

Date	Event	Reasons	Parties involved
6/25/1917	Mammoth Dam failed		
1921	PRWCD was formed	to develop storage facilities in the Price River watershed for district lands	PRWCD
1924	Predecessor of SWUA filed Application no 9593	to appropriate 15000 acre-feet of water in Gooseberry creek for storage in a proposed reservoir that would be upstream of Scofield Reservoir	SWUA, State Engineer
1926	Scofield Dam was created	one of the purposes of PRWCD	PRWCD
1933	Reclamation created a water storage plan called the Gooseberry Project	water storage and diversion of Gooseberry Creek water through a transmountain tunnel into the Sanpete County area	BOR
1939	SWUA's application no 9593 was denied by the state engineer, but his decision was reversed by a district court		SWUA, State Engineer, District court
1941	BOR filed 3 applications (14025, 14026 and 14477) with the State Engineer	to appropriate water for use in connection with the Gooseberry Plan: 30 cfs of water from Cabin Hollow Creek for storage in a proposed Mammoth Reservoir upstream of Scofield, 17000 acre-feet of water from Gooseberry creek for storage in the Mammoth Reservoir, and 130 cfs of water from Gooseberry creek for direct diversion	BOR, State Engineer
3/10/1942	BOR filed application No. 14683 with the State Engineer	to appropriate 43000 acre-feet of water from Cabin Hollow Creek, Fish creek and Gooseberry creek for storage in the Mammoth and Scofield reservoirs by diversion directly and by exchange to the San Pitch Basin. 8000 acre-feet for "dead storage" for fish culture, and 35000 acre-feet for "exchange water" to satisfy senior priority on Price River.	BOR, State Engineer
10/6/1942	Carbon district was established as a water conservancy district	to be the legal entity responsible to repay the construction costs of the Scofield Reservoir Project	Carbon District
10/11/1943	Tripartite Contract was signed	to move ahead with the Gooseberry project, on certain conditions	U.S, the Carbon District and Price River Water Conservation District
2/28/1944	Carbon district and the U.S executed the repayment agreement		U.S, Carbon District
1945	BOR completed the reconstruction of Scofield Reservoir and increased its capacity from 30000 to 73000 acre-feet		BOR
1967	The Utah Supreme court subordinated the priority date of app. No 9593 to the priority date of Price's two water rights for storage in Scofield Reservoir	The Utah Supreme court determined that SWUA had not been diligent in developing water under app. No. 9593	Utah Supreme court, SWUA, Price
7/22/1975	BOR assigned application No.s 14025, 14026, and 14477 to the SWCD		BOR, SWCD
3/21/1983	Carbon district and Price filed a lawsuit in the US district court, district of Utah against BOR	challenging BOR's assignment of the 3 applications to Sanpete	BOR, Carbon District, Price, US District court of Utah.
1/10/1977	Sanpete filed change applications with the State Engineer on each of the 3 applications assigned to Sanpete by BOR	to obtain permission to change the point of diversion, place of use, and nature of use of the 3 water rights.	Sanpete, State Engineer
Jan-1981	State engineer published notice of BOR's application no. 14683	to provide people the opportunity to file protests against the application	State Engineer
9/14/1981	A public hearing was held on application no. 14683	to resolve the matter	State Engineer, Sanpete, all plaintiffs
6/24/1983	Sanpete urged the State Engineer to approve the 3 change applications	Sanpete could not finalize an application to the BOR for funding for the project until it had its water rights in place	Sanpete, State Engineer
Oct-1983	State Engineer began separate negotiations with Sanpete and with the Carbon District and Price	in an effort to resolve the protests to Sanpete's 3 change applications	State Engineer, Sanpete, Carbon District and Price
6/8/1984	The 1984 agreement was signed	as a result of the negotiations by the State Engineer to resolve the protests	State Engineer, Sanpete, Carbon District and Price

7/19/1984	Price River water Improvement District filed a consent to withdraw of application no 38882	as a result of the 1984 agreement	Price River water Improvement District, State Engineer
7/27/1984	Sanpete requested that application no. 9593 be cancelled	as a result of the 1984 agreement	Sanpete, State Engineer
8/3/1984	Federal lawsuit challenging BOR's assignment of its 3 water rights to Sanpete was dismissed with prejudice	as a result of the 1984 agreement	Carbon, Price, District court of Utah, BOR
8/9/1984	BOR requested the State Engineer that the application no 9594 be cancelled	as a result of the 1984 agreement	BOR, State Engineer
8/22/1984	State Engineer cancelled the application no 9594 by BOR	following BOR's request	State Engineer, BOR
11/16/1984	State Engineer cancelled application no. 9593 by Sanpete	following Sanpete's request	State Engineer, Sanpete
11/16/1984	State Engineer cancelled application no. 38882 by PRWID	following PRWID's request	State Engineer, PRWID
1/7/1985	State Engineer approved BOR's application no. 14683 to store 43000 acre-feet of water in Scofield Reservoir	as a result of the 1984 agreement	State Engineer, BOR
1/7/1985	State Engineer approved Sanpete's 3 change applications	as a result of the 1984 agreement	State Engineer, Sanpete
Jan-1993	Carbon Water Committee was formed	mainly to oppose the Narrows project	Carbon District, other interested people
Nov-1993	BOR issued the draft EIS on the Narrows project		BOR
April-1994	Carbon Water Committee submitted comments to the BOR on the draft EIS on Narrows project		CWC, BOR
5/26/1994	CWC requested the Utah department of Community and Economic development to deny the application by Sanpete for a loan	the loan application was premature since the NEPA process for the project had not been completed	CWC, UDCED, Sanpete
11/28/1994	Sanpete submitted an application to the US Army corps of Engineers	for a permit in connection to the Narrows project	Sanpete, US Army Corps of Engineers
12/9/1994	CWC met with BOR to outline concerns about the project and to request that BOR denies Sanpete's applications for federal funding for the project	in an effort to oppose the Narrows project	CWC, BOR
1/27/1995	BOR issued the final EIS on the Narrows Project		BOR
2/17/1995	CWC submitted comments to the US Army Corps of Engineers on Sanpete's application for a Section 404 permit		CWC, US Army Corps of Engineers, Sanpete
3/2/1995	CWC wrote to the Utah Division of Water Quality	raising concerns about the effect on water quality if the Narrows project were to be constructed	CWC, Utah Division of Water Quality
3/3/1995	CWC submitted comments to BOR that identified those areas of the Final EIS that appeared to not comply with the requirements of NEPA	in an effort to oppose the Narrows project	CWC, BOR
5/8/1995	BOR issued a Record of Decision (ROD) approving the final EIS		BOR
7/28/1995	CWC, Utah Wilderness Association, and others filed a lawsuit against the Secretary of Interior, BOR	claiming that final EIS prepared on the project failed to comply with the requirements of NEPA	CWC, UWA, Utah Rivers Conservation Council
8/2/1995	Secretary of the Interior, BOR, CWC and other plaintiffs provided notice of their intent to file a lawsuit	alleging breaches of the endangered species act in the preparation of the Biological Opinion for the Narrows Project that was included in the final EIS for the project	Secretary of the interior, BOR, CWC
Sep-1995	BOR rescinded the ROD it had issued in May 95 approving the final EIS		BOR
Dec-1995	Sanpete withdrew its application for a section 404 permit for the Narrows project		Sanpete, US Army Corps of Engineers
Feb-1996	BOR announced that it would prepare a new EIS for the Narrows Project		BOR
Oct-1996	Sanpete filed a complaint against Carbon District, Price, and BOR	Breach of section IV and V.E of the 1984 agreement, and the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in the agreement	Sanpete, Carbon District, Price, BOR
10/9/1997	Sanpete stipulated that it would not seek an award of damages at trial	in a resolving motion to compel discovery filed by the Carbon District	Sanpete, Carbon District

10/14/1997	Sanpete's stipulation was incorporated into the Order Dismissing Motion for Order Compelling Discovery		Sanpete, Magistrate Judge Alba
Mar-1998	BOR issued a new draft EIS for the Narrows project		BOR
3/12/1998	CWC filed written comments with the BOR on the new draft EIS		CWC, BOR
5/14/1998	CWC filed written comments with the US Army corps of Engineers on a new application by Sanpete for a section 404 permit for the project		CWC, US Army Corps of Engineers, Sanpete
7/28/1998	the Court ruled that Carbon District had not breached section V.E of the 1984 agreement		Utah District court, Carbon District, Sanpete
11/2/1998	Carbon District withdrew from CWC	in response to a resolution adopted by CWC's board of directors	Carbon District, CWC

This table has been created using information from various sources. The events have been listed in a very deta

<u>Field</u>	<u>Description</u>
Date	Date of occurrence of event
Event	Event description
Reasons	Reasons of occurrence of the event: this field might even have NULL values, depending on
Parties Involved	This field lists down the parties involved in that particular event, as well as the parties that w

Abbreviations Used

BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
PRWCD	Price River Water Conservation District
SWUA	Sanpete Water Users Association
PRWID	Price River Water Improvement District
SWCD	Sanpete Water Conservation District
CWC	Carbon Water Committee
UWA	Utah Wilderness Association

ailed manner in a chronological sequence.

the event
ere affected by it