

Scofield Dam Proposed Safety of Dams (SOD) Modifications and Bridge Reconstruction Environmental Assessment Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – Purpose of and Need for the Proposed Action	1
1.1 Introduction and Background	1
1.1.1 Safety of Dams (SOD) Program Overview	2
1.1.2 SOD NEPA Compliance Requirements	3
1.2 Purpose of and Need for Proposed SOD Modifications and Bridge Replacement ..	3
1.3 Lead and Cooperating Agencies	5
1.4 Description of Scofield Dam and Operation.....	5
1.4.1 Scofield Dam	5
1.4.2 Scofield Reservoir.....	6
1.5 Summary of Scofield Dam Safety Hazards	7
1.6 Decisions to Be Made	8
1.7 Permits and Authorizations.....	8
1.8 Resource Issues.....	10
 Chapter 2 - Alternatives	 11
2.1 Alternatives Development	11
2.2 No Action Alternative	11
2.3 Action Alternatives	11
2.3.1 Spillway Replacement Alternative.....	11
2.3.2 Downstream Detour of State Highway 96 (SR-96) Alternative.....	16
2.4 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Analysis	17
2.4.1 Non Structural Alternatives	17
2.4.1.1 Permanent Restriction of the Reservoir Elevation	17
2.4.1.2 Abandoning the Dam and Draining the Reservoir	18
2.4.1.3 Breaching and Removing the Dam	18
2.4.2 Structural Alternatives Eliminated from Further Analysis	18
2.4.2.1 Remove Damaged Concrete.....	18
2.4.2.2 Protection of Concrete Joints	19
2.4.2.3 Lining the Chute with Steel.....	19
2.4.2.4 Replacement of the Crest and Bridge.....	19
2.4.2.5 Construction of a Permanent Road Over the Old Dam Site.....	20
2.4.2.6 Rehabilitate Existing Bridge	20
 Chapter 3 - Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	 21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Affected Environment	21
3.2.1 Recreation	21
3.2.2 Water Resources	22
3.2.3 Water Quality	22
3.2.4 Public Safety, Access, and Transportation	23

3.2.5	Visual Quality	23
3.2.6	Socioeconomics	24
3.2.7	Cultural Resources	24
3.2.7.1	Cultural History	24
3.2.7.2	Cultural Resources Status	25
3.2.8	Paleontological Resources	26
3.2.9	Wetlands and Vegetation	26
3.2.10	Wildlife Resources	27
3.2.11	Threatened, Endangered, Candidate, and State Sensitive Species	30
3.2.12	Land Use.....	30
3.2.13	Prime and Unique Farmland.....	31
3.2.14	Pedestrians and Bicyclists	31
3.2.15	Air Quality.....	31
3.2.16	Noise.....	31
3.2.17	Construction Impacts.....	31
3.3	Environmental Consequences	32
3.3.1	Recreation	32
3.3.1.1	No Action Alternative	32
3.3.1.2	Action Alternatives	32
3.3.1.2.1	Spillway Replacement	32
3.3.1.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	32
3.3.2	Water Resources	32
3.3.2.1	No Action Alternative	32
3.3.2.2	Action Alternatives	33
3.3.2.2.1	Spillway Replacement	33
3.3.2.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	33
3.3.3	Water Quality	33
3.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	33
3.3.3.2	Action Alternatives	33
3.3.3.2.1	Spillway Replacement	33
3.3.3.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	34
3.3.4	Public Safety, Access, and Transportation	34
3.3.4.1	No Action Alternative	34
3.3.4.2	Action Alternatives	34
3.3.4.2.1	Spillway Replacement	34
3.3.4.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	35
3.3.5	Visual Quality	35
3.3.5.1	No Action Alternative	35
3.3.5.2	Action Alternatives	35
3.3.5.2.1	Spillway Replacement	35
3.3.5.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	35
3.3.6	Socioeconomics	36
3.3.6.1	No Action Alternative	36
3.3.6.2	Action Alternatives	36
3.3.6.2.1	Spillway Replacement	36
3.3.6.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	37

3.3.7	Cultural Resources	37
3.3.7.1	No Action Alternative	37
3.3.7.2	Action Alternatives	37
3.3.7.2.1	Spillway Replacement	37
3.3.7.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	38
3.3.8	Paleontological Resources	38
3.3.8.1	No Action Alternative.....	38
3.3.8.2	Action Alternatives	39
3.3.8.2.1	Spillway Replacement	39
3.3.8.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	39
3.3.9	Wetlands and Vegetation	39
3.3.9.1	No Action Alternative.....	39
3.3.9.2	Action Alternatives	39
3.3.9.2.1	Spillway Replacement	39
3.3.9.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	39
3.3.10	Wildlife Resources.....	40
3.3.10.1	No Action Alternative	40
3.3.10.2	Action Alternatives	40
3.3.10.2.1	Spillway Replacement	40
3.3.10.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	41
3.3.11	Threatened, Endangered, Candidate, and State Sensitive Species	41
3.3.11.1	No Action Alternative	41
3.3.11.2	Action Alternatives	41
3.3.11.2.1	Spillway Replacement	41
3.3.11.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	41
3.3.12	Land Use	42
3.3.13	Prime and Unique Farmland	42
3.3.14	Pedestrians and Bicyclists.....	42
3.3.15	Air Quality	42
3.3.16	Noise	42
3.3.17	Construction Impacts	42
3.3.17.1	No Action Alternative	42
3.3.17.2	Action Alternatives	42
3.3.17.2.1	Spillway Replacement	42
3.3.17.2.2	Downstream Detour of SR-96	42
3.4	Indian Trust Assets	43
3.5	Environmental Justice	43
3.6	Summary of Environmental Consequences	44
3.7	Cumulative Effects.....	45

Chapter 4 - Environmental Commitments47

Chapter 5 - Consultation and Coordination51

5.1	Introduction	51
5.2	Public Involvement	51
5.3	Native American Consultation	51

5.4	Paleontological Resources	52
5.5	Utah State Historic Preservation Office.....	52
Chapter 6 - Preparers		53
Chapter 7 - References		55
Tables		
1.1	Scofield Dam and Reservoir - Physical Data.....	7
1.2	Permits	9
3.1	Summary of Environmental Consequences	44
Appendices.....		57
Appendix A – Maps		59
Map 1 Scofield Dam and Reservoir Vicinity Map.....		60
Map 2 Scofield Management Areas		61
Map 3 Scofield Map Details		62
Appendix B - Figures.....		63
Figure 1 Scofield Spillway Cutoff Wall		64
Figure 2 Upstream Road Detour		65
Figure 3 Contractor Staging, Borrow, and Stockpiling Areas		66
Figure 4 Spillway Modification Plan View		67
Figure 5 Spillway Modification Cross Section.....		68
Figure 6 Downstream Road Detour		69
Appendix C - Documents.....		71
Document 1 Utah Geological Survey Response Letter		72
Document 2 Tribal Consultation Letter		73
Document 3 SHPO Consultation Letter.....		76
Document 4 SHPO Consultation Response Letter		78
Document 5 Advisory Council on Historic Preservation – Invitation to Comment Letter		79
Document 6 Resource Development Coordinating Committee Response Letter.....		82
Document 7 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Concurrence Letter		84
Document 8 Identification of Potential Section 4(F) Resources		85