RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

Produced Water Reuse Case Studies

May 21st, 2013 EUCI: Produced Water Management in the West

Katharine Dahm Katie Guerra



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation

Water Management Reports

Presentation Outline

- Management options
 - Transportation
 - Natural Conveyance
 - Disposal
 - Beneficial Use
- Case studies
 - Alternate Sourcing
 - Onsite Reuse
 - Centralized Treatment
- Management consideration
- Value of water supply

Science and Technology Program Report No. 157 Oil and Gas Produced Water

RECLAMA

Management and Beneficial Use in the Western United States

RECLAMATION Managing Water in the West

Science and Technology Program Report No

Produced Water Treatment Primer for Oil and Gas Operations

RECLAMATION Managing Water in the West

Science and Technology Program Report No

Guidance for the evaluation of produced water as an alternative water supply

Water Management Options

Water Sourcing

Water Disposal



Water Management Options

Water Sourcing

Water Disposal



Transportation Options

- Trucking
 - Cost and logistics
 - Water storage
 - Environmental Impacts
- Piping
 - Permanent Installations
 - Temporary infrastructure
 - Co-locating with piping infrastructure
- Temporary storage



Natural Conveyance and Direct Onsite Reuse

- Facilitating water reuse:
- Industrial/commercial reuse sources
 - Increased volume in water ways
 - Free/natural conveyance system
- Direct reuse of hydraulic fracturing flowback and produced water
 - Compatible with the producing formation
 - Available on-site (reduces transport cost)
 - Reduces disposal wells



Texas Bed and Banks Permit Source: www.trinity.edu

Disposal

Evaporation

- Arid areas, evap rates
- Land area and cost
- Maintenance, solids disposal
- Injection
 - Nearby locations
 - Formation capacity
 - Environmental impacts





Beneficial Use Options

Western water uses:

- Irrigation (sub-surface applications)
- Surface water augmentation
- Municipal drinking water
- Industrial uses (fire suppression, dust control)
- Habitat (salt marshes)



Produced water management in Powder River Basin, NETL

Alternate Water Sources

- Concept:
- Treating alternate water sources, nearby to well drilling operations
- Costs and Benefits:
- Requires treatment and infrastructure
- Reduces demand on fresh water supplies

RECLAMATION

• Potentially closer to the well field, limits transportation costs

Background:

 Volume of water per well fracture ranges from ~ 0.5 million to 3 million gallons (10,000 bbls to 60,000 bbls)



ECLAMAT

- Cost to purchase raw water \$0.25/bbl up to \$1.75/bbl
- Water transportation \$0.63/bbl up to ~ \$5/bbl.
- Costs for deep well injection \$0.50/bbl to \$1.75/bbl.
- Transportation costs represent from 56% to 84% of the total water-handling costs

Site Description:

- Flowback water recovery: 15% - 50%
- Salinity levels as high as 220,000 mg/L
- Treatment unlikely costeffective in most cases

Solution

- Near Tioga, North Dakota
- Existing brackish groundwater production well





Treatment:

- Reverse osmosis (RO) to treat brackish groundwater
- Total dissolved solids (TDS): ~9,000 - 11,000 mg/L
- Treated water is stored in a lined and covered pond
- On-site hauling station allows 4 trucks to fill in 20 minutes



Sandia National Laboratories

Source: Kurz, B. (2010) "Bakken Water Opportunities Assessment". University of North Dakota's Energy and Environmental Research Center (EERC)

Keys to project success:

- 1. Alternative source (brackish groundwater) was available in the area
- 2. Existing infrastructure for the groundwater well was available and was not an incurred cost
- 3. Well was located at a reasonable distance from the drilling site
- 4. Technically and economically feasible to treat the alternate source

Onsite Reuse

Concept:

- Treating flowback and produced water onsite using a mobile treatment system to reuse water at the well field
- Costs and Benefits:
- Requires mobile treatment equipment and water storage infrastructure
- Variable water quality returning from the well
- Reduces demand on fresh water supplies
- On-site location reduces transportation costs

RECLAMATIC

Onsite Reuse Case Study: Mobile Systems

Background:

- Numerous mobile systems are available from commercial vendors
- Most permanent installations have mobile equipment equivalent
- Reduces trucking and pipeline to have treatment onsite
- Equipment is available for purchase or rent and can be moved and reused at new site locations

Onsite Reuse Case Study: Mobile Systems

Advantages:

- Modularity and maneuverability
- Flexibility
- Reduced transportation costs
- Disadvantages
- Smaller components
- Exposure
- Power requirements



Alternative Water Sources Case Study: Mobile Systems

Keys to project success:

- 1. No need exists for long term infrastructure
- 2. It is necessary to have a water treatment system that can be moved from site to site
- 3. Storage (permanent or temporary) for raw and treated water is available onsite
- 4. Power available onsite
- 5. Low to medium water volumes
- 6. Technically and economically feasible to treat the flowback and produced water quality
- Need for water recycling due to water shortage or transportation costs

Centralized Treatment

Concept:

- Central collection facility to treat produced and flowback water from the region for reuse or discharge
 Costs and Benefits:
- Requires permanent treatment infrastructure
- Economies of scale, plant can treat multiple producers, extends treatment over lifetime
- Plants designed for variable water quality
- Treated water can be used within the industry or outside the industry as a supply to offset costs
- Transportation or conveyance required

Centralized Treatment Case Study: San Ardo, CA

Background:

San Ardo treats produced water for the purposes of:

- 1. Discharge to recharge basins
- Production of Once Through Steam Generator (OTSG) make-up water

Complex feed water source

<image>

San Ardo Facility, Source: Veolia

RECLAMATION

First membrane-based produced water desalination facility Operated by Veolia Water for Chevron U.S.A.

Centralized Treatment Case Study: San Ardo, CA



Centralized Treatment Case Study: San Ardo, CA

Water Quality: Temperature 200°F Free oil 25 ppm TOC 80 ppm Silica 240 ppm Boron 26 ppm Hardness 240 ppm TDS 6,500 ppm

<u>Treated Water Quality:</u> TDS < 510 ppm Boron < 0.64 ppm Hardness < 2 ppm as $CaCO_3$ Water recovery, 75%

Centralized Treatment Case Study: Wellington, CO

Background:

- Location Town of Wellington and the north area of Larimer County
- Purpose Create additional water supply from produced water
- Water is injected into a shallow groundwater well for recharge

Treatment:

- Dissolved air floatation
- Activated carbon
- Pre-treatment
- Ceramic microfiltration

End Use: Aquifer supplies water to a RO plant that provides drinking water to the Town of Wellington RECLAMATIO



Wellington Water Works, Source: Stewart Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Centralized Treatment Case Study: Pinedale Anticline, WY

Background:

- Located in southwestern Wyoming
- Limitations on disposal injection
- Facility treats produced and flowback water
- Facility treats water from a collection of producers
- 75% of received water is treated and redelivered
- 25% of water is further treated and discharged to the river





Source: High Sierra Energy, LP

Centralized Treatment Case Study: Pinedale Anticline, WY

Facility:

- Water is returned to operators for fracture water or discharged
 - 60,000 bbls/day recycling
 - 20,000 bbls/day discharge
- Infrastructure
 - Treatment and recycle plant
 - 19 miles of pipeline for recycle delivery
 - 1 deep injection well
 - 3.5 MM bbls of water storage capacity



Source: High Sierra Energy, LP

Centralized Treatment Case Study: Water Quality Options

Concept:

• Treating flowback and produced water at a centralized facility to various qualities for different end uses

Costs and Benefits:

- Requires a variety of treatment equipment (sometimes multiple process trains and/or stages) to create a variety of water qualities
- Allows for an alternative option of disposal through discharge to the environment
- Offers an alternative supply for industry use
- Offers a potential supply to users outside the oil and gas industry
- Offers multiple revenue streams to offset treatment facility costs

Existing Water Treatment Plants

Case Studies of Existing Hydraulic Fracturing Flowback and Produced Water Treatment Facilities

- Facility Description
- Location
- Feed Water
- Capacity
- Treatment Process
- Treated Water Use
- Concentrate Disposal
- Operational experience
- Performance data
- Permits



McKean County, PA



Clarion County, PA





San Ardo, CA



Wellington, CO



Pinedale, WY Powder River Basin, WY **RECLAMATION**

Centralized Treatment Case Studies

Keys to project success:

- 1. Need for long term infrastructure
- 2. Multiple producers contributing to the facility
- 3. The use of a third party to operate the treatment facility
- 4. High water volumes and consistent demand
- 5. Lack of disposal options
- 6. Need for treated water in the area
- 7. Alternate treated water use outside the industry

ECLAMATI

General Conclusions

- Case by case basis
- Range of water management options
 - Transport and Disposal
 - Onsite Reuse
 - Beneficial Use
- Water ownership options
- Economic, technical, social, and environmental considerations
- Inherent value associated with water



Additional Information

Research Project Websites:

http://www.usbr.gov/research/projects/detail.cfm?id=1617 http://www.usbr.gov/research/projects/detail.cfm?id=3259 http://www.usbr.gov/research/AWT/reportpdfs/report157.pdf



Science and Technology Program Report No. 157

Oil and Gas Produced Water Management and Beneficial Use in the Western United States





Science and Technology Program Report No

Produced Water Treatment Primer for Oil and Gas Operations



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation



Science and Technology Program Report No

Guidance for the evaluation of produced water as an alternative water supply



RECLANATION Managing Water in the West

Contact Information

Katharine Dahm, Kdahm@usbr.gov, 303.445.2495 Katie Guerra, Kguerra@usbr.gov, 303.445.2013

Bureau of Reclamation Technical Service Center Advanced Water Treatment Research Denver Federal Center Denver, CO 80225



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation