Restoration-

Fire- BLM completed a prescribed burn Winter 2005 and Spring 2006, covering approximately 21 river miles from the Texas/NM state line up to McDonald crossing. There were some plants that were in high waters (CID release) that will be addressed this fall as to re-firing. Areas that were successful in burning were estimated at 70-85 percent in being removed.

Extraction- Total removal of treated Salt cedar has occurred in several locations within the project.
Avalon Reservoir to Calloway Drive- Approximately 110 acres were extracted, piled, and burned. This occurred in Fall 2005.
Dark Canyon to Six Mile Dam- Approximately 130 acres were extracted, piled, and burned. This occurred in Fall 2005 and Spring 2006.
Approximately 30 acres near Malaga were extracted, piled, and burned in Fall 2005.
Puerto de Luna to Santa Rosa- This stretch is “in process” with extraction, piling, and burning. There are approximately 100 acres of material being removed.

Mulching- Downing of material by mulching has occurred in several locations within the project.
Chaves County- Approximately 150 acres were mulched.
Eddy County- East of Artesia, South of HWY 82 will have mulching occurring 190 acres beginning end of May 2006. This area will also have removal along the Keiser Channel, with material being cut at ground level and removed off of the channel’s edge. The stump will remain in the ground.

Tree planting- Placement of native trees has occurred in areas where Salt cedar removal has occurred. Tree species were: Cottonwood, NM Olive, Desert willow, Coyote willow, Black willow, and Netleaf hackberry.
Chaves County- Eight groves of 35 trees (each grove is approx. one acre) were placed.
Avalon to Calloway Drive- Approximately 300 trees were planted near the larger permanent pool (directly behind Ford Motor Company) through the NRCS Plant Materials Center. This was done to determine best depth, best technique, etc.
Dark Canyon to Six Mile Dam- Approximately 100 trees were planted most in small groves (5 plants or less).
Malaga- A one acre grove of 35 trees was planted in this area.
Puerto de Luna to Santa Rosa- “in process” trees will be planted. These areas are in WHIP (Wildlife Habitat Improvement Project) contracts and will be planted according to NRCS specifications.
**Grass Seeding**- Grass seeding has occurred in areas where removal of Salt cedar has occurred. Most grass mix was: Plains bristle, Sand dropseed, Alkali Sacaton, Bluestem (spp.) Avalon to Calloway Drive- “in process” two small areas (approx. 5 acres) will be seeded through WHIP contracts. Dark Canyon to Six Mile Dam- Approximately 30 acres of seeding has taken place along embankments around Six Mile Dam. Malaga- Approximately 30 acres were seeded. Chaves County- Approximately 150 acres were seeded. Puerto de Luna to Santa Rosa- Areas cleared will be seeded through the WHIP contracts following NRCS specifications.

**Other**-

Bingaman funds- These funds will be utilized in the Artesia site for a maximum of 500 acres of removal (mulching and cut and clear off of Keiser Channel).

There are two demonstration sites; one behind Ford Motor Company (Avalon to Calloway) and one in Santa Rosa where Interstate 40 goes across the Pecos River. These areas will (or have had) Salt cedar removed, grass seeding/transplantings, and tree plantings.

We have “in progress” contract to continue efforts from Six Mile Dam south toward McDonald crossing (funding permitting), which should begin in the late summer.

BLM has purchased grass seed that will be spread in the TX/NM State line prescribed burn area this fall.

BLM has also been removing Salt cedar along the Delaware River by extracting, piling, burning, and following up with spot spraying, restoration with grass seeding, and tree planting.
The DOQQ coverage of the river will be viewed and analyzed for the following purposes:
- To qualitatively assess changes in the vegetation and the shape of the banks along the river
- To quantitatively assess the difference in the vegetation and erosion of the river banks as compared to previous years, which will result in measured areas of change
- To visually identify areas of salt cedar clearing along the Pecos River, and
- To compare actual field measurements of the bank areas to DOQQ coverage of the same areas.

Initially, the DOQQ coverage will be utilized for the above-mentioned purposes; however, aerial coverage at a larger scale may be required to provide more detail. Satellite coverage and/or additional aerial photography at a larger scale may be obtained to provide additional detail. The additional coverage may be obtained for the entire river area or for specific areas where bank erosion has been identified and more analysis is deemed necessary.

Note: DOQQ is Eight Mile Draw_nw
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A BILL

To further the purposes of the Reclamation Projects Author-
ization and Adjustment Act of 1992 by directing the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of Reclamation, to carry out an assessment and demonstration program to control salt cedar and Russian olive, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Salt Cedar and Rus-
sian Olive Control Demonstration Act”.

SEC. 2. SALTcedAR AND RUSSIAN OLIVE CONTROL DEM-
ONSTRATION PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of the Interior
(referred to in this Act as the “Secretary”), acting
through the Commissioner of Reclamation and the Direc-
tor of the United States Geological Survey and in cooper-
tion with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary
of Defense, shall carry out a salt cedar (Tamarix spp) and
Russian olive (Elaeagnus angustifolia) assessment and
demonstration program—

(1) to assess the extent of the infestation by
salt cedar and Russian olive trees in the western
United States;

(2) to demonstrate strategic solutions for—

(A) the long-term management of salt
cedar and Russian olive trees; and
(B) the reestablishment of native vegetation; and

(3) to assess economic means to dispose of biomass created as a result of removal of salt cedar and Russian olive trees.

(b) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall enter into a memorandum of understanding providing for the administration of the program established under subsection (a).

(c) ASSESSMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this Act, the Secretary shall complete an assessment of the extent of salt cedar and Russian olive infestation on public and private land in the western United States.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In addition to describing the acreage of and severity of infestation by salt cedar and Russian olive trees in the western United States, the assessment shall—

(A) consider existing research on methods to control salt cedar and Russian olive trees;
(B) consider the feasibility of reducing water consumption by salt cedar and Russian olive trees;

(C) consider methods of and challenges associated with the revegetation or restoration of infested land; and

(D) estimate the costs of destruction of salt cedar and Russian olive trees, related biomass removal, and revegetation or restoration and maintenance of the infested land.

(3) REPORT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate and the Committee on Resources and the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives a report that includes the results of the assessment conducted under paragraph (1).

(B) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall identify—

(i) long-term management and funding strategies identified under subsection
d(d) that could be implemented by Federal,

State, tribal, and private land managers
and owners to address the infestation by salt cedar and Russian olive;

(ii) any deficiencies in the assessment or areas for additional study; and

(iii) any field demonstrations that would be useful in the effort to control salt cedar and Russian olive.

(d) LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall identify and document long-term management and funding strategies that—

(A) could be implemented by Federal, State, tribal, and private land managers in addressing infestation by salt cedar and Russian olive trees; and

(B) should be tested as components of demonstration projects under subsection (e).

(2) GRANTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may provide grants to eligible entities to provide technical experience, support, and recommendations relating to the identification and documentation of long-term management and funding strategies under paragraph (1).
(B) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—Institutions of higher education and nonprofit organizations with an established background and expertise in the public policy issues associated with the control of salt cedar and Russian olive trees shall be eligible for a grant under subparagraph (A).

(C) MINIMUM AMOUNT.—The amount of a grant provided under subparagraph (A) shall be not less than $250,000.

(e) DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this Act, the Secretary shall establish a program that selects and funds not less than 5 projects proposed by and implemented in collaboration with Federal agencies, units of State and local government, national laboratories, Indian tribes, institutions of higher education, individuals, organizations, or soil and water conservation districts to demonstrate and evaluate the most effective methods of controlling salt cedar and Russian olive trees.

(2) PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.—The demonstration projects under paragraph (1) shall—
(A) be carried out over a time period and
to a scale designed to fully assess long-term
management strategies;

(B) implement salt cedar or Russian olive
tree control using 1 or more methods for each
project in order to assess the full range of con-
trol methods, including—

   (i) airborne application of herbicides;

   (ii) mechanical removal; and

   (iii) biocontrol methods, such as the
   use of goats or insects;

(C) individually or in conjunction with
other demonstration projects, assess the effects
of and obstacles to combining multiple control
methods and determine optimal combinations of
control methods;

(D) assess soil conditions resulting from
salt cedar and Russian olive tree infestation
and means to revitalize soils;

(E) define and implement appropriate final
vegetative states and optimal revegetation
methods, with preference for self-maintaining
vegetative states and native vegetation, and tak-
ing into consideration downstream impacts,
wildfire potential, and water savings;
(F) identify methods for preventing the re-
growth and reintroduction of salt cedar and
Russian olive trees;

(G) monitor and document any water sav-
ings from the control of salt cedar and Russian
olive trees, including impacts to both ground-
water and surface water;

(H) assess wildfire activity and manage-
ment strategies;

(I) assess changes in wildlife habitat;

(J) determine conditions under which re-
moval of biomass is appropriate (including opti-
mal methods for the disposal or use of bio-
mass); and

(K) assess economic and other impacts as-
associated with control methods and the restora-
tion and maintenance of land.

(f) Disposition of Biomass.—

(1) In General.—Not later than 1 year after
the date on which funds are made available to carry
out this Act, the Secretary, in cooperation with the
Secretary of Agriculture, shall complete an analysis
of economic means to use or dispose of biomass cre-
at ed as a result of removal of salt cedar and Russian
olive trees.
(2) REQUIREMENTS.—The analysis shall—

(A) determine conditions under which removal of biomass is economically viable;

(B) consider and build upon existing research by the Department of Agriculture and other agencies on beneficial uses of salt cedar and Russian olive tree fiber; and

(C) consider economic development opportunities, including manufacture of wood products using biomass resulting from demonstration projects under subsection (e) as a means of defraying costs of control.

(g) COSTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to projects and activities carried out under this Act—

(A) the assessment under subsection (e) shall be carried out at a cost of not more than $4,000,000;

(B) the identification and documentation of long-term management strategies under subsection (d)(1) and the provision of grants under subsection (d)(2) shall be carried out at a cost of not more than $2,000,000;

(C) each demonstration project under subsection (e) shall be carried out at a Federal cost
of not more than $7,000,000 (including costs of
planning, design, implementation, maintenance,
and monitoring); and

(D) the analysis under subsection (f) shall
be carried out at a cost of not more than
$3,000,000.

(2) Cost-sharing.—

(A) In general.—The assessment under
subsection (c), the identification and docu-
mentation of long-term management strategies
under subsection (d), a demonstration project
or portion of a demonstration project under
subsection (e) that is carried out on Federal
land, and the analysis under subsection (f) shall
be carried out at full Federal expense.

(B) Demonstration projects carried
out on non-Federal land.—

(i) In general.—The Federal share
of the costs of any demonstration project
funded under subsection (e) that is not
carried out on Federal land shall not ex-
ceed 75 percent.

(ii) Form of non-Federal
share.—The non-Federal share of the
costs of a demonstration project that is not
carried out on Federal land may be provided in the form of in-kind contributions, including services provided by a State agency or any other public or private partner.

(h) COOPERATION.—In carrying out the assessment under subsection (e), the demonstration projects under subsection (e), and the analysis under subsection (f), the Secretary shall cooperate with and use the expertise of Federal agencies and the other entities specified in subsection (e)(1) that are actively conducting research on or implementing salt cedar and Russian olive tree control activities.

(i) INDEPENDENT REVIEW.—The Secretary shall subject to independent review—

(1) the assessment under subsection (e);

(2) the identification and documentation of long-term management strategies under subsection (d);

(3) the demonstration projects under subsection (e); and

(4) the analysis under subsection (f).

(j) REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall submit to Congress an annual report that describes the re-
sults of carrying out this Act, including a synopsis
of any independent review under subsection (i) and
details of the manner and purposes for which funds
are expended.

(2) **PUBLIC ACCESS.**—The Secretary shall fa-
cilitate public access to all information that results
from carrying out this Act.

(k) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There are authorized to be
appropriated to carry out this Act—

(A) $20,000,000 for fiscal year 2006; and

(B) $15,000,000 for each of fiscal years
2007 through 2010.

(2) **ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.**—Not more 15
percent of amounts made available under paragraph
(1) shall be used to pay the administrative costs of
carrying out the program established under sub-
section (a).

(l) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—This Act and the
authority provided by this Act terminate on the date that
is 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.