

# Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	iii
Contents .....	iii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Biological Assessment Content and Scope.....	1
1.2 Projects Not Included in the Biological Assessment .....	3
1.3 Reclamation’s Tribal Trust Responsibility and ESA Compliance .	4
1.3.1 Indian Water Rights Settlements .....	4
1.4 The Middle Rio Grande Endangered Species Collaborative Program	6
1.5 Consultation and Litigation History.....	8
1.5.1 Early Coordination Efforts.....	10
1.6 Quiet Title Litigation History .....	13
2. Action Area: Overview of Project Components and Water Operations ..	15
2.1 Action Area.....	15
2.2 Overview of Project Components.....	15
2.2.1 The San Juan-Chama Project (SJC Project).....	15
2.2.2 The Middle Rio Grande Project, Including the MRGCD.....	18
2.3 Overview of Water Operations .....	25
2.3.1 The Rio Grande Compact and Article VII Storage Restrictions...	25
2.3.2 Water Accounting.....	27
2.3.3 Snowmelt Forecasting and the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations	
Model .....	27
2.3.4 The Annual Operating Plan .....	27
2.3.5 Operation for Prior and Paramount Lands .....	29
2.3.6 MRGCD Water Management .....	30
3. Description of Proposed Actions .....	33
3.1 Introduction.....	33
3.2 Description of Reclamation’s Proposed Water Actions .....	34
3.2.1 SJC Project Operations at Heron Dam and Reservoir .....	34
3.2.2 Operation of El Vado Dam and Reservoir .....	38
3.3 Non-Federal Proposed Actions .....	41
3.3.1 The Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District .....	41
3.4 Proposed Conservation Measures .....	48
3.4.1 Reclamation’s Conservation Measures.....	48

**Contents (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
3.4.2 MRGCD’s Proposed Conservation Measures .....	53
3.4.3 ABCWUA’s Conservation Measures .....	62
3.4.4 Middle Rio Grande Endangered Species Collaborative Program..	63
4. Species Description, Federal Listing Status and Life History .....	66
4.1 Rio Grande Silvery Minnow .....	66
4.1.1 Species Description.....	66
4.1.2 Distribution .....	66
4.1.3 Listing Status – Critical Habitat.....	67
4.1.4 Life History and Ecology.....	68
4.1.5 Reasons for Decline .....	71
4.2 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher.....	73
4.2.1 Species Description.....	73
4.2.2 Distribution .....	73
4.2.3 Listing Status and Critical Habitat.....	74
4.2.4 Life History and Ecology.....	78
4.2.5 Reasons for Decline .....	82
4.3 Pecos Sunflower.....	82
4.3.1 Species Description.....	82
4.3.2 Status and Distribution.....	83
4.3.3 Listing Status and Critical Habitat.....	85
4.3.4 Life History and Ecology.....	86
4.3.5 Reasons for Decline .....	87
4.4 Interior Least Tern .....	87
4.4.1 Status and Distribution.....	87
4.4.2 Life History and Ecology.....	87
4.4.3 Reasons for Decline .....	88
5. Environmental Baseline .....	89
5.1 Historical Perspective .....	89
5.2 Climate.....	93
5.3 Status of Listed Species .....	95
5.3.1 Rio Grande Silvery Minnow.....	97
5.3.2 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher .....	109
5.3.3 Pecos Sunflower.....	119
5.3.4 Interior Least Tern .....	120
5.4 Hydrologic Regime.....	121
5.4.1 Baseline Water Operations .....	122
5.4.2 Current Hydrologic Conditions.....	148
5.5 Channel Conditions and Dynamics.....	158

**Contents (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
5.5.1 MRG Reach Geomorphic Parameters and Current Trends.....	159
5.6 Actions to Avoid, Minimize, or Mitigate.....	169
5.6.1 Environmental Water Management .....	170
5.6.2 Habitat Improvement .....	184
5.6.3 Salvage and Captive Propagation and Actions to Minimize Take of Silvery Minnow .....	191
5.6.4 Water Quality.....	192
5.6.5 Monitor Cowbird Paritism .....	192
5.6.6 Conservation Recommendations .....	193
5.7 Summary of Baseline Conditions for Listed Species .....	198
5.7.1 Summary of Habitat Condition, Species Status, and Restoration by Reach.....	198
5.7.2 Cochiti Dam Reach.....	199
5.7.3 Angostura Reach.....	199
5.7.4 Isleta Reach.....	200
5.7.5 San Acacia Reach .....	201
5.7.6 Summary of Baseline Conditions Affecting Silvery Minnow Life History and Critical Habitat Elements. ....	202
5.7.7 Summary Baseline Conditions Affecting Willow Flycatcher Life History and Critical Habitat Elements .....	207
5.7.8 Summary Baseline Conditions Affecting Pecos Sunflower. ....	209
6. Effects Analysis .....	211
6.1 Approach, Tools, and Methods for Hydrologic Analysis.....	212
6.1.2 Approach for Analysis of Effects to Listed Species .....	216
6.1.3 Continuation of Geomorphic Trends .....	217
6.2 The Composition of Middle Rio Grande Flows .....	218
6.2.1 The Composition of River Flow at Cochiti Dam.....	219
6.3 Comparison of Hydrologic Conditions with and Without the Proposed Water Management Actions .....	224
6.3.1 Effect of Proposed Water Management Actions on Silvery Minnow.....	230
6.3.2 Effect of Proposed Action on flycatcher.....	235
6.3.3 Effect of Proposed Action on Pecos Sunflower.....	242
6.4 Action-by-Action Analysis of Effects of Components of the Proposed Water Management Actions .....	244
6.4.1 Approach to Action-by-Action Analysis .....	244
6.4.2 Effects of Heron Dam Operations under the SJC Project.....	253
6.4.3 Analysis of Effects of El Vado Dam Operations Under the Middle Rio Grande Project .....	265
6.4.4 Hydrologic Effects Analysis of Non-Federal Proposed Action: MRGCD Diversions.....	276

## Contents (continued)

	<i>Page</i>
6.5 Evaluation of Conservation Measure – Collaborative Program .....	294
6.5.1 Reclamation’s Supplemental Water Program .....	294
6.5.2 Effects of the MRGCD’s Proposed Conservation Measures .....	302
6.6 Interrelated and Interdependent Actions .....	307
6.6.1 The Corps Actions Related to the SJC Project .....	307
6.6.2 The New Mexico State Engineer’s Actions Related to the SJC Project	308
6.7 Summary Effects Analysis of Proposed Water Management Actions	309
6.7.1 Summary of the Effects of Reclamation’s Actions .....	309
6.7.2 Summary of the Effects of MRGCD’s Water Management Actions	310
6.7.3 Summary of Effects on Silvery Minnow .....	311
6.7.4 Summary of Effects on Flycatcher .....	312
6.7.5 Summary of Effects on Pecos Sunflower .....	313
6.7.6 Summary of Effects of Conservation Measures. ....	313
7. Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	314
7.1 Future Changes in Climate and Hydrology .....	314
7.2 Regional Water Planning: Projected Impact of Population Growth and Water Demand on Water Supplies .....	320
7.2.1 The Jemez y Sangre Planning Region .....	321
7.2.2 The Middle Rio Grande Planning Region .....	322
7.2.3 The Socorro-Sierra Planning Region .....	324
7.2.4 The MRG Water Assessment, the Water Budget, and Water Conservation .....	325
7.2.5 Local Government Water Conservation Efforts .....	326
7.3 Water Rights Transfers and Offsets .....	327
7.4 Pueblo Water Rights .....	328
7.5 Conclusion .....	328
8. Composite Effect of Proposed Water Management and Maintenance .....	329
8.1 Rio Grande Silvery Minnow .....	332
8.2 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher .....	332
8.3 Pecos Sunflower .....	333
8.4 Interior Least Tern .....	333
9. Literature Cited .....	335

**Appendices (under separate cover)**

- Appendix 1– Selection of Five Synthetic Flow Sequences for Detailed Analysis with the Upper Rio Grande Water Operations Planning Model, pages 1–21.
- Appendix 2 – AMEC memorandum, Subject Stochastic Streamflow Simulations for the Otowi Gage
- Appendix 3 – Habitat Restoration Techniques Commonly Used in the Middle Rio Grande
- Appendix 4 – Craig Boroughs Memorandum, Subject Estimation of December 31, 2011 Conditions to Use as Initial Conditions for Updated URGWOM Simulations for Reclamations Water Operations Biological Assessment Dated December 15, 2011
- Appendix 5 – MRGCD Demand Curves used in URGWOM Planning Mode
- Appendix 6 – Reclamation and Corps Completed Consultations
- Appendix 7 – Report on URGWOM Development Simulations and Final Results for Preparation of Biological Assessment on Water Management Actions on the Middle Rio Grande, February 2012
- Appendix 8 – MRGCD Proposed Conservation Measures – 7/24/2012 Draft
- Appendix 9 - MRGCD Alternative Hydrology Analysis

**Tables**

	<i>Page</i>
Table 1 San Juan Chama Project contracts .....	36
Table 2 Flycatcher territory totals along MRG .....	112
Table 3 Acreage of core Pecos sunflower population on La Joya SWA .....	120
Table 4 River drying by reach and by percent of critical habitat that dried (2001–2011).....	154
Table 5 Reach geomorphic parameters .....	follows page 158
Table 6 Leased supplemental San Juan-Champa Project water.....	169
Table 7 Average depth and velocity conditions on categorized habitat restoration sites .....	187

**Tables (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>	
Table 8	Maximum consecutive days of discharge exceeding habitat restoration inundation targets at Albuquerque Gage from 2000–2011 (USGS8330000), Bosque Farms Gage from 2006–2011 (USGS 08331160), and Highway 346 Gage from 2006–2011 (USGS 08331510).....	187
Table 9	Summary of habitat restoration activity on the Rio Grande, sorted by geomorphic reach .....	188
Table 10	Synopsis of activities for conservation recommendations as defined in the 2003 BiOp.....	194
Table 11	Status and information of life history elements and critical habitat PCEs for silvery minnow .....	203
Table 12	Status and information of life history elements and critical habitat PCEs for willow flycatcher .....	209
Table 13	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam as percent: calendar year .....	220
Table 14	Composition of the diversion demand of the MRGCD, as percent: calendar year .....	221
Table 15	Composition of River Flows below Cochiti Dam as percent: runoff season (March–July).....	222
Table 16	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam as percent: late (postrunoff) irrigation season (August–October) .....	222
Table 17	Composition of river flows below Cochiti Dam, as percent: range of variability for individual years .....	223
Table 18	The following thresholds were specified as output criteria for table 19.....	227
Table 19	Comparison of the occurrence of spawning flows, river intermittency, and river drying under the Proposed Action relative to the No Action Condition over 10-year period .....	228
Table 20	Proportion of predicted river drying and intermittency attributable to Proposed Water Management Action downstream from various gages on the Rio Grande.....	229
Table 21	Relationship of mean October CPUE with number of days with discharge greater than 3,000 cfs in May and June from figure 17 .....	230
Table 22	Summary of the effect of the full Proposed Water Management Actions on the life history elements and critical habitat PCEs of silvery minnow .....	232
Table 23	Modeled predictions of overbank flooding at 2-year return rate of 4,700 cfs .....	237

**Tables (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Table 24	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action compared to No Action and the difference in potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment..... 237
Table 25	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action compared to No Action and the difference in potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period..... 239
Table 26	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action compared to No Action and the difference in potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78..... 240
Table 27	Effects of the Proposed Water Management Action compared to No Action and the difference in potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78 ..... 240
Table 28	Effect of Proposed Action on life history elements and PCEs of flycatchers ..... 241
Table 29	Effects of Proposed Water Management Actions on Pecos sunflower within the Middle Rio Grande, New Mexico..... 243
Table 30	Summary of water operations included in each action-by-action model run..... 245
Table 31	Qualitative assessment of average impact on low flows in the Middle Rio Grande..... 252
Table 32	Effect of Heron Dam operation (3.2.1) on life history elements and PCEs of silvery minnow ..... 258
Table 33	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment. This includes all reaches from Albuquerque to RM 62 with the exception of the reaches near the BDANWR..... 262
Table 34	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period. This includes all reaches from Albuquerque to RM 62 with the exception of the reaches near the BDANWR. .... 262

**Tables (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>	
Table 35	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78.....	263
Table 36	Effect of Heron Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78.....	263
Table 37	Effect of Heron Dam operations on life history elements and PCEs of flycatchers.....	264
Table 38	Effect of El Vado Dam operation (3.2.1) on life history elements and PCEs of silvery minnow .....	269
Table 39	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment .....	273
Table 40	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period .....	274
Table 41	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment in the reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78 .....	274
Table 42	Effect of El Vado Dam operation on the potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period .....	275
Table 43	Effect of El Vado Dam operations on life history elements and PCEs of flycatchers.....	275
Table 44	Effect of operation of MRGCD diversions (3.3.1) on life history elements and PCEs of silvery minnow .....	286
Table 45	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season (March–June) and flycatcher territory establishment .....	291
Table 46	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season (July–October) and flycatcher nesting period.....	292
Table 47	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of potential days of overbank flooding events during early irrigation season and flycatcher territory establishment for reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78 .....	292

**Tables (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Table 48	Effect of MRGCD diversions on the number of potential days of overbank flooding events during late irrigation season and flycatcher nesting period for reaches from Arroyo del las Cañas to RM 78 ..... 292
Table 49	Effect of MRGCD Proposed Action on life history elements and PCEs of flycatchers ..... 293
Table 50	Description of actions of likely actions for the Collaborative Program and threats addressed by these actions ..... 295
Table 51	Simulation of Proposed Water Management Actions with Unlimited Supply of Supplemental Water ..... 305
Table 52	Simulation of Proposed Water Management Actions with projected supply of Supplemental Water ..... 306
Table 53	Summary of simulated changes in decadal hydroclimate for several sub-basins in the MRG Basin ..... 319
Table 54	Middle Rio Grande water budget annual surface-water and ground water averages (rounded) for 1972–1997 ..... 326

**Figures**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1	Map of the Rio Grande Basin – major Federal water project facilities ..... 16
Figure 2.	Annual operating plan hydrograph for El Vado Reservoir ..... 29
Figure 3	MRGCD diversions and return flow ..... 46
Figure 4	Current and historical LFCC pumping site locations ..... 50
Figure 5	Five general locations of flycatcher populations within the MRG ..... 75
Figure 6	2005 final critical habitat designations ..... 76
Figure 7	Generalized breeding chronology of the Southwestern willow flycatcher (from Sogge et al. 2010) ..... 80
Figure 8	Distribution of Pecos sunflower ..... 84
Figure 9	Distribution of the 2005 tern (ILT in figure) breeding colonies within New Mexico and Texas (Lott 2006) ..... 88
Figure 10	Timeline of significant events influencing the hydrology and geomorphology of the MRG ..... 90
Figure 11	Observed annual temperature, averaged over the Rio Grande Basin above Elephant Butte ..... 94

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 12	Observed annual precipitation, averaged over the Rio Grande Basin above Elephant Butte ..... 95
Figure 13	Scatter diagram of egg catch rate for <i>Sevilleta</i> (2006–2011) and San Acacia (2002–2004, 2006–2011) sites (Dudley and Platania 2011) with October CPUE data (population monitoring data)..... 99
Figure 14	Rio Grande silvery minnow densities (CPUE) during October, at all sampling sites, by sampling year (1993–1997, 1999–2011)..... 99
Figure 15	Time sequence of quarterly Rio Grande silvery minnow densities of the past decade (2001–2010) at population monitoring program collection sites and mean monthly discharge at USGS Gage #08330000 (Rio Grande at Albuquerque, New Mexico)..... 100
Figure 16	Regression analysis of Rio Grande silvery minnow log-transformed mean October densities (1993–1997, 1999–2010) and select hydraulic variables (during May and June) for USGS Gage #08330000 (Rio Grande at Albuquerque, New Mexico)..... 101
Figure 17	Regression analysis of Rio Grande silvery minnow log-transformed mean October densities (1993–1997, 1999–2010) and different hydraulic variables for USGS Gage #08358400 (Rio Grande Floodway at San Marcial, New Mexico) ..... 102
Figure 18	Diversity metrics of Rio Grande silvery minnow from genetic monitoring program from Osborne and Turner ..... 104
Figure 19	Breeding ranges of the willow flycatcher subspecies ..... 110
Figure 20	Estimated number of flycatcher territories and sites rangewide from 1993–2007 ..... 111
Figure 21	Bar graph showing area of overbank inundation in four subreaches of the Albuquerque Reach (the South Diversion Channel (SDC); Interstate 40 (I-40); Paseo del Norte (PDN), and North Diversion Channel (NDC) subreaches) prior to habitat restoration efforts by the Collaborative Program ..... 122
Figure 22	Schematic representation of major water facilities impacting river flows in the Middle Rio Grande ..... 123
Figure 23	Geomorphic reach designation ..... 126

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 24	Summary of annual Heron Reservoir operations under the San Juan-Chama Project, including inflows, outflows, and storage of SJC Project water and annual amounts of San Juan-Chama Project water crossing the Otowi gage for consumption within the MRG ..... 127
Figure 25	Summary of end-of-year storage of SJC Project water in Middle Rio Grande reservoirs..... 127
Figure 26	Hydrograph depicting El Vado Reservoir operations, 2001–2011, including a comparison of Heron Dam outflow, El Vado Reservoir inflow, and El Vado Dam outflow ..... 128
Figure 27	Comparison of Heron Dam outflow, El Vado inflow, and El Vado outflow, 2007..... 129
Figure 28	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from Cochiti Reservoir, 2001–2011, showing flood control operations in 2005 ..... 130
Figure 29	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from Cochiti Reservoir, 2005, showing flood control operations..... 131
Figure 30	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from Abiquiu Reservoir, 2001–2011, showing flood control operations in 2001, 2004, 2005, 2008, 2009, and 2010..... 132
Figure 31	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from Cochiti Reservoir, 2007, showing the effects of “Cochiti deviation” operations ..... 134
Figure 32	Comparison of inflow to and outflow from Cochiti Reservoir, 2010, showing the effects of “Cochiti deviation” operations ..... 135
Figure 33	Summary of prior and paramount water stored in and released from El Vado Reservoir for irrigation of lands..... 138
Figure 34	Summary of total water diversions by the MRGCD, 1996–2010..... 140
Figure 35	Summary of annual diversions from the Rio Grande to the MRGCD at the four MRG diversions structures ..... 141
Figure 36	Monthly breakdown of average annual diversions to the MRGCD at the four MRG diversion structures, 2001–2011 ..... 141
Figure 37	Summary of average district drain and tailwater returns to the Rio Grande, by month, 2001–2011, right descending bank ..... 143

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 38	Summary of average district drain and tailwater returns to the Rio Grande, by month, 2001–2011, left descending bank ..... 144
Figure 39	Gross municipal supply, including ground water and surface water contributions to the drinking water supply and nonpotable supply, to ABCWUA, 2001–2011..... 146
Figure 40	Summary of return flows from the Albuquerque Wastewater Treatment Plant, 2001–2011 ..... 147
Figure 41	Seasonal breakdown of water consumption within the Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge ..... 148
Figure 42	Article VII status under the Rio Grande Compact, 1978–2011..... 149
Figure 43	Article VII status under the Compact on April 1 of each year and water year-type designations under the 2003 BiOp, 2003–2011 (not applicable for 2001 and 2002) ..... 151
Figure 44	Hydrographs of flows at Otowi gage for the higher volume years during the past decade (2001–2011)..... 152
Figure 45	Hydrographs of flows at Otowi gage for the lower volume years during the past decade (2001–2011)..... 153
Figure 46	Summary of river miles that dried in the Isleta and San Acacia Reaches. (2001–2011)..... 155
Figure 47	Number of days per year of river drying in the Isleta and San Acacia Reaches, 2001–2011 ..... 155
Figure 48	First and last calendar days of river drying in the Isleta Reach, 2001–2011 ..... 157
Figure 49	First and last calendar days of river drying in the San Acacia Reach, 2001–2011..... 157
Figure 50	Median bed material size on the MRG over time ..... 165
Figure 51	Channel mean width change over time with standard deviation for San Antonio (RM 87.1 to RM 78)..... 169
Figure 52	Summary of San Juan-Chama Project water leased to Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program ..... 172
Figure 53	Summary of water released annually to meet the needs of listed species under Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program ..... 176
Figure 54	Comparison of dates of first and last release of water from Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program to dates of reported river drying in the Isleta Reach, 2001–2011 ..... 177

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 55	Comparison of dates of first and last release of water from Reclamation's Supplemental Water Program to dates of reported river drying in the San Acacia Reach, 2001–2011 ..... 178
Figure 56	Summary of water pumped annually from the LFCC to the San Acacia Reach of the Rio Grande, as part of Reclamation’s Supplemental Water Program..... 180
Figure 57	2006 distribution of annual volume pumped from the LFCC across the four pumping sites used during the baseline period ..... 180
Figure 58	Comparison of the calendar days of supplemental water release to the calendar days of pumping from the Low Flow Conveyance Channel ..... 181
Figure 59	Comparison of flows at the Otowi Bridge for the Proposed Water Management Actions under the five hydrologic sequences against baseline conditions..... 214
Figure 60	Comparison of the duration of continuous days of high flow under the Proposed Water Management Actions, relative to the No Action condition, at Central Avenue gage, Rio Grande, New Mexico, in the 500- to 7,000-cfs range ..... 225
Figure 61	Change in modeled flow under the Proposed Water Management Actions to flow modeled under the No Action condition over the calendar year ..... 226
Figure 62	Comparison of the timing of the first low flows at San Marcial under the Proposed Water Management Actions to flows under the No Action condition, after June 1 ..... 232
Figure 63	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental Water Program compared to No Action during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 238
Figure 64	Relative comparison of modeled flows at San Acacia gage considered Proposed Action with no supplemental water program compared to No Action during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 238
Figure 65	Relative comparison of modeled flows at San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental Water Program compared to No Action during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 239

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 66	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of discrete actions on low flows at the Central Avenue Gage in Albuquerque during the post-runoff season ..... 248
Figure 67	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of discrete actions on low flows downstream of the Isleta Diversion Dam during the post-runoff season ..... 249
Figure 68	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of discrete actions on low flows downstream of the San Acacia Diversion Dam during the postrunoff season ..... 250
Figure 69	Range of impacts for the step down comparison of discrete actions on low flows at San Marcial during the postrunoff season. .... 251
Figure 70	Relative effect of the Heron Dam operations on flows downstream from Cochiti Dam and Diversion ..... 254
Figure 71	Relative impact of the Heron Dam operations at the Central Avenue gage ..... 255
Figure 72	Modeled average annual results of maximum number of continuous high flow days from five model runs with the 10-year synthetic hydrologic sequences at San Acacia gage, Rio Grande, New Mexico ..... 257
Figure 73	Modeled average annual results of the relative percentage of time low flow (< 200 cfs) begins prior to June 1 at San Marcial gage, Rio Grande, New Mexico from five model runs with the 10-year synthetic hydrologic sequences ..... 257
Figure 74	Relative comparison of flows at Central gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental Water Program compared to MRGCD diversions and El Vado Operations during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 261
Figure 75	Relative comparison of flows at San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action with no Supplemental Water Program compared to MRGCD diversions and El Vado operations during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 261
Figure 76	Relative comparison of flows at Central Avenue gage with and without El Vado operations, for the calendar year ..... 266
Figure 77	Relative comparison of flows below Isleta Diversion during the irrigation season with and without El Vado operations ..... 267

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 78	Relative comparison of flows downstream from San Acacia Diversion during the irrigation season, with and without El Vado operations..... 268
Figure 79	Relative comparison of flows at Central Avenue gage with and without El Vado operations during the flycatcher breeding period ..... 273
Figure 80	Flow reductions resulting from MRGCD diversions during low flow conditions, late irrigation season..... 278
Figure 81	Relative comparison of flows downstream from Cochiti Dam with and without MRGCD diversions, for the calendar year..... 279
Figure 82	Relative effect of MRGCD diversions at the Central Avenue gage during the irrigation season ..... 280
Figure 83	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD diversions compared to No Action during the flycatcher territory establishment period ..... 289
Figure 84	Relative comparison of modeled flows at Central gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD diversions compared to No Action during the flycatcher breeding period ..... 290
Figure 85	Relative comparison of modeled flows at San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD diversions compared to No Action during the flycatcher territory establishment period..... 290
Figure 86	Relative comparison of modeled flows at San Marcial gage considered Proposed Action of MRGCD diversions compared to No Action during the flycatcher breeding period ..... 291
Figure 87	Uses of Supplemental Water in URGWOM simulations ..... 299
Figure 88	Impact of Supplemental Water on flows of 300 cfs or less at the Central Avenue Gage as compared to the Proposed Action..... 300
Figure 89	Graph showing the impact of Supplemental Water on flows of 300 cfs or less at Isleta, San Acacia, and San Marcial as compared to the Proposed Action ..... 301
Figure 90	“Box and whisker plot” showing the impact of Supplemental Water on low flows at Isleta, San Acacia, and San Marcial during the early irrigation season compared to the Proposed Action ..... 301
Figure 91	Simulated annual climate averaged over Rio Grande sub-basins..... 315

**Figures (continued)**

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 92 Simulated changes in decade-mean runoff for several sub-basins in the Rio Grande Basin.....	317
Figure 93 Simulated annual maximum and minimum week runoff for several sub-basins in the MRG Basin .....	318
Figure 94 Projected MRG water supply shortfall (MRG Plan).....	323