely and it's the right thing to do!!

Cheryl K. Brewer
PO Box 388
Spring City, UT 84662
(435) 462-3035.

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
JUN 11 '10

Copy Date	Date	Initials	Cost
		<i>[Signature]</i>	100
		<i>[Signature]</i>	105
		<i>[Signature]</i>	107
		<i>[Signature]</i>	100
6/23/10	BCB		770
6/23/10	PC		774cy

Action:

Class/Location: *ENU-6.00*

File # *Naplaw*

File # *10042022*

File # *1172816*

184. ROBERT AND PAULA BREWER

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Paula & Robert Brewer [bearclaw@cut.net]
Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 12:48 PM
To: Suzanne Dean; KMTI Radio; PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: My comments on the Gooseberry Narrows Project

184-1 My grandfather was one of the farmers who desperately needed the water that was promised from this project nearly 70 years ago. He helped Carbon to build their dam so that they can receive their 43,000 acre-feet of water and then worked vigorously to get our dam built, but it never happened. My grandpa passed away and my Dad took up the efforts. He has also passed away and the fight continues.

It is a shame that Carbon County would rather let approximately 9,000 acre-feet of water per year go unused and flow into the Colorado River and eventually into the Gulf of Mexico than to keep their promises to Sanpete County farmers and citizens. The water that should be delivered to Sanpete County is more than Scofield Reservoir can hold. The water that belongs to Sanpete County is currently being wasted. Sanpete is not trying to take Carbon County's water, we simply demand our fair share that was promised and given almost 70 years ago. Carbon contends that Sanpete farmers should exercise water conservation. That is the story of our lives. Even the cities conserve water and regulate what each household can consume. There are no better water conservers than what Sanpete County does to conserve water! There are numerous agencies that have tried to evict Sanpete County's claim to the water, but after all their tests, they conclude that we should be receiving the water. Most recently, the Environmental Impact Study on Fish Creek was an effort to derail the efforts of Sanpete County citizens and farmers to obtain the water.

The water originates in Sanpete County and then flows into Fish Creek and eventually Scofield Reservoir. Both counties rely heavily on this water, but Carbon County has been the beneficiary of the water for the past 70 years and it shows. Their economy is flourishing compared to Sanpete. They have better roads, less unemployment, more jobs and more economic value to offer their citizens.

The proposed Narrows Project should include a 17,000 acre-foot reservoir, 5,400 of which would be available to Sanpete County farmers each year. The Scofield Reservoir can be enlarged to make up for the reduction in water flowing to it from Gooseberry Creek. This plan was first implemented in 1943, but the war put all these plans on hold. Even though Carbon County received their water, they continue to fight Sanpete County's attempts to complete the entire project as first originated and agreed. Even decades later, the water continues to flow into Fish Creek and eventually into Scofield Reservoir, controlled by Carbon County.

Many various governmental entities have recommended the construction of the Narrows Dam throughout the years. In 1956, and again in 1966, Congress recommended completion of the Colorado River Storage Project Act naming the Narrows Project, the U.S. Department of Agriculture presented a document titled the North Sanpete Watershed Plan. The plan included the Narrows Project. That same year, the U.S. Forest Service issued a permit for the construction of the project. Sanpete County even built a 3,100-foot transmountain tunnel to transport the water to the valley. My grandfather and my father worked on the tunnel.

Yet nothing was built. And Carbon County residents continue to thwart any effort Sanpete County puts forth to complete the project. Other compromises and promises have been put together and agreed to by both counties with Sanpete County always taking the lesser half, but still nothing is built or accomplished.

The recent lack of apathy isn't because Sanpete Residents are ignorant to the immense need of the project, is more because Sanpete residents are to the point of giving up. How much more to we have to fight, to prove, to provide and to compromise for something that we are entitled to 70 years ago and we continue to be entitled to and we continue to be deprived?

This project would provide not just water to our farmers, but jobs and a huge economic boost that our county so desperately needs. Stop stalling! Stop allowing one county with their needs already met, to determine the fate of our county! Let the project go forward immediately and with full support!

Robert & Paula Brewer
Bear Claw Taxidermy

43 E 100 S
Spring City UT 84662
(435) 462-BEAR 2327

185. MATTHEW BRIGGS

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Matt Briggs [mbriggs@sisna.com]
 Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 2:32 PM
 To: PRO NarrowsEIS
 Subject: Narrows Project

To whom it may concern,

185-1 I have lived in Sanpete County for about 15 years and have a small farm where I raise seed crops and alfalfa hay. Every year, no matter how high the snow pack, I see a huge reduction in irrigation water about July 1. After this time, I can't effectively irrigate 25 % of the ground that I own. This greatly reduces the income potential for my property. After listening to all the argument and seeing the water storage reservoirs in Carbon County and South Sanpete County, I know that North Sanpete needs the Narrows Project. I disagree with the statement that Carbon County would run out of water during dry periods, because the added storage potential from the Narrows Project would help carry more water from wet years and cover what Schofield couldn't hold anyway. I feel that there is little integrity in those from Carbon County who oppose the project because they signed an agreement years ago to stop fighting the project. Because of the continued opposition, I feel that there has been a "breach of contract" from Carbon County. This should make the requirement of Sanpete giving up two-thirds of it water void and we should get all the water that the courts have ruled multiple times belongs to Sanpete County.

I am very much in favor of moving forward and building the Narrows project before it becomes any more expensive. Carbon County should be required to help off-set the increased cost for the Narrows project for their continued objection after signing an agreement to stop fighting the construction of the dam. It is time to build the Narrows Dam.

Matthew Briggs
 60 W 400 N
 Mt. Pleasant UT 84647
mbriggs@sisna.com

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUN 17 '10

Copy Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	KS	700
6/22/10	BCB	770
6/22/10	PC	774 ug

Action: _____
 Classification: ENV - 6.00
NARROWS
 Original # 10043233
 # 1122816

186. SHEILA BRINGHURST

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Sheila [bringhursts@sanpeteso.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 2:47 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

- 186-1 I would like to endorse the narrows project(the dam and reservoir).
This has been delay far too long and Sanpete County needs the water storage. The environmental issues have held
th: project up far too long and we need to just do it!
Thanks, Sheila Bringhurst

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUL 1 '10

Date		
Date	Items	Cost
	Land	100
	Perm	105
	KS	107
	KS	700
6/30/10	Bod	770
7/22/10	PC	774cy

ENV-6.00

Narrows

1004443

1122816

187. AVRIN AND BOYD BROTHERSEN

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: Boyd [brothers@cut.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 8:28 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Re: Gooseberry Narrows Project

Bureau of Reclamation,
Attn: Peter Crookston, PRO-774
302 East 1860 South
Provo, UT 84606

Dear Mr. Crookston:

187-1 Just a few facts that you might consider:

The federal government has promised to build the Gooseberry Narrows Dam for 80 years.

It has been in the Supreme Court and it was found on every occasion to be Sanpete's water.

It is Sanpete's water that Carbon County has been using for 80 years.

This project has been studied to death.

The creation of the dam would not harm habitat, in fact enhance it by creating miles of shore line for fish and other aquatic animals to reproduce in.

Sanpete has been running a deficite in water useage, in order to grow anything we just simply need more water.

Carbon County has three reservoirs, Sanpete has no water storage.

The water would be released from the dam in a more controlled manner and would create a more even flow into Scofield Reservoir, thus eliminating the boom and bust cycle every year.

You can count our vote as a yes vote to build the Gooseberry Narrows Dam, for these reasons and many more.

Please Mr. Crookston, just allow us to have our water.

Sincerely yours,

Boyd & Avrin Brothersen
Life long Sanpete County Residents
480 East 400 South
Mt. Pleasant, UT
84647

Phone Number (435) 462-3116

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 18 '10

Wkly Date		
Time	Notes	Cost
	6:00-7:00	100
	7:00-8:00	105
	8:00-9:00	107
	KS	700
6/23/10	But	770
6/23/10	PC	774.00
Total		
Description: ENV-600		
DAPPAWS		
1004514		
1122816		

189. TERRY BROTHERSON

JL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: TJ Brotherson [tjbrotherson@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 11:27 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Support for the Narrows

To Whom It May Concern:

- 189-1** Being a resident of Sanpete County I am for the Narrows project. I live in Mt. Pleasant and we are in a desperate need to be able to use the water that we have the rights to. The water comes off our mountains does not give us enough to get throughout the year. This is partially due to Delta claiming our water and also Carbon County not letting us get the water we have. In Mt. Pleasant we use irrigation for our gardens and landscaping but in the fall we are luck to have water at all for those items. In the Tribune article they mention if we conserve our water we will be just fine, here in Mt. Pleasant we use irrigation pipes and a system that does not waste water. If you go to price they are still flood irrigating in town with the water being ran down the curb and gutter for thier irrigation. Now if they need the water so much you would think they would be trying to conserve it by using the most conservative methods but they are not. I believe if we were promised the water and it was granted to us then we would get it.

It would be nice if we could turn back time and just let the scofield dam fail and build the narrows dam then we would have got our water. But we cant and we put off our project for the betterment of that side of the mountain so lets reward the Sanpete valley with the water that we need and get the Narrows Project underway. It would be nice to not have to worry about water for a change. Thanks for the opportunity to comment on this project.

Sincerely,

Terry John Brotherson
 347 West 100 North
 Mt. Pleasant, Utah 84647
 435-462-0247

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. **Get busy.**

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 04 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	<i>[Signature]</i>	100
	<i>[Signature]</i>	105
	<i>[Signature]</i>	107
	KS	700
5/10/10	bat	770
6/15/10	PC	774cy
Action:		
Classification: ENV-600		
Narrows		
10034353		
1122816		

190. TOM BRUNNER

3-22-10

ORIGINAL

Mr. Peter Crookston,

190-1

after looking at the
pro + con's of the Narrows
project, I believe that it
would be for Sanpete's best
interest. Lets go for it.

Thank you.

Tom Brunner
P.O. Box 91
Spring City, UT
84662

Tom Brunner

PRO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED
MAY 25 '10

Reply Date		
Date	Initials	Code
	5/25/10	100
	6/1/10	105
	6/1/10	107
	6/1/10	109
6/4/10	BCW	770
6/1/10	PE	774cy
Action:		
Classification: ENO-1000		
Topic: Narrows		
Comments: 10035347		
Date: 1122816		

191. VERNON BUCHANAN

AL

Crookston, Peter L.

From: Karen Buchanan [karen@mantibuchanans.com]
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 9:07 AM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project comment

To Whom it May Concern

191-1 I am Vernon G. Buchanan. I am 83 years old and live in Manti, Utah. In 1990, my wife and I retired and moved from Salt Lake City to Manti and built our home. We plan to live here for the rest of our lives. My great-grandfather, John Buchanan, and his family were among the early settlers of Manti, arriving here in 1852. For most of my life I have maintained a close relationship with the part of my family living in Manti. We love living here and have been well accepted in the community.

We have a one-half acre lot and have grow a nice garden each year to help with our food requirements. There are times in the late summer when Manti doesn't have enough water for our lawns and gardens and so it is necessary for the water supply to be turned off periodically. Some communities in the northern part of Sanpete County, such as Mt. Pleasant, have radio notifications, often beginning early in the season, of very limited days and times when they can water their lawns and gardens.

In the time we have lived here we have seen substantial growth in Sanpete County. Many people have moved here following their retirement. Others are moving here to escape the congestion of urbane cities. I see our county growing faster than many of the neighboring counties. Because of this continuing growth there will be an ever increasing need for more water in Sanpete County. The Narrows Project needs to be completed in order to fill these water requirements.

Vernon G. Buchanan
366 East 300 South
Manti, UT 84642

435-835-5711

NO OFFICIAL FILE COPY
RECEIVED

JUN 15 '10

Reply Date	Date	Initials	Cod.
		LBS	100
		DW	105
		Baker	107
		S	700
6/22/10		Sgt	770
6/22/10		PC	7740

Action:

Investigation: END-600

File: Happiness

Index: 10043104

Serial: 11228110

192. BOYD BUNNELL

ML

Mr. Peter Crookston
PRO-774
302 E. 1860 S.
Provo, Utah 84606-7317

RE: Gooseberry Creek Narrows Project

Dear Sir:

- 192-1 When the western U.S. was first settled it became readily apparent that the vast lands were of little value without water. Therefore laws were promulgated and policy adopted that placed the ownership of water rights in the various governmental agencies such that the water rights would be delegated out to land holders to make sure the water was put to maximum beneficial use.

The water (approximately 6000 acre-feet) contemplated to be transferred as a cross-drainage diversion is part of the Price River drainage which has been and continues being beneficially used for culinary domestic purposes as well as industrial and agricultural uses. The users of this water have seldom experienced an excess of available water and at various times, particularly during the fall and winter months, have experienced water rationing.

The detrimental effect of transferring this water out of the Price River drainage would cause an extreme loss to the historical and present beneficial users of that water.

This water is also part of the Colorado River drainage system that is experiencing extreme water shortage problems with the decreased flowage into Lake Powell and Lake Meade. To spend many millions of tax-payer dollars to make such a transfer is not prudent or justifiable.

Respectfully Submitted,


Boyd Bunnell

PRO OFFICIAL FILE
RECEIVED
MAY 03 '10

Apr. 27 2010
229 N. Carbon Ave.
Price, Utah 84501-2418

Code	Price
100	10.0
105	10.5
107	10.7
108	10.8
109	10.9
110	11.0
111	11.1
112	11.2
113	11.3
114	11.4
115	11.5
116	11.6
117	11.7
118	11.8
119	11.9
120	12.0
121	12.1
122	12.2
123	12.3
124	12.4
125	12.5
126	12.6
127	12.7
128	12.8
129	12.9
130	13.0
131	13.1
132	13.2
133	13.3
134	13.4
135	13.5
136	13.6
137	13.7
138	13.8
139	13.9
140	14.0
141	14.1
142	14.2
143	14.3
144	14.4
145	14.5
146	14.6
147	14.7
148	14.8
149	14.9
150	15.0
151	15.1
152	15.2
153	15.3
154	15.4
155	15.5
156	15.6
157	15.7
158	15.8
159	15.9
160	16.0
161	16.1
162	16.2
163	16.3
164	16.4
165	16.5
166	16.6
167	16.7
168	16.8
169	16.9
170	17.0
171	17.1
172	17.2
173	17.3
174	17.4
175	17.5
176	17.6
177	17.7
178	17.8
179	17.9
180	18.0
181	18.1
182	18.2
183	18.3
184	18.4
185	18.5
186	18.6
187	18.7
188	18.8
189	18.9
190	19.0
191	19.1
192	19.2
193	19.3
194	19.4
195	19.5
196	19.6
197	19.7
198	19.8
199	19.9
200	20.0

Action:
Classification: FAV-1000
Project: No. 1000
Control No: 1000
Folder I.D.: 1122816



Mr. Boyd Bunnell
229 N. Carbon Ave.
Price, UT 84501-2418

193. VIRGINIA BUTLER

AL

ORIGINAL

Crookston, Peter L

From: ginnieree8@netzero.net
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 10:06 PM
To: PRO NarrowsEIS
Subject: Narrows Project

To Whom It May Concern, May 31, 2010

193-1 I am writing this letter in regards to the Narrows Project. After reading the information provided I am clearly in favor of the Narrows being completed. Since 1930 when the idea of water storage for Sanpete County was first discussed and Carbon County received their end of the bargain we in Sanpete County have been shafted. Promises were made and not kept, and contracts were signed but were then broken leaving the people of Sanpete County without the the water storage that they legally own. The federal government has yet to fulfill their promise to these people. The Utah House of Representatives and Utah State Senate has already agreed that the Narrows should be built and this alone should help push the project to completion.

Sanpete County has had a problem with water shortage for a long time. After the snow pack is melted and the streams carry the water away we are out of water for the rest of the year. Without that water it is very difficult to raise crops or livestock or meet the other needs of the population. Water storage is a critical issue but the solution is within your grasp. For the past seventy years the people of Sanpete have been fighting for the Narrows Dam and Reservoir and have continually been ignored or denied what was rightfully theirs. It is time for the powers that be to step up and fulfill all of the promises that were made. The completion of this project will once again give the citizens of Sanpete some faith in the system and return the trust in their leaders.

Sincerely,

Virginia Butler

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

RECEIVED

JUL 1 - '10

	Units	Cuts
PC	100	
PC	105	
PC	107	
KC	700	
BCH	770	
PC		774 cy

ENV-6.DC
Narrow
10041537
1122816