

Selenium Management Program Gunnison River Basin 2019 Annual Progress Report

Selenium Management Program Upper Colorado Basin, Western Colorado Area Office



Mission Statements

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and manage the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provide scientific and other information about those resources; and honor its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Selenium Management Program Gunnison River Basin 2019 Annual Progress Report

Selenium Management Program Upper Colorado Basin, Western Colorado Area Office

Prepared by Jennifer Ward, Environmental Protection Specialist, Western Colorado Area Office

Cover Photo: Lower Gunnison Basin Selenium Management Program monitoring well site, adjacent to wetlands study area on the east side of the Uncompany Valley, Montrose County, Colorado, June 2016.(Reclamation/John Sottilare).

Table of Contents

Introduction and Background	1
SMP Action Plan	1
Part A - Reduce Existing Selenium Load	1
Irrigated Agriculture – Off-Farm	1
Activities to Target, Define, Plan, and Implement Off-farm Projects	2
Irrigated Agriculture – On-farm	3
Activities to Target, Define, Plan, and Implement On-farm Projects	4
Pond Seepage (Recreational, Farm, Aesthetic)	4
Municipal & Industrial Sources	4
Public Lands	4
Part B – Outreach and Education	4
Public Education and Outreach Activities	4
Part C - Support Activities – Studies, Research and Monitoring	4
Selenium Studies	5
Monitoring Activities: Water Quality	6
Research and Monitoring Activities: Endangered Fish	6
APPENDIX A – ACRONYMS LIST	7
APPENDIX B – PROGRAM 2019 ACTION PLAN	9
APPENDIX C – 2019 WATER QUALITY SAMPLE SITES	
APPENDIX D – 2019 ASPINALL UNIT OPERATIONS	21
APPENDIX E – 2019 ANNUAL FLOW MANAGEMENT AND	
CONSERVATION STATUS OF THE DOLORES RIVER	

Introduction and Background

The Gunnison Basin Programmatic Biological Opinion (PBO) issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in 2009 required that Reclamation "develop and implement a Selenium Management Program (SMP), in cooperation with the State of Colorado and Gunnison River basin water users to reduce adverse effects of selenium on endangered fish species in the Gunnison and Colorado rivers…".

A Selenium Program Formulation Document (PFD) was developed by the SMP Workgroup and finalized in December 2011. The following report was developed by Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) in conjunction with the SMP Workgroup and details the progress of the SMP since that time with an emphasis on 2019 activities and accomplishments.

The SMP Workgroup typically meets on a quarterly basis and/or as needed. Science & Research and Outreach subcommittees have been established to support the SMP program. SMP Workgroup ground rules were developed and adopted in July 2013. Program activities and status updates are reported in the following annual reports to the FWS and interested parties consistent with the PFD:

- This Selenium Management Program Gunnison River Basin Annual Progress Report details the activities and progress of the SMP from the previous year. Additionally, the USGS prepares Annual Selenium Trend Analysis reports. Prior to 2017, the Trend Analysis reports were attached to the annual summary report. Due to the timing constraints related to publishing requirements, the USGS now creates and publishes a separate, citable Selenium Trend Analysis report.
- The USGS intends to continue publishing annual reports that incorporate the annual water quality monitoring data. Each report will summarize and evaluate data from the preceding five years.

SMP Action Plan

The SMP Action Plan is a living document (Appendix B). It evolves and changes as more is learned about selenium fate and transport, and as implementation activities to reduce selenium loading and concentrations in the Gunnison River are identified, initiated and/or completed. The narrative below highlights the SMP progress and activities and corresponds to the most recent revisions to the SMP Action Plan. The SMP Action Plan is referenced throughout the document by task item number (e.g. A.1.30).

Part A - Reduce Existing Selenium Load

The following are actions that control or will control selenium loading from existing sources, such as current irrigated agricultural (off- and on-farm) and current non-agricultural sources generated by municipal, residential and industrial water users.

Irrigated Agriculture – Off-Farm – In 2019, Reclamation issued another FOA for Salinity Control Projects above Imperial Dam, pursuant to Title II of the 1974 Salinity Control Act (ref.). Nine new projects within the Lower Gunnison Basin were selected for funding, totaling about \$29 million (A.1.34 – A.1.42). In

total, these new projects are predicted to control about 19,052 tons of salt per year.

Three salinity control projects selected in prior year FOAs were completed in 2019 (A.1.23, A.1.25, and A.1.26), four are under construction (A.1.24, A.1.28, A.1.29, and A.1.30), and two are in the planning/National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) stage (A.1.32, and A.1.33). While all of these projects focus on reducing salinity in the Lower Gunnison Basin, there is significant associated selenium load reduction via these salinity control efforts.

Through Phase 9 of Uncompany Valley Water User Association's (UVWUA) East Side Laterals (ESL) Piping Project, approximately 61.3% of the ESL Project has been completed, or is under construction, as shown in Appendix B (A.1.2 - A.1.5, A.1.7-A1.9, A.1.17, and A.1.29). It is anticipated that the remainder of Phase 9 will be completed in 2020.

Activities to Target, Define, Plan, and Implement Off-farm Projects – Planning and implementation efforts continued in FY2019. Some efforts included the use of NRCS RCPP (associated with PL-566) funding for off-farm improvements (A.2.3.2). Total directed funding in the amount of \$6M was received and expenditures are scheduled to continue through September 2021 from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the Colorado River District, who is managing these projects. Since 2018, NRCS RCPP funding has been used to target four focus areas for off-farm projects that control both salt and selenium. These areas include Bostwick Park, Crawford, North Fork WCDs and UVWUA. Some Salinity Control Program and Colorado River Storage Project Memorandum of Agreement (CRSP MOA) funds are being used to leverage the NRCS RCPP funds and to expand these projects while meeting RCPP cost-share matching requirements.

The SMP continues to support efforts of the UVWUA to develop strategies that maximize funding and cost-share opportunities for completion of the remaining ESL project. The UVWUA has taken a pro-active approach to securing additional grants to maximize water quality benefits and to extend available funding.

A total of \$15M from the CRSP MOA funding has been committed for piping and/or lining additional ESLs (A.1.14), as well as related water efficiency projects. These funds were reserved from FY2012 and FY2013 CRSP power revenues. A second MOA is anticipated to be signed in 2020, and would represent a combination and extension of the original MOA.

Data has been collected by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) on loading impacts of non-agricultural sources, including ponds, individual septic disposal systems, and other point/non-point sources (C.1.9). Preliminary findings indicate some non-ag features such as septic systems and storage ponds are significant variables in selenium models. Findings will be published in FY2021 as part of the GIS Selenium and Salinity Model (C.1.11). See C.1 (Expand Knowledge Base) for additional information on investigations, including the USGS led Selenium Science Plan (C.1.2), which is available upon request, the wetlands study (C.1.7), and the monitoring of the 30-well groundwater network (C.1.6.A). Additional informational documents are published and hosted at www.usbr.gov/progact.

Approximately \$75,000 was awarded by the CWCB under the 2019 FOA Technical Assistance Grants (A.2.3.7). The grants were awarded to help irrigation companies with associated engineering costs and preparation of an application to Reclamation's FOA process. Additional CWCB funding has been made available via both the Colorado Water Plan and the Water Supply Reserve Fund via competitive application. The Technical Assistance Grant is funded by the Construction Fund and administered by the CWCB. Due to state budget constraints, the availability of additional Technical Assistance Grant funds may be limited for the foreseeable future.

The SMP Workgroup continued working with sub-basin level data developed by USGS to determine where

to encourage, support and target projects that accomplish selenium reduction goals (A.2.3). Based on current information, projects with the largest potential to reduce selenium loading include the Uncompany Project's East side and potentially selected drainages in the North Fork, Crawford and Bostwick Park areas of the Gunnison River watershed.

The SMP also continues to work with the USGS on ranking contributing areas of salt and selenium in the Lower Gunnison Basin using new, updated multiple linear regression models (A.2.3). In 2013, USGS published previous modeling results in a report entitled Ranking Contributing Areas of Salt and Selenium in the Lower Gunnison Basin, Colorado, Using Multiple Linear Regression Models. The report is available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5075/. Results from the current modeling effort are scheduled to be published in 2021 (C.1.11). Preliminary findings indicate significance in models for septic and pond layers as well as traditional features such as irrigated lands and geology and soil types. New techniques were applied in this version of the modeling effort to better define areas that should be targeted or discussed as high selenium loading areas. Resolution has been effectively increased from square miles to acres. This increased definition for the models allows the user to more accurately define areas to target for discussion of salinity and selenium control efforts.

Under the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018, NRCS may also now enter into EQIP contracts with "water management entities" including States, irrigation districts, and similar entities to implement water conservation and efficiency practices under a watershed-wide project using a streamlined process. These programmatic changes, which are still under development, may provide new opportunities to utilize NRCS-EQIP funding to support larger, off-farm improvement projects such as canal piping and lining that reduce selenium loading and other water quality and resource management benefits.

Irrigated Agriculture – On-farm – Projects completed from FY2011 through FY2019 under the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) and the Salinity Control Program's Basin States Program (BSP) are described in A.3.1.1 to A.3.1.9. The NRCS continues to promote irrigation efficiency projects (A.3.1).

NRCS reports that on-farm EQIP salinity contracts within the Gunnison River Basin in Delta and Montrose Counties for FY2019 totaled 6,733 acres. Approximately \$7.14M was obligated for these projects (A.3.1.9). This does not include costs to provide technical assistance by NRCS nor through the Lower Gunnison RCPP EQIP activities curated by the Colorado River District. While these programs focus on reducing salinity in the Lower Gunnison Basin, there is significant associated selenium load reduction through these salinity control efforts.

In 2012, Reclamation and the State of Colorado finalized an agreement that provided \$2M Basin States Funds to the State Department of Agriculture for on- and off-farm irrigation improvements. This program replaced the previous "Parallel Program". In 2019, no new contracts were written under the Basin States Program for on-farm improvements (A.3.1.7 and A.3.1.8). **Activities to Target, Define, Plan, and Implement On-farm Projects** – In addition to the ongoing EQIP and BSP improvements, NRCS agency staff continue to help landowners improve water management on their land (A.4.4). These projects have the potential to reduce the mobilization of selenium in the Gunnison Basin through more efficient use of irrigation water.

Pond Seepage (Recreational, Farm, Aesthetic) – The SMP continues to explore options and formulate plans for identifying and mitigating any impacts due to seepage from unlined recreational, farm and aesthetic ponds (A.5.1 to A.5.3)

Municipal & Industrial Sources – Funded by the SCTF, the USGS completed the delineation and inventory of septic sources using GIS. It is anticipated that this data will help to determine if septic systems are a potentially significant source of selenium loading (A.6.3). This information will be published in FY2021 as part of the GIS Selenium and Salinity Model (C.1.11).

Public Lands – The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Uncompany Field Office is addressing selenium through its Resource Management Plan (RMP) revision process by including a stipulation to require special design plans for development on soils mapped as saline/selenium soils (A.7.1).

Part B – Outreach and Education

The following are actions that help to educate and inform water users and the public regarding selenium fate, transport and related issues with the intent to prevent, minimize and/or mitigate selenium loading, with a focus on domestic, municipal, residential and industrial water sources.

Public Education and Outreach Activities – The SMP Education and Outreach Subcommittee continues to work on planning and coordination activities that include educating the public, county commissioners, and collaborating with and supporting other outreach efforts occurring in the Lower Gunnison Basin which benefit selenium reduction goals of the SMP. This includes a growing presence on the GunnisonRiverBasin.org website.

The Gunnison Basin and Grand Valley Selenium Task Force (STF) through the Colorado River District has helped to support the annual Soil Health Conference in Delta (B.2.2). The conference is an opportunity to meet with water users and landowners and provide information on selenium activities in the Lower Gunnison Basin.

The SMP will also continue to explore opportunities to address new sources of selenium loading. In 2020, the SMP plans to continue developing strategies to facilitate and encourage Lower Gunnison Basin water users and the public to undertake projects and implement BMPs to minimize new sources (B.2.4).

Participation in the SMP by federal and state agencies and local water users' organizations has been good. Additional outreach to local and county officials and regulatory agencies will continue in FY2020.

Part C - Support Activities – Studies, Research and Monitoring

The following are additional support activities such as research and monitoring that expand our knowledge base on selenium loading, fate, transport and mitigation.

Selenium Studies – The SMP continues to support expanding the knowledge base as illustrated in C.1 through C.2. These investigations are intended to increase our knowledge and ultimately lead to additional or more focused implementation activities. The SCTF has financially supported a majority of these investigations, performed by the USGS, along with agency and other matching cooperator funding.

In 2013, the State of Colorado and USGS funded the development of a Selenium Science Plan intended to describe and identify data gaps in monitoring and research efforts as needed to more fully understand selenium occurrence and the efforts to mitigate projects in the Lower Gunnison Basin (C.1.2). A draft 5-Year plan was completed in late 2013 and finalized in 2014. The final Plan was approved by the SMP Workgroup. The Workgroup and Science Team are planning to update the Selenium Science Plan beginning in 2019. Currently, the SMP and USGS are targeting 2021 to accomplish this update. The Workgroup will continue to document and approve updates as needed.

Other major accomplishments include continued monitoring of the 30-Well Groundwater Monitoring Network (C.1.6.A). While it is still known as the 30-Well Network, one of the wells was destroyed by a landowner. Monthly groundwater levels were taken at each well. In addition, continuous well level monitors have been installed in 10 of the 29 wells. The installation of the 30-well network and the data collected allowed for the development of a conceptual model of selenium mobilization and transport in the shallow groundwater system. Monitoring wells were sampled between August 2013 and March 2015 to understand groundwater quality, seasonality, sources of recharge, and groundwater age. Concentrations of dissolved selenium ranged from below the limit of detection to 4,100 micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$), with a median concentration of 14 μ g/L. Concentrations showed a high degree of spatial variability and no seasonal difference. Similarly, no seasonal pattern was observed in specific conductance values of groundwater despite the considerably lower specific conductance value of irrigation water. Nitrate derived from geologic material was a primary control on reduction-oxidation conditions in groundwater and inhibited selenium reduction to less mobile forms. Nitrate was reduced by denitrification in groundwater, but it was not reduced to the extent necessary to allow for selenium reduction. Groundwater ages were determined for groundwater samples from eight wells and ranged from 6 to 20 years old. Isotopic data indicate groundwater was recharged by irrigation water; no information collected supported an older, deeper source of recharge to the shallow groundwater system. These results along with others were published in 2019, and are available here: https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20195029 (C.1.6.B).

In FY2017, Reclamation received a \$15,000 Science and Technology (S & T) Grant to investigate available technologies for in situ selenium removal from groundwater, and to identify locations within the study area best suited for a demonstration project. Work included a literature search and site visits. A scoping report was completed in February 2018. The next step would be to potentially select one or two areas to conduct a demonstration project and determine what in situ techniques show the most promise. The S & T Grant funding source allows Reclamation to write a follow-on proposal and apply for another grant, in the event a demonstration project is identified. Through this funding source, Reclamation can solicit up to \$100,000 each year for three years to implement a demonstration project. This is a joint effort between Reclamation and the USGS (C.2.3). This effort is currently on hold as the key Reclamation and USGS personnel involved in this effort have moved on to other positions.

FY2020 Groundwater Level Measurements: This is a continuation of monitoring the existing 30 well network for an additional 12 months. Ten sites will be continuously monitored for water levels, and all 29 wells will be measured once a month. This work tracks trends and helps the SMP to better understand seasonal groundwater fluctuations, leading to a better definition of flow paths that affect fate and transport of selenium loads from the UVWUA area. The water level data was collected by a volunteer for some months as well as USGS. All work is checked and approved by USGS. A request to the SMP and SCTF has been made to continue water level monitoring into 2021.

The USGS investigated loading impacts of individual septic systems as part of its GIS Selenium and Salinity Model (C.1.11).

The USGS is conducting the Sunflower Drain Groundwater/Surface Water Interaction Study (C.1.13). This study will provide information about the spatial and temporal distribution of groundwater inflow to Sunflower Drain and quantify instantaneous groundwater selenium loads during the non-irrigation and irrigation seasons. Locations of diffuse and focused groundwater discharge to Sunflower Drain initially will be identified. This report is planned to be published in 2020.

In support of the Selenium Management Program (SMP), the USGS is developing an Ecosystem-scale Selenium Accumulation Model (ESAM) for the critical habitats of the Gunnison River (C.1.14). Selenium is accumulated in aquatic organisms through their diet rather than directly from aqueous or dissolved selenium in the water column. An ESAM is needed to understand how selenium enters the food web and to accurately relate dissolved (aqueous) selenium to effects in fish.

Monitoring Activities: Water Quality – The SMP continues to support surface water quality monitoring (C.3.1 through C.3.3).

Research and Monitoring Activities: Endangered Fish – The Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program (Recovery Program) continues to conduct population monitoring in the Gunnison River (C.4.1). In 2019, the SMP continued to provide funding through the SCTF to the Upper Colorado River Monitoring Program for Mercury and Selenium in Native Fish. The program is a collaboration between BLM, FWS, the states of Utah and Colorado, the Recovery Program, and the USGS. Data collected will provide a better understanding of the extent of selenium accumulation in various native and non-native fish in the Upper Colorado River system. Multi-life stage monitoring and density estimates of Colorado pikeminnow and razorback sucker in the Gunnison and Colorado rivers was conducted in 2019 as described in the PBO. The entire fish assemblage was monitored using electrofishing catchper-effort (CPE) to track trends in species relative abundance both in the Gunnison River and the 18-Mile Reach of the Colorado River downstream of the Gunnison River confluence. Larval seining was conducted in both rivers to provide an index of reproductive success using CPE (mean number per sample) of endangered fish larvae. For young-of-the-year and small-bodied fish monitoring, seining was conducted during fall (late September-early October) using standardized methodology in both the Gunnison (Delta, CO to the confluence) and Colorado (Gunnison confluence to CO/UT stateline) rivers. For more details, see https://www.coloradoriverrecovery.org/documents-publications/workplan-documents/arpts/2019/rsch/163_FY19AR-Final.pdf.

The Fish and Wildlife Service and Colorado Parks and Wildlife collects fish tissue plugs to determine selenium concentrations in endangered and native fishes; however, no fish tissue plugs were collected in 2019 (C.4.2).

APPENDIX A – ACRONYMS LIST

The following acronyms and abbreviations are used in this Annual Progress Report and in the Action Table:

Acronym or Abbreviation	Description
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
BPWCD	Bostwick Park Water Conservancy District
BSP	Basin States Program (Salinity Control Program)
BWP	Basinwide Program (Salinity Control Program)
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CRSP MOA	Colorado River Storage Project Memorandum of Agreement
CRWCD	Colorado River Water Conservation District
CSCB	Colorado State Conservation Board
CWCB	Colorado Water Conservation Board
CWCD	Crawford Water Conservancy District
DCD	Delta Conservation District
EQIP	Environmental Quality Incentives Program
ESL	East Side Laterals
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
Forum	Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum
FWS	Fish and Wildlife Service
GW	Groundwater
MCD	Mesa Conservation District
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFWCD	North Fork Water Conservancy District
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
PBO	Programmatic Biological Opinion
PFD	Program Formulation Document
RCPP	Regional Conservation Partnership Program
Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
Recovery Program	Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program
RMP	Resource Management Plan
ROD	Record of Decision
S & T	Science and Technology
SCTF	Species Conservation Trust Fund
SCD	Shavano Conservation District
SMP	Selenium Management Program
STA	Subject to Appropriation
STF	Selenium Task Force
SW	Surface Water
TDB	To Be Determined
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UVWUA	Uncompanyere Valley Water Users Association
WNTSC	NRCS West National Technology Support Center, Portland
WQ	Water Quality
WWUC	Lower Gunnison Basin Wise Water Use Council

APPENDIX B – PROGRAM 2019 ACTION PLAN

Current activities for the Selenium Management Program are identified in the following table. Separate sections are included for activities that:

- A. Reduce existing selenium load
- B. Perform outreach and education to the public, water users, and local agencies
- C. Provide support for Program activities and goals

Entities cooperating to complete an activity are identified, typically with the lead entity identified first. Schedules are shown where they have been identified by placing an "X" in the appropriate <u>Federal fiscal year (Oct-Sept)</u> column. Funding sources are only identified when funding has been committed or assurances have been provided by an organization.

ID	Activity	Cooperating entities/ programs	Start Date	Current Status	Prior years	F Y 1 8	F Y 1 9	F Y 2 0	F Y 2 1	Y 2	Out years	Est. funding needs	Funding sources	Funds in place?	
				PART	4 - RE	DU	CE	EX	(IS	τιν	G SEL	ENIUM	LOAD		
					IRR	IGA	٦Ε	D A	٩G	RICU	ILTURI	E – OFF-F	ARM		
A.1				1	I			Of	f-f	arm	n Proje	ects	1		J
A.1.1	Uncompahgre Project - Winter Water Program	UVWUA/BOR	1992	Completed in 1995	x										Eliminated canals & la
A.1.2	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 1	UVWUA/BWP / NIWQP	1998	Completed in 2000	x										Piped 8.5 r complete.
A.1.3	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 2	UVWUA/BWP / NIWQP	2004	Completed in 2007	x										Piped 20.5 complete.
A.1.4	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 3	UVWUA/BWP / NIWQP	2007	Completed in 2011	x										Piped 10.5 complete.
A.1.5	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 4	UVWUA/BWP CDPHE	2008	Completed in 2012	x							\$2.8M	BWP/ CDPHE	Yes	Piped 11.4 (controls 3
A.1.6	Lower Grandview Canal & Laterals Piping Project	Grandview Canal & Res. Co/ BWP	2009	Completed in 2011	x							\$5.4M	BWP	Yes	10 miles of completed (controls 4
A.1.7	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 5	UVWUA/BWP	2011	Completed in 2014	x							\$4.3M	BWP	Yes	Piping of 1 Project is 3
A.1.8	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 6A (EC Lateral Lining Demonstration Project)	UVWUA/BOR/ CWCB/BSP	2011	Completed in 2013	x							\$2M	CWCB/BSP	Yes	Lining of 2 complete (
A.1.9	Uncompahgre Project - East Side Laterals Phase 7	UVWUA/BSP	2011	Completed in 2016	x							\$3.2M	BSP	Yes	Piped 12.7 (controls 3
A.1.10	Lower Stewart Ditch Piping Project	Stewart Ditch & Res. Co/ BWP	2012	Completed in 2015	x							\$6.0M	BWP	Yes	Piped 11.5 10,920 ton budget, ad agreement
A.1.11	Minnesota Ditch Piping Project – Phase 1	Minnesota Canal & Res. Co/BWP	2011	Completed in 2014	x							\$3.9M	BWP	Yes	Piping of 5 completed

Comments and Deliverables (with additional needs highlighted)

ed winter flows in 407 miles of Uncompahgre Project laterals 1992-1995 (controls 41,330 tons of salt annually)

5 miles of laterals from 1998-2000; ESL Project is 4.4% e. (controls 2,295 tons of salt annually)

0.5 miles of laterals from 2004-2009; ESL Project is 14.9% e. (controls 6,139 tons of salt annually)

0.5 miles of laterals from 2007-2011; ESL Project is 20.3 % e. (controls 2,292 tons of salt annually)

1.4 miles of laterals; Overall ESL Project is 26.1% complete s 3,651 tons of salt annually). Completed in 2012

of pipe in Alum Gulch drainage; Construction ed in 2012 & habitat replacement completed in 2013. s 4,588 tons of salt annually)

f 19.0 miles of laterals completed in 2014; Overall ESL s 35.8% complete (controls 5,037 tons of salt annually)

⁵ 2.0 mile project completed in 2013. Overall ESL is 36.7% e (controls 1,374 tons of salt annually)

2.7 miles of laterals; Overall ESL is 43.2% complete s 3,029 tons of salt annually)

1.5 miles of lower Stewart Ditch & laterals; (controls ons of salt annually); Because project came in under additional piping & salt reduction was included under this ent.

f 5.2 miles of upper Minnesota Ditch Construction ed in 2013; (controls 3,263 tons of salt annually)

A.1.12	C Ditch/Needle Rock Piping Project	C Ditch Co /BWP	2014	Completed in 2015	х							\$1.4M	BWP	Yes	Piped 2.5 Rock Projeton salt an
A.1.13	Clipper Ditch Project 4 – Spurling & Drake Laterals Piping Project	Clipper Ditch CO/ BWP	2014	Completed in 2015	х							\$1.2M	BWP	Yes	Piped 3.5 Project; (
A.1.14	Uncompahgre Project - Piping Projects (from CRSP MOA)	UVWUA/BOR	2012	Underway, Planning Phase	х	x	X	x	x	x	x	\$13M	BOR CWCB	Yes	Considera schedule FY13 CRS
A.1.15	Minnesota Ditch Phase 2 - Extension Piping Project	Minnesota Canal & Res. Co/BWP	2014	Completed in 2015	х							\$3.0M	BWP	Yes	Piped 3.8 an extens annually)
A.1.16	Slack/Patterson Lateral Piping Project	Roger's Mesa Water Dist. Assoc./BWP	2014	Completed in 2015	Х							\$3.3 M	BWP	Yes	Piped 9.0 3,345 ton
A.1.17	Uncompahgre Project- Eastside Laterals Phase 8	UVWUA/BWP	2014	Completed in 2018	х	x						\$3.5M	BWP	Yes	Piped 14. 98.6 of 19
A.1.18	Cattleman's Ditch Piping Project - Phase 1	Cedar Canon Iron Springs Ditch & Res. Co./BWP	2014	Completed in 2017	x	x						\$2.0M	BWP	Yes	Piped 6.0 Poulsen [
A.1.19	Bostwick Park, Siphon Lateral Piping Project	BPWCD/BSP	2014	Completed in 2015	х							\$0.7M	BSP	Yes	Piped 1.7
A.1.20	Zanni Lateral Piping Project	Clipper Ditch Co/BSP	2014	Completed in 2017	х							\$1.0M	BSP	Yes	Piped 1.6 annually)
A.1.21	Forked Tongue-Holman Ditch Piping Project	Forked Tongue- Holman Ditch Co./BSP	2014	Completed in 2015	x							\$0.7M	BSP	Yes	Piped 1.3 user deliv off-farm 8
A.1.22	M&D and Ironstone Headgate Automation (SCADA)	BOR/SCTF/ UVWUA	2013	Completed in 2014	x							\$82K	WS/SCTFUVWUA	Yes	Demostra eastside.
A.1.23	Cattleman's Ditch Piping Project – Phase 2	Cedar Canon Iron Springs Ditch & Res. Co./BWP	2015	Completed in 2019		x	x					\$2.7M	BWP	Yes	Plan is to (controls
A.1.24	Clipper Center Lateral Piping Project	Clipper Ditch CO/ BWP	2016	Underway, In constructio n		x	х					\$3.2M	BWP	Yes	Plan is to of salt an
7.1.27	North Delta Canal Piping Project – Phase	North Delta Irrigation	2010	Completed			~					γ3.2IVI		103	Plan is to (controls which wo
A.1.25	1	Co./BWP	2016	in 2019		x	х	х				\$5.6M	BWP	Yes	same am

.5 miles of the irrigation ditches within the C Ditch/Needle oject; Construction completed in 2014 (controls 1,283 annually)

.5 miles of irrigation canals within the Clipper Ditch ; (controls 1,038 tons of salt annually)

eration of which laterals or canals to pipe or line and le is underway; Funding committed from both FY12 and RSP MOA Funding. Funding thru 2037.

.8 miles of irrigation ditches which serve 950 acres. This is nsion of A.1.11; (controls an additional 2,328 tons of salt y)

.0 miles of irrigation ditches on Roger's Mesa. (controls ons of salt annually)

4.0.miles of laterals; Overall ESL is be 50.3% complete, 196 mi. completed (controls 3,307 tons of salt annually).

.0 miles of irrigation ditches (Hart, McLaughlin, Rockwell & Ditches); (controls 1,855 tons of salt annually)

.76 miles of laterals; (controls 413 tons of salt annually)

.6 miles of the Zanni Lateral. (controls 551 tons of salt y)

.32 miles of multiple user and 0.57 miles of on-farm, single livery ditches. (controls 412 tons of salt annually, 354 tons n & 58 tons on-farm)

rated the use of SCADA that will be applied to the e. Complete

to pipe or abandon 6.0 miles of irrigation canal & ditches; Is 2,183 tons of salt annually)

to pipe 4.4 miles of irrigation laterals; (controls 2,606 tons annually)

to pipe or abandon 6.0 miles of irrigation canal & ditches; Is 4,383 tons of salt annually). An extension is proposed vould increase the amount of salt controlled utilizing the mount of funding.

A 1 3C	Orchard Danch Ditch Diving Draiget	Orchard Ranch Ditch	2016	Completed					¢1 204		Vec	Plan is to
A.1.26	Orchard Ranch Ditch Piping Project	Co./BWP Minnesota L-	2016	in 2019	X	Х			\$1.3M	BWP	Yes	1,004 ton
		75 Lateral		Completed								Piped 0.6
A.1.27	Minnesota L-75 Lateral Piping Project	Co./BWP	2016	in 2018	x				\$153K	BWP	Yes	annually)
				Underway,								
		Fire Mountain		In								
		Canal & Res.		constructio								Plan is to
A.1.28	Fire Mountain Canal Piping Project	CO./BWP	2016	n	Х	Х	Х		\$3.0M	BWP	Yes	(controls
				Underway,								Plan is to
				In								this phase
	Uncompahgre Project- Eastside Laterals			constructio								mi. compl
A.1.29	Phase 9	UVWUA/BWP	2016	n	Х	Х	Х		\$5.4M	BWP	Yes	annually)
l				Underway,								
l		Fruitland		In								
	Gould Canal Improvement Salinity	Irrigation		constructio					4			Plan is to
A.1.30	Control Projects A & B (two projects)	Co./BWP	2018	n	X	Х	Х		\$7.9M	BWP	Yes	(controls !
				Underway, Pre-								
	Shinn Park and Waterdog Laterals Piping			constructio								Plan is to
A.1.31	Project	BPWCD/BSP	2018	n	x	х	х		\$4.1M	BSP	Yes	3,304 tons
71.01		Di Weby boi	2010	Underway,					<i>v</i>		103	3,50 1 2011
		Stewart Ditch		Pre-								
		& Res. Co/		constructio								Plan is to
A.1.32	Upper Stewart Ditch Piping Project	BWP	2018	n	Х	Х	Х		\$2.5M	BWP	Yes	of salt anr
				Underway,								
I				Pre-								
	Crawford Clipper - Jerdon, West, and	Clipper Ditch		constructio					4	202		Plan is to
A.1.33	Hamilton Laterals Piping Project	CO/BSP	2019	n		Х	Х	Х	\$4M	BSP	Yes	mile of na
												Informatio
											Yes	Cooperati
A.1.34	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun					TBD	BWP	(STA)	anticipate
												Informatio
											Yes	Cooperati
A.1.35	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun					TBD	BWP	(STA)	anticipate
												Informatio
											Yes	Cooperati
A.1.36	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun					TBD	BWP	(STA)	anticipate
												Informatio
l											Yes	Cooperati
A.1.37	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun					TBD	BWP	(STA)	anticipate

to pipe 2.0 miles of irrigation canal & ditches; (controls ons of salt annually)

.6 miles of irrigation lateral; (controls 129 tons of salt /)

to pipe or abandon 4.2 miles of irrigation canal & ditches; Is 2,365 tons of salt annually)

to pipe or abandon 21.6 miles of irrigation laterals; Once ase is completed ESL will be 61.3% complete, 120.2 of 196 apleted (Phase 9 will control approx. 6,030 tons of salt y)

to line, pipe, or abandon 12.4 miles of irrigation laterals; Is 5,697 tons of salt annually)

to pipe or abandon 7.8 miles of irrigation laterals; (controls ons of salt annually)

to pipe 2.6 miles of irrigation laterals; (controls 1,622 tons annually)

to pipe or abandon 6.5 miles of irrigation laterals and 0.3 natural drainage; (controls 2,614 tons of salt annually)

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

ation on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ated to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

A.1.38	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun							Т	BD	BWP	Yes (STA)	Informati Cooperat anticipate
7.1.50				Not begun										(317)	
														Yes	Informati
A.1.39	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun							TE	BD	BSP	(STA)	Cooperat anticipate
														Yes	Informatio Cooperati
A.1.40	2020 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun							TE	BD	BSP	(STA)	anticipate
															Informatio
														Yes	Cooperati
A.1.41	2021 FOA - Selected Project	BWP		Not begun							TE	BD	BSP	(STA)	anticipate
															Informatio
		0.470											262	Yes	Cooperati
A.1.42	2019 FOA - Selected Project	BWP	Activitio	Not begun	ot Do	fin		Dla		nd		BD	BSP Future Off-fa	(STA)	anticipate
A.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ει, De		с,	r Ia	, c	mu	impiei	inenti			Jetts
	Participate in Salinity Control Program -	CRWCD/ BOR/													Study exa
A.2.1	Lower Gunnison Comprehensive Plan effort	CWCB/ NRCS/ BSP/SMP	2012	Completed	х						\$1	150K	BSP	Yes	control pro
															For projec
A.2.2.A	2012 Salinity Funding Opportunity Announcement	BWP/BSP	2012	Completed	х						-	14.3M .GB)	BWP/ BSP	Yes	within the A.1.22
				·											
A.2.2.B	2015 Salinity Funding Opportunity Announcement	BWP/BSP	2015	Completed	х						-	\$20-25M .GB)	BWP/BSP	Yes	Awarded 7 2015-16.
<u></u>		00070051	2015	completed								.00)		103	2013 10.
A.2.2.C	2017 Salinity Funding Opportunity Announcement	BWP/BSP	2017	Completed	х						-	\$20M .GB)	BWP/BSP	Yes	Awarded S 2018. See
				•							,				Awarded
	2010 Calinity Funding Opportunity										~	620N4		Vac	2019. See
A.2.2.D	2019 Salinity Funding Opportunity Announcement	BWP/BSP	2019	Completed			х				-	\$20M .GB)	BWP/BSP	Yes (STA)	projects a Appropria
										Ī					
1				1	1	1	1	1	1				1		
٨٦٥	Identify & prioritize target areas & potential projects	BOR/USGS/S			v	v	v	v		v	v		BOR	Voc	
A.2.3	Identify & prioritize target areas & potential projects Formulate proposals for future FOAs and	BOR/USGS/S MP UVWUA/BOR/		On-going	х	x	х	x	x	x	X \$1	100K	BOR	Yes	Reclamatio

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

tion on this project cannot be released until a ative Agreement is signed. This Cooperative Agreement is ted to be signed in FY20 (Subject to Appropriation (STA))

kamined how to best promote & implement future salinity projects in LG Basin for both On & Off-Farm. Potential n reduction benefits. Final report Feb. 2014

ects upstream of Hoover Dam; awarded 7 new projects he Lower Gunnison Basin in 2013-14. See A.1.15 through

ed 7 new projects within the Lower Gunnison Basin in 6. See A.1.23 through A.1.29.

ed 5 new projects within the Lower Gunnison Basin in See A.1.30 through A.1.33.

d 7 new projects within the Lower Gunnison Basin in See A.1.34 through A.1.40. Agreements on these new as are anticipated to be signed in 2020 (Subject to riation (STA))

ation and sponsors are working together using CWCB and ds to target saline and selenium areas

	RCPP Grant Submittal. System	BPWCD/CWC D/NFWCD/UV WUA/NRCS/C		Underwood	v							62014	NDCC	Vec	Of the \$20
A.2.3.2	Optimization and modernization.	RWCD		Underway	Х	X	X	X				\$20M	NRCS	Yes	directed f State of C
A.2.3.3	Technical Assistance Grants to Water Users, 2012 FOA	SMP/CWCB/ DCD	2012	Completed	х							\$62K	СWCB	Yes	the Lower FY12 Salir
A.2.3.4	Technical Assistance Grants to Water Users, 2015 FOA	SMP/CWCB/ DCD	2014	Completed	х							\$150K	СШСВ	Yes	Potential
A.2.3.5	CWCB leading effort planning to identify regional optimization opportunities outside the Uncompangre Project.	CWCB/Others		Completed	х	x						\$100K	SCTF	Yes	More that complete Uncompa
A.2.3.6	Technical Assistance Grants to Water Users, 2017 FOA	SMP/CWCB/ DCD/SCD/MC D	2017	Completed	х	x						\$132K	СWCB	Yes	Potential
A.2.3.7	Technical Assistance Grants to Water Users, 2019 FOA	SMP/CWCB/ DCD/SCD/MC D	2019	On-going			x	x				\$75K	СШСВ	Yes	Grants we associated Reclamati
A.2.4.1	Step 1 of East Side - Uncompahgre Project optimization planning. Rapid Assessment Study.	CWCB/Cal Poly/UVWUA		Completed in 2010	х										Rapid Ass
A.2.4.2	East Side - Uncompahgre Project optimization planning	Cal Poly/CRWCD/ UVWUA/BOR/ CWCB/CDPHE	2014	Completed in 2014	x							\$280K	CRWCD/ CWCB	Yes	Study ide & provide report col
A.2.4.3	West Side - Uncompahgre Project optimization planning, including SCADA	Cal Poly/CRWCD/ UVWUA/BOR/ CWCB/CDPHE	2014	Completed	x							\$35K	NRCS RCPP/ CWCB /CRWCD	partial	Study ider & provide
		1 1			IRI	RIG	ATE	ED /	AGF	RICL	ILTUI	RE – ON-	FARM	1	1
A.3								0	n-fa	irm	Pro	jects			
A.3.1	On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP		Ongoing	х	х	х	x	x	x	х		NRCS/BSP	Yes	NRCS EQI
A.3.1.1	FY 2011 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP		Completed	x								NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2011 N totaling 5 accomplis plan is 43 Assistance

- 20M requested, approximately \$6 million was provided as d funding for four off-farm project areas.
- Colorado committed up \$100K to assist water users in er Gunnison Basin with development of proposals for the inity FOA.
- al Selenium reduction
- nan \$100K provided by CWCB FY14-16 via CRD, to te master planning studies including the west side of pahgre Project, BPWCD, CWCD and NFWCD.
- al Selenium reduction
- vere awarded to help irrigation companies with ed engineering costs and preparation of an application to ation's FOA process. This activity is related to A.2.3.1.
- ssessment completed in 2010
- dentified how best to pipe & line east side delivery system de more manageable facilities for UVWUA. Currently, final ompleted & is publically available. Link to be provided.
- entified how best to pipe & line west side delivery system de more manageable facilities for UVWUA

QIP and BSP Salinity Programs

NRCS-1,803 acres treated in FY10 with cumulative effort 57,588 acres since 1988; figures include all lishments of Parallel & Basin States Programs; overall 43% complete. Dollars listed do not include Technical nce provided by NRCS funded through EQIP.

A.3.1.2	FY 2012 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP	Completed	x		\$4.3M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2012 - and Mont (BSP) -Tot Montrose (BSP). Do by NRCS f
A.3.1.3	FY 2013 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP	Completed	x		\$3.9M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2013 -T Basin (De Salinity, 3 Basin (De Salinity), 5 Assistance
A.3.1.4	FY 2014 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP	Completed	x		\$5.0M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2014 -T Basin (De Salinity, 4 River Basi EQIP Salir Technical
A.3.1.5	FY 2015 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP	Completed	x		\$3.9M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2015 -T Basin (De Salinity, 0 River Basi Salinity), 5 Assistance
									FY2016 -T Basin (Del Salinity), C (selenium Total dolla Montrose Dollars list NRCS func salinity (se
A.3.1.6	FY 2016 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP	Completed	х		\$3.85M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	(BSP)To FY2017: 1

-Total acres contracted for Gunnison River Basin (Delta introse Counties): 2,163 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 6,635 ac fotal dollars obligated for Gunnison River Basin (Delta and se Counties): \$3,843,296 (NRCS EQIP Salinity), \$496,634 Dollars listed do not include Technical Assistance provided S funded through EQIP.

-Total acres contracted (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River Delta and Montrose Counties): 1,888 ac (NRCS EQIP , 323 ac (BSP) -Total dollars obligated for Gunnison River Delta and Montrose Counties): \$3,293,604 (NRCS EQIP), \$646,205 (BSP). Dollars listed do not include Technical nece provided by NRCS funded through EQIP.

-Total acres contracted (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River Delta and Montrose Counties): 2,145 ac (NRCS EQIP . 40 ac (BSP) -Total dollars obligated for Lower Gunnison asin (Delta and Montrose Counties): \$4,851,485 (NRCS linity), \$157,681 (BSP). Dollars listed do not include al Assistance provided by NRCS funded through EQIP.

-Total acres contracted (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River Delta and Montrose Counties): 2,095 ac (NRCS EQIP , 0 ac (BSP) -Total dollars obligated for Lower Gunnison asin (Delta and Montrose Counties): \$3.88M (NRCS EQIP), \$0 (BSP). Dollars listed do not include Technical nee provided by NRCS funded through EQIP.

-Total acres treated (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River Delta and Montrose Counties): 1,010 ac (NRCS EQIP), 0 ac (BSP). -Total acres IWM applied to treat salinity im) in FY2016: 3,219 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP). -Deltars obligated for Lower Gunnison River Basin (Delta and se Counties): \$3.85M (NRCS EQIP Salinity), \$0 (BSP). listed do not include Technical Assistance provided by unded through EQIP. -Total acres contracted to treat (selenium) in FY2016: 7,414 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac Total acres IWM planned to treat salinity (selenium) in : 1,716 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP).

	1	I			I	İ	1	İ	Ì	Ì	1		1	Ι	1
A.3.1.7	FY 2017 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP		Completed	x							\$4.75M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2017 -T Basin (Del Salinity), ((selenium Total dolla Montrose Dollars lis NRCS fund salinity (so (BSP)To FY2018: 3
A.3.1.8	FY 2018 On-Farm EQUIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP		Completed		x						\$6.136M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2018 -T Basin (Del Salinity), C (selenium Total dolla Montrose Dollars list NRCS func salinity (se (BSP)To FY2018: 2
A.3.1.9	FY 2019 On-Farm EQIP and Basin States Program Improvements	Landowners/ NRCS/BSP		Completed			x					\$7.144M	NRCS/BSP	Yes	FY2019 -T Basin (Del Salinity), ((selenium Total dolla Montrose Dollars lis NRCS func salinity (se (BSP)To FY2019: 1
A.4			Activitie	s to Targ	et, De	efin	ie,	Pla	ın,	an	d Imp	lement	Future On	-farm Pro	jects
A.4.1	Participate in Salinity Control Program - Lower Gunnison Comprehensive Plan effort Implement EQIP-funded irrigation	CRWCD/ BOR/ CWCB/ NRCS/ BSP/SMP Landowners/	2012	Completed in 2014	x							see funding for A.2.1	BSP	Yes Partial	Study is ex salinity co Potential Plan is to
A.4.2 A.4.3	efficiency improvements Implement BSP-funded irrigation efficiency improvements	NRCS Landowners/ BSP/ CWCB	1988	Ongoing Ongoing	x x	x x	x x	x x	x x	x x	x x		NRCS BSP	(STA) Partial	

-Total acres treated (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River relta and Montrose Counties): 1,755 ac (NRCS EQIP , 0 ac (BSP). -Total acres IWM applied to treat salinity m) in FY2017: 3,842 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP). ollars obligated for Lower Gunnison River Basin (Delta and se Counties): \$4.751M (NRCS EQIP Salinity), \$0 (BSP). isted do not include Technical Assistance provided by nded through EQIP. -Total acres contracted to treat (selenium) in FY2017: 2,357 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac Total acres IWM planned to treat salinity (selenium) in 3,645 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP).

-Total acres treated (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River elta and Montrose Counties): 2,808 ac (NRCS EQIP , 0 ac (BSP). -Total acres IWM applied to treat salinity m) in FY2018: 3,795 (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP). -Illars obligated for Lower Gunnison River Basin (Delta and se Counties): \$6.136M (NRCS EQIP Salinity), \$0 (BSP). isted do not include Technical Assistance provided by nded through EQIP. -Total acres contracted to treat (selenium) in FY2018: 3,176 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac Total acres IWM planned to treat salinity (selenium) in 2,963 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP).

-Total acres treated (EQIP Salinity) for Gunnison River belta and Montrose Counties): 6,733 ac (NRCS EQIP , 0 ac (BSP). -Total acres IWM applied to treat salinity m) in FY2019: 1,555 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP). ollars obligated for Lower Gunnison River Basin (Delta and se Counties): \$7.144M (NRCS EQIP Salinity), \$0 (BSP). isted do not include Technical Assistance provided by nded through EQIP. -Total acres contracted to treat (selenium) in FY2019: 4,816 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac Total acres IWM planned to treat salinity (selenium) in 1,391 ac (NRCS EQIP Salinity), 0 ac (BSP).

examining how to best promote & implement future control projects in LG Basin for both On & Off-Farm. al selenium reduction benefits. Final report Feb. 2014. to treat 50-60% of remaining acreage

A.4.4	Improve Irrigation Water Management	Landowners/ conservation districts	Ongoing	х	x	х	x	x	x	х		BSP	Partial	Delta Distr water man
<u>A.4.4</u>		Landowner/ STF/Cons		X	^	^	^	^		^			Faltia	
A.4.5	On-farm Irrigation BMP Demonstration Projects	Districts/CWC B	Ongoing	х	x	x	х				~\$100K	CWCB/CRWCD/Lan downers/ NRCS		Intended t improvem
A.4.6	Meaker Big Gun Demo	Landowner/ STF/Cons Districts/CWC B	Complete	X							\$210K	SCTF/CRWCD/Land owners/ NRCS	Yes	Demonstra Adobe soil
					NC	DN-	AG	RIC	ULTU	JRAL	SOURCI	S		
			Por	nd Se	epa	age	(R	eci	reati	onal	, Farm,	Aesthetic)		
A.5							r							
A.5.1	Formulate program to address existing pond seepage	SMP	Not begun										No	Studies (se ponds and
A.5.2	Delineate and inventory ponds using 2011 aerial photos	SCD	Completed	х							~\$4K	SCTF	Yes	Included p reaches of
A.5.3	Analyze existing pond data and identify gaps and priority ponds or areas	USGS/NRCS/B OR	Not begun								~\$100K	SCTF/ BOR/BSP	No	Ponds are i SMP should seepage.
A.6				N	lun	icip	bal	an	d Ind	dusti	rial Sou	rces		
A.6.1	Explore options for selenium management from sewage treatment plants	Operators/ CDPHE	Not begun										No	SMP to eva
	Explore options for selenium management from Individual Sewage Disposal Systems	Operators/ CDPHE	Not begun										No	
A.6.2											\$15K	SCTF	partial	19,000+ sy estimate a GIS Seleniu
A.6.2	Delineate and inventory septic using GIS	USGS/SCTF/B OR	Completed	Х	Х						JT2K	••••	partial	
	Delineate and inventory septic using GIS Explore options for selenium management involving gravel pits		Completed Not begun	X	X						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		No	Needs to b

strict & Shavano District staff help landowners improve nanagement

to demonstrate and evaluate practices and
ments that may not be included in the existing programs.

strate the feasibility of using Big Gun Sprinkler systems on oils in irregular shaped parcels.

(see C.1.) are proposed to examine loading impacts of nd develop selenium control concepts.

d perched, size, soils for Lower Uncompahgre, Lower of North Fork to Delta

re included in current GIS model. Pending results, the puld have a discussion on the importance of pond

evaluate need and benefits in future

systems identified. Looked at Tri-County water to e annual septic volume. To be published in FY21 as part of nium and Salinity Model Report.

be developed

				1	1	1	ĺ	1	I	1		1	1	1	1
A.7.1	Public lands (administered by BLM)	BLM		Ongoing	x	x	x	x	x	x	X		BLM	Yes	The BLM- by includi developm
		1		PA	RT B -	0	JT	RE/	4C	H A	ND E	DUCATI	ON		
						N	IITI	IGA	TE	EXI	STING	SOURCE	S		
B.1		1			F	Pub	lic	Ed	uca	atio	on an	d Outre	ach	-1	
B.1.1	Refine, distribute, & promote Best Management Practices (BMPs)	SMP/STF/ WWUC		Ongoing	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		BOR/ CRWCD	Partial	Informatio Distributio
B.1.2	Minimize New Sources using BMPs and other methods (Ponds, Septic system & other sources)	SMP/STF		TBD	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	>\$30K / year	Unk	No	Needs cor being add B.2.1.
B.1.3	SMP Education and Outreach Committee	STF/SMP	2013	Ongoing	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	~\$5K	GBSTF/BOR/DCD/S CD/Others	Yes	Each agen services)
B.1.4	Collaborate with the Lower Gunnison Basin Soil Health Team to educate the public about selenium reduction and soil health	STF/NRCS	2013	Underway	x	x	x				X	~\$1K	CRWCD	Yes	Table/boo
B.2					Wat	er	Us	ers	EC	luc	ation	and Ou	treach		
B.2.1	Present information/education activities	SMP/ WWUC/STF		Ongoing	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		BOR/ CRWCD	Partial	Lower Gu exhausted archived d
B.2.2	Promote Soil Health Initiative	NRCS/DCD/SC D		Ongoing	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	UNK	UNK	No	SMP to wi
B.2.3	Collaborate with local water providers to educate stakeholders about selenium related issues and the importance of on- farm and off-farm selenium reduction activities	STF/SMP		Underway	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	>\$5K / year	CRWCD/CWCB/NR CS	Yes	
B.2.4	Develop strategies to support, facilitate, encourage LG water users to undertake projects that have Se control benefits	SMP/BOR/CSC B	2015	Underway	x	x	x				x		Salinity Forum	Yes	State Field

M-UFO is addressing selenium in its RMP revision process uding a stipulation to require special design plans for pment on soils mapped as saline/selenium soils.

ation on BMPs has previously been provided to the public. ution of this information will continue into the future.

continued discussion by SMP workgroup in 2020. Also ddressed by Education and Outreach committee. See

gency cover costs of salaries for participation (in kind s)

booth at FY19 Soil Health Conference

Gunnison Wise Water Use Program underway funding ted in 2012. Additional information presented and ed on GunnisonRiverBasin.org.

work with existing and developing Soil Health Initiatives ng BMPs Potential to reduce selenium loading

eld Salinity Coordinator

			Р	REVENT O	R MIN	IIM	IZE	IM	PA	стѕ	FROM	/ NEW.	POTENTIAL SC	DURCES	
B.3	Public Education and Outreach														
B.3.1	Reclamation projects and actions	BOR		Ongoing	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х		BOR	Partial	
B.3.2	BLM managed lands	BLM		Ongoing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		BLM	Partial	
B.3.3	Other Federal actions	USFWS/ TBD		Ongoing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		USFWS/TBD	Partial	
B.3.4	Counties/cities	Counties/ cities		Not begun	х	x	х	х	х	x	х				
B.3.5	Minimize New Sources using BMPs and other methods (Ponds, Septic system & other sources)	SMP/STF		TBD	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	Unk	Unk	No	Needs con being addr B.2.1.
B.4					Wat	ter	Us	ers	Ec	luca	ation	and O	utreach		
B.4.1	Reclamation FOA Workshops	BOR		Ongoing	Х		х				Х		BOR	Yes	To be held
B.5		Encou	rage Age	ency Man	agem	nen	t A	cti	ons	s to	Min	imize L	oading from	Propose	ed Activ
						PA	RT	C -	SU	IPP	ORT	ACTIVI	ΓIES		
		1	1		I	E	EXP.	AN	DK	NO	WLED	GE BAS	E		1
C.1										Stu	dies				
C.1.1.A	Characterization of Salinity and Selenium Loading and Land-Use Change in Montrose Arroyo, Western Colorado, from 1992 to 2010, phase 1	USGS/BOR		Completed in 2012	x							\$98K	BOR	Yes	Characteri Change in 2010 http
C.1.1.B	Characterization of Salinity and Selenium Loading and Land-Use Change in Montrose Arroyo, Western Colorado, from 1992 to 2013, phase 2	USGS/BOR	2011	Completed	x							\$98K	BOR	Yes	Characteri Change in 2013 Repo
C.1.2	Develop 5 Year Science Plan	USGS/SMP		Completed	х							\$129k	SCTF/ USGS	Yes	Plan was fi Workgrou
C.1.3	Development of soil selenium interpretation	WNTSC		Completed	х								NRCS	Yes	Funding in

ontinued discussion by SMP workgroup in 2020. Also Idressed by Education and Outreach committee. See
eld during next FOA
vities
erization of Salinity and Selenium Loading and Land-Use in Montrose Arroyo, Western Colorado, from 1992 to tp://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5106/
erization of Salinity and Selenium Loading and Land-Use in Montrose Arroyo, Western Colorado, from 1992 to port completed in 2015.
s finalized in 2014 approved by the SMP Workgroup. Sup to document and approve updates as needed.
included in NRCS's general Technical Assistance.

C.2				R	esear	ch	an	d T	es	ting	; of N	lew Tecl	nnologies		
C.1.14	Selenium Ecosystem Model	CWCB/USGS	2016	Ongoing	Х	X	Х					\$483K	CWCB/USGS	Yes	Report pl
C.1.13	Sunflower Drain Groundwater/Surface Water Interaction Study	CWCB/USGS	2017	Ongoing	х	x	x	x				\$342K	CWCB/USGS	Yes	Report pl
C.1.12	Synoptic Sampling of Gunnison River and Tributaries near Delta, Colorado	CWCB/USGS	2016	Completed	Х	x						\$145K	CWCB/USGS	Yes	The study https://p
C.1.11	GIS Selenium and Salinity Model	CWCB/Forum/ USGS	2015	Underway	Х	x	х	x	x			\$210k	CWCB/Forum/USG S	Yes	Report p
C.1.10	Investigate solutions & BMPs for Non-Ag sources	SMP		Proposed										No	
C.1.9	Investigate loading impacts of Non-Ag sources including ponds, ISDS & other point/non-point sources	USGS/SMP		Proposed	Х							\$15K	USGS/BOR/ SCTF	Yes	ISDS = inc publishec
C.1.8	Bostwick Park System Optimization	USGS/CWCB/ BOR	2014	Completed	х										Report pr
C.1.7	Study of Influence of Water-table Elevated Changes on Selenium Concentrations in Saturated Mancos Shale Derived Soils of the Lower Gunnison River Basin, Colorado (Wetlands Study)	USGS/SCTF/B OR		Completed	X							\$220K	SCTF/USGS/BOR	Yes	4 wells co Monitorir 2016, and https://po
C.1.6.B	Lower Gunnison Groundwater Characterization Report	USGS/SCTF	2016	Underway	х	x	х					\$135K	USGS/SCTF	Yes	This repo https://p
C.1.6.A	Monitoring of 30-Well GW Network	USGS/SCTF/B OR/BLM		Underway	X	x	x	x	x	x	Х	\$67K 13 \$139K14	SCTF/ USGS	Partial	FY13 Mo reviewed 2019. Re 2019 (Co
C.1.6	Installation of 30-Well Eastside GW Monitoring Network and Monitoring	USGS/SCTF/B OR		Completed	х							\$434K	SCTF/ USGS/ BOR	Partial	10 well ir Costs; ~\$ construct
C.1.5	Real-time Selenium Monitoring, 5 SW sites	CRWCD/USGS /BOR/BLM		Ongoing	x								USGS/BOR/CRWCD /CWCB	Yes	No additi USGS Kar
C.1.4.B	Geochemical characterization of selenium in Mancos Shale derived soils and shallow aquifer sediments in the Lower Gunnison River Basin (phase II)	BOR/USGS/SC TF/NRCS	2014	Completed	x							\$199K	SCTF/USGS	Yes	Journal R https://co bin/pubs series=Al
C.1.4.A	Effects of Recharge and Dissolved Nitrate (Seq. Extract Study aka Column Study) (Phase I)	BOR/USGS		Completed	Х							\$185K	BOR	Yes	Funding f Report w

from BOR Science & Technology Program & USGS Final was published in 2014

Report published in 2016 co.water.usgs.gov/cgis?keyword=&author=mills&date=2016&date1=&date2=& All

tional funding is needed to continue this activity. The ansas Water Center has funded this activity.

installed in FY13 @ ~\$190K including BOR Provo Drill Crew \$144K (SCTF/USGS) & ~\$100K (BOR) in FY14 for ction of the 20 additional.

onitoring of 10 wells completed, FY 14-Five Year Proposal d by Science Team. Volunteer has collected data through eceived funds to obtain water levels and check network in ompleted).

ort was published in 2019, and can be found here: pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20195029

constructed in FY13 using BOR Provo Drill Crew, ing being completed by USGS. The study was published in ind can be found here:

pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20165047

produced in 2015

ndividual septic disposal systems, Part of Model. To be ed in FY21.

publication in water year 2021.

dy was published in 2018, and can be found here: pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2018/5029/sir20185029.pdf

planned for 2020.

planned for 2020.

i.		1 1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
															Worked w
															permitted
															Prelim Re
		BOR/													Degradati
C.2.1	Investigate canal sealants	UVWUA/USGS	1999	Completed	Х								BOR	Yes	the Unco
		BOR/Mesa													
		State													
	S & T Bioreactor Bench Scale Study	College/Golde													Report: P
C.2.2	Proposal - FY 2006	r Assoc.	2006	Completed	Х								BOR S & T Program	Yes	Mesa Stat
		BOR/Mesa													
		State													Report: Fl
	S & T Bioreactor Pilot Study Proposal - FY	College/Golde													PILOT SCA
C.2.2.A	2008-09	r Assoc.	2009	Completed	Х							\$92K	BOR S & T Program	Yes	TECHNOL
															Project Ti
	S & T Passive GW Treatment for Se Pilot														Treatmen
C.2.3	Study Proposal - FY 2018-19	BOR/USGS	2017	Completed	х	х						\$15K	BOR S & T Program	Yes	Projects.
															Follow-up
	S & T Passive GW Treatment for Se														Working
C.2.3.A	Scoping Study Proposal - FY 2019	BOR/USGS	2019	Planned		х	Х					TBD	BOR S & T Program	No	On hold a
									M	ONI	TORIN	G	·		
		1					1	V		ater	Quali	itv			
											Quun	cy			
C.3															
		CRWCD/													
		USGS/BOR/													
	Collect periodic samples at core	CDPHE/													
C.3.1	monitoring network (e.g., 6-9/yr.)	NWCC		Ongoing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х			Partial	Please see
		CRWCD/													
C.3.2	Operate continuous WQ monitors	USGS/BOR		Ongoing	х	х	Х	Х	X	x	Х			Partial	Please see
		USGS,CRWCD,													
		CDPHE, STF,													
C.3.3	Collect periodic samples at ancillary sites	Others		Ongoing	x	х	х	х	x	x	х			Partial	Please see
	· · · · ·														
					Enda	nga	ore	dE	ic	h ar	nd Sur	rogate	Snecies	1	4
					LIIGA	115		ui	13	ii ai		logate .	species		
C.4															
		Recovery													
C.4.1		Due gue no		Ongoing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		FWS /BOR		Funding a
C.4.1	Population Sampling	Program		Ongoing	~	~	~	- ^							
C.4.1	Population Sampling Fish tissue Plugs to determine selenium concentrations	FWS		Oligoling	x								FWS/ CPW		No fish we

d with EPA on application rates. Application of PAM not ed on Reclamation Projects or with Reclamation funding. Report: Fate and Transport of Polyacrylamide and ation Products of Polyacrylamide in Canals and Laterals of company Valley, 1999-2003

Pahler et al. 2007, tate College and Golder 2007

FINAL REPORT PASSIVE SELENIUM BIOREACTOR – CALE TESTING BUREAU OF RECLAMATION SCIENCE AND DLOGY PROGRAM Project No. 4414, March 2010

Title: Feasibility of in Situ, Passive Groundwater ent to Reduce Selenium Impacts from Reclamation 5. Project No. 7113

up Proposal to 2017 Scoping Study. g on joint proposal with BOR, USGS, Sandia Labs & DOE. as of 2019.

ee Appendix C for a list of SW WQ sites

ee Appendix C for a list of SW WQ sites

ee Appendix C for a list of SW WQ sites

and investigations provided through UCRRIP

were sampled in 2019.

C.4.3	USGS Open-File Report 2013-1104	USGS/FWS	Completed	х									Yes	Report en Designate March thr
C.5						An	nua	al f	Pro	gress	Repor	t		
C.5.1	Report annual progress	BOR/SMP Workgroup	Ongoing	х	x	Х	x	x	x	x		BOR	Yes	Address W monitorin FWS annu
C.5.2	Annual Selenium Trend Analysis Report	USGS	Ongoing	х	x	Х	х	x	х	x	\$29K	BOR	Yes	USGS Sele they are c
C.5.3	5-Year Selenium Trend Analysis Report	USGS	Completed	x	x							BOR	Yes	5-Year Sele once they prepared.
					C	тн	IER	SU	PPC	ORT A		S		
		• •	Explore a	nd O	bta	in I	Fur	ndi	ng	to Su	pport l	Program A	ctivities	
C.7														
C.7.1	Obtain funding for SMP activities	SMP	Underway	Х	х	х	х	x	х	x			Partial	

WQ, updated load/concentration trend plots, biological ring, construction progress & outreach/education. Due to nually.

elenium Trend Analysis Reports are delivered to FWS once ecompleted.

Selenium Trend Analysis Reports are delivered to FWS ey are completed. These reports are no longer being ed. The USGS is preparing annual reports only.

APPENDIX C – 2019 WATER QUALITY SAMPLE SITES

2019 USGS Water-quality sites in the Lower Gunnison Basin associated with the SMP

<u>09129600</u> Smith Fork near Lazear, CO #

09136100 North Fork Gunnison River above mouth near Lazear, CO *

384624107570701 Gunnison River at 2200 Road Bridge, at Austin, CO #

09137500 Gunnison River near Cory, CO

<u>09144200</u> Tongue Creek at Cory, CO

09146200 Uncompanyere River near Ridgway, CO #

09147500 Uncompanyer River at Colona, CO *

<u>382802107513301</u> Montrose Arroyo at East Niagara Street

<u>383041107544201</u> Cedar Creek near mouth

<u>383946107595301</u> Loutsenhizer Arroyo blw N. River Road nr Delta, CO

<u>383926107593001</u> Loutsenhizer Arroyo at Hwy 50 near Olathe, CO

384202108032001 Dry Creek at mouth, near Delta

09149500 Uncompanyer River at Delta, CO *

09144250 Gunnison River at Delta, CO *

<u>384448108070301</u> Cummings Gulch at mouth

09150500 Roubideau Creek at mouth near Delta, CO #

<u>384527108152701</u> Gunnison River above Escalante Creek, near Delta, CO #

385011108225401 Gunnison River blw Dominguez Creek nr Whitewater, CO #

09152500 Gunnison River near Grand Junction, CO (aka Whitewater site) *

Denotes site to be discontinued, unless additional funding is identified.

* Denotes real-time, continuous monitor sites using linear regression to calculate instantaneous dissolved selenium loads.

2019 Selenium Task Force water-quality sites in the Lower Gunnison Basin associated with the SMP

<u>USGS 09146200</u>	Uncompahgre River near Ridgway, CO
USGS 381716107454301	Billy Creek at Mouth
USGS 381933107455101	Onion Creek at County Rd 906A near Colona
USGS 382034107464501	Beaton Creek at Uncompangre Rd near mouth
<u>USGS 09137050</u>	Currant Creek, near Read, CO (Bridge at mile marker 8 on Hwy 92)
<u>USGS 384812107524501</u>	Oasis Ditch at Hwy 92
USGS 384802107522201	Lawhead Gulch at Hwy 92
USGS 384752107502201	Sulphur Gulch at Hwy 92
<u>USGS 384756107490801</u>	Big Gulch at Hwy 92
USGS 384747107430501	Short Draw west of County Fairgrounds at Hotchkiss
USGS 384915107412101	Jay Creek at Hwy 133 near mouth


Note: All sites are active, but no samples were collected in FY2019

APPENDIX D – 2019 ASPINALL UNIT OPERATIONS

Aspinall Unit Operations for Calendar Year 2019 under the Gunnison River PBO

In water year 2019, Western Colorado experienced one of the wetter snow seasons on record, followed by record dry conditions in late summer and early fall. With the Record of Decision for the Final Aspinall Unit Operations EIS that was signed on May 3, 2012, peak and base flow targets were established for the Whitewater gage near Grand Junction, Colorado to aid in the recovery of four endangered fish; the Humpback Chub, Bonytail Chub, Razorback Sucker, and the Pikeminnow. This report will assess how well the 2019 operations of the Aspinall Unit provided sufficient releases of water at critical times and quantities necessary to avoid unnecessary harm to the endangered fish species and their essential habitat while continuing to meet the authorized purposes of the Aspinall Unit.

<u>Peak Flows</u> As mentioned previously, 2019 was considered a moderately wet year. Year type is determined by the forecasted April through July inflow volume to Blue Mesa Reservoir. Moderately wet years are defined as years where the forecasted inflow volume is greater than 831,000 acre-feet and less than 1,123,000 acre-feet. The April 1st issue of the runoff forecast predicted 925,000 acre-feet of inflow to Blue Mesa Reservoir, well into the moderately wet year category. The actual April through July inflow volume for 2019 totaled 1,088,000 acre-feet, with runoff conditions increasing with each new forecast after April 15th. The May 1 runoff forecast kept 2019 in the moderately wet year category with a peak flow target of 14,350 cfs at the Whitewater gage, with a duration of 10 days at this peak flow level. Figure 1 shows the peak flow and duration day targets for the Gunnison River at Whitewater based on 2019 landing in the moderately wet year category.



Peak Flow and Duration Day Targets at Whitewater

Figure 1. Peak flow and duration day targets at the Whitewater gage as determined by April-July Forecasted Inflow.

A peak flow of 16,500 cfs was reached on the Gunnison River at Whitewater on June 9th. This peak flow was primarily in response to greater than anticipated tributary flows downstream from the Aspinall Unit. There were 6 days of flow above the peak flow target of 14,350 cfs in the Gunnison River at Whitewater.

<u>Half Bankfull Flow Duration</u> The recommended number of duration days at half-bankfull flows and at the peak flow are also dependent on the forecasted inflow volume to Blue Mesa Reservoir. The table insert in Figure 1 shows the recommended duration of days at peak flow and half bankfull flows for ranges of forecasted inflow volume to Blue Mesa Reservoir. In moderately wet years, the half bankfull target of 8,070 cfs is to be maintained for 40 days.

Due to the dry conditions of 2018 there is a drought provision in the Aspinall Unit Operations EIS that allows for the duration of half bankfull flows to be reduced by half to 20 days. Flows in the Gunnison River at Whitewater were above the half bankfull target of 8,070 cfs for 23 days.

<u>Base Flows</u> Base flow recommendations were determined by a study conducted by the Fish and Wildlife Service (Figure 2). Year type for base flow is also determined by the April-July forecasted inflow volume to Blue Mesa Reservoir, so 2019 followed the targets for a moderately wet year based on the spring forecasts for April through July inflow volume to Blue Mesa Reservoir. Since 2018 was considered a dry year, the dry year baseflow targets are carried over for the January-March time period as the hydrology of these months is more dependent on the previous year's hydrology than the current year.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec
Wet	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050	1500	1500	1500	1050	1050	1050	1050
Mod Wet	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050	1500	1500	1500	1050	1050	1050	1050
Avg Wet	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050	1500	1500	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050
Avg Dry	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050	1500	1500	1050	1050	1050	1050	1050
Mod Dry*	750	750	750/790	750/890	750/890	1050	1050	1050	750/890	750/790	750/790	750
Dry*	750	750	750/790	750/890	750/890	1050	1050	750/890	750/890	750/790	750/790	750

L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L
L

Figure 2. Base flow recommendations to support critical flows and habitat for the endangered fish.

Baseflow targets were exceeded for almost every day of 2019 with a combination of releases from the Aspinall Unit and tributary flow contributions to the mainstem Gunnison River. Flows in the Gunnison River at Whitewater dropped below the baseflow target level for only a few days in January and February. These days are typically the result of river ice affecting the stream gage, calling into question the accuracy of those gage readings at the time.




Figure 3. 2019 Base Flow Target vs. Actual Flows at Whitewater Gage.

<u>Gunnison River</u> Flow differences between the Gunnison River at Whitewater and the Gunnison River below the Redlands Diversion Dam are primarily due to the diversion of water to the Redlands Canal. 2019 was forecast as a moderately wet year and flows on the lower Gunnison River were usually well above 300 cfs downstream from the Redlands Canal diversion dam. Figure 4 shows the flows in the Gunnison River below the Redlands Diversion Dam, along with the diversion rate at the Redlands Canal. The only time when the flow in the river below the Redlands Diversion Dam was below 300 cfs was during the winter months before the wet conditions of 2019 became apparent.






Figure 4. Gunnison River flows as measured below the Redlands Diversion Dam.

<u>Colorado River</u> Flows at the Colorado/Utah Stateline closely matched the flows at the Cisco, UT gage. Flows tended to range from 5,000 cfs to over 35,000 cfs, with both gages experiencing a peak near 38,000 cfs around the middle of June. Figure 5 shows the river flows at the Colorado/Utah Stateline gage and the Cisco, UT gage.





<u>Operational Issues</u> There were no operational issues that impeded flows from the Aspinall Unit to the Whitewater gage during the 2019 water year.

Summary In 2019, hydrologic conditions remained in the moderately wet category for the entire year. Conditions throughout the Gunnison Basin were very wet during the spring and summer runoff period. Even with the dry conditions during late summer and fall there were no issues with sustaining the baseflow target levels in the lower Gunnison River as measured at the Whitewater gage. By the end of the year, storage levels in Blue Mesa Reservoir returned to normal conditions, completing the recovery from the extremely low levels observed at the end of 2018.

APPENDIX E – 2019 ANNUAL FLOW MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION STATUS OF THE DOLORES RIVER

Annual Flow Management of the Dolores River and Status of Conservation Recommendations Water Year 2019

Background: In 1975, the Dolores River was designated as a component of the National System of Wild and Scenic Rivers. Nearly 40-years later the San Juan Public Lands Center (SJPLC) began revising their San Juan National Forest Resource Management Plan. A requirement of the planning process was that all planning area rivers be assessed for their eligibility, classification, and suitability for inclusion in the National Wild and Scenic River System. The San Juan Public Lands Draft Land Management Plan (DLMP) found the Dolores River from the outlet of McPhee Reservoir to Bedrock Colorado to be preliminarily suitable for inclusion in the National Wild and Scenic River System. Outstanding Remarkable Values (ORV's) identified in the DLMP for this section of the Dolores River include fish and wildlife resources, recreation, scenery, and other geological, ecological, and archeological values. Some of the specific ORV's are the roundtail chub, rafting, New Mexico privet, canyon treefrog, and Eastwood's monkeyflower. Since the Dolores River Dialogue (DRD) had been focusing on the lower Dolores River, the SJPLC felt that the DRD had potential to find an alternative to the Wild and Scenic suitability designation that would achieve similar protections for the stream and its ORV's.

In 2008, the SJPLC asked the DRD for assistance in protecting the ORV's and in considering alternatives to Wild and Scenic suitability. The DRD in conjunction with the SJPLC established the Lower Dolores River Working Group (LDWG) and began a process of understanding the human, ecological, and political dynamics at play on the lower Dolores River and how to best address the needs of the ORV's.

As an outcome of the LDWG, a legislative committee was established to consider an alternative to Wild and Scenic designation. A National Conservation Area was considered the most promising alternative and language was being drafted for legislative consideration. While drafting the language, it was determined that in order to protect the native fish ORV, assistance would be needed from native fishery experts. The "A Way Forward" committee was established and a team of scientists (Bill Miller, Kevin Bestgen, and Phaedra Budy) was hired to review existing data and summarize the status and trends of the three species from McPhee Dam to the confluence with the San Miguel River. The final report presented nine potential management opportunities that may assist with the improvement of the native fish. They are: spill management, base flow management, sediment transport flows, habitat maintenance flows, thermal regime modification, reducing the effects of introduced coldwater species, reducing the effects of introduced warm water species, and supplementing native fishes.

Upon completion of the A Way Forward final report, a Monitoring and Recommendation Team (MRT) consisting of water managers, NGOs, and State and Federal Agencies was formed to find ways to implement the nine recommendations. The MRT, with financial assistance of the Colorado Water Conservation Board, completed its first iteration of "The Lower Dolores River Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation Plan for Native Fish" (IME Plan) in August 2012. Public comments to the plan were received, and the second iteration was published in June 2014. An electronic version of this plan and appendices can obtained from the Dolores River Dialogue website: http://ocs.fortlewis.edu/drd/pdf/Lower-Dolores-River-Implementation, Monitoring-and-Evaluation-Plan-for-Native-Fish-June%202014.pdf

A July 2018 Reclamation report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service entitled "Flow Management and Endangered Fish in the Dolores River during 2012 – 2017" concluded that "...available information appears insufficient to identify linkages between Reclamation's flow management at McPhee and endangered fish recovery" on the lower reaches of the Dolores River. However, coordinated efforts between the Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW), Reclamation and district managers to meet IME Plan targets for native fish habitat maintenance and improvement are ongoing.

Downstream Releases: Managers released 134,683 acre-feet (AF) of water downstream in excess of filling the reservoir as a managed release. Approximately 1,100 AF of water in excess of filling the reservoir was released for recommended temperature suppression efforts downstream prior to ramping up the managed release. Managers

provided a full Project water supply of 31,798 AF below McPhee Dam in addition to, and outside of, the temperature suppression from May 14 to May 20 and the managed release that lasted from May 21 to July 10, 2019.

Downstream releases for water year 2019 ranged from 26 cubic feet per second (CFS) to 3,400 CFS.

Conservation Recommendation No. 1. *We recommend that Reclamation continue support efforts of the three* species conservation strategy on a range-wide basis, including conservation efforts on the Dolores River. The Bureau of Reclamation has been an active participant of the Dolores River Dialogue since its inception in 2004, and is currently an active member of the Monitoring and Recommendation Team (MRT), formerly the Implementation Team. The MRT provides management recommendations, to Reclamation, related to releases to the lower Dolores River (from McPhee Dam to the confluence of the San Juan Miguel River) for the native and non-native fishes and rafting, and promulgates additional monitoring downstream to help inform future recommendations.

Conservation Recommendation No. 2. We recommend that Reclamation continue to work with the Biology Committee to consider spill and flow management options to benefit the native fishery in the middle and lower Dolores River while continuing to honor commitments related to downstream rafting. The Biology committee was setup as an advisory committee for fishery pool management only. Reclamation and

The Biology committee was setup as an advisory committee for fishery pool management only. Reclamation and the Dolores Water Conservancy District are actively involved with the DRD and MRT in performing downstream release management.

Reclamation takes an active role in the Biology Committee in identifying base needs and possibilities. Annual base release budgets are drafted by CPW and recommendations are made to project operators.

Conservation Recommendation No. 3. We recommend that Reclamation continue to take an active role in the Dolores River Dialogue, in particular activities related to native fish. See background narrative.