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Introduction

Nambe Falls Dam and Reservoir, on the Rio Nambe, are within the boundaries of the Nambe Pueblo in Santa Fe County. The dam, reservoir, and facilities are part of the San Juan-Chama Project and located about 12 miles north of the city of Santa Fe in north-central New Mexico (Figure 1). Operation of the dam and facilities are under the direction of the Pojoaque Valley Irrigation District with an onsite dam tender that assisted during this survey.

Figure 1 - Reclamation dams and reservoirs located in New Mexico.
The reservoir, formed by a concrete arch and earthfill dam, had initial storage on February 23, 1976. The zoned earth embankment dam has a 673-foot crest length at elevation 6844.0, a structural height of 144 feet, and a hydraulic height of 127 feet. The concrete arch dam dimensions are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural height</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crest length</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top width</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic height</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crest elevation</td>
<td>6844.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spillway is an uncontrolled overflow section in the center of the concrete arch dam with crest elevation 6826.6 and provides a discharge of 22,500 cubic feet per second (cfs) at maximum reservoir elevation 6839.8, Figure 2. The outlet works are located through the concrete arch dam and consist of two 18-inch-diameter and one 6-inch-diameter conduits. The inlet elevation of the outlets is 6761.5 with a discharge capacity of 107 cfs at reservoir elevation 6826.6. The reservoir is about 3,700 feet in length with an average width of around 690 feet.

The drainage area above Nambe Falls Dam is approximately 34 square miles with all considered to be sediment contributing. The drainage area is orientated east-to-west on the western slope of the Sangre de Cristo Mountains and ranges from elevation 6826.6, at the spillway crest, to over 12,600 feet along the eastern rim of the basin. In June 2003, a major basin fire affected about 1.5 square miles, or 4.4 percent, of the basin (Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2003). A spring 2004 reservoir survey was conducted prior to runoff contributing material from this fire (Bureau of Reclamation, 2004). In July 2011, the Pacheco Fire located primarily in the Pecos Wilderness within the Rio Nambe and Rio Frijoles sub-watersheds, burned a total of 10,250 acres (16 square miles). Almost the entire burn area drains into Nambe Reservoir that is approximately three miles downstream. The 2012 runoff of ash material contributed to a complete fish kill as the material settled within the reservoir eventually silting in the dead pool zone.

Since the 2004 survey, a retaining wall was constructed in the upper reservoir area in an attempt to trap the sediment inflows, but failed under the stress of the inflows, Figure 3. On February 19, 2009, a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers dive team conducted underwater work at the dam’s outlet trash-rack that included a hydrographic survey in the immediate area around the intake. From the developed topographic map it appears the bottom was near elevation 6748 compared to the 6742 elevation measured in 2004.

---

1 The definition of such terms as “top width,” “structural height,” etc. may be found in manuals such as Reclamation’s Design of Small Dams and Guide for Preparation of Standing Operating Procedures for Dams and Reservoirs, or ASCE’s Nomenclature for Hydraulics.

2 Elevations in feet. Unless noted, all elevations based on the original project datum established during construction, tied to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29), and 3.47 feet lower than North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88).
Figure 2 - Nambe Falls spillway, located in the concrete section of Nambe Falls Dam, with spillway crest elevation 6826.6 (NGVD29), March 2013.

Figure 3 - Nambe Falls Reservoir retaining wall located in upper reservoir, June 2013.
Control Survey Data Information

Prior to the 2013 bathymetric survey, a control network was located and used during the 2004 Nambe Falls Reservoir survey (Reclamation, 2004). The horizontal control was established in the New Mexico state plane central coordinate zone in the North American Datum of 1927 (NAD27) with a vertical datum tied to NGVD29. The project features were designed and constructed to these datums. During the RTK GPS collection in 2004 and 2013 the base was set over point “G” and checked by measuring other network points such as “F” and “K”, Figures 4 and 5. The 2004 analysis was conducted using the provided control network tied to NAD27 and NGVD29.

For the 2013 survey, the NAD27 and NGVD29 coordinates were converted to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83) and NAVD88 using the Corp of Engineers program CORPSCON. The 2013 survey was conducted using the converted coordinates at base station point “G” that measured points “F” and “K.”

Figure 4 – Base setup over control point "G" located on the earthen portion of Nambe Falls Dam.
Figure 5 - Nambe Falls Reservoir control points, GPS base set over point “G” (NGVD29).
During the two day March 2013 collection, the base data information was retained
at point “G” and submitted to the on-line positioning user service (OPUS).
OPUS, operated by the National Geodetic Survey (NGS), allows users to submit
GPS data files that are processed with known point data to determine positions
relative to the national control network. The OPUS generated coordinates were
used to determine position and vertical difference between OPUS generated
NAD83/NAVD88 coordinates to the CORPSCON generated NAD83/NAVD88
coordinates at base station “G.” The OPUS computation for both days measured
an average positive shift of 5.73 feet “East” and 0.308 feet “North” from the
CORPSCON computed coordinates. These east and north computed shifts were
applied to all of the data collected by the 2013 survey. The elevation difference
was negligible, 0.005 feet, so no correction or shift was applied to the 2013
measured data point elevations except to shift from NAVD88 to NGVD29.

For this study the horizontal control was established in New Mexico state plane
central coordinates, NAD83, in feet. The vertical control was tied to NGVD29.
Unless noted, all elevation computations and contour elevations of the
topographic maps are referenced to NGVD29 or Reclamation’s construction
datum that is roughly 3.47 feet lower than NAVD88 (GEOID2012A). Following
are the coordinates for control points used and measured during the 2004 and
2013 reservoir surveys.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Name</th>
<th>Original (NAD27)</th>
<th>CORPSCON (NAD83)</th>
<th>March 2013 Measured (NAD83)</th>
<th>OPUS Solution</th>
<th>Shift</th>
<th>Corrected Coordinates (NAD83)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G East</td>
<td>602,301.04</td>
<td>1,742,544.458</td>
<td>1,742,544.458</td>
<td>1,742,550.188</td>
<td>5.730</td>
<td>1,742,550.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1,762,975.14</td>
<td>1,763,037.431</td>
<td>1,763,037.431</td>
<td>1,763,037.739</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>1,763,037.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NGVD29) 6,843.971</td>
<td>6,843.971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NAVD88) 6,847.442</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,847.437</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,847.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F East</td>
<td>602,383.60</td>
<td>1,742,627.016</td>
<td>1,742,627.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,742,632.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1,762,850.56</td>
<td>1,762,912.851</td>
<td>1,762,912.871</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,762,913.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NGVD29) 6,842.436</td>
<td>6,842.436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NAVD88) 6,845.910</td>
<td>6,845.927</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,845.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K East</td>
<td>602,328.98</td>
<td>1,742,572.397</td>
<td>1,742,572.351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,742,578.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1,762,886.70</td>
<td>1,762,948.991</td>
<td>1,762,949.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,762,949.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NGVD29) 6,841.275</td>
<td>6,841.275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NAVD88) 6,844.749</td>
<td>6,844.802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,844.802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reservoir Operations

Nambe Falls Reservoir is part of the San Juan-Chama Project that provides water supply to the middle Rio Grande Valley for municipal, domestic, and industrial uses. The March 2013 capacity table shows 2,600 acre-feet of total storage below the maximum water surface elevation 6839.8. The March 2013 survey measured a minimum lake bottom elevation of around 6761.6 compared to an April 2004 measured minimum lake bottom elevation of 6740.5. The following values are from the March 2013 capacity table:

- 872 acre-feet of surcharge pool storage between elevation 6826.6 and 6839.8.
- 1,548 acre-feet of active conservation between elevation 6780.0 and 6826.6.
- 181 acre-feet of inactive use storage between elevation 6760.9 and 6780.0.
- 0 acre-feet of dead pool storage below elevation 6760.9.

Nambe Falls Reservoir available inflow and end-of-month stage records are listed in Table 1 for operation period 1976 through 2001 showing an average runoff of 10,310 acre-feet. These values are from the USGS gauging station “Rio Nambe below Nambe Falls Dam” and were developed for the 2004 report. The table shows the extreme annual fluctuation of the reservoir as the water surface exceeded the spillway crest elevation (6826.6) during many years with the maximum-recorded elevation being 6827.2 in 1979 and the minimum elevation being 6778.8 in 1996. On average, the annual reservoir elevations range from spillway crest elevation 6826.6 to a minimum elevation 6807 by the end of the water year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESERVOIR SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARY</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF RESERVOIR</td>
<td>Nambe Falls Reservoir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE OF SHEET</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLUMN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1. OWNER: Bureau of Reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2. STREAM: Rio Nambe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>3. STATE: New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. SEC: 29 TWP: 19 N RANGE: 10 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. NEAREST P.O.: Nambe Pueblo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. COUNTY: Santa Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>7. LAT: 35° 50' 46&quot; LONG: 105° 54' 13&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8. TOP OF DAM ELEVATION: 6,840.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>9. SPILLWAY CREST EL: 6,826.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| E | 10. STORAGE ALLOCATION |
| R | 11. ELEVATION |
| S | 12. Original SURFACE AREA, ACRES |
| E | 13. Original CAPACITY, AC-FT |
| O | 14. GROSS STORAGE |
| I | 15. DATE STORAGE BEGAN |
| R | 16. DATE NORMAL OPERATIONS BEGAN |

| B | 17. LENGTH OF RESERVOIR 0.7 MILES |
| A | 18. TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA 34.1 SQUARE MILES |
| N | 19. NET SEDIMENT CONTRIBUTING AREA 34.1 SQUARE MILES |
| I | 20. LENGTH MILES 191 MILES |
| N | 21. MAX. ELEVATION 106 |
| S | 22. MEAN ANNUAL PRECIPITATION 8 to 30 INCHES |
| A | 23. MEAN ANNUAL RUNOFF |
| N | 24. MEAN ANNUAL RUNOFF TO DATE 10,310 ACRE-FEET |

| S | 25. ANNUAL TEMP, MEAN 74°F |
| E | 26. DATE OF SURVEY 2/23/76 |
| Y | 27. PER. YRS 28. PER. YRS |
| R | 29. TYPE OF SURVEY Contour (D) |
| V | 30. NO. OF SURVEYS 5 |
| O | 31. SURFACE AREA, AC. 8 |
| I | 32. CAPACITY ACRE- FEET 2,026 C/F |
| R | 33. C/I RATIO AF/AF 0.2 |

| D | 34. PERIOD ANNUAL PRECIPITATION a. MEAN ANN |
| A | 35. PERIOD WATER INFLOW, ACRE-FEET |
| N | 36. WATER INFLOW TO DATE, AF 10,310 |
| S | 37. PERIOD CAPACITY LOSS, ACRE- FEET a. TOTAL |
| E | 38. TOTAL SEDIMENT DEPOSITS TO DATE, AF |
| Y | 39. AVG. DRY WT. (#/FT³) |
| R | 40. SED. DEP. TONS/MI²-YR a. PERIOD |
| O | 41. STORAGE LOSS, PCT. |
| F | 42. SEDIMENT INFLOW, PPM a. PER |
| T | 43. DEPTH DESIGNATION RANGE IN FEET BELOW AND ABOVE CREST ELEVATION 6,697.0-6,760.9 |
| V | 44. REACH DESIGNATION PERCENT OF TOTAL ORIGINAL LENGTH OF RESERVOIR 0-10 |

| 45. DATE OF SURVEY 2/23/76 |
| 28. PER. YRS 2 |
| 29. TYPE OF SURVEY Contour (D) 2 |
| 30. NO. OF SURVEYS 2 |
| 31. SURFACE AREA, AC. 5 |
| 32. CAPACITY ACRE- FEET 59 |
| 33. C/I RATIO AF/AF 0.28 |
| 34. PERIOD ANNUAL PRECIPITATION a. MEAN ANN 28.1 |
| 35. PERIOD WATER INFLOW, ACRE-FEET 16.8 |
| 36. WATER INFLOW TO DATE, AF 59.2 |
| 37. PERIOD CAPACITY LOSS, ACRE- FEET 1.01 |
| 38. TOTAL SEDIMENT DEPOSITS TO DATE, AF 6,820.0 |
| 39. AVG. DRY WT. (#/FT³) 10.9 |
| 40. SED. DEP. TONS/MI²-YR a. PERIOD 106 |
| 41. STORAGE LOSS, PCT. 3.8 |
| 42. SEDIMENT INFLOW, PPM a. PER 191 |
| 43. DEPTH DESIGNATION RANGE IN FEET BELOW AND ABOVE CREST ELEVATION 6,697.0-6,760.9 |
| 44. REACH DESIGNATION PERCENT OF TOTAL ORIGINAL LENGTH OF RESERVOIR 0-10 |

**Table 1 - Reservoir sediment data summary (page 1 of 2).**
### 45. RANGE IN RESERVOIR OPERATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>MAX. ELEV.</th>
<th>MIN. ELEV.</th>
<th>INFLOW, AF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>6,826.6</td>
<td>6,785.3</td>
<td>6,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>6,826.8</td>
<td>6,807.8</td>
<td>16,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>6,817.1</td>
<td>6,875.6</td>
<td>4,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>6,826.9</td>
<td>6,807.7</td>
<td>12,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>6,826.7</td>
<td>6,802.2</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>6,826.8</td>
<td>6,814.9</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>6,826.7</td>
<td>6,807.7</td>
<td>6,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>6,826.8</td>
<td>6,812.4</td>
<td>15,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>6,827.0</td>
<td>6,804.6</td>
<td>12,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>6,825.2</td>
<td>6,778.8</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>6,826.6</td>
<td>6,806.4</td>
<td>9,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>6,826.9</td>
<td>6,807.3</td>
<td>9,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6,826.9</td>
<td>6,802.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 46. ELEVATION - AREA - CAPACITY - DATA FOR

#### ELEVATION - AREA - CAPACITY - DATA FOR 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEVATION</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>CAPACITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6,780.0</td>
<td>6,770.0</td>
<td>6,755.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,790.0</td>
<td>6,795.0</td>
<td>6,790.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,800.0</td>
<td>6,805.0</td>
<td>6,810.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,810.0</td>
<td>6,820.0</td>
<td>6,826.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,820.0</td>
<td>6,830.0</td>
<td>6,835.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,830.0</td>
<td>6,840.0</td>
<td>6,850.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ELEVATION - AREA - CAPACITY - DATA FOR 1980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEVATION</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>CAPACITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6,800.0</td>
<td>6,810.0</td>
<td>6,815.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,820.0</td>
<td>6,830.0</td>
<td>6,835.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,840.0</td>
<td>6,850.0</td>
<td>6,860.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 47. REMARKS AND REFERENCES

1. All elevations are in feet based on original project datum that is tied to NGVD29. Top of earth embankment and parapet wall elevation 6,844.0.
2. Project vertical datum tied to NGVD29 and 3.47 feet lower than NAVD88.
3. Uncontrolled spillway crest elevation.
4. Original values recomputed from 5-foot contours with Reclamation's ACAP program.
5. Length with side tributary.
10. Surface area and capacity at elevation 6,826.6, spillway crest elevation.
11. See remarks #7 and #8. Maximum and minimum elevations from available USGS records by water year.
12. Total sediment inflow by comparing survey values with recomputed capacity from original survey.
13. All capacity computed by Reclamation’s ACAP computer program. The 1976 or original capacity values were recomputed by ACAP for purpose of computing sediment accumulation values. 2004 and 2013 surveys assumed no change from original elevations 6,826.6 and above.

### 48. AGENCY MAKING SURVEY

Bureau of Reclamation

### 49. AGENCY SUPPLYING DATA

Bureau of Reclamation

**DATE**: June 2013

---

**Table 1 – Reservoir sediment data summary (page 2 of 2).**
Hydrographic Survey, Equipment, and Method of Collection

Bathymetric Survey Equipment

The March 2013 bathymetric survey equipment was mounted on a pontoon raft with the transducer and RTK GPS unit located in the center of the vessel, Figure 6. The hydrographic system included a GPS receiver with a built-in radio, a depth sounder, a helmsman display for navigation, a computer, and hydrographic system software for collecting the underwater data. The depth sounder was paperless, 208 kHz, and provided a continuous trace of the bottom. The shore equipment included a second RTK GPS receiver with an external radio. The shore GPS receiver and antenna were mounted on survey tripods over a known datum point and powered by a 12-volt battery. The June confirmation survey used a larger boat that allowed for mounting a more robust multifrequency sounder and transducers that ranged from 12 to 208 kHz. This more stable vessel also allowed the survey crew to probe the bottom of the reservoir to confirm previous depth soundings.

Figure 6 - Survey vessel used for the March 2013 Nambe Falls Survey.
The Sedimentation Group uses RTK GPS with the primary benefit being precise heights measured in real time to monitor water surface changes. The RTK GPS system employs two receivers that track the same satellites simultaneously. The basic outputs from the RTK receiver are 3-D coordinates in latitude, longitude, and height with accuracies on the order of 2 centimeters horizontally and 3 centimeters vertically. The output is on the GPS WGS-84 datum that the hydrographic collection software converted into New Mexico’s state plane central coordinates, NAD83, in feet.

The Nambe Falls Reservoir bathymetric survey was conducted on March 27 and 28 of 2013 between water surface elevation 6825.9 and 6826.0 (NGVD29). The bathymetric survey was conducted using sonic depth recording equipment interfaced with a RTK GPS to determine the sounding locations within the reservoir. The survey system software continuously recorded reservoir depths and horizontal coordinates as the survey boat moved along established grid lines throughout the reservoir. The survey vessel's guidance system provided directions to the boat operator to assist in maintaining course along these predetermined lines. There were a few coves and areas along the shoreline of the reservoir that were not covered by the survey vessel due to vegetation that grew during lower reservoir levels and driftwood that had accumulated in these areas. As each line was traversed, the depth and position data were recorded on a laptop computer for subsequent processing. The water surface elevations at the dam, recorded by a gage at the dam and RTK GPS measurements, were used to convert the depth measurements to lake-bottom elevations. The elevations were tied to NGVD29 that is, on average, 3.47 feet lower than NAVD88.

The depth data was calibrated by adjusting the speed of sound through the water column, which can vary with density, salinity, temperature, turbidity, and other conditions. The crew returned in June of 2013 to confirm the soundings from the March survey since the results found the dead pool had all but silted in. The depth sounder in March produced a digital analog chart of the measured depths. These charts were analyzed during post-processing; when the charted depths indicated a difference from the computer recorded bottom depths, the data files were modified. Additional information on collection and analysis procedures is outlined in Chapter 9 of the Erosion and Sedimentation Manual (Ferrari and Collins, 2006). Final processing of the March 2013 data resulted in 17,272 points, Figure 7.
Figure 7 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 data coverages.
Above Water Data

Aerial Photography

The 2013 study of Nambe Falls Reservoir focused primarily on the collection of bathymetric (underwater) data in areas accessible by the survey vessel. Acquisition of the best available above water data was also necessary to complete development of the topographic map. During analysis, orthographic aerial images collected in 2009 when the reservoir was near the spillway crest elevation (6826.6) were downloaded from the USDA data web site (USDA, 2010). Reservoir contours were developed by digitizing the water’s edge from this aerial image and assigning a water surface elevation of 6826.6. This contour was near the reservoir pool elevation when the 2013 bathymetric survey was conducted, enclosed the 2013 bathymetric data, and was used during topographic development. Vegetation along portions of the shoreline made it difficult to distinguish the water’s edge from the aerial flight, but this was the best available information for this study.

Aerial IFSAR

As part of this analysis, Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (IFSAR) was obtained as digital bare earth data in New Mexico’s state plane coordinates with elevations tied to NAVD88. IFSAR airborne technology enables mapping of large areas quickly and efficiently resulting in information at much lower costs than other technologies such as aerial photogrammetry and LiDAR, although the accuracies are not quite as good. The data was collected when the reservoir was near elevation 6812 (NAVD88), providing areas of overlap to compare with the USDA and March 2013 data sets. To match NGVD29 the IFSAR elevations were shifted downward 3.47 feet.

The IFSAR data provided topographic images of the shoreline of the main reservoir body, coves, and around the dam. The IFSAR-reported accuracies are 2-meters horizontally and 1-meter vertically for areas of unobstructed flat ground (Intermap, 2011). For the open areas of the reservoir, with minimal vegetation along the shoreline, the developed contours from the IFSAR data matched with the USDA digitized contours within the accuracies of the data. For areas of dense vegetation, the IFSAR data were erratic and did not match well with the USDA contours. Following examination of the two data sets, it was determined that the USDA data set was the best representation of the present reservoir condition in the densely vegetated and open areas of the reservoir. During processing, the IFSAR data in the vegetated areas and areas near USDA contours, and 2013 bathymetric data points were removed leaving the remaining data to be used in the final 2013 topographic development of the area above the spillway crest elevation. For the 2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir study, the IFSAR data was deemed to match close enough to be used in the contour development, but not for
the computation analysis. For the Nambe Falls Reservoir shoreline and cove areas with vegetation, the IFSAR data were removed, leaving the USDA contours as the primary data source for these areas during the reservoir map development.

Reservoir Area and Capacity

Topography Development

The data sources utilized for generating the 2013 topographic contours of Nambe Falls Reservoir included the 2013 bathymetric data points, 2013 RTK GPS topographic shots, digitized reservoir water surface edges from the USDA aerial photography, and IFSAR aerial data points. The USDA and IFSAR data sets were the most accurate available data that enclosed the 2013 data. These data were processed into a triangulated irregular network (TIN) that was used to develop 2-foot contours tied vertically to NGVD29. The resulting surface areas and volumes presented in this report were developed from the TIN. If need be, these elevations can be shifted upward 3.47 feet to match NAVD88. In preparation for developing the TIN, a polygon was created to enclose all of the data sets. This polygon, not assigned an elevation, was used as a hard boundary for the 2013 developed contours, only allowing mapping within the polygon and preventing interpolation outside of it. The TIN method is described in more detail in the ArcGIS user’s documentation (ESRI, 2006).

The linear interpolation and CONTOUR commands were used to interpolate contours from the Nambe Falls Reservoir TIN. The surface areas of the enclosed contour polygons at 1-foot increments were computed for elevation 6761.0 and above. The reservoir contour topography at 2-foot intervals is presented in Figures 8 through 11 from elevation 6762.0 and above.

2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir Storage Capacity Methods

The storage-elevation relationships based on the measured surface areas were developed using the area-capacity computer program ACAP (Bureau of Reclamation, 1985). The ACAP program can compute the area and capacity at 0.01 to 1.0 foot elevation increments by linear interpolation between the given contour surface areas. For this study the 1-foot computed surface areas from elevation 6761.0 through 6825.0 were used. The 2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir area and capacity tables assumed no change from the 2004 surface areas from elevation 6826.6 and above. There were too many unknowns with vertical accuracies on the above water data sets to make an accurate comparison. Also during the 2013 surveys no major sediment delta was observed in the upper
reservoir area. The program begins by testing the initial capacity equation over successive intervals to ensure that the equation fits within an allowable error tolerance, which was set to 0.000001 for Nambe Falls Reservoir. The capacity equation is then used over the full range of intervals fitting within the allowable error limit. For the first interval at which the initial allowable error limit is exceeded, a new capacity equation (integrated from basic area curve over that interval) is utilized until it exceeds the error limit. Thus, the capacity curve is defined by a series of curves, each fitting a certain region of data. Through differentiation of the capacity equations, which are of second order polynomial form, final area equations are derived:

\[ y = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 \]

where:
- \( y \) = capacity
- \( x \) = elevation above a reference base
- \( a_1 \) = intercept
- \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) = coefficients

Results of the reservoir area and capacity computations are listed in a separate set of 2013 area and capacity tables and have been published for 0.01, 0.1, and 1-foot elevation increments (Bureau of Reclamation, 2013). A description of the computations and coefficients output from the ACAP program is included with those tables. As of March 2013, at conservation use elevation 6826.6, the surface area was 58.0 acres with a total capacity of 1,726 acre-feet. At maximum and top of surcharge elevation 6839.8, the surface area was 66.6 acres with a total capacity of 2,601 acre-feet.
Figure 8 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 contours, NGVD29 (map 1 of 4).
Figure 9 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 contours, NGVD29 (map 2 of 4).
Figure 10 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 contours, NGVD29 (map 3 of 4).
Figure 11 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 contours, NGVD29 (map 4 of 4).
Nambe Falls Reservoir Surface Area and Capacity Results

Table 1 provides a summary of the changes in reservoir storage, inflow, and topography since the time of dam closure in February 1976, which includes the surveys in April 2004 and March 2013. The original area and capacity curves along with the 2004 and 2013 surveys are plotted in Figure 12. Table 2 provides a summary of the original along with the 2004 and 2013 surface areas and capacities.

The 2013 bathymetric survey and the other data sources summarized in the Topographic Development section provided adequate information for computing the surface areas from elevation 6826.6 and below. For 2013 computation purposes no change of surface area was assumed at elevations 6826.6 and above since the 2004 survey results. The ACAP program interpolated and computed the area and capacity values between the input surface area values from elevation 6761.0 through 6840.0.

Longitudinal Distribution

To illustrate the present reservoir bottom and change over time, the reservoir thalweg profile was plotted from the dam to the upper reservoir area for the 2004 and 2013 conditions, Figure 13. The original profile was not available, but it appears that starting at the dam the original thalweg was below elevation 6700. The original capacity at elevation 6710.0 was only 0.1 acres, meaning it didn’t take much to fill in this area with sediment. For the 2004 and 2013 longitudinal profiles the thalweg was cut through the developed 2-foot topographic contours from each study with the 2004 contours being used to develop the thalweg alignment starting from the dam and continuing upstream of the Rio Nambe. The 2004 plot ended at elevation 6826.0 using available data while the 2013 plot extended to elevation 6842.0 using the collected RTK GPS topography data.

The 2013 thalweg started near elevation 6764.0 at the dam and dropped to elevation 6762.0 through the developed contours. The 2013 minimum bottom data elevation was around elevation 6761.6 compared to the outlet sill elevation of 6761.5 and the reservoir’s dead pool elevation of 6761.9. The collected March 2013 data, spot checked in June 2013, confirmed that the original dead pool area is currently silted in.

The 2013 thalweg shows the majority of the sediment deposition occurring near the dam since 2004 and then slopes upstream to the top of a typically developed sediment delta near elevation 6774. The 2013 top of the pivot point of the delta is
near elevation 6804, which is near the average annual minimum reservoir elevation for the available years of reservoir operations (Table 1). The 2004 plot doesn’t show a typical sediment delta formation that was surveyed near reservoir elevation 6803. It must be noted that prior to the 2004 survey the reservoir was much lower in elevation for an extended period due to limited runoff, and land excavation was conducted to move the delta. Some of this material was removed from the reservoir area, but the 2004 survey observed where some of the sediment and other material were placed into large slash piles within the normal reservoir pool. Soon after the 2004 survey the reservoir did fill and spill and it’s assumed these slash piles were never removed.

The 2013 plot illustrates the present condition of the reservoir and how the sediment deposition and inflows are impacting the inlet of the outlet works. The plots show nearly 20 feet of sediment deposition at the dam since the 2004 survey and around 14 feet of deposition since the 2009 dive team survey. The 2013 plot also illustrates that sediment inflows have settled in the upper reservoir area where the upper sediment delta has formed and a failed retaining wall was constructed. Sediments have flushed downstream from this formed delta, filling the original dead pool zone and above. With no dead pool area much of the future sediment inflow will deposit throughout the reservoir with small portions being flushed downstream through the outlet works when in operation.
Table 2 - Nambe Falls Reservoir 2013 survey summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elevation Feet</th>
<th>Original Area Acres</th>
<th>Original Capacity Ac-Ft</th>
<th>2004 Area Acres</th>
<th>2004 Capacity Ac-Ft</th>
<th>2004 Sediment Volume Ac-Ft</th>
<th>2013 Area Acres</th>
<th>2013 Capacity Ac-Ft</th>
<th>2013 Sediment Volume Ac-Ft</th>
<th>Percent Reservoir</th>
<th>Percent Reservoir Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6,839.8</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>2,898</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>2,792</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>2,601</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,835.0</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>2,556</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>2,450</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,830.0</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>2,231</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>1,933</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,828.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2,109</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2,002</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1,811</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,826.6</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>2,026</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,820.0</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>1,664</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,815.0</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>1,423</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,810.0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,805.0</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>1,009</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,800.0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,795.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,790.0</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,785.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,780.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,775.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,770.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,765.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,760.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,760.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,755.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,750.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,745.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,740.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,735.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,730.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,725.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,720.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,715.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,697.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Reservoir water surface elevation tied to NGVD29 that is 3.47 feet less than NAVD88.
3. Original reservoir capacity recomputed using ACAP.
4. 2004 reservoir surface areas.
5. 2004 reservoir capacity computed using ACAP.
6. 2004 computed sediment volume, column (3) - column (5).
7. 2013 reservoir surface area.
8. 2013 reservoir capacity.
9. 2013 computed sediment volume, column (3) - column (9).
10. 2013 percent of total sediment, 297 acre-feet, by indicated elevation zone.
11. Depth of reservoir expressed in percentage of total depth of 142.8 feet.
Area-Capacity Curves for Nambe Falls Reservoir

Figure 12 - Nambe Falls Reservoir area and capacity plots.
Figure 13 - Longitudinal profile of the Rio Nambe from the dam upstream.
2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir Analyses

Figure 12 is a plot comparison of the surface areas and capacities of the reservoir's original, 2004, and 2013 survey results. For calculating the 2004 and 2013 sediment accumulation, the original capacities were recomputed using ACAP85 that was also used to compute the 2004 and 2013 capacities. Since dam closure in 1976, the measured total volume change at reservoir elevation 6826.6 was 106 acre-feet in 2004 and 297 acre-feet in 2013. The 2013 estimated average annual rate of capacity lost for the 37-year operation period was 8.0 acre-feet per year. The 2013 storage loss in terms of percent of original storage capacity was 14.6 percent at elevation 6826.6.

The original survey measured a minimum elevation of 6667 compared to a 2004 minimum elevation 6740.5 and 2013 minimum elevation of 6761.6. This indicates nearly 95 feet of sediment deposition at the dam since the original survey, but the original surface area at elevation 6710 was only 0.1 acres and 1.1 acres at elevation 6735, meaning limited sediment inflow would fill in this original zone. Of the total measured sediment in 2013, about 60.3 percent has deposited below inactive elevation 6780.0 and 100 percent of the dead pool has been lost due to sediment deposition. Figure 13 illustrates the 2004 and 2013 sediment accumulation in relation to the outlet location and reservoir pool elevations.

The Nambe Falls reservoir capacity allocation sheet lists the projected 100-year sediment deposition to be a total of 400 acre-feet below elevation 6826.6 of which 230 acre-feet, or 57.5 percent, was projected to deposit below elevation 6780.0. The 100-year estimate equates to 4 acre-feet per year, which was close to the 2004 survey result of 3.8 acre-feet per year. The 2004 survey measured a normal accumulation pattern compared to the 100-year projections, but the June 2003 drainage fire had not yet affected the sediment inflow due to the ongoing drought condition at that time and a portion of the sediment delta had been removed by land excavation. The 2013 survey measured an average annual change of 21.2 acre-feet per year since the 2004 survey that was the result of the runoff from the two major fires within the drainage basin. The 2013 results increased the measured average accumulation since dam closure to 8.0 acre-feet with the majority of the sedimentation occurring since 2004.

As indicated previously, the 2004 and 2013 area and capacity tables were generated assuming no change in area and capacity since the 1976 original survey from elevation 6828 and above due to the lack of updated detailed survey data. This is likely not the case, but from the 2004 and 2013 collected data and visual observation, it appears there has been minimal change at the upper reservoir elevations since 1976 due to shoreline erosion.
The 2004 survey noted a minimal sediment delta in the upper reservoir area and only a minor amount of shoreline erosion. The delta that previously existed was excavated in the winter of 2003 with some of the material deposited within the reservoir boundaries below the spillway crest elevation of 6826.6. The land excavation of the reservoir area was conducted when the water surface was below elevation 6790. Most of these spoils were completely submerged shortly after the 2004 survey and assumed that over time the majority of this material has redistributed in the lower elevations of the reservoir due to wind and wave actions.

**Summary and Conclusions**

This report presents the results of the March 2013 survey of Nambe Falls Reservoir. The primary objectives of the survey were to gather data needed to:

- develop reservoir topography;
- compute area-capacity relationships; and
- estimate storage depletion by sediment deposition since dam closure.

A control survey was conducted using OPUS and RTK GPS to confirm the horizontal and vertical control network near the reservoir for the hydrographic survey. A GPS base station was set over an existing survey control point with provided coordinates tied to NAD27 and NGVD29. The OPUS solution found a slight shift in the provided horizontal coordinates and no shift with the vertical elevations. All 2013 collected data was adjusted horizontally to match the OPUS computed shift. The study’s horizontal control was in feet, New Mexico state plane central coordinates, in NAD83. The vertical control, in US survey feet, was tied to the project’s vertical datum in NGVD29, 3.47 feet lower than NAVD88. Unless noted, all elevations in this report are referenced to the project (NGVD29) vertical datum.

The March 2013 underwater survey was conducted near reservoir water surface elevation 6826; just below the spillway crest elevation of 6826.6. The water surface at the time of the survey was measured by the gage at the dam and confirmed by RTK GPS measurements. The bathymetric survey used sonic depth recording equipment interfaced with a RTK GPS for determining sounding locations within the reservoir. The system continuously recorded depth and horizontal coordinates as the survey boat navigated along grid lines covering the reservoir. The positioning system provided information to allow the boat operator to maintain a course along these grid lines. Data was also collected along the shoreline and within the restricted area near the dam. Even though the March 2013 survey was conducted during a normal high reservoir level, there were portions of the reservoir where the boat could not gain access due to vegetation, floating driftwood, and sand bars that blocked entry to some of the coves.
The above-water 2013 topography was from several sources such as digitized water surface edges of orthographic aerial images of the reservoir (USDA, 2010). Airborne digital data was also obtained as IFSAR bare-earth data for the upper reservoir area (Intermap, 2011). There are other technologies available that would produce more accurate data than IFSAR, but this study did not have the funding to acquire these types of data sets. Better upper reservoir data would not greatly change the results, especially considering the main concern is within the lower elevation portions of the reservoir where the sediments are depositing. In the open areas of the reservoir the IFSAR data points matched well with known elevation information and were retained for this analysis to develop the upper reservoir contours. In areas with dense vegetation around the reservoir the IFSAR data did not match well and was removed. The remaining IFSAR data points along with the other data sources were used to develop the 2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir topography. These data sets were necessary to enclose the 2013 data for contour development. The 2013 study assumed no change since the 2004 developed surface areas from elevation 6826.6 and above.

The final 2013 Nambe Falls Reservoir topographic map is a combination of the digitized water surface edge from the USDA photographs, IFSAR developed contours, and the 2013 survey data, all tied vertically to NGVD29. The USDA and IFSAR data sets were the most accurate available data and enclosed the 2013 data that was necessary for topography development. A computer program was used to generate the 2013 topography and resulting reservoir surface areas at predetermined contour intervals from the combined reservoir data from elevation 6850.0 and below. Assuming no change, the 2004 developed surface areas for elevation 6826.6 and above were used to complete the 2013 area and capacity tables. The 2013 input from the 2-foot surface areas was from elevation 6826.0 and below. The 2013 area and capacity tables were produced using the computer program ACAP that calculated area and capacity values at prescribed elevation increments using the measured contour surface areas and a curve-fitting technique that interpolated values between the input elevation surface areas.

Tables 1 and 2 contain summaries of the Nambe Falls Reservoir and watershed characteristics for the 2013 survey. The 2013 survey determined the reservoir has a total storage capacity of 2,600 acre-feet with a surface area of 74.2 acres at maximum reservoir water surface elevation 6839.8. At conservation water surface elevation 6826.6 the total capacity was 1,729 acre-feet with a surface area of 58.0 acres. Since closure of Nambe Falls Dam in February 1976, this survey measured a 297 acre-foot loss in reservoir capacity below elevation 6826.6. For the first 37 years of reservoir operation, the average annual loss was 8 acre-feet. The design annual sediment accumulation was 4 acre-feet, or 50 percent of the 2013 measured annual sediment inflow. Information on how the design sediment accumulation was computed was not found, but it is assumed large basin fires were not part of the calculations. The volume losses for this study were computed by comparing the original with the 2004 and 2013 capacities for the reservoir. It
is assumed the measured loss was mainly due to sediment deposition with some variation due to accuracy differences between methods of collection and analysis.
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