OPERATION OF GLEN CANYON DAM Colorado River Storage Project, Arizona

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

March 1995



Acronyms and Abbreviations

		l	
AGC	Automatic generation control	IPP	Inland Power Pool
AGFD	Arizona Game and Fish Department	kV	Kilovolt
AMP	Adaptive Management Program	kW	Kilowatt
AMWG	Adaptive Management Work Group	kWh	Kilowatthour
AOP	Annual Operating Plan	LCR	Little Colorado River
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs	maf	Million acre-feet
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	mg/L	Milligrams per liter
cfs	Cubic feet per second	mm	millimeter
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	MW	Megawatt
CROD	Contract rate of delivery	MWh	Megawatthour
CRSM	Colorado River Simulation Model	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
CRSP	Colorado River Storage Project	NERC	North American Electrical Reliability Council
CRSS	Colorado River Simulation System	NHWZ	New high water zone
DO	Dissolved oxygen	NOx	Nitrogen oxide
DOE	Department of Energy	NPS	National Park Service
EA	Environmental assessment	OHWZ	Old high water zone
EIS	Environmental impact statement	P.L.	Public Law
°F	Degree Fahrenheit	Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	RM	River mile
FONSI	Finding of no significant impact	ROD	Record of decision
FWCA	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	SLCA/IP	Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
GCES	Glen Canyon Environmental Studies	SRP	Salt River Project
GCPA	Grand Canyon Protection Act	USC	United States Code
GLCA	Glen Canyon National Recreation	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
GLOA	Area	WAUC	Western Area Upper Colorado
GRCA	Grand Canyon National Park	Western	Western Area Power Administration
GWh	Gigawatthour	WSCC	Western Systems Coordinating Council
IMPLAN	U.S. Forest Service input-output economic model	>	Greater than
hr	Hour	<	Less than

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering sound use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish, wildlife, and biological diversity; preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places; and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to ensure that their development is in the best interests of all our people by encouraging stewardship and citizen participation in their care. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. Administration.

> The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Final Environmental Impact Statement Operation of Glen Canyon Dam Colorado River Storage Project Coconino County, Arizona

Cooperating Agencies:	U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation (lead agency) Bureau of Indian Affairs Fish and Wildlife Service National Park Service U.S. Department of Energy Western Area Power Administration Arizona Game and Fish Department Hopi Tribe Hualapai Tribe Navajo Nation San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe Southern Paiute Consortium Zuni Pueblo
For Further Information Contact:	Mr. Gordon S. Lind Colorado River Studies Office Bureau of Reclamation 125 South State Street, Room 6107 Salt Lake City UT 84138-1102 (801) 524-5479

This final environmental impact statement (EIS) analyzes the impacts of operations from 1963 to 1990 (baseline conditions) and alternative operations of Glen Canyon Dam on downstream environmental and cultural resources of Glen and Grand Canyons. Alternative operations evaluated include three that would provide steady flows and six, including no action, that would provide various levels of fluctuating flows. Additional measures have been combined with the alternative operations, where appropriate, either to mitigate adverse impacts of the alternative or to enhance resources. The EIS team and the cooperating agencies attempted to balance benefits to all resources in identifying a preferred alternative. As a result of comments on the draft EIS and discussions with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the preferred alternative described in the draft EIS was modified for the final EIS. The preferred alternative is the Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative.

This final EIS was prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act and Bureau of Reclamation procedures and is intended to serve environmental review and consultation requirements pursuant to Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Wetlands Protection), National Historical Preservation Act (Section 106), Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and Endangered Species Act (Section 7c). This final EIS will be used by decisionmakers in the Bureau of Reclamation and the Department of the Interior and is provided for public information. A record of decision can be approved 30 days after publication of release of the final EIS in the *Federal Register*. Any decision regarding the operation of Glen Canyon Dam as well as opportunities for future public involvement will be well publicized.



	Page
General location map	Frontispiece
Chapter I Purpose of and need for action	. 1
Background	. 2
Cooperating agencies	. 3
Management responsibilities	-
Document organization	-
Location and setting	
Grand Canyon National Park	
Historical perspective	
A A	
Predam flows	
Postdam flows (historic operations)	
Glen Canyon Dam operations	
Authorities and institutional constraints	
Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-575)	. 8
Law of the River	8
National parks	
Environmental	9
Cultural preservation	
Native American	10
Glen Canyon Environmental Studies	10
Phase I (1982-88)	10
Phase II (1988-present)	11
Relationship between Glen Canyon Dam EIS and Electric Power Marketing EIS	11
Scoping summary	
Public issues and concerns	12
Significant issues identified for detailed analysis	13
Public review of draft EIS	14
Chapter II Description of alternatives	15
Process used to formulate alternatives	15
Alternatives considered in detail	
Unrestricted fluctuating flows	
No Action Alternative	
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative	
Restricted fluctuating flows	
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	26
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	27
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative (Preferred Alternative)	27
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	
Steady flows	
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative	
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative	
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative	
Common elements	
Monitoring and protecting cultural resources	
Flood frequency reduction measures	

	Page
Beach/habitat-building flows	. 40
New population of humpback chub	. 41
Further study of selective withdrawal	. 42
Emergency exception criteria	. 43
Mitigation	. 43
Power adjustments	
Permits and regulatory approvals	. 44
Alternatives considered and eliminated from detailed study	. 44
Run-of-the-River Alternative	. 44
Evaluation of alternative	
Conclusions	. 47
Historic Pattern Alternative	. 47
Evaluation of alternative	
Conclusions	
Reregulated Flow Alternative	
Evaluation of alternative	. 49
Conclusions	
Concepts eliminated from detailed study	
Sand pumping	
Beach protection	
Remove Glen Canyon Dam	
Move hydropower peaking from Glen Canyon Dam to Hoover Dam	
Summary comparison of alternatives and impacts	. 54
Resource Management Objectives	. 54
Chapter III Affected environment	. 67
Setting	
Immediate area	
Colorado River region	
Geology	
Climate	
Colorado River system resource linkages	
Water volume and pattern of release	
Sediment transport and its effect on other resources	. 72
Flows, sediment, and downstream resources	. 73
Aquatic resources	. 73
Terrestrial resources	. 75
Summary	. 76
Water	. 76
Streamflows	. 77
Predam streamflows	. 77
Postdam streamflows	. 79
Downstream transformation of fluctuating releases	. 81
Travel time of water	. 81
Tributary flows	. 81
Floodflows and other spills	. 81
Reservoir storage	
Water allocation deliveries	
Upper Basin yield determination	

														Page
Water quality	•	•										•		85
Lake Powell														85
Colorado River below Glen Canyon Dam														88
Sediment		•				•							•	89
Background								•		•			•	89
Riverbed sand								•		•			•	90
Delivery to the Colorado River									•				•	90
Main channel transport and storage														93
Sandbars (beaches and backwaters)														97
Recirculation zones (eddies)														98
Silt and clay	•													100
Sandbar deposition and erosion														101
High terraces														103
Debris fans and rapids														104
Lake deltas														105
Lake Powell deltas														106
Lake Mead delta														108
Fish														109
Aquatic food base														110
Cladophora and associated diatoms														111
Other aquatic food sources							į							112
	·						•		·					114
Endangered species														114
Other native fish	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	116
Mainstem reproduction	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•		•	116
Tributary reproduction	•		•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•		•	•	117
Mainstem recruitment and growth	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•			Ċ	÷		118
Non-native warmwater and coolwater fish	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	119
Mainstem reproduction														119
Tributary reproduction	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	120
Mainstem recruitment and growth	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	120
Interactions between native and non-native fish	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	121
Striped bass and other predators														121
Establishment and expansion of other competito	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•		•	•	121
Trout														122
A dealt atmost dim a manufalitan														122
Glen Canyon reproduction and recruitment														
Downstream reproduction and recruitment														124 125
														125
Growth and condition														125
Vegetation														
Riparian vegetation														125
Woody plants														126
Emergent marsh plants	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	129
Wildlife and habitat														130
Riparian habitat (woody and emergent marsh plan Mammala														131
Mammals	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	131
Birds														132
Amphibians and reptiles	•	•	•••	•	•	• •	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	132 134
i errestriat inverteorates														134

													•			Page
Wintering waterfowl (aquatic food base)																135
Endangered and other special status species			•						•		•	•	•	•	•	135
Endangered species		•`					•					•		•		136
Humpback chub							•	• •			•		•			136
Razorback sucker										•						137
Bald eagle						•										137
Peregrine falcon																138
Kanab ambersnail																139
Other special status species																139
Flannelmouth sucker																139
Southwestern willow flycatcher																139
Arizona species of concern																139
Cultural resources																140
Archeological sites																140
Native American traditional cultural prope																141
Havasupai Tribe																141
																141
Hopi Tribe																141
Hualapai Tribe																
Navajo Nation																144
Southern Paiute Tribe																145
																145
Air quality																146
Grand Canyon air quality																146
Sulfates																147
Regional air quality																148
Recreation	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	148
Fishing	•			•		•	•			•	•	•	•	•		149
Fishing in Glen Canyon	•								•	•			•			149
Fishing in Grand Canyon	•		•	•				•					•			151
Day rafting	•						•	•								151
Trip attributes	•															152
Navigability, access, and boating safety	•							•								152
White-water boating																152
River trip attributes							•									153
Wilderness values	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		154
Safety																155
Handicapped accessibility	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	·	•	•••	•	•	•	•	·	•	155
Camping beaches	•	•••	•	·	•••	•	•	·	•••	·	•	·	•	•	•	156
Lake activities and facilities	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	·	•••	·	•	·	•	·	•	159
Recreation at Lake Powell		• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	159
Navigability of upper Lake Mead			•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	٠	•	•	160
Economics of recreational use				•	• •	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	160
		•••		•	• •	•	•'	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Recreation use								•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	160
Net economic value of recreation											•	•	٠	٠		161
Regional economic activity														•	•	162
Recreation, economics, and Indian Trib																164
Hydropower																166
Power operations																166
Operational flexibility						•										167

			Page
Power marketing			170
Long-term firm power			170
Short-term firm power			171
Nonfirm energy			171
SLCA/IP post-1989 power marketing criteria			171
Marketable resources			171
Wholesale and retail power rates			172
Regional economic activity			173
Non-use value			173
Market value, non-market value, and non-use value			174
Conceptual basis for non-use value			174
Evidence of relative magnitude of use and non-use value			175
Potential non-use value for hydroelectric power	•••	• •	175
Implications of non-use values	•••	•••	175
Estimating non-use value for this EIS	•••	• •	175
	• •	•••	176
Focus group investigation	•••	• •	170
Charter IV Engineering to Longer success			177
Chapter IV Environmental consequences	• •	• •	177
Water	• •	• •	177
Analysis methods	• •	• .•	177
Summary of impacts: water	•••	• •	178
Unrestricted fluctuating flows	•••	• •	180
No Action Alternative			180
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative			184
Restricted fluctuating flows	• •	• •	184
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•••	• •	185
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	• •		185
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative		• •	186
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative			186
Steady flows		• •	186
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative			186
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative			187
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative			189
Sediment			191
Analysis methods			191
Summary of impacts: sediment			192
Riverbed sand			194
Sandbars (beaches and backwaters)			195
High terraces			197
Debris fans and rapids			198
Lake deltas			198
Unrestricted fluctuating flows			199
No Action Alternative			199
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative			202
Restricted fluctuating flows			202
Riverbed sand	• •	•••	202
Sandbars (beaches and backwaters)	•••	• •	202
	• •	• •	
Debris fans and rapids	• •	•••	202
	• •	• •	200

															Page
Lake deltas														•	203
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative															203
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative															204
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative			•												204
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative															205
Steady flows															205
Riverbed sand															206
Sandbars (beaches and backwaters)															206
High terraces															206
Debris fans and rapids															207
															207
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative															207
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative															208
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															209
Fish															209
Analysis methods															210
Aquatic food base	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	210
															210
Non-native warmwater and coolwater fish															210
Interactions between native and non-native fish .															211
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$															211
Summary of impacts: fish															211
Aquatic food base	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	211
Native fish	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	211
Non-native warmwater and coolwater fish	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	215
Interactions between native and non-native fish .															215
Trout															216
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															216
No Action Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	216
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															219
Restricted fluctuating flows	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	220
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative															221
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative															, 222
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•		•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	223
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•		•		•				•				224
Steady flows							•					•			225
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternativ	е.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	227
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative						•	•		•		•				228
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															229
Vegetation															230
Analysis methods															231
Woody plants															231
Emergent marsh plants															235
Summary of impacts: vegetation															236
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															239
No Action Alternative															239
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															239

															Page
Restricted fluctuating flows															240
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	240
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•		•	•	•			•			•	•		•	240
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative						•									241
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•							•	•	•	•	•		•	242
Steady flows			•		•						•				242
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative															242
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative											•				243
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															244
Wildlife and habitat	•	•													244
Analysis methods						•									245
Woody and emergent marsh plants															245
Aquatic food base															246
Summary of impacts: wildlife and habitat															246
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															248
No Action Alternative															248
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															248
Restricted fluctuating flows															248
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative															249
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative															249
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative															249
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative									·						250
Steady flows									·						250
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative															250
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative															251
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															251
Endangered and other special status species	•									Ż					252
Analysis methods															253
Summary of impacts: endangered and other special s															253
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															256
No Action Alternative		Ż								·					256
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative		•	•	•			•				÷				257
Restricted fluctuating flows															257
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	257
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	258
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	258
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	250 259
Steady flows															259
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative	· ·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	259
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative															259
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															260
Cultural resources															260
Summary of impacts: cultural resources	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	200
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															261
No Action Alternative	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	٠	204 264
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															264 268
Restricted fluctuating flows															268
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative															268
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative							•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	269

															Page
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	269
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	269
Steady flows	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	270
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative															270
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative		•			•	•						•	•		271
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative		•					•		•	•	•				271
Air quality							•			•		•	•		271
Analysis methods							•				•				272
Summary of impacts: air quality							•								272
Unrestricted fluctuating flows		•"			•		•								274
No Action Alternative															274
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															274
Restricted fluctuating and steady flow alternatives .								•						•	274
Recreation															274
Analysis methods															275
Summary of impacts: recreation															275
Fishing															275
Day rafting \ldots															277
White-water boating	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	277
Lake activities and facilities	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	279
Unrestricted fluctuating flows	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	280
No Action Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	280
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative															283
Restricted fluctuating flows															283
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	285
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	285
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative															285
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative															280
Steady flows															287
															287
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative															
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative															289
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative															290
Economics of recreational use															290
Analysis methods															290
Summary of impacts on recreation economics															291
Unrestricted fluctuating flows															293
Restricted fluctuating flows															294
Steady flows				•	.•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	296
Hydropower				•	٠	·	•	·	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	297
Analysis methods								•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	297
Summary of impacts: hydropower	•	•	•	٠	. •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	299
Power operations							•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	301
Power marketing							•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	301
Unrestricted fluctuating flows	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	306
No Action Alternative	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	306
Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	307
Restricted fluctuating flows	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	307
Power operations	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			•		•	•	307
Power marketing										۰.					311

	Page
High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	311
Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	311
Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	312
Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	312
Steady flows	313
rower operations	313
Power marketing	313
Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative	313
Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative	314
Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative	314
Non-use value	314
Pilot test	315
Full-scale study	315
Possible results of non-use value study	316
Cumulative impacts	316
Power	316
Air quality	317
Unavoidable adverse impacts	317
Relationship between short-term uses and long-term productivity	318
Irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources	318
Indian Trust Assets	318
Other concerns	318
Impacts on other Federal and non-Federal projects and plans	319
Management plans	319
Western's power marketing	319
State of Arizona	320
Chapter V Consultation and coordination	321
Background	321
Glen Canyon Environmental Studies	321
GCES Phase I	321
GCES Phase II	322
Cooperating agencies	322
	323
Public involvement	323
Scoping	324
Resources	325
Social values	326
Summary of public meetings on preliminary alternatives	327
Alternatives selected for detailed analysis	328
Public review of draft EIS	328
Public information sessions	328
Public hearings	328
Public comments	328
	329
Copies distributed by Commissioner's Office, Washington, DC	329
Federal agencies	329
Congressional delegations	329
······································	527

																								Page
C		istributed by Upper Co																						329
		al agencies																						329
	State a	government agencies	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	330
	Indiar	Tribes	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	331
		ies																						331
		ific oversight and review																						333
	Intere	sted organizations .	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	·	·	•	•	·	•	•	333
List of	prepar	ers		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	LP-1
Conver	rsion ta	bles																						
Glossa	ry.			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	G-1
Bibliog																								B-1
Index		· · · · · · · · ·	•••	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	Index-1
Attachi	ments																							
1		Environmental Comm	itme	nts																				Att-1
2		Grand Canyon Protect																						Att-3
3		Long-Range Operating																						Att-7
4		Fish and Wildlife Cons	, aultai	Hior	n						-			-	-	-	•	·	•	•	•	-	-	Att-11
5		Programmatic Agreem																						
6		Supporting Data on Al																						Att-33
0		Supporting Data on Al	lem	auv	/es	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	Att-33

Tables

		Page
II-1	Operating limits of alternatives identified for detailed analysis	18
II-2	Percent of days that minimum and maximum releases and daily	
	fluctuations occur under the alternatives	16
II-3	Flow parameters under the High Fluctuating Flow Alternative	26
II-4	Flow parameters under the Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	27
II-5	Flow parameters under the Modified Low and Interim Low Fluctuating	
	Flow Alternatives	28
II-6	Example beach/habitat-building peak discharges and monthly	
	volumes	40
II-7	Summary comparison of alternatives and impacts	58
III-1	High predam and postdam Colorado River flows below Glen	
	Canyon Dam	78
III-2	Median predam and postdam monthly flows at Lees Ferry	79

Tables

III o		Page
III-3	Historic minimum and maximum hourly releases and daily fluctuations, 1965-89	81
III-4	Recorded flows of principal tributaries to the Colorado River in Grand	•-
	Canyon through 1990	83
III-5	Historic Colorado River consumptive water use, Lower Basin	85
III-6	Colorado River consumptive water use, Upper Basin	85
III-7	Hydraulic characteristics of geologic reaches within Grand Canyon	94
III-8	Major Lake Powell sediment deltas	107
III-9	Native fish of Glen and Grand Canyons	114
III-10	Introduced fish present in the Colorado River through Glen and	111
	Grand Canyons	120
III-11	Wild trout fishery disignations in Grand Canyon	151
III-12	Average area in square feet of campsites by size class and	151
111-12	discharge for 1991	157
III-13	8	
III-13 III-14	Distribution of camping beaches by reach	158
III-14 III-15	Density as a function of lake surface area	159
		162
III-16	Number of nonresident trips, direct expenditures by nonresident	
	river-based recreators, and estimated local economic activity	1.4
π7 1	generated in the region in 1991	164
IV-1	Summary of anticipated impacts on water during the	
	50-year period of analysis by alternative	179
IV-2	Projected median monthly release volumes under the	
	No Action Alternative	180
IV-3	Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative projected	
	median streamflows	186
IV-4	Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative projected	
	median streamflows	189
IV-5	Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative projected median streamflows	189
IV-6	Summary of anticipated impacts on sediment by alternative	193
IV-7	Sand transport capacity and probability of net gain in sand storage	
	in the Colorado River	195
IV-8	Summary of anticipated impacts on fish by alternative	212
IV-9	Change in river stage and wetted perimeter associated with	
	reliable minimum flows under each alternative at three	
	sites below Glen Canyon Dam	214
IV-10	Summary of anticipated impacts on vegetation during the short-term	
	period of analysis by alternative	237
IV-11	Anticipated impacts to (indicators of) wildlife and habitat during	
	the period of short-term analysis by alternative	247

Tables

				Page
IV-12	Summary of anticipated impacts on habitat conditions for endangered			
	and other special status species during the short-term period of			
	analysis by alternative		•	254
IV-13	Summary of anticipated impacts on cultural resources by alternative	•	•	262
IV-14	Summary of anticipated impacts on air quality during the 50-year			
	period of analysis by alternative	•	•	273
IV-15	Summary of anticipated impacts on recreation by alternative	•	•	276
IV-16	Stage change in the Glen Canyon reach by alternative	•	•	277
IV-17	Comparison of campable area by alternative			279
IV-18	Change in equivalent annual value and net present value of recreation			
	for the 50-year planning period	•		292
IV-19	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	No Action, Maximum Powerplant Capacity, and High Fluctuating			
	Flow Alternatives	•		293
IV-20	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Moderate Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•		294
IV-21	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•		294
IV-22	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Interim Low Fluctuating Flow Alternative	•		295
IV-23	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Existing Monthly Volume Steady Flow Alternative			295
IV-24	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Seasonally Adjusted Steady Flow Alternative			296
IV-25	Net economic benefits of recreation for representative years under the			
	Year-Round Steady Flow Alternative	•	•	296
IV-26	Summary of anticipated impacts on hydropower during the 50-year			
	period of analysis by alternative	•		300
IV-27	Financial impacts on large and small utilities by alternative			304
IV-28	Range of estimated small system retail power rates by alternative			305
IV-29	Comparison of emergency assistance under no action and			
	interim operations			310
IV-30	Comparison of scheduled outage assistance under no action and			
	interim flows			310

Figures

I-1	Ongoing interactive communication was essential to the Glen	Page
	Canyon Dam EIS process	4
I-2	Photograph of Glen Canyon Dam and Powerplant showing water	
	release capacities of the powerplant, outlet works, and spillways	7
II-1	Glen Canyon Dam EIS alternatives	17
II-2	Historic water releases from Glen Canyon Dam	20
II-3	Comparison of monthly volumes released during low, moderate,	
	and high release years	21
II-4	Hourly releases for typical summer days with low, moderate, and high release volumes	22
II- 5		22
II- 5	Historic distributions of daily minimums, maximums, and fluctuations in cfs (1965-89)	
II-6		22
II-0 II-7	Historic (1966-89) distribution of 1-hour ramp rates in cfs per hour	23
11-/	Example hourly releases under fluctuating flow alternatives compared to	
T 0	historic operations for low, moderate, and high release days in July	25
II-8	Steady flow alternatives compared to no action for low, moderate, and	
	high release years	31
II-9	Changes in electrical load during steady research flows caused minor	
	discharge fluctuations that were measured at U.S. Geological Survey	
	gauging stations below the dam and at Lees Ferry	32
II-10	Organizational structure of the Adaptive Management Program	36
III-1	Photograph of Colorado River corridor looking downstream from	
	Nankoweap Creek	71
III-2	As the river's sediment load increases downstream, the abundance	
	of Cladophora, aquatic macroinvertebrates, and rainbow	
	trout decreases	73
III-3	The effects of dam operations on linkages between acquatic and	
	terrestrial resources are exemplified by the trout fishery	74
III-4	Insects are an important linkage between aquatic and terrestrial systems in	
	Grand Canyon	76
III-5	The pattern of annual flows at Lees Ferry changed with completion of Glen	
	Canyon Dam in 1963 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	78
III-6	Predam stage hydrographs at Phantom Ranch	79
III-7	Predam and postdam daily flows at Lees Ferry	80
III-8	The magnitude of daily fluctuations has been greater for low to	ΟŲ
	moderate release years than for high release years	82
III-9	End-of-month storage in Lakes Powell and Mead since 1963	84
III-10	Generalized seasonal circulation patterns in Lake Powell	
III-11	Annual peak flows (a) and estimated sand transport capacity (b) for the	86
	Colorado River at Lees Ferry from 1922 to 1990, both of which have	
		01
III-12	been substantially reduced since dam closure	91
***	r minuar sand contributions from the raria River, LCK, and Kanab Creek	92

Figures

TTT 10		Page
III-13	Cumulative sand supply increases with river mile, with large increases at	
TTT 4 4	the confluences of the Paria and Little Colorado Rivers	93
III-14	Geologic reaches within Grand Canyon	95
III-15	Cumulative sand storage between Lees Ferry and Phantom Ranch	96
III-16	Comparison of riverflow and computed sand load at the gauge	
	above the LCR under steady and fluctuating flows within a	
	24-hour period	97
III-17	Relationship of sandbars and flow patterns	98
III-18	Cross section of the Colorado River	99
III-19	Conceptual change in relative number of backwaters (open return-current channels)	
	during low flow seasons since the 1965 flood release	100
III-20	Conceptual cross section of a sandbar affected by fluctuating flows	.102
III-21	Comparison of sandbars used as campsites based on inventories	
	conducted in 1973, 1983, and 1991	103
III-22	Conceptual cross section of arroyos draining high terraces typically found	
	in a wide reach of Grand Canyon	104
III-23	Lake Powell and major tributaries	101
III-24	Profile of Colorado River bed in 1958-59 and 1986	107
III-25	Profile of Colorado River bed and sediment deposits in Lake Mead	107
III-26	Cladophora declines with distance from the dam and Oscillatoria	100
	becomes codominant	112
III-27	Accumulation of Cladophora measured as (a) Chlorophyll a, and	112
	(b) in biomass	113
III-28	Spawning and egg incubation temperatures for native and	115
	non-native fish	11/
III-29	Composition of native and non-native fish in the upper reach of the	116
	Colorado River mainstem	110
III-30	Grand Canyon riparian zone, predam (before 1963) and postdam	119
	(after 1963)	
III-31	Wet marsh, dry marsh, and new high water zone plants occupy flow-stage	127
• -		
III-32	bands defined by frequency and duration of inundation	130
	The importance of riparian vegetation as wildlife habitat is exemplified	
III-33	by nesting birds	133
III-34	Various types of fine particles measured at Grand Canyon, 1982-83	147
III-34 III-35	The amount of haze caused by various particles in Grand Canyon air	147
III-36	Sources of sulfates at Grand Canyon, 1981-85	148
III-38 III-37	Number of camps degraded by reach type and type of degradation	156
	Percentage of beach area inundated between discharges	157
III-38	Number of campsites per mile by type of reach, 1973, 1983, and 1991	158
III-39	Mooring quality on the Colorado River under steady high, steady	
III 40	low, and fluctuating releases	159
III-40	Recreational use in Glen and Grand Canyons, 1991	161

Figures

		Page
III-41	Total recreation permits sold by Hualapai Tribe, 1985-91	164
III-42	Location of Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects	167
III-43	The SLCA/IP markets power to approximately 180 utilities, mostly in six States	173
IV-1	Fifty-year projected distribution of monthly volume releases	175
IV-2	Projected 20-year minimum hourly releases under the fluctuating flow	101
	alternatives	101
IV-3	Projected 20-year maximum hourly releases under the fluctuating	181
	flow alternatives	100
IV-4	Projected daily fluctuations under the fluctuating flow alternatives	182
IV-5	Projected annual storage levels in Lakes Powell and Mead under three	182
	hydrologic scenarios	100
IV-6	Projected release patterns under the Existing Monthly Volume Steady	183
	Flow Alternative	105
IV-7	Projected release patterns under the Seasonally Adjusted Steady	187
	Flow Alternative	100
IV-8	Comparison of monthly storage (1989 flow conditions) under the	188
100	steady flow alternatives and no action	100
IV-9	Projected release patterns under the Year-Round Steady Flow	188
,	Alternative	400
IV-10	Cross section of sandbar affected by no action and by	190
1,1 10		104
IV-11	restricted fluctuating and steady flows	194
	50 years, and potential sandbar heights in wide reaches (without	
1	beach/habitat-building flows) for each alternative	105
IV-12	Comparison of impacts to the aquatic food base by alternative,	197
	using reliable wetted perimeter near Grand Canyon Dam	
	as the indicator of effects	
IV-13		213
	Reduced maximum flows would affect riparian vegetation in the new	
	high water zone (NHWZ) by reducing the width of unstable sandbars and,	
IV-14	thus, increasing the area of stable deposits available for plant development	232
., .,	Area coverage of woody plants would increase under alternatives	
	with reduced maximum flows, and species composition would stabilize into similar patterns in the laws to us	
IV-15	stabilize into similar patterns in the long term Because of reduced maximum flows under some alternatives,	234
11-15		
	area coverage of woody plants in the new high water zone would	
IV-16	increase	243
IV-10 IV-17	Total SLCA/IP CROD marketable capacity	302
IV-17 IV-18		303
14-10	SLCA/IP wholesale rates would increase compared to no action under all	
	alternatives except the Maximum Powerplant Capacity Alternative	304