

Paleoflood Hydrology of the Colorado River System

Research and Development Office Science and Technology Program (Final Report) ST-2019-1736-01





U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Research and Development Office

Mission Statements

Protecting America's Great Outdoors and Powering Our Future

The Department of the Interior protects and manages the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provides scientific and other information about those resources; and honors its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

Disclaimer:

This document has been reviewed under the Research and Development Office Discretionary peer review process <u>https://www.usbr.gov/research/peer_review.pdf</u> consistent with Reclamation's Peer Review Policy CMP P14. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent Reclamation's determination, concurrence, or policy.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Fo ON	rm Approved 1B No. 0704-0188		
T1. REPORT DAT 2019	E: T F	2. REPORT TYPE : Research		T3 8/2	DATES COVERED 24/2019-9/30/2019	
T4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			n	5a. XX	CONTRACT NUMBER KR4524KS-RR4888FARD1805701 (8)	
Taleonood Hydro	nogy of the cold	nado Kiver Syster	11	5b	GRANT NUMBER	
				5 c. 15	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 41 (S&T)	
6. AUTHOR(S) Jeanne Godaire				5d ST	PROJECT NUMBER -2019-1736-01	
Seismology and Geomorphology Group Technical Services Center					TASK NUMBER	
Bureau of Reclamation P.O. Box 25007 86-68330 Denver, CO 80225					WORK UNIT NUMBER 6833000	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Victor R. Baker			8. RE	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
Department of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721				S	Γ-2019-1736-01	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Research and Development Office U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 25007, Denver CO 80225-0007					SPONSOR/MONITOR'S RONYM(S) D: Research and Development ice PR/USBR: Bureau of Reclamation DI: Department of the Interior	
					SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT JMBER(S) ST-2019-1736-01	
12. DISTRIBUTIO Final report can b	N / AVAILABILITY e downloaded fro	STATEMENT	website: https://w	/ww.usbr.go	v/research/	
13. SUPPLEMEN	ARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT (<i>I</i> The project focused Arizona in order to in investigation into the suggest and highligh	Maximum 200 wor on the Green River, vestigate the magnit paleoflood record re ts the problem with t	r ds) a major tributary to th tude and frequency of evealed that extreme t he short length of gag	e Colorado River, an floods in the Upper floods are much more ging records.	d the Colorado Colorado River e frequent thar	River downstream of Lees Ferry, Basin (UCRB). The detailed the systematic gage record would	
15. SUBJECT TER	RMS paleoflood h	ydrology, Colorad	lo River, climate, l	hydrology, fl	oods	
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT U	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jeanne Godaire	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U		122	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 303-445-3164	

S Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) P Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Research and Development Office Science and Technology Program

Seismology and Geomorphology Group, Technical Services Center, 86-68330

(Final Report) ST-2019-1736-01

Paleoflood Hydrology of the Colorado River System

Prepared by: Jeanne E. Godaire, M.S.

Supervisory Geologist, Seismology and Geomorphology Group, Technical Services Center, 86-68330

Peer Review: Giorgia Fulcheri, PhD Geologist, Seismology and Geomorphology Group, Technical Services Center, 86-68330

This document has been reviewed under the Research and Development Office Discretionary peer review process <u>https://www.usbr.gov/research/peer_review.pdf</u> consistent with Reclamation's Peer Review Policy CMP P14. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent Reclamation's determination, concurrence, or policy.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Science and Technology Program Research and Development Office, and Dam Safety Technology Development Program. Most of the work for this project was performed by the University of Arizona under Bureau of Reclamation Cooperative Agreement no. R16AC00021. Cost share partners include BLM Canyonlands and U.S. Geological Survey. Giorgia Fulcheri (Bureau of Reclamation) provided peer review for this report. Joanna Redwine (Bureau of Reclamation) participated in the field reconnaissance of the Grand Canyon, Arizona.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

UCRB: Upper Colorado River Basin

LCRB: Lower Colorado River Basin

OSL: Optically Stimulated Luminescence

Executive Summary

The Colorado River basin supplies southwestern U.S. states and Mexico with water for domestic and agricultural purposes, making it a critical water supply in the western U.S. Runoff is derived mainly from the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB), where flood flows from snowmelt or rain-on-snow events produce large volumes of water to be stored at Reclamation reservoirs. The record of extreme floods is limited by the short systematic gage record, which in turn limits the understanding of the real frequency of extreme floods. This study utilizes methods in paleoflood hydrology to document floods prior to the systematic gage record, effectively extending the record length of extreme floods by thousands of years in the UCRB. The research poses the following questions for the Upper Colorado River basin: (1) What is the magnitude and frequency of extreme paleofloods? (2) How does the addition of new paleoflood information alter flood frequency curves and confidence intervals at low annual exceedance probabilities (AEPs)? (3) How do paleoflood data alter the temporal context of modern and historical extreme floods with regard to their magnitude and frequency?

The project focused on the Green River, a major tributary to the Colorado River, and the Colorado River downstream of Lees Ferry, Arizona in order to investigate the magnitude and frequency of floods in the UCRB. The sequence and ages of flood deposits were described; stage and associated discharges for each flood deposit were modeled using 2-dimensional hydraulic models (SRH-2D); (Lai 2009). These data were input into flood frequency analyses along with the systematic gage records to provide updated flood frequency curves. Using the chronological ages from flood deposits and paleoclimate records from sites in the UCRB and elsewhere, linkages between extreme floods and climate patterns were also explored. This provides an understanding of the hydroclimatology that can drive extreme floods in the UCRB.

The detailed investigation into the paleoflood record revealed that extreme floods are much more frequent than the systematic gage record would suggest and highlights the problem with short gaging records. For example, when paleoflood data are incorporated into the flood frequency analysis for the Green River, the return period of extreme floods, as well as the 100-year flood, is much shorter. Preliminary investigations on the Colorado River in Grand Canyon, Arizona indicates that the peak discharge associated with the highest stage of the slackwater deposits is larger than peak discharges calculated for sites documented in previous investigations and larger than the peak of record in the systematic gage records. By using 77 chronological ages from seven stratigraphic sites of slackwater deposits in the UCRB, the authors identify several clusters of extreme flood activity: 8040-7790, 3600-3640, 2880-2740, 2330-700 and 620-0 years BP. Comparison of paleoflood records of extreme floods to paleoclimate records reveal that extreme floods occur in both wet and dry periods. The authors attribute the periods of extreme floods in the UCRB to an increased intensity of storms from the North Pacific that are associated with enhanced ENSO variability. The extreme floods appear to happen less frequently in more stable wet periods or stable dry periods.

The analyses and conclusions of this study are limited by the small number of paleoflood sites in major tributaries of the Upper Colorado River Basin and the corresponding small sample number of geochronological ages used to support the conclusions. More locations of paleoflood estimates would further refine the paleoflood history of the UCRB and our understanding of flood

generating mechanisms and climate patterns in a long-term context. A critical piece in developing the paleoflood hydrology of the Upper Colorado River system is to complete the work on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon that was initiated during this study. This particular reach is important because it includes all the drainage area for the major dams and water supply for the Upper Colorado River Basin.

Contents

Executive Summary	V
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Project Goals	1
1.3 General Plan and Major Tasks	2
2.0 Methods	3
3.0 Previous Work	3
3.1 Reclamation studies	3
3.2 Published Literature and Theses	4
4.0 Results	6
5.0 Conclusions	9
6.0 Recommendations for Further Work	10
7.0 References	11
Appendix A – Paleoflood Hydrology on the lower Green River, Upper Colorado	River Basin,
USA: An Example of a Naturalist Approach to Flood-Risk Analysis	.A-001
Appendix B – Holocene Paleoflood and their Climatological Context, Upper Col	lorado River
Basin, USA	.B-001
Appendix C – Final Program Performance Report: Paleoflood Hydrology of the	Colorado
River System	C-1

Tables

Table 1. Radiocarbon ages of flood deposits from Reclamation studies......9

Figures

1.0 Introduction

Extreme flooding and climate variability and continue to be topics of concern in watersheds managed by Reclamation. This study demonstrates that a lack of data can impact our understanding of the frequency and magnitude of extreme floods. This lack of understanding in turn can impact our ability to effectively manage water resources in the western U.S. Water operations are driven by the quality of data that informs Reclamation's decisions as a water management agency. The improved understanding of flood potential can lead to more effective management of reservoirs during flood events, which may result in reduced flood damage downstream and greater opportunity for water storage. With increasing demand for water storage in the western U.S., higher pool elevations during flood seasons could increase the likelihood of flood operations; improving the understanding of extreme floods and planning for these events is therefore critical to management of Reclamation's infrastructure.

1.1 Background

The Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) drains approximately 111,800 mi² (289,562 km²) of Colorado, Utah, New Mexico, Wyoming and Arizona and is one of the major basins for domestic and agricultural water supply in the western U.S. Major tributaries to the Upper Colorado River include the Green River, the Gunnison River, the San Juan River, the Dolores River, the Escalante River and the Paria River. While snowmelt provides the main source of water supply in the basin headwaters, extreme floods can be derived from rain-on-snow or rainfall driven regional events. Tributaries that originate at lower elevations in the basin produce extreme floods primarily by heavy regional rainfall events or by local convective storms.

Over 60 dams and reservoirs impound water in the UCRB and on the mainstem Colorado River. The type of infrastructure is diverse, ranging from diversion dams to some of the largest dams and reservoirs in Reclamation's inventory. Their importance in the delivery of water to the American west is undeniable, with close to 40 million people in Arizona, Nevada, California, New Mexico and Colorado depending on its water for their livelihood. Recent droughts and increasing water demands heighten the challenges of water operations at Reclamation facilities in the Colorado River Basin.

1.2 Project Goals

Although future climate simulations vary in the exact timing and magnitude of projected changes, considerable agreement exists amongst all models that hydrologic changes will be paramount in semi-arid regions of western North America (IPCC 2007). Hydrologic studies show differing results regarding the characteristics of extreme flood regimes and how they relate to climate change. In 2007, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) stated that global warming would increase winter flooding in the western U.S. In 2013, the IPCC stated "There continues to be a lack of evidence and thus low confidence regarding the sign of trend in the magnitude and/or frequency of floods on a global scale over the instrumental record" (p. 112, Stocker, et al. 2013) and that there is only medium confidence that modern floods have been larger than historical floods in central North America (Stocker, et al. 2013).

Our understanding of flood hazard is based upon flood magnitude-frequency curves derived from a short observational period, limiting our knowledge of the potential for extreme flooding. In the southwestern U.S., the gaged and historical record rarely exceeds one hundred years; therefore, large floods are statistically under-represented. Despite its powerful application in the Colorado River basin as an excellent proxy for average annual streamflow, dendrochronology provides little or no information for reconstructing extreme flood events because floodwaters are conveyed too rapidly across the landscape to allow for significant increases in soil moisture (a primary driver for tree growth).Paleoflood studies produce flood chronologies that can be used to improve flood-frequency analysis (e.g. (Harden, O'Connor and Driscoll 2015); and maximum flood discharge-drainage area relationships (Enzel, et al. 1993).

This research poses the following questions for the Upper Colorado River basin: (1) What is the magnitude and frequency of extreme paleofloods? (2) How does the addition of new paleoflood information alter flood frequency curves and confidence intervals at low annual exceedance probabilities (AEPs)? (3) How do paleoflood data alter the temporal context of modern and historical extreme floods with regard to their magnitude and frequency?

To answer the study questions, there are three major objectives:

1. Determine the magnitude and age range of extreme floods prior to the observational record and temporal distribution of floods in subbasins of the Upper Colorado River basin and on the mainstem Colorado River. Paleoflood field studies, geochronology lab analysis and hydraulic modeling will be used to complete this objective.

2. Combine the paleoflood record and historical hydrologic record into flood frequency analyses using flood frequency tools that combine historical and paleoflood data.

3. Compare new flood frequency curves with paleoflood data to existing flood frequency analyses to determine the effect of the new paleoflood data on confidence intervals and on the annual exceedance probability (AEP) of modern and historical floods.

1.3 General Plan and Major Tasks

The study is focused on collecting paleoflood data on the major tributaries to the Upper Colorado River and on the mainstem Upper Colorado River. The data collected will be compiled and analyzed to provide an overall picture of the paleoflood history of the Upper Colorado River basin. For example, the record of extreme paleofloods from major tributaries will be compared to the record of extreme paleofloods on the mainstem Colorado River to determine whether the source of extreme flooding can be determined. For the major tributaries, this study focuses on paleoflood data collection on the lower Green River given that this tributary does not have any available paleoflood data while other major tributaries such as the Dolores River, San Juan River, Paria River and Escalante River have been studied previously. Study areas include:

- Lower Green River, near confluence with Colorado River
- Mainstem Upper Colorado River, Arizona

This project is a collaborative effort between the University of Arizona and Bureau of Reclamation and includes cost share from other federal agencies including BLM and USGS.

2.0 Methods

Paleoflood hydrology is defined as "the reconstruction of the magnitude and frequency of recent, past, or ancient floods using geological evidence" (Kochel, Patton and Baker 1982). Paleoflood hydrology uses procedures to reconstruct the magnitude and frequency of past floods, including the determination of paleoflood peak discharges and their time of occurrence (V. R. Baker 2008).

The paleoflood reconstruction uses fine-grained slackwater flood deposits (SWD) and other paleostage indicators (PSI), with the former deposited rapidly from suspension in sites where flow velocities are significantly reduced (Baker, Kochel and Patton, Long-term flood-frequency analysis using geologic data 1979); (Baker and Costa 1987). These indicators represent the high stage of the flood and provide the best natural record of large flood magnitudes. Ideal paleoflood sites preserve multiple flood stratigraphic records that can be separated into individual flow events using sedimentological criteria (V. Baker 1987). Age constraints from individual floods can usually be derived from slackwater deposits by locating charcoal and other organic materials for radiocarbon (¹⁴C) dating (Arnold and Libby 1949); (Bowman 1990). If the sediment is composed of quarts grains, optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating can be used to directly date the sediments age of deposition (Wallinga 2008). Paleo-discharge estimates are obtained using one-dimensional (1D) or two-dimensional (2D) hydraulic models that generate water surface profiles for various discharge values ((Hydraulic Engineering Center 2015); (Lai 2009).

Flood frequency tools that incorporate gage records and paleofloods have been developed by various federal agencies, and have included PeakfqSA (Cohn, Lane and Baier 1997); (Griffis, Stedinger and Cohn 2004), FLDFRQ3 (O'Connell, et al. 2002) and most recently, Bulletin 17C (England, et al. 2018). These programs can be utilized to examine the frequency and magnitude of extreme floods. Flood frequency output can be evaluated for its validity by comparing to regional envelope curves, which provide regional discharge-drainage area relationships for extreme gaged floods, and for evaluating the statistics of the flood frequency distribution (Enzel, et al. 1993); (Blainey, et al. 2002).

3.0 Previous Work

3.1 Reclamation studies

Previous paleoflood investigations prior to this work have been performed by Reclamation in the UCRB, although many have been limited in scope (Figure 1). Reclamation has conducted paleoflood investigations on tributaries to the Colorado River near Reclamation facilities. These include Big Sandy River (Big Sandy Dam; (Godaire and Hilldale, Paleoflood Study, Big Sandy River near Big Sandy Dam, Wyoming 2016), Gunnison River (Blue Mesa Dam; (Klinger and Bauer 2007), Cimarron River (Silver Jack Dam; (Klinger and Bauer 2007), Duschesne River basin (Klawon, Bauer and Klinger 2006), Price River Basin (Klawon, Bauer and Klinger 2006), Taylor River (Taylor Park Dam; (Godaire, et al. 2016), Uncompaghre River (Ridgway Dam;

(Klinger and Bauer 2005), and Blue River (Green Mountain Dam; (Godaire and Bauer 2010). In addition, reconnaissance level investigations have been performed at several facilities as part of the Comprehensive Review process. These include Rifle Creek (Rifle Gap Dam), Fryingpan River (Ruedi Dam), Upper Green River (Flaming Gorge Dam and Fontenelle Dam), Strawberry River (Soldier Creek Dam and Starvation Dam), Los Pinos River (Vallecito Dam), Dolores River (McPhee Dam), Cottonwood Creek (Joes Valley Dam), unnamed drainage upstream from Steinaker Dam, and Brush Creek (Red Fleet Dam).

3.2 Published Literature and Theses

While some paleoflood hydrology studies have been completed in the Colorado River system, these studies have been site specific and have not attempted to develop a basin wide history of extreme paleofloods in the upper Colorado River basin (Figure 1). Results from paleoflood investigations on the Colorado River near Lees Ferry, AZ were published in the 1990s (O'Connor, et al. 1994). The Axehandle Alcove site is a small rock shelter that records a history of extreme floods greater than or equal to floods in the systematic gage record. The authors found at least one flood deposit of laminated silts and sands in a rock crevice 4 meters above the larger slackwater deposit that exceed the magnitude of the 1884 flood (~8500 m³/s; ~300,000 ft³/s) by 1.65 times. Based on radiocarbon dating, this flood occurred between 1600 and 1200 years ago. The record of the 1884 flood appears to be preserved at the top of the sequence of slackwater deposits. Preserved in the sequence below the 1884 flood deposit are 15 flood deposits, representing 15 separate floods, that equal or exceed the magnitude of the largest historical flood of 1921, which was measured at 6250 m³/s (220,720 ft³/s).

Through a previous Financial Assistance Agreement with the University of Arizona (no. 09-FC-81-1503), Reclamation partially supported paleoflood investigations in the Colorado River watershed. Outcomes from previous work include paleoflood investigations along the Colorado River near Moab, Utah, which indicate that at least 2 paleofloods within the last 2,000 years are more than 2.5 times larger than floods in the historical record (Greenbaum, et al. 2014). Flood frequency analysis incorporating paleoflood data indicates that the largest historical flood of 1884 has a recurrence interval of less than 100 years. The largest two paleofloods documented in this reach exceed the PMF calculated by the USGS (~8500 m³/s; 300,000 ft³/s) for the Colorado River near Moab, Utah. With the incorporation of paleoflood data in the flood frequency analysis, the PMF has a return period of about 1,000 years. In the Dolores River basin, paleoflood magnitude and frequency information were developed as part of a PhD dissertation (Cline 2010). Paleoflood field investigations along the lower Green River and in Cataract Canyon on the Colorado River were conducted in 2012 and included stratigraphic descriptions, sample collection, Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon analyses. The remaining hydraulic modeling for these sites were completed for lower Green River and Cataract Canyon during this project.

Other relevant investigations on tributaries to the Colorado River include paleoflood hydrology studies on the San Juan River (Orchard 2001), Paria River (Webb, Blainey and Hyndman 2002) and the Escalante River (Webb, O'Connor and Baker 1988); (Webb 1985). On the San Juan River, Orchard (2001) found that the 1911 flood was the largest flood recorded in slackwater deposits. Peak discharges for this flood were reconstructed using driftwood lines in the study 4

reach that were nearly continuous and that contained historical evidence such as sawn wood and wood with nails. The peak discharge estimate for this flood from step-backwater analysis was $4,200 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (148,300 ft³/s) for a drainage area of 23,000 mi² and is the largest flood within the last 100 years or more. In the study reach, radiocarbon dating was not performed because all slackwater deposits were historical in age based on seeds of Russian thistle (a historically introduced plant) present in the deposits and burial of historical features by the slackwater sediment.

On the Escalante River, Webb et al. (1988) found evidence for paleofloods that were 5 to 7 times larger than the largest historical flood, which was measured at ~500 m³/s (17,655 ft³/s). Two separate sites were investigated and are located 3.2 and 7.8 km downstream from the USGS gaging station no. 09337500, Escalante River near Escalante, Utah. Paleofloods were documented at the two sites within the last 2100 years, with extreme floods recorded between 1100 and 980 yr BP and between 600 and 400 yr BP. The largest food in the paleoflood record had a modeled peak discharge of 720 m³/s (~25,420 ft³/s), which is within the range of the largest floods for similar sized basins on the Colorado Plateau and plots near the regional envelope curve developed in the study. Flood deposits younger than 300 years were also identified in the slackwater deposits and matched to anecdotal accounts and gage measurements of historic floods in 1909, 1916, 1927, and 1932. Incorporation of the peak discharge calculations for the historic floods into the flood frequency analysis increase the magnitude of the 100-year flood from 193 m³/s (6,815 ft³/s) to 480-630 m³/s (16,949-22,245 ft³/s).

The Paria River was investigated by Webb et al. (2002) at Bonza Alcove, located in a bedrock canyon that is about 15-30 km downstream from the Arizona-Utah border. Extreme paleofloods are documented in the slackwater deposits preserved at Bonza Alcove and range in age from 10,400 BC to prehistoric. Peak discharges calculated by step-backwater modeling range from 1,200-2,400 m³/s (~42,400-84,750 ft³/s), which are much greater than peak discharges recorded in the systematic record, the largest of which was 456 m³/s (16,103 ft³/s) (USGS gaging station no. 09382000, Paria River at Lees Ferry, Arizona). Flood frequency analyses were also performed for this study; results indicate that the addition of paleofloods does not change the estimates for extreme floods on the Paria River but does reduce the uncertainty for those estimates by narrowing the confidence intervals at the longer recurrence intervals.



Figure 1. Location map of study sites in the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB). Purple rectangles indicate paleoflood data developed and/or utilized for this project.

4.0 Results

Results from this project focus on report results from paleoflood studies on the lower Green River and compiling paleoflood chronologies from tributaries to the Colorado River and the main stem Colorado River to investigate linkages between extreme floods and climate variability in the paleoflood and paleoclimate record. On the Green River in Stillwater Canyon, Liu et al. (in press) described 9 stratigraphic sequences of slackwater deposits at six sites along a 35 km long reach from Deadhorse Canyon to the confluence with the Colorado River (Appendix A). Using the stage of the slackwater deposits and 2-dimensional hydraulic modeling (SHR-2D); (Lai 2009), they documented at least 14 paleofloods in the last 700 years with magnitudes greater than 2 times the largest historical gaged flow of 1,929 m³/s (68,113 ft³/s). The largest paleoflood has a minimum discharge of 7500 m³/s (264,825 ft³/s). This indicates that extreme floods occur on a much more frequent basis than the systematic record would suggest. Multiple methods were used to generate flood frequency curves, including Bulletin 17C (England, et al. 2018), Bulletin 17B (IACWD 1982), the log normal distribution (Chow, Maidment and Mays 1988), the self-similar model (Kidson and Richards 2005); (Malamud and Turcotte 2006) and the regional regression equation (Kenney, Wilkowske and Wright 2007). A test using the systematic gage record without the paleoflood data shows that the flood frequency analysis severely overestimates the return period of extreme floods is much shorter.

The Upper Colorado River Basin was also investigated during this study to understand how extreme floods are associated with climate patterns in the paleorecord (Appendix B). Liu et al. (in press) compared the occurrence of extreme floods in the paleoflood record to paleoclimate records of climate variability in the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) and from previous studies, the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB; (Ely, et al. 1993); (Ely 1997); (Harden, Macklin and Baker 2010) to highlight linkages between climate patterns and extreme floods in the paleoflood record and postulate the hydroclimatology of the extreme flood events. For the paleoflood records, they utilized 77 geochronological ages (39 radiocarbon, ¹⁴C and 38 OSL) and the cumulative probability density functions of the calibrated ages to derive a flood chronology. Five flood episodes were identified within the last 8,000 years BP and are as follows: 8040-7790, 3600-3640, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 years BP. The distribution of ages is skewed? toward the younger flood periods because of the greater likelihood of preservation of younger flood deposits. Paleoclimate records of precipitation variations were utilized to provide information on wet/dry periods during the Holocene (<10ka). They include: (1) δ^{18} records from alpine lakes (Yellow, Bison and San Luis Lakes) in the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Anderson, 2011; Anderson, 2012; Yuan et al., 2013); (2) high resolution δ^{18} records from Pink Panther Cave in the Guadalupe Mountains, New Mexico (Asmerom et al., 2007) and (3) Holocene ENSO variability derived from El Nino frequency from Ecuador and the Galapagos Islands (Moy et al., 2002; Conroy et al., 2008). The following patterns were identified:

- 10500-6700 years BP: prevailing dry period with arid conditions, punctuated by a brief wet period of increased probability of extreme flood episodes around 8000 years BP.
- 6700-~2800 years BP: stable wet period with a low probability of extreme flood episodes, except for two weak flood episodes defined (3600-3460 years BP, 2880-2740 years BP) in the UCRB, and several high probability extreme flood episodes in the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB).
- ~2800-~2300 years BP: dry conditions in the southern part of the UCRB and wet conditions in the northern part of the UCRB with a low probability of extreme flood episodes in the entire Colorado River Basin

- ~2300-620 years BP: overall dry conditions with megadrought conditions during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA, 1150-700 years BP). Increasing ENSO dominance and negative excursions in the δ^{18} values suggest that some locations in the UCRB may have returned to wetter conditions. This period has a high probability of extreme flood episodes in both the UCRB from 2330-700 years BP and in the LCRB.
- Post-620 years BP: dry conditions with a slight increase in winter precipitation during the Little Ice Age (LIA, ~350-200 years BP). This period coincides with a high probability of extreme flood episodes in both the LCRB and UCRB (620-0 years BP).

The authors attribute the periods of extreme floods in the UCRB to an increased intensity of storms from the North Pacific that are associated with enhanced ENSO variability. The extreme floods appear to happen less frequently in more stable wet periods or stable dry periods. This may happen because the precipitation in the wet periods occurs in the winter and does not experience rapid melting or rain-on-snow events that would produce large floods in the basin and because in the dry periods the Pacific airstream may be located further to the north in the UCRB.

In April 2018, a field reconnaissance trip was completed to further identify slackwater deposits on the mainstem Colorado River between Glen Canyon Dam and Lake Mead that could be utilized to develop a paleoflood chronology to complement the work performed in the 1990s at Axehandle Alcove (Appendix C) (O'Connor, et al. 1994) (Figure 2). An abundance of slackwater deposits was found during the reconnaissance trip, some of which were located at a higher elevation than those investigated at Axehandle Alcove. Preliminary modeling of the slackwater deposits is larger than peak discharge calculated for the Axehandle Alcove site and comparable to the PMF peak discharge calculated for Glen Canyon Dam (~700,000 ft³/s (~20,000 m³/s); (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 1990).



Figure 2. Location map of paleoflood sites in the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB). Purple rectangles indicate paleoflood data developed and/or utilized for this project.

Although Reclamation has completed investigations on many tributaries to the Upper Colorado River, much of the data developed in these investigations are in the form of non-exceedance bounds. The non-exceedance methodology utilizes stream terraces to estimate an upper limit to the magnitude of floods over specific time intervals (Levish 2002). The ages and discharges are defined in a similar manner to paleoflood estimates; however, the non-exceedance bounds do not represent a record of extreme floods but only an upper limit based on the presence of stable soils. A few paleofloods are estimated that could be applied to the analysis in this project. These include estimates on the Big Sandy River, Blue River, Currant Creek, Red Creek and Taylor River (Table 1). Radiocarbon ages from flood deposits along these rivers are from charcoal that dates within the last ~2,000 years. Except for sample no. TRCR5-1, the ages plot within the periods of high probability for extreme flood episodes based on the work from this study. It is important to consider that the locations selected for Reclamation studies are based on proximity to the dam; the main priority is to accurately depict the flood hazard from the contributing drainage area at the dam. The reaches for these studies are not always ideal for the preservation of slackwater deposits; reaches in different parts of the watersheds could potentially be used to locate and describe slackwater deposits, and to develop an extreme flood history for tributaries in the UCRB.

Sample no.	River name	Radiocarbon age (BP)	Calibrated age (Cal yr BP)	Material
BSC5-1ROS	Big Sandy River ¹	150 ± 30	285-0	Rosaceae
BSC5-5ROS	Big Sandy River ¹	140 ± 30	285-0	Rosaceae
BR4-1	Blue River ²	160 ± 20	290-0	Salicaceae
CC3-1QU	Currant Creek ³	430 ± 30	530-330	Quercus
RC3-4JUN	Red Creek ³	400 ± 40	520-320	Juniper
TRCR2-4CO	Taylor River ⁴	2230 ± 30	2335-2150	Conifer
TRCR5-1	Taylor River ⁴	826 ± 22	840-745	Pinus
TRCR5-7	Taylor River ⁴	1708 ± 22	1760-1600	Populus

Table 1. Radiocarbon ages of flood deposits from Reclamation studies.

Notes: ¹Godaire and Hilldale 2016; ²Godaire and Bauer 2011; ³Klawon et al. 2006; ⁴Godaire et al. 2017

5.0 Conclusions

This project utilizes methods in paleoflood hydrology to extend the record of extreme floods several thousand years beyond the systematic gage record. Paleoflood reconstructions on the lower Green River and mainstem Colorado River demonstrate that extreme floods in the UCRB are more frequent and of greater magnitude than is predicted by the systematic gage record alone. The use of paleoflood data in flood frequency analysis assists in refining estimates for the

100-year flood and for floods of longer return periods, in addition to reducing the uncertainty at longer return periods. As more paleoflood data are developed in the UCRB, linkages between climate variability and timing of extreme floods can be further explored. Data compilation and comparison of existing paleoflood age estimates and paleoclimate data indicates that extreme floods have happened in both wet and dry periods and are mainly associated with increased intensity of North Pacific storm tracks that bring moisture into the UCRB during phases of enhanced ENSO variability.

6.0 Recommendations for Further Work

The analyses and conclusions of this study are limited by the small number of paleoflood sites in major tributaries of the Upper Colorado River Basin and the corresponding small sample number of geochronological ages used to support the conclusions. Locations that specifically focus on reaches that preserve slackwater deposits in the upper basin would further refine the paleoflood history of the Upper Colorado River Basin and our understanding of flood generating mechanisms and climate patterns in a long-term context. Factors to consider in identifying future river reaches for research include the preservation potential and existence of slackwater deposits, basin areas and locations, stream gage data availability, channel constraints for modeling and assumptions of vertical and lateral stability, as well as the availability of existing information. The most useful locations to gather additional data, given that there are suitable reaches, include the Gunnison River, Dirty Devil River, mainstem Colorado River above the Green River (additional reach would help to corroborate data on Colorado River near Moab), and the San Juan River (locate slackwater stratigraphy with longer records).

A critical piece in the paleoflood hydrology of the Upper Colorado River system is to complete the work on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon that was initiated during this study. This reach is important because it includes all the drainage area for the major dams and water supply for the Colorado River for the states of Arizona and California. These sites record the natural variability in flooding for the entire UCRB. Based on previous research by O'Connor et al. (1994), several thousand years of flood records are preserved in this reach. In addition, the gage record at Lees Ferry is one of the longest in the western U.S. and thus is a great data source for the flood frequency analysis. Previous work was limited in extent and could benefit greatly from a more detailed investigation of the flood deposits.

7.0 References

- Arnold, J.R., and W. F. Libby. 1949. "Age determinations by Radiocarbon content: checks with samples of known age." *Science* 110 (2869): 678-680.
- Baker, V. R. 2008. "Paleoflood hydrology: Origin, progress, prospects." *Geomorphology* 101 (1-2): 1-13.
- Baker, V.R. 1987. "Paleoflood hydrology and extreme flood events." *Journal of Hydrology* 96: 79-99.
- Baker, V.R., R. C. Kochel, and P. C. Patton. 1979. "Long-term flood-frequency analysis using geologic data." *International Association of Hydrological Science Publication* 128: 3-9.
- Baker, Victor R., and John E. Costa. 1987. "Flood Power." In *Catastrophic Flooding*, by L. Mayer and D. Nash, 1-21. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Blainey, J.B., R. H. Webb, M. E. Moss, and V. R. Baker. 2002. "Bias and information content of paleoflood data in flood-frequency analysis." In *Ancient Floods, Modern Hazards*, by P.K. House, R. H. Webb, V. R. Baker and D. R. Levish, 161-174. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.
- Bowman, S. 1990. Radiocarbon Dating. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Chow, V.T., D. R. Maidment, and L. W. Mays. 1988. *Applied Hydrology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cline, M.L. 2010. Extreme Flooding in the Dolores River Basin, Colorado and Utah: Insights from Paleofloods, Geochronology and Hydroclimate Analysis. PhD Thesis, Tucson: University of Arizona.
- Cohn, T.A., W. L. Lane, and W. G. Baier. 1997. "An algorithm for computing moments-based flood quantile estimates when historical flood information is available." *Water Resources Research* 33: 2089-2096.
- Ely, L. L. 1997. "Response of extreme floods in the southwestern United States to climatic variations in the late Holocene." *Geomorphology* 19 (3-4): 175-201.
- Ely, L.L., Y. Enzel, V. R. Baker, and D. R. Cayan. 1993. "A 5000-year record of extreme floods and climate change in the Southwestern United States." *Science* 262: 410-412.
- England, J.F., Jr., T. A. Cohn, B. A. Faber, J. R. Stedinger, J. O. Thomas, A. G. Vielleux, J. E. Kiang, and R. R. Mason. 2018. *Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency--Bulletin 17C*. Techniques and Methods, book 4, chap. B5, Reston: U.S. Geological Survey, 148.
- Enzel, Yehouda, Lisa L. Ely, P. Kyle House, Victor R. Baker, and Robert H. Webb. 1993.
 "Paleoflood evidence for a natural upper bound to flood magnitudes in the Upper Colorado River Basin." *Water Resources Research* 2287-2297.

- Godaire, J.E., and R. H. Hilldale. 2016. Paleoflood Study, Big Sandy River near Big Sandy Dam, Wyoming. Technical Memorandum No. 85-833000-2016-04, Denver: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.
- Godaire, J.E., and T. R. Bauer. 2010. Paleoflood Study of Blue River near Green Mountain Dam, Colorado. Technical Memorandum No. 86-68330-2010-17, Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Godaire, Jeanne E., Tessa Harden, T. R. Bauer, E. Gordon, and R. E. Klinger. 2016. Paleoflood Study, Taylor River near Taylor Park Dam, Colorado. Technical Memoradum No. 85-833000-2016-08, Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Greenbaum, N., T. M. Harden, V. R. Baker, J. Weisheit, M. L. Cline, N. Porat, R. Halevi, and J. Dohrenwend. 2014. "A 2000-year natural record of magnitudes and frequencies for the largest Upper Colorado River floods near Moab, Utah." *Water Resources Research* 50 (6): 5249-5269.
- Griffis, V.W., J. R. Stedinger, and T. A. Cohn. 2004. "Log Pearson type 3 quantile estimators with regional skew information and low outlier adjustments." *Water Resources Research* 40 (7).
- Harden, T.M., M. G. Macklin, and V. R. Baker. 2010. "Holocene flood histories in southwestern USA." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 35: 707-716.
- Harden, Tessa M., Jim E. O'Connor, and Daniel G. Driscoll. 2015. "Late Holocene flood probabilities in the Black Hills, South Dakota with emphasis on the Medieval Climate Anomaly." *Catena* 130: 62-68.
- Hydraulic Engineering Center. 2015. *HEC-RAS River Analysis System 2D Modeling User's Manual Version 5.0.* Davis: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- IACWD. 1982. *Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin 17B.* Technical report, Interagency Committee on Water Data, Hydrology Subcommittee.
- IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II, and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Synthesis Report, Geneva: IPCC, 104.
- Kenney, T.A., C. D. Wilkowske, and S. J. Wright. 2007. Methods for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Peak Flows for Natural Streams in Utah. Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5158, U.S. Geological Survey, 28.
- Kidson, R., and K. S. Richards. 2005. "Flood frequency analysis: assumptions and alternatives." *Progress in Physical Geography* 29: 392-410.
- Klawon, J.E., T. R. Bauer, and R. E. Klinger. 2006. *Development of a Regional Paleoflood Approach*. Technical Memorandum, Denver: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

- Klinger, R.E., and T. R. Bauer. 2005. *Paleoflood Study on the Uncompany River near Ridgway Dam, Colorado.* Technical Memorandum, Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Klinger, R.K., and T. R. Bauer. 2007. *Paleoflood study on the Cimarron River near Silver Jack Dam*. Technical Memorandum, Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Klinger, R.K., and T. R. Bauer. 2007. *Paleoflood study on the Gunnison River, Colorado*. Technical Memorandum, Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Kochel, R.C., P. C. Patton, and V. R. Baker. 1982. "Paleohydrology of southwestern Texas." *Water Resources Research* 18: 1165-1183.
- Lai, Yong. 2009. "Two-Dimensional Depth-Averaged Flow Modeling with an Unstructured Hybrid Mesh." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* 12-23.
- Levish, D.R. 2002. "Paleohydrologic Bounds--Non-Exceedance Information for Flood Hazard Assessments." In Ancient Floods, Modern Hazards, by P.K. House, R. H. Webb, V. R. Baker and D. R. Levish, 175-190. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.
- Malamud, B.D., and D. L. Turcotte. 2006. "The applicability of power-law frequency statistics to floods." *Journal of Hydrology* 322: 168-180.
- O'Connell, D.R.H., D. A. Ostenaa, D. R. Levish, and R. E. Klinger. 2002. "Bayesian flood frequency analysis with paleohydrologic bound data." *Water Resources Research* 38 (5): 16-1-16-13.
- O'Connor, J.E., L. L. Ely, E. E. Wohl, L. E. Stevens, T. S. Melis, V. S. Kale, and V. R. Baker. 1994. "A 4500-year record of large floods on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona." *Journal of Geology* 102: 1-9.
- Orchard, K.L. 2001. *Paleoflood hydrology of the San Juan River, southeastern Utah.* MS Thesis, Tucson: University of Arizona.
- Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, G. K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley. 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 1990. Colorado River Basin, Probable Maximum Floods, Hoover and Glen Canyon Dams. Denver: Bureau of Reclamation.
- Wallinga, J. 2008. "Optically stimulated luminescence dating of fluvial deposits: a review." *BOREAS* 31 (4): 303-322.
- Webb, R.H. 1985. *Late Holocene Flooding on the Escalante River, south-central Utah.* PhD Thesis, Tucson: University of Arizona.

- Webb, R.H., J. B. Blainey, and D. W. Hyndman. 2002. "Paleoflood Hydrology of the Paria River, Southern Utah and Northern Arizona." In *Ancient Floods, Modern Hazards*, by P.K. House, R. H. Webb, V. R. Baker and D. R. Levish, 295-310. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.
- Webb, R.H., J. E. O'Connor, and V. R. Baker. 1988. "Paleohydrologic Reconstruction of Flood Frequency on the Escalante River, south-central Utah." In *Flood Geomorphology*, by V. R. Baker, R. C. Kochel and P. C. Patton, 403-418. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Appendix A – Paleoflood Hydrology on the lower Green River, Upper Colorado River Basin, USA: An Example of a Naturalist Approach to Flood-Risk Analysis Hydrology + OA Mirror

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: HYDROL31884

Title: Paleoflood Hydrology on the lower Green River, upper Colorado River Basin, USA: An Example of a Naturalist Approach to Flood-Risk Analysis

Article Type: Research paper

Keywords: Paleoflood hydrology; Flood-Risk Analysis; Naturalist Approach; Green River; upper Colorado River Basin

Corresponding Author: Dr. Tao Liu, Dr.

Corresponding Author's Institution: The University of Arizona

First Author: Tao Liu, Dr.

Order of Authors: Tao Liu, Dr.; Noam Greenbaum; Victor R Baker; Lin ji; Jill Onken; John Weisheit; Naomi Porat; Tammy Rittenour

Abstract: Through a comprehensive paleoflood hydrological investigation we document natural evidence for at least 27 high-magnitude paleofloods at six sites on the Lower Green River, Utah. Hydraulic analysis, using the Sedimentation and River Hydraulic-2D model (SRH-2D), shows that the responsible peak paleoflood discharges ranged between 500 and 7500 m3/s. At least 14 of these paleoflood discharge peaks exceed a level twice that of the maximum systematic record of gauged flows: 1929 m3/s. Geochronological analyses, employing optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon dating techniques, demonstrate that these 14 largest paleoflood peaks occurred during the past 700 years. Integration of the paleoflood data into flood frequency analyses (FFA) reveals considerably higher values for the upper tails of the flood distribution than does a FFA based solely on the systematic gauged record, indicating that extreme floods are larger and more frequent than implied by the relatively short gauged record. Through examination of three approaches to extreme flood estimation - conventional FFA, probable maximum flood estimation (PMF), and paleoflood hydrology (PFH) - we show the significance of the natural evidence for advancing scientific understanding of extreme floods that naturally occur in the Colorado River system. We argue that this kind of scientific understanding is absolutely essential for achieving a credible evaluation of extreme flood risk in a watershed of immense importance to economic prosperity of the southwestern U.S.

Suggested Reviewers: Gerardo Benito benito@mncn.csic.es

Daryl Lam r.lam@uq.edu.au

Jim E O'Connor oconnor@usgs.gov Willem Toonen willem.toonen@kuleuven.be

Scott St. George stgeorge@umn.edu

Tessa Harden tharden@usgs.gov



Abstract

Through a comprehensive paleoflood hydrological investigation we document natural evidence for at least 27 high-magnitude paleofloods at six sites on the Lower Green River, Utah. Hydraulic analysis, using the Sedimentation and River Hydraulic-2D model (SRH-2D), shows that the responsible peak paleoflood discharges ranged between 500 and 7500 m^3 /s. At least 14 of these paleoflood discharge peaks exceed a level twice that of the maximum systematic record of gauged flows: 1929 m³/s. Geochronological analyses, employing optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon dating techniques, demonstrate that these 14 largest paleoflood peaks occurred during the past 700 years. Integration of the paleoflood data into flood frequency analyses (FFA) reveals considerably higher values for the upper tails of the flood distribution than does a FFA based solely on the systematic gauged record, indicating that extreme floods are larger and more frequent than implied by the relatively short gauged record. Through examination of three approaches to extreme flood estimation – conventional FFA, probable maximum flood estimation (PMF), and paleoflood hydrology (PFH) - we show the significance of the natural evidence for advancing scientific understanding of extreme floods that naturally occur in the Colorado River system. We argue that this kind of scientific understanding is absolutely essential for achieving a credible evaluation of extreme flood risk in a watershed of immense importance to economic prosperity of the southwestern U.S.

*Highlights (3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters including spaces per bullet point)

High Lights:

- A comprehensive paleoflood investigation on the lower Green River, Utah.
- At least 27 extreme floods in the past 700 years were retrieved.
- Extreme floods are larger and more frequent than implied by gauged records.
- A truly scientific understanding of extreme floods can only emerge from nature.

*Manuscript (double-spaced and continuously LINE and PAGE numbered) Click here to view linked References

1	Paleoflood Hydrology on the lower Green River, upper Colorado River Basin, USA:
2	An Example of a Naturalist Approach to Flood-Risk Analysis
3	Tao Liu ^{1*} , Noam Greenbaum ² , Victor R. Baker ^{1*} , Lin Ji ¹ , Jill Onken ³ , John Weisheit ⁴ ,
4	Naomi Porat ⁵ , Tammy Rittenour ⁶
5	¹ Department of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA 85721-0011
7	² Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel.
8	³ Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, USA.
9	⁴ Living Rivers, Moab, Utah.
10	⁵ Laboratory of Luminescence Dating, Geological Survey of Israel, Jerusalem, Israel.
11	⁶ Department of Geology, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, USA.
12	
13	Corresponding author: Tao Liu (liutao@email.arizona.edu) and Victor R. Baker
14	(baker@email.arizona.edu)

15 Abstract

Through a comprehensive paleoflood hydrological investigation we document natural evidence 16 for at least 27 high-magnitude paleofloods at six sites on the Lower Green River, Utah. 17 Hydraulic analysis, using the Sedimentation and River Hydraulic-2D model (SRH-2D), shows 18 that the responsible peak paleoflood discharges ranged between 500 and 7500 m^3 /s. At least 14 19 20 of these paleoflood discharge peaks exceed a level twice that of the maximum systematic record of gauged flows: 1929 m³/s. Geochronological analyses, employing optically stimulated 21 luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon dating techniques, demonstrate that these 14 largest 22 23 paleoflood peaks occurred during the past 700 years. Integration of the paleoflood data into flood frequency analyses (FFA) reveals considerably higher values for the upper tails of the flood 24 distribution than does a FFA based solely on the systematic gauged record, indicating that 25 extreme floods are larger and more frequent than implied by the relatively short gauged record. 26 Through examination of three approaches to extreme flood estimation – conventional FFA, 27 probable maximum flood estimation (PMF), and paleoflood hydrology (PFH) – we show the 28 significance of the natural evidence for advancing scientific understanding of extreme floods that 29 naturally occur in the Colorado River system. We argue that this kind of scientific understanding 30 31 is absolutely essential for achieving a credible evaluation of extreme flood risk in a watershed of immense importance to economic prosperity of the southwestern U.S. 32

33 Keywords:

Paleoflood hydrology; Flood-Risk Analysis; Naturalist Approach; Green River; upper Colorado
 River Basin

36

37 **1 Introduction**

38 1.1 Background/Problem:

Floods result in many of the most frequent and costly water-related natural disasters 39 worldwide. Their global impacts include losses of life and billions of dollars in financial 40 41 damages. Over the past century, national stream gauging networks were established in many countries to provide systematic and quantitative data on streamflow, including flooding. To make 42 effective use of the resulting accumulation of hydrological and meteorological observations two 43 primary methodologies were developed, mainly in the engineering community: flood-frequency 44 analysis (FFA) and probable maximum flood (PMF) estimation, (National Environment 45 Research Council, 1999; SL44-2006). 46

47 Conventional FFA combines systematical records with statistical/mathematical theories to provide actionable information for flood risk assessment. This is conducted by fitting 48 49 functions to peak annual discharges obtained from gauged records for a drainage basin. Extrapolations are made from what is usually a very short instrumental flood record of relatively 50 small flood peaks in order to estimate flood extremes that may have very long return periods. In 51 other words, extreme flood estimates are based on the statistical properties of the relatively 52 frequent, small-scale flooding that is most commonly represented in gauge records. It therefore is 53 54 a matter of assumption that this record can be reliably extrapolated upscale to predict the magnitudes of unknown rare, extremes. A key FFA assumption is that the flood peaks are 55 independently, identically distributed (iid), because this "iid" criterion is a necessity for 56 achieving valid statistical inferences (Kesiel, 1969). However, in areas of high flood variability, 57 58 such as the southwestern U.S., peak flood series are commonly mixed distributions, such that the most extreme flood peaks are generated by very different meteorological phenomena than are the 59

less extreme peaks (Hirschboeck, 1998). Though these and other shortcomings have been
obvious for decades (Klemes, 1996), conventional FFA continues to be utilized as a matter of
standard practice, often in ignorance that opportunities may be available to overcome
shortcomings in regard to making credible extrapolations to flood extremes.

PMF procedures, like FFA, can be lacking in credibility. These procedures employ hydrological models that may embody highly problematic presumptions, particularly in regard to conditions representing the most extreme flood-generating parameters. By definition, PMF modeling predicts the most extreme flood peak that could conceivably occur at a particular location, i.e., a prediction of something at the absolute limit of what theoretically is supposed to occur. Were an exceedance of such flooding actually to occur, of course, the model would thereby, be falsified by an act of nature.

71 As a matter of logical inference, PMF procedures are largely deductive; they can indeed 72 yield true conclusions, but only if the assumptions made are indeed true to reality. In contrast, 73 FFA procedures are largely an inductive, in that various statistical methods are employed to 74 generalize from data and address associated uncertainties. While these approaches have long 75 applied engineering traditions in both empirical and theoretical hydrology, they also have limitations in regard to hydrology viewed as a complete scientific discipline (Baker, 2017). This 76 77 paper employs a third mode of reasoning, abduction, which works in concert with both deduction 78 and induction to generate enhanced understanding of the nature of extreme floods (Baker, 1996, 1998). 79

80 1.2 Paleoflood hydrology

Paleoflood hydrology (PFH) relies on the identification of physical evidence of past flood
phenomena, including flood slackwater deposits and related paleostage indicators (SWD-PSIs)

A-009

83	that serve as high-water marks (HWMs) (Baker, 1987). SWD-PSIs are used to determine the
84	associated flood magnitudes through the application of hydraulic principles (Baker, 2008; Benito
85	and O'Connor, 2013). PFH results can provide both (1) a sound foundation for flood-frequency
86	analysis (Costa, 1978; Baker et al., 1979, 2002; Stedinger and Baker, 1987) and (2) hydrological
87	model improvements (England et al., 2014). PFH also provides real-world flood data with which
88	to inform the search for flood-climate linkages in a broad context, as global and regional
89	atmospheric circulation patterns and processes drive changing flood-generating meteorological
90	elements over long time scales (Ely et al., 1993; Knox, 2000; Benito et al., 2003, 2015; Macklin,
91	2006; Huang et al., 2007; Harden et al., 2010; Merz et al., 2014; Toonen et al., 2017).
92	PFH was embraced for both scientific and engineering applications worldwide after early
93	programs of paleoflood investigation were initiated in central Texas during the 1970s (Baker,
94	1975; Patton and Baker, 1977) and subsequent paleoflood studies were accomplished in the
95	broader Southwestern U.S. (Patton and Dibble, 1982; Kochel et al., 1982; Ely and Baker, 1985;
96	Webb et al., 1988; Jarrett, 1990; O'Connor et al., 1994; Ostenaa et al., 1996), and then in other
97	parts of the North America (Knox, 1985, 1993, 2000; Springer and Kite, 1997; Brown et al.,
98	2000; Saint-Laurent et al., 2001; O'Connor et al., 2003). Applications outside of the U.S. include
99	Australia (Pickup et al., 1988), Spain (Benito et al., 2003), France (Sheffer et al. 2008), China
100	(Huang et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014), Japan (Jones et al., 2001), India (Kale et al., 1997),
101	Thailand (Kidson et al., 2005), and Israel (Wohl et al., 1994; Greenbaum et al., 2000; 2006).
102	The Colorado River is the most important river in the southwestern U.S., providing water
103	for municipal drinking water, agriculture irrigation systems, and hydropower needs for more than
104	35 million people in seven states. Extreme flooding along this river would also cause massive
105	disasters along floodplains and bring about severe damage to infrastructure and high economic

A-010

106 costs, including the potential loss of major dams that are critical to the economy of the entire 107 region. A large number of paleoflood investigations have been conducted in the lower Colorado 108 River and its tributaries (e.g., Ely and Baker, 1985; Partridge and Baker, 1987; Fuller, 1987; Enzel et al., 1994; Webb et al., 1988; O'Connor et al., 1994). These studies and subsequent 109 110 syntheses (Enzel et al., 1993; Harden et al., 2010) provide for robust knowledge of real-world 111 floods that have actually occurred over the last several thousand years. In contrast, there have 112 been relatively few paleoflood studies conducted in the upper Colorado River Basin, where more than 95% of the Colorado River's discharge originates (Blinn and Poff, 2005). An important 113 114 exception is the study by Greenbaum et al., (2014) which found natural evidence for 44 extreme floods occurring during the last 2000 years on the upper Colorado River, near Moab, Utah. Two 115 of these paleofloods exceeded the PMF of 8500 m3/s, and the whole assemblage of largest 116 117 paleoflood peaks was found to be more frequent than could be estimated on the basis of the 118 systematic gauge data alone. Given this example of combining long-term paleoflood records 119 with high spatial-temporal resolution systematic observations, and their linkages to climate 120 change, we hope further to advance understanding extreme flood generation mechanism and 121 improve upon estimates for the occurrences and magnitudes of future extreme flooding in the 122 upper Colorado River Basin.

In this study, we present the results of investigations along the Stillwater Canyon section of the lower Green River. We document the paleoflood events of the last 700 years, using SWD-PSIs and 2D hydraulic modeling to estimate the associated peak discharges. We then apply these new paleoflood data in FFA using different methodologies. The results are then discussed in terms of their great potential for gaining understanding of nature of extreme flood events and their linkages with climatic changes in the upper Colorado River Basin.

129 2 Study area

The Green River is a chief tributary of the upper Colorado River. It is 1,170 km long and 130 has a drainage area of 124,600 km² that includes parts of Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado (Figure 131 132 1). It contributes nearly half of the total annual flow to the Colorado River at the confluence. Heading in the Wind River Range of Wyoming, the Green River receives tributary flows from 133 western Colorado, then flows south through the Uinta Mountains and the Uinta Basin of Utah, 134 135 finally traversing a long series of canyons before joining the main stem of the Colorado River in 136 south-central Utah. In its lower reaches from the town of Green River UT to the junction with the 137 Colorado River, the Green River meanders through steep, stable sandstone bedrock canyons (Cashion, 1967), Labyrinth and Stillwater, where gradients approach 0.1 m/km. The Green River 138 139 joins the Colorado River roughly 63 km downstream of Moab, UT.

Figure 1. Green River Basin including the large tributaries, USGS gauging station, and the
study reach.

142 Precipitation in the upper Green River Basin can exceed 1000 mm water equivalent per 143 year, with most of this generally occurring in the form of winter snow. In contrast, the lower 144 Green River Basin has a semiarid climate characterized by cold winters and hot, dry summers. NOAA COOP station (No. 421163) in Canyonlands National Park (1965-2018, Western 145 146 Regional Climate Center, 2013) indicates annual mean temperature is 5.78 °C with the maximum 147 monthly mean temperature in July, varying between 18.8 and 32.6 °C, and the minimum monthly mean temperature in January, varying between -6.3 and 2.7 °C. Annual mean precipitation is 229 148 149 mm, ranging between 117 and 338 mm. This precipitation is attributed to 1) summer and fall convective storms coming from the Gulf of Mexico or the Gulf of California, 2) large-scale 150

cyclonic storms resulting from Pacific air masses in summer and fall, and 3) North Pacific frontal
storms in winter (Blinn and Poff, 2005).

The USGS gauging station on the Green River at Green River, Utah (No. 09315000) is 153 154 situated ca. 200 km upstream from the confluence of the Green and Colorado Rivers (Figure 1). The drainage area of the Green River at this location is 116,160 km², accounting for 93% of the 155 basin. The gauge has recorded the daily discharge rate since 1894 and is continuous except for 156 the period 1900-1904. At this station, the average annual discharge is $170.0 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ with a 157 maximum of 347.8 m^3 /s and minimum of 51.1 m^3 /s. The annual maximum gauged flood peaks 158 range from a low of 183.0 m³/s in 1934, to a high of 1928.6 m³/s in 1917 with an average of 159 789.5 m^3 /s (Figure 2). Besides the gaged record, there is no humanly recorded historical flood 160 record that we could find for the Green River. 161

Figure 2. Annual maximum peak discharges on the Green River at the USGS gauging station
Green River, Utah, 1894-2016.

This study involves six paleoflood study sites along Stillwater Canyon of the Lower Green River. As the name suggests, this is a canyon that is free of rapids (swift turbulent flow) where the river loops in sinuous curves bedrock meanders. The study sites are distributed unevenly along a 35-km long reach from the mouth of Dead Horse Canyon to the confluence with the Colorado River (Figure 3). The river channel averages about 250–350 m in width and flows within a ~120 m deep canyon with near vertical bedrock walls.

170 Figure 3. A map showing six study sites (DHC, RF, LS, HD, HB, and PC) on the Lower Green

- 171 *River (left), the stratigraphic illustrations showing the paleoflood slackwater deposit layers*
- 172 (black lines) and the river channel and valley dimensions of each stratigraphic section (middle),
and the cross-sections at each site (right), showing the range of extreme flood water surface
elevation.

175

176 **3 Methodologies**

177 3.1 Paleoflood Record Analysis

There are many techniques available for making inferences concerning the hydrological 178 parameters for past flood events, employing principles of geomorphology and related aspects of 179 180 Quaternary stratigraphy and sedimentology (Baker, 2008; England, 2010). The most accurate method involves slackwater deposits and paleostage indicators (SWD-PSI) in stable-boundary 181 182 fluvial reaches (Baker, 1987). Slackwater deposits are fine grained sediments, mainly sand, conveyed in suspension during highly energetic flood flows and deposited in areas of flow 183 separation that result in long-term preservation after the flood recession (Baker, 2008). During 184 our detailed field paleo-hydrological investigations layered sequences of slackwater deposits 185 were found at the six study sites along Stillwater Canyon of the lower Green River (Figure 3). 186 The paleoflood SWDs include between 7-11 flood deposits at most of the sections with one 187 188 section containing only two SWDs while another contained 30 SWDs. Sites are located up to 13.5 m above water level (a.w.l.). We exposed stratigraphic sections at each site, made detailed 189 190 descriptions of the flood SWDs, and sampled for OSL and radiocarbon dating. The sedimentary 191 units associated with paleoflood events, were identified using the well-established sedimentological criteria (Baker, 1987; Kochel and Baker, 1988; House et al., 2002; Benito and 192 O'Connor, 2013). 193

194

3.2 Paleoflood Age Determination

We employed two geochronology techniques, accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) 195 radiocarbon (¹⁴C) dating of charcoal and plant material and optically stimulated luminescence 196 (OSL) dating of quartz sand, to develop a robust paleoflood chronology. Radiocarbon dating is 197 198 the most widely used geochronology method in fluvial studies, and the analytical techniques have been highly refined over the past several decades. Three ¹⁴C samples were collected at two 199 200 of six sites to estimate ages of the SWDs. The samples were prepared and analyzed at the 201 Arizona AMS Lab at The University of Arizona, with calibration to calendar years (OXCAL 202 4.3), using the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013) and reported as two-sigma 203 calibrated age ranges (Table 2). Because of insufficient amounts of the organic matter needed for 204 radiocarbon dating, most of this study employed OSL dating. Twelve OSL samples were 205 submitted to the Dating Laboratory of the Israel Geological Survey in Jerusalem, and three were 206 analyzed at the Luminescence Lab at the Utah State University. We employed the latest single-207 aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) procedures for OSL dating of quartz sand (Murray and Wintle, 208 2000, 2003; Wintle and Murray, 2006). Dose-rate calculations were determined by chemical 209 analysis of the U, Th, K and Rb content using ICP-MS and ICP-AES techniques and conversion 210 factors from Guérin et al. (2011). The contribution of cosmic radiation to the dose rate was 211 calculated using sample depth, elevation, and latitude/longitude following Prescott and Hutton 212 (1994). Dose rates are calculated based on water content, sediment chemistry, and cosmic 213 contribution (Aitken and Xie, 1990; Aitken, 1998).

214 3.

3.3 Paleoflood hydraulic analysis

Paleoflood discharge estimation can be accomplished by methods ranging from simple
hydraulic formulae applied at a single cross-section to a variety of one-, two- or even threedimensional hydraulic modeling codes applied to high-resolution channel geometry data. For this

218 study, we used the two-dimensional hydraulic model SHR-2D (Sedimentation and River Hydraulics-2D, Lai, 2009) to estimate peak discharges for the paleofloods. Roughness values 219 were identified and delineated within zones for the modeled study reach. The Manning's n 220 221 values chosen for the hydraulic model are 0.028 for the channel and 0.045 for the banks, based 222 on observations made in the field and comparison to values previously published for a similar 223 reach of the upper Colorado River (Greenbaum et al., 2014). A sensitivity analysis was 224 performed to examine changes in hydraulic calculations response to the uncertainty of roughness 225 coefficient. Mesh cells chosen for the model in the river channel had an approximate size of 2-6 226 meters, but, where the canyon walls were more widely spaced, we increased the dimensions to between 6 and 8 meters. For areas of interest, especially those near the SWD sites, we employed 227 228 finer mesh cells to provide more detail. The 2D model results also displayed the water depth and 229 velocity distribution in the study reach, information of importance to understanding the depositional environment of SWDs. 230

231 The downstream boundary for each flow estimate was the normal depth. The location of 232 the downstream boundary was established far enough downstream so that any uncertainty in this 233 value would not affect model results in areas of interest. The model run was initiated from a dry condition and continued with a two second time step. The simulation time for each modeled flow 234 235 varied from 10-16 hours, in which time the incoming and outgoing flows and water surface 236 elevation at monitoring points stabilized. LiDAR data were used to develop the geometry of the 237 channel for the hydraulic model, and this provided a high-resolution Digital Elevation Model 238 (DEM) with a 0.5-meter grid spacing and ≤ 19.6 cm vertical accuracy.

We input successive discharges for the upstream boundary and obtained discharge-stage
(Q-S) relationships for each site. The paleoflood discharges were acquired from the resulting

241	rating curves, which were fitted based on the relevant Q-S relationships. Because the elevation of
242	a SWD is somewhat lower than the actual water surface during the flooding, the reconstructed
243	discharge is treated as the minimum value with an underestimation of 10-20% (Kochel et al.,
244	1982, Baker, 1987, Enzel et al., 1993).

The two main assumptions for discharge calculation are 1) that significant aggradation and/or degradation of the channel has not occurred during the time-span of the flooding represented by the SWDs; and 2) that significant scour and/or fill in the river channel has not occurred during large flood events (Baker et al., 1983). For the study reach, both assumptions are acceptable because the river loops in sinuous curves of sandstone bedrock over a stable rock bed, which indicates very little bed change during the late Holocene (the last several thousand years).

251 3.4 Flood Frequency Analysis (FFA)

252 The annual maximum flood series and a partial duration flood series (PDS) were 253 extracted from USGS gage 09315000 on the Green River at Green River, Utah. There are 117 254 annual peak flows (1895-1899, 1905-2016, Fig. 2). However, only the unregulated annual maximum series (1895-1899, 1905-1961) is used, which closely represents the natural flow on 255 256 the Green River. These 62 peaks were adjusted to the drainage area at the study site using the 257 method of Cudworth (1989). It is assumed that the ratio of the peak discharges at the two 258 locations is equal to the square root of their respective drainage areas, therefore the peak flows at 259 the study sites are larger than the USGS gage values by 4.9%. The largest seven paleoflood peak flows were incorporated into the FFA. 260

The FFA was conducted under the newly updated Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency Bulletin 17C (England, et al., 2018), which continues to fit the Log Pearson Type III (LP-III) distribution using the Expected Moments Algorithm (EMA) (Cohn et al., 1997,

2001; England et al., 2003, 2018) with the Multiple Grubbs-Beck test (MGBT) (Cohn et al., 264 265 2013; Lamontagne et al., 2013, 2015). EMA provides a direct fit of the LP-III distribution utilizing multiple types of at-site flood information including the systematic record, historical 266 floods as well as paleofloods, while adjusting for any potentially influential low floods (PILF), 267 missing values due to an incomplete record, or zero flood years. 268 269 We also employed the Bulletin 17B method (IACWD, 1982), the log normal distribution (Chow et al., 1988), the regional-regression equation (Kenney et al., 2007) and the self-similar 270 model (Kidson and Richards, 2005; Malamud and Turcotte, 2006) with the systematic gauged 271 peaks and the paleoflood data. 272 273 **4 Results** 4.1 Paleoflood slackwater deposits and chronology of the paleoflood events 274 Nine stratigraphic sequences of fine-grained flood deposits were found at the six study 275 276 sites located along a 35-km long reach of Stillwater Canyon of the lower Green River (Figure 3). Different slackwater depositional areas occurred in low-velocity flow environments during 277 278 flooding, accumulating on stable rock platforms and alluvial terraces during flood recession. 279 Suspended flood sediments were deposited and accumulated, and eventually experienced long-280 term preservation in these regions. Characteristics of the slackwater depositional environments of 281 the lower Green River paleoflood SWDs are summarized in Table 1. Figure 4. Particle tracings and water depths associated with sites of DHC, PC, HB, and HD on 282 283 the Lower Green River. Areas of slack-water deposition develop through combinations of flow direction, speed, and depth. For sites location see figure 3. 284

The 2D hydraulic model generated the paleoflood flow and velocity distributions in two 285 286 dimensions, indicating the slackwater depositional zones (Figure 4). The SWDs at the Dead 287 Horse Canyon site (DHC-1 and 2) are located in a low-velocity backwater area at the tributary 288 mouth, while SWDs at the High Driftwood (HD) site is located on a high-velocity site at a > 90289 degrees curve where the sediments are probably super-elevated. The entire paleoflood record for 290 the six sites consists of 68 paleoflood SWDs and two driftwood lines at the HD site. These two driftwood lines are located 5 m and 12 m a.w.l. They are composed of coarse driftwood and logs. 291 292 Since this is a multi-site record, it may well be that floods are presented at more than one sites. 293 The results of the AMS and OSL dating at the various sections indicate that all 70 units at six 294 sites fall in 680-140 a (or 940-110 a bracketing the error), which can be represented by the RF-1 295 and DHC-1 sections. The OSL ages (550 -190 a) of 26 SWD layers at the RF-1 site cover all units at the other five sites except for the DHC-1. The oldest unit at the DHC-1 section is $680 \pm$ 296 297 250 a. At least 27 paleoflood events are therefore considered to have occurred in the past 680 years (Table 2 and 3). 298

2994.2 Paleoflood hydrodynamics

The 2D hydraulic model was run for approximately 12 different discharge levels at each SWD site. These levels ranged upward from 50 m³/s, which is a flow just large enough to begin submerging the lowest SWDs at the six sites. Rating curves were then fitted based on the stimulated discharge-stage (Q-S) points following the equation:

$$304 \qquad \boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{C}(\boldsymbol{h} - \boldsymbol{e})^{\boldsymbol{\beta}},$$

where Q is the stimulated flow discharge for a certain height of the water surface, m³/s; h is the height of the water surface, m; e is the height of the lowest point of a particular crosssection, m; (h – e) is head or water depth, m; and β is the slope of the rating curve.

308	Water dynamic conditions are represented in the model output by particle tracings and
309	water depth near each SWD site on the study reach (Figure 4). The paleoflood peak discharges
310	were estimated using the rating curve equations combined with the relevant water stages (Figure
311	5 and Table 4). All the paleoflood peaks ranged between -20.4% and 7.2% when the Manning's
312	n value was adjusted by $\pm 25\%$ (Figure 5 and Table 4). The largest magnitude of paleoflood was
313	about 7499 m^3 /s (-9.2-3.0%) with a water stage of 13.50 meters above the river water level.
314	There are at least 14 paleofloods with magnitudes larger than twice the maximum systematic
315	gauged record of 1929 m^3/s .

Figure 5. Rating curves (solid lines) and corresponding results (dashed lines) for the 25% of

317 *Manning's n variation for six cross sections at the paleoflood sites on the Lower Green River.*

318 The sensitivity test shows an error of 3.0-20.4% can be introduced by the uncertainty of

319 Manning's n.

320 4.3 Flood Frequency Analysis (FFA)

The results of FFA based on various methods and flood series are shown in Figures 6-8 321 and Table 5-7. For the Bulletin 17C method, the annual peak flows including the systematic 322 record and paleofloods are all described by flow interval (QY,lower, QY,upper) and perception 323 thresholds (TY, lower, TY, upper) in Figure 6 and Table 5-6. The station skew was used in this 324 325 study. Expected quantiles for the interval floods are shown with 95% confidence limit in Figure 7a and b. Combining both the paleoflood data and systematic peaks, the FFA shows the largest 326 paleoflood (7499 m^3/s) has an AEP (annual exceedance probability) of 0.057% or a return period 327 of 1750 years, while the AEP and return period for the largest gaged flood (2023 m³/s) are 328 329 3.770% and 26.5 years (Fig. 7a). In contrast, the FFA using only the systematic peaks shows that the return period for the largest paleoflood is longer than 1,000,000 years, for the largest gaged 330

flood 68.5 years (Fig. 7b). The ratio of 95% confidence interval to the expected quantile (CI/EQ)
is used to illustrate the effects of change with the integration of paleoflood data (Fig. 7c).
Paleofloods increased both the expected quantiles and confidence intervals of the 25-year-flood
and the longer recurrence interval floods to various extents, while the CI/EQ was reduced by
20% for 25-year-flood, 27% for 50-year-flood, 24% for 100-year-flood, and 17% for 200-yearflood.

Figure 6. Graph showing approximate systematic peak discharge and paleoflood estimates, with 337 338 paleoflood exceedance thresholds, on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon reach. A 339 scale break is used to separate the gaging station data from the much longer paleoflood record. 340 Flood intervals for large floods in the paleoflood period are shown as red squares and black 341 vertical bars with caps that represent minimum peaks and an additional 20% of the minimum. Mean values of paleofloods threshold age data are plotted for simplicity. Perception threshold 342 ranges are shown as orange lines for the paleoflood period, blue lines for the systematic period, 343 344 and green lines for the discontinued period. The gray shaded areas represents: (1) floods of 345 unknown magnitude less than the perception thresholds for the paleoflood periods Tp, lower : (2) 346 the discontinued period Td, lower; (3) post-regulation floods after 1961.

347

Figure 7. Results of flood frequency analysis (FFA) using Expected Moments Algorithm (EMA)
with Multiple Grubbs-Beck Test (MGBT) on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon
reach, using (a) both systematic and paleoflood data; (b) systematic peaks only. The solid line is
the fitted log-Pearson Type III frequency curve and the dash lines are the 95% confidence limits.
Peak discharge estimates from the gage are shown as open circles; vertical bars represent
estimated data uncertainty for paleofloods; the solid black circle is the potentially influential low

flood (PILF) threshold as identified by the MGBT. Y-axis of the subplot (c), CI/EQ, is the ratio of
 confidence limits to expected quantiles.

356

Figure 8. Comparison of different techniques for flood frequency analysis (FFA) on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon reach, including systematic and paleoflood data. Subplots a-e include nine FFA curves using five techniques and all of them were synthesized in the subplot f. Annual exceedance probability (AEP), return period (T), and discharge (Q) for these curves are summarized in Table 7. The numbers refer to the discussion of each curve in the text and table.

Other flood probability models also showed a good fit with most data points (Fig. 8). 363 Curves 1, 3, 5, 7 were calculated using the Bulletin 17C, Bulletin 17B methods, a log-normal 364 distribution, and the self-similar model, combining paleoflood data with the systematic annual 365 maximum flood series (except that the partial duration flood series was used for the self-similar 366 model). Curves 2, 4, 6, 8 were calculated using the same approaches, but with only the 367 368 systematic peaks. Curve 9 employed the regional-regression equation. Relatively good 369 agreement is observed among the different FFA techniques for recurrence intervals of less than ten years. Two clusters appeared with increasing recurrence intervals. Curves 1, 3, 5, 7, and 8 370 occupied the first cluster, showing high upper tails. The low values were estimated by curves 2, 371 4, 6, and 9, which are limited to analysis of only the systematic peaks. Clearly, the paleoflood 372 data swing up the upper tails for the log P-III and lognormal distributions (Fig. 7a, b, and c). 373 However, curves 7 and 8 demonstrated that the expected quantiles correspond very closely with 374 a power-law distribution for recurrence interval larger than 25 years; they both were in good 375 agreement with paleoflood involved Bulletin 17C results (curve 1). 376

377 **5 Discussion and Conclusions**

378 The protection against extreme floods for large, high-hazard, water-related projects and 379 engineered systems, including high-level dams and nuclear power plants, is a long-standing 380 hydrological issue. Hydrologists developed two primary procedures for flood-protection 381 decision-making: (1) FFA, based on the statistical analysis of peak flood distributions, and (2) 382 probable maximum flood (PMF) calculations. Despite inherent difficulties and controversies 383 involving both methods, emerging since the 1960s (e.g., Yevjevich, 1968) or earlier, both 384 procedures have, nevertheless, been applied extensively and established either as engineering 385 standards or official guidance in the United States and many other countries (U.S. Water 386 Resources Council, 1977; National Environment Research Council, 1999; SL44-2006). 387 It has now been more than a century, since 1914, when the concept of a return period of a 388 flood event of a given magnitude or average recurrence interval was proposed by Fuller (1914), 389 and statistical techniques were introduced for the hydrological analysis of flood extremes. Early 390 on this procedure was known to require a sufficiently large number of flood events for statistical 391 validity such that the parameters met the requirement of being, independent, identically-392 distributed random variables (Kisiel, 1969). These requirements reflect fundamental assumptions 393 necessary for FFA that a specific magnitude of flood corresponds to a specific probability or 394 return period. The objective of FFA is to find this relationship, especially to predict the upper 395 tails for the relevant distributions (Klemeš, 2000). However, it is almost always, not possible to 396 collect statistically large enough samples to validly estimate the greatest extremes, even in the 397 U.S. where the hydrological gauging network has been established since late 19th century (U.S. Water Resources Council, 1988). The gauged data are always restricted to samples 398 399 overwhelming dominated by small and common floods, whereas data on extremely large, rare

floods are not captured in the instrumental data, making this assumption inadequate for making
valid statistical inferences. This circumstance inevitably leads to extrapolation from the existing
gauged data.

The incorporation of paleoflood data into FFA started in the late 1970s (Costa, 1978; 403 404 Baker et al., 1979). In a seminal study Stedinger and Cohn (1986) examined three methods for utilizing paleoflood information in flood-frequency analysis (see also Stedinger and Baker, 405 1987). Cohn et al. (1997) developed a method of expected moments algorithm (EMA) for 406 407 utilizing paleoflood information in FFA, and this procedure has become the basis for the newly published Bulletin 17C (England, et al., 2018). Frances (2001) showed how to include 408 409 paleoflood data in FFA using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) method. Lam et al. (2017) integrated paleoflood data into FFA using Bayesian Inference methods, thereby showing 410 411 a significant reduction in uncertainty for 100-yr flood estimation in subtropical Australia. 412 Multiple studies have focused on assessing the contribution of PFH to FFA improvement by 413 promoting the incorporation of paleoflood data. In these many studies, the utilization of 414 paleoflood information for FFA simply enriches the flood samples for the statistical manipulation. As Klemeš (1987, 1994) strongly asserted, "... much of FFA is just a part of small 415 416 sample theory in disguise, the term 'flood' being used merely as a name for numbers employed." PMF estimation employs both meteorological and hydrological approaches to calculate 417 418 the theoretically maximum flood for a basin of interest (WMO, 2009). The PMF for a given 419 basin is derived from the probable maximum precipitation (PMP), which is defined by American Meteorological Society as, "...the theoretically greatest depth of precipitation for a given 420 421 duration, that is physically possible over a particular drainage at a certain time of the year." This means the PMF method must assume that there is a natural upper limit to precipitation for a 422

423 given duration and area. Based on this assumption, the PMF can then be estimated to an upper 424 limit of flood magnitude by hydrological modeling that incorporates the most extreme 425 combination of hydrological conditions. However, it is difficult, if not impossible for the reality 426 of a changing world, to establish the validity of any assumed upper limit to either precipitation or 427 flooding. The established methods for PMP/PMF estimation are, necessarily based on known, 428 limited observations and the current state of knowledge, specific to the circumstances of time and place. However, in the real world both data and the intelligence with which to understand 429 430 things, i.e., science, are increasing (Jakob et al., 2009; Song et al., 2015). PMP/PMF estimates 431 are consequently observed to increase as more sophisticated meteorological phenomena observed 432 and recognized (Kunkel, 2013). Moreover, the magnitude of PMP/PMF can also vary widely by 433 using different methods (Douglas and Barros, 2003; Jakob et al., 2009; Rouhani and Leconte, 434 2016). The hydrological model transforming the PMP into PMF uses a science-as-knowledge 435 approach, of which it underpins valid reasoning about what can be said to the world. The 436 extreme floods generated by a hydrological model are therefore, considered as what we human 437 created, rather than what really happened in nature. The most controversial observation is that 438 PMP/PMF values have been exceeded by actual extreme events (Bewsher & Maddocks, 2003). 439 A relevant example is the recent discovery of two naturally-evidenced extreme floods, i.e., paleofloods, occurring in the last 2000 years, and found to be larger than the PMF for the upper 440 Colorado River (Greenbaum et al., 2014). 441

This review of problems and criticisms of both the FFA and PMF methodologies raises even more fundamental concerns about the epistemological underpinnings in regard to the scientific understanding of the nature of extreme floods. While these are not usually issues considered in the practical expediency necessary to achieve engineering solutions, they do

emerge when phenomena are at the limits of scientific understanding. Extreme flooding lies at
those limits. Both the FFA and PMF methods employ theory-directed, science-as-knowledge
approaches, which hold the world to be a system that permits the application of deductive logic
through mathematics to provide the certainty associated with that kind of reasoning (Baker,
2017). But that certainty only applies if the assumptions made are absolutely true.

In this study, state-of-the-art paleoflood hydrology (PFH) was applied to provide 451 452 information on extreme floods not captured in the short instrumental record. PFH derives from a science-as-seeking point of view and employs a world-directed, investigative approach (Baker, 453 454 2017) to discover the extreme floods that have actually happened over a geological span of time 455 (commonly limited to the Holocene epoch, a period about the last 10,000 years, characterized by 456 Earth's non-glacial climate regimes). PFH thereby provides reliable, fundamental knowledge 457 concerning extreme flood behavior in nature. It thus makes previously unknown extreme floods 458 known to appropriately experienced investigators, thereby revealing what would otherwise be hidden within hydrological assumptions. By directly compiling evidence from the world, in a 459 460 sense listening to the nature what nature presents to us, and thinking based on realities, PFH 461 investigators study the clues, signals, and signs of the most extreme floods found in nature. In 462 following these signs, an explanatory working hypothesis emerges as to what is actually 463 possible, and every inference to what is probable must also infer what is possible. This is 464 abductive inference (Baker, 2017) and the associated natural historical approach yields realworld discoveries about extreme floods, which form the basis for advancing scientific 465 466 understanding about such flooding.

467 By employing paleoflood hydrological investigation, this study identified at least 27 real 468 extreme floods that occurred on the lower Green River during the last 700 years. Combining the

water stages inferred by the tops of paleoflood SWD layers, the 2D hydraulic modeling
retrodicted the minimum peak paleo-discharges at six study reaches. Among them at least 14
paleofloods were larger than twice of the maximum systematic gauged record of 1929 m³/s. The
largest paleoflood has a minimum peak discharge of 7500 m³/s.

These numbers more accurately reflect the actual history of extreme floods on the Green 473 River, rather than either (1) the extrapolated ones from small-scale flood samples, or (2) the 474 475 derived outputs from a hydrological (watershed) model. This is unlike both conventional FFA or 476 the hydrological rainfall-runoff models used for PMF estimation, which respectively, involve 477 either generating probabilistically extrapolated upper tails or the deterministically deduce 478 limiting upper values via models and assumptions, providing the established practical tools for 479 predicting extreme floods in flood mitigation projects or design flood estimations (U.S. Army 480 Corps of Engineers, 1991; U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 2003). However, these practical tools 481 can only be claimed to be science-based if their predictions are compared to the information nature provides to us about extreme flood events, i.e., there is agreement with empirical 482 483 evidence-not just empirical evidence that is convenient in the artificial repositories of our 484 existing data sets, but all possible empirical evidence, which includes that being held by nature 485 itself in its natural repositories. To ignore realities is to be "unscientific." PFH continues the 486 exploratory imperative of what is most essential in a doing science of extreme flooding by making discoveries of viable and efficient data sources from real-world evidence, which can act 487 as a "spotlight" for improving both FFA (Schendel and Thongwichian, 2017; Lam et al., 2017) 488 489 and hydrological modeling (England et al., 2014) of extreme floods.

Finally, it can be observed that the clustering of paleoflood patterns offers an opportunity
 to explore complex, spatially highly interrelated flood-climate links in a global perspective

(Baker, 1987; 2008; Ely et al., 1993; Hischboeck et al., 1988; Knox, 2000;; Macklin, 2006; Merz 492 493 et al., 2014; Benito et al., 2015; Toonen et al., 2017), which, combined with other information on 494 paleoclimates, can provide valuable insights into understanding the nature of extreme floods (Merz et al., 2014). Ely et al. (1993; 1997) displays the clustered extreme paleofloods in the last 495 496 4000 years in the southwestern U.S. and identified the hydroclimatic effect on the increased 497 flood frequency. Harden et al. (2010) also suggests that hydroclimatic dynamics strongly affected the episodes of major flood events during the Holocene based on a broader paleoflood 498 dataset for the southwestern U.S. The results of this study agree with previous research (Ely et 499 al., 1993; Harden et al., 2010; Greenbaum et al., 2014) that frequent large floods happened 500 during periods of cool and dry climate. Knox (1993, 2000) highlighted that significant changes in 501 502 magnitudes and frequencies of extreme paleofloods are regional hydrological responses to global 503 climatic change. Huang et al. (2007, 2010) and Liu et al. (2014) inferred that major Holocene 504 flood episodes are associated with transitional periods of climatic change, forced by monsoonal 505 shifts in northern and central China. Benito et al. (2015) examined the relationship between Holocene flood patterns and short-term climatic variability in Europe and North Africa, 506 507 suggesting the importance of paleoflood information for understanding future spatial-temporal 508 changes of flood frequency. Toonen et al. (2017) implied that individual flood events and multiyear episodes generally fall within extended flood-rich phases controlled by climate, 509 510 demonstrating the value of paleoflood datasets as useful multiscale hydromorphic signals of 511 climate change. Recent studies (Munoz et al., 2017 and 2018) suggest that El Niño increase the 512 risk of Mississippi flooding and conventional flood prediction techniques-based engineering 513 control measures might actually be making floods worse.

514	Much remains for research in the future, but the only resource and basis we can rely on is						
515	to find out what's naturally true of extreme floods is the history of past manifestations. It cannot						
516	be overemphasized that a truly scientific understanding of extreme floods can only emerge from						
517	our exploration in nature of flood signs in all their temporal contexts (paleo-, historical, and						
518	systematically gauged), to be followed by the explanation of the discovered (not presumed)						
519	phenomena through a mechanistic understanding of their causal drivers.						
520	Acknowledgments						
521	This research was supported by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation under Assistance						
522	Agreement no. R16AC00021. V.R.B.'s 45-year program of developing paleoflood hydrological						
523	science received critical early support from the U.S. National Science Foundation. This paper is						
524	Contribution Number 105 of the Arizona Laboratory of Paleohydrological and						
525	Hydroclimatological Analysis (ALPHA).						
526	References						
527	Aitken, M.J. 1998: An Introduction to Optical Dating: The dating of Quaternary sediments by						
528	the use of photon-stimulated luminescence. New York, Oxford University Press, 267 p.						
529	Aitken, M.J., Xie, J., 1990. Moisture correction for annual gamma dose. Ancient TL 8 (2), 6-9.						
530	Baker, V.R., 1975. Flood hazards along the Balcones Escarpment in central Texas: alternative						
531	approaches to their recognition, mapping and management. University of Texas Bureau						
532	of Economic Geology Circular, vol. 75–5. 22 pp.						
533	Baker, V.R., 1987. Paleoflood hydrology and extreme flood events. Journal of Hydrology 96, 79–						
534	99.						

535	Baker, V.R., 1996, Discovering the future in the past: Palaeohydrology and geomorpho-logical
536	change, in Branson, J., Brown, A.G., and Gregory, K.T., editors, Global continental
537	changes: The context of palaeohydrology: The Geological Society of London, Special
538	Publication No. 115, p. 73-83.
539	Baker, V.R., 1998, Paleohydrology and the hydrological sciences, in Benito, G., Baker, V.R.,
540	and Gregory, K.J., editors, Palaeohydrology and environmental change: John Wiley and
541	Sons, Chichester, p. 1-10.
542	Baker, V. R., 2017. Debates—Hypothesis testing in hydrology: Pursuing certainty versus
543	pursuing uberty, Water Resour. Res., 53, doi:10.1002/2016WR020078.
544	Baker, V.R., Kochel, R.C., Patton, P.C., 1979. Long-term flood-frequency analysis using
545	geological data. International Association of Hydrological. Science Publication, vol. 128
546	pp. 3–9.
547	Baker, V. R., Kochel, R. C., Patton, P. C., and Pickup, G.: 1983, Paleohydrologic analysis of
548	Holocene flood slack-water sediments, Internat. Assoc. of Sedimentologists Special Publ
549	6, 229–239.
550	Baker, V.R., Pickup, G., Polach, H.A., 1985. Radiocarbon dating of flood deposits, Katherine
551	Gorge, Northern Territory, Australia. Geology 13, 344–347.
552	Baker, V.R., Webb, R.H., House, P.K., 2002. The scientific and societal value of paleoflood
553	hydrology. In: House, P.K., Webb, R.H., Baker, V.R., Levish, D.R. (Eds.), Ancient
554	Floods, Modern Hazards: Principles and Applications of Paleoflood Hydrology. Water
555	Science and Application, vol. 5. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., pp. 1–
556	19.

- Baker, V. R. 2008. Paleoflood hydrology: Origin, progress, prospects, Geomorphology, 101, 1–
 13, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.016.
- Benito, G., Sopeña, A., Sánchez, Y., Machado, M.J., Pérez González, A. (2003). Palaeoflood
 Record of the Tagus River (Central Spain) during the Late Pleistocene and Holocene.
- 561 Quaternary Science Reviews 22, 1737-1756.
- 562 Benito, G., and J. E. O'Connor (2013), Quantitative paleoflood hydrology, in Treatise on
- Geomorphology, edited by J. Shroder, vol. 9, Fluvial Geomorphology, edited by E. E.
 Wohl, pp. 459–474, Academic, San Diego, Calif.
- Benito, G., Macklin, M.G., Panin, A., et al., (2015). Recurring flood distribution patterns related
 to short-term Holocene climatic variability. Scientific reports 5, 16398.
- 567 Blinn DW, Poff N.L. 2005. Colorado river basin. In Rivers of North America, Benke AC,
- 568 Cushing CE (eds). Elsevier Academic Press: Burlington, Massachusetts; 483–526.
- Brown, S.L., Bierman, P.R., Lini, A., Southon, J., 2000. 10,000 yr record of extreme hydrologic
 events. Geology 28, 69–82.
- Cashion W. B. 1967. Geology and Fuel Resources of the Green River Formation Southeastern
 Uinta Basin, Utah and Colorado, USGS Professional Paper 548, 47 p.
- 573 Costa, J.E., 1978. Holocene stratigraphy in flood-frequency research. Water Resources Research
 574 14, 626–632.
- Cohn, T.A., Lane, W.L., Baier, W.G., 1997. An algorithm for computing moments-based flood
 quantile estimates when historical flood information is available. Water Resources
- 577 Research 33, 2089–2096.

- 578 Chow, V. T., Maidment, D. R., and Mays, L. W. 1988. Applied Hydrology. McGraw-Hill, New
 579 York. 572 pp.
- Cudworth, A. G., 1989, Flood Hydrology Manual, 243 p., U.S. Dep. of Interior, Bur. of Reclam.,
 Denver, Colo.
- 582 Douglas, E. M., & Barros, A. P., 2003. Probable maximum precipitation using multifractals:
- 583 Application in the Eastern United States. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 4, 1012–1024.
- Ely, L.L., Baker, V.R., 1985. Reconstructing paleoflood hydrology with slackwater deposits:

585 Verde River, Arizona. Physical Geography 6, 103–126.

- Ely, L.L., Webb, R.H., Enzel, Y., 1992. Accuracy of post-bomb 137 Cs and 14 C in dating
 fluvial deposits. Quaternary Research 38, 196–204.
- Ely, L. L., Y. Enzel, V. R. Baker, and D. R. Cayan (1993), A 5000-year record of extreme floods
 and climate change in the Southwestern UnitedStates. Science, 262, 410–412.
- Ely, L.L., 1997. Response of extreme floods in the southwestern United States to climatic
 variations in the late Holocene. Geomorphology 19, 175–201.
- 592 England, J.F., Jr., Cohn, T.A., Faber, B.A., Stedinger, J.R., Thomas, W.O., Jr., Veilleux, A.G.,
- 593 Kiang, J.E., and Mason, R.R., Jr., 2018, Guidelines for determining flood flow
- 594 frequency—Bulletin 17C: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book4,
- 595 chap. B5, 148p., https://doi.org/10.3133/tm4B5.
- 596 England, J.F. Jr., Julien, P.Y., and Velleux, M.L., 2014. Physically-Based Extreme Flood
- Frequency Analysis using Stochastic Storm Transposition and Paleoflood Data on Large
 Watersheds, J. Hydrol., 510, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.021, pp. 228-245.

599	England, Jr. J.F., Godaire, J.E., Klinger, R.E., Bauer, T.R., Julien, P.Y., 2010. Paleohydrologic
600	bounds and extreme flood frequency of the Upper Arkansas River, Colorado, USA.
601	Geomorphology 124, 1–16.
602	Enzel, Y., Ely, L.L., House, P.K., Baker, V.R. and Webb, R.H., 1993. Paleoflood evidence for a
603	natural upper bound to flood magnitudes in the Colorado River Basin. Water Resources
604	Research, 29(7): 2287-2297.
605	Enzel, Y., Ely, L.L., Martinez-Goytre, R., Vivian, R.G., 1994. Paleofloods and a dam-failure
606	flood on the Virgin River, Utah and Arizona. Journal of Hydrology 153: 291–315.
607	Francés F. 2001. Incorporating Non-Systematic Information to Flood Frequency Analysis Using
608	the Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method. In: Glade T., Albini P., Francés F. (eds)
609	The Use of Historical Data in Natural Hazard Assessments. Advances in Natural and
610	Technological Hazards Research, vol 17. Springer, Dordrecht
611	Fuller, W. E., 1914. Flood flows. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 68,
612	564–618.
613	Fuller, J.E. 1987. Paleoflood hydrology of the alluvial Salt River, Tempe, Arizona. M.S. thesis,
614	University of Arizona, Tucson.
615	Greenbaum, N., Schick, A.P., Baker, V.R., 2000. The paleoflood record a hyperarid catchment,
616	Nahal Zin, Negev Desert, Israel. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 25, 951–971.
617	Greenbaum, N., A. Ben-Zvi, I. Haviv, Y. Enzel, 2006. T hydrology and paleohydrology of the
618	Dead Sea tributaries. In: Y. Enzel, A. Agnon, M. Stein (Eds.), New Frontiers in Dead Sea
619	Paleoenvironmental Research, Geological Society of America, Special Paper 401, p. 63-
620	94.

621	Greenbaum, N., T. M. Harden, V. R. Baker, J. Weisheit, M. L. Cline, N. Porat, R. Halevi, and J.
622	Dohrenwend (2014), A 2000 year natural record of magnitudes and frequencies for the
623	largest Upper Colorado River floods near Moab, Utah, Water Resour. Res., 50,
624	doi:10.1002/2013WR014835.
625	Guérin, G., Mercier, N., Adamiec, G., 2011. Dose-rate conversion factors: update: Ancient TL
626	29, 5-8.
627	Harden, T.M., Macklin, M. G. and Baker, V. R. 2010, Holocene flood histories in south-western
628	USA. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 35: 707–716. doi:10.1002/esp.1983.
629	Hirschboeck KK. 1988. Flood hydroclimatology. In Flood Geomorphology, Baker VR, Kochel
630	RC, Patton PC (eds). John Wiley and Sons: New York; 27–49.
631	House, P.K., Webb, R.H., Baker, V.R., Levish, D. (Eds.), 2002. Ancient Floods, Modern
632	Hazards: Principles and Applications of Paleoflood Hydrology. Water Science and
633	Application, vol. 5. American Geophysical Union. 385 pp.
634	Huang, C.C., Pang, J.L., Zha, X.C., Su, H.X., 2007. Impact of monsoonal climatic change on
635	Holocene overbank flooding along the Sushui River within the Middle Reaches of the
636	Yellow River, China. Quaternary Science Reviews 26, 2247-2264.
637	Huang, C.C., Pang, J.L., Zha, X.C. et al., 2010. Extraordinary floods of 4100-4000 a BP
638	recorded at the late Neolithic ruins in the Jinghe River gorges, middle reach of the
639	Yellow River, China. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 289, 1-9.
640	IACWD, 1982. Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency, Bulletin 17-B. Technical
641	report, Interagency Committee on Water Data, Hydrology Subcommittee.

642	Jakob, D., Smalley, R., Meighen, et al., 2009. Climate change and probable maximum
643	precipitation. Melbourne: Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government,
644	Hydrometeorological Advisory Service, Water Division.
645	Jarrett, R.D., 1990. Paleohydrologic techniques used to define the spatial occurrence of floods.
646	Geomorphology 3, 181–195.
647	Jones, A.P., Shimazu, H., Oguchi, T., Okuno, M., Tokutake, M., 2001. Late Holocene slackwater
648	deposits on the Nakagawa River, Tochigi Prefecture, Japan. Geomorphology 39, 39–51.
649	Kale, V.S., Mishra, S., and Baker, V.R., 1997, A 2000-year palaeoflood record from Sakarghat
650	on Narmada, central India: Journal of the Geological Society of India, 50, 283-288.
651	Kidson, R., Richards, K.S., 2005. Flood frequency analysis: assumptions and alternatives.
652	Progress in Physical Geography 29, 392–410.
653	Kiseiel, C.C. 1969. Time series analysis of hydrologic data. Adv. Hydrosci. 5, 1-119.
654	Klemeš V., 1987. Hydrological and engineering relevance of flood frequency analysis. In Singh,
655	V. P., editor, Hydrologic Frequency Modeling, pages 1-18, Louisiana State University,
656	Baton Rouge. D. Reidel Publishing Company.
657	Klemeš, V., 1994, Statistics and probability: Wrong remedies for a confused hydrologic modeler,
658	in Statistics for the Environment 2: Water Related Issues, edited by V. Barnett and K.F.
659	Turkman, pp. 345-366, John Wiley and Sons, London.
660	Klemeš V., 2000. Tall Tales about Tails of Hydrological Distributions. I. Journal of Hydrologic
661	Engineering, 5(3):227–231.

662	Kenney, T.A., Wilkowske, C.D., and Wright, S.J., 2007, Methods for Estimating Magnitude and
663	Frequency of Peak Flows for Natural Streams in Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific
664	Investigations Report 2007-5158, 28 p.
665	Knox, J.C., 1985. Responses of floods to Holocene climatic change in the Upper Mississippi
666	Valley. Quaternary Research 23, 287–300.
667	Knox, J.C., 1993. Large increases in flood magnitude in response to modest changes in climate.
668	Nature 361, 430–432.
669	Knox, J.C., 2000. Sensitivity of modern and Holocene floods to climatic change. Quaternary
670	Science Reviews 19, 439-457.
671	Kochel, R.C., Patton, P.C., Baker, V.R., 1982. Paleohydrology of southwestern Texas. Water
672	Resources Research 18, 1165–1183.
673	Kochel, R.C., Baker, V.R., 1988. Paleoflood analysis using slackwater deposits. In: Baker, V.R.,
674	Kochel, R.C., Patton, P.C. (Eds.), Flood Geomorphology. Wiley, NY, pp. 357–376.
675	Kunkel, K. E., T. R. Karl, D. R. Easterling, et al., (2013), Probable maximum precipitation and
676	climate change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1402–1408, doi:10.1002/grl.50334.
677	Lai, Y.G. 2009. Two-Dimensional Depth-Averaged Flow Modeling with an Unstructured Hybrid
678	Mesh. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 136(1), pp 12-23.
679	Lam, D., C. Thompson, J. Croke, A. Sharma, and M. Macklin (2017), Reducing uncertainty with
680	flood frequency analysis: The contribution of paleoflood and historical flood information,
681	Water Resour. Res., 53, 2312–2327, doi: 10.1002/2016WR019959.

682	Liu, T., Huang, C.C., Pang, J.L., et al., 2014. Extraordinary hydro-climatic events during 1800-
683	1600 yr BP in the Jin–Shaan Gorges along the middle Yellow River, China.
684	Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol., 410: 143–152.
685	Macklin, M. G. et al., 2006. Past hydrological events reflected in the Holocene fluvial record of
686	Europe. Catena 66, 145–154.
687	Merz, B., Aerts, J., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., et al. 2014. Floods and climate: emerging perspectives
688	for flood risk assessment and management. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 1921–1942.
689	Munoz, S. E. and Dee, S. G., 2017. El Niño increases the risk of lower Mississippi River
690	flooding. Sci. Rep. 7, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01919-6.
691	Munoz, S. E., Giosan, L., Therrell, M. D., etc., 2018. Climatic control of Mississippi River flood
692	hazard amplified by river engineering. Nature, 556 (7699): 95 DOI:
693	10.1038/nature26145.
694	SL44-2006, 2006. Regulation for calculating design flood of water resources and hydropower
695	projects, Ministry of Water Resources, P.R. China. China Water&Power Press, Beijing:
696	1-92.
697	Macklin MG, Benito G, Gregory KJ, et al., 2006. Past hydrological events refl ected in the
698	Holocene fl uvial record of Europe. Catena 66: 145–154.
699	Malamud, B.D., Turcotte, D.L., 2006. The applicability of power-law frequency statistics to
700	floods. Journal of Hydrology 322, 168–180.
701	Murray, A.S., Wintle, A.G., 2000. Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single
702	aliquot regenerative-dose protocol. Radiation Measurements 32, 57-73.

703	Murray, A.S., Wintle, A.G., 2003. The single aliquot regenerative dose protocol: potential for
704	improvements in reliability. Radiation Measurements 37, 377-381.
705	U.S. Water Resource Council, Hydrology Committee, 1977. Guidences for Determining Flood
706	Frequency Information, Bulletin 17A, Washington, D.C.
707	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Inflow Design Floods for Dams and Reservoirs," Engineer
708	Regulation No. 1110-8-2 (FR), 1991.
709	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (2003), Flood Hazard Analysis: Seminoe and Glendo Dams
710	Kendrick Project and Pick Sloan Missouri Basin Program, Wyoming, Tech. Serv. Cent.,
711	Denver.
712	National Environment Research Council, 1999: Flood Studies Report, (in five volumes)
713	Wallingford: Institute of Hydrology.
714	National Research Council, 1988: Committee on techniques for estimating probabilities of
715	extreme floods: estimating probabilities of extreme floods -methods and recommended
716	research. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
717	O'Connor, J.E., Ely, L.L., Wohl, E.E., Stevens, L.E., Melis, T.S., Kale, V.S., Baker, V.R., 1994.
718	A 4500-year record of large floods on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona.
719	Journal of Geology 102, 1–9.
720	O'Connor, J.E., Curran, J.H., Beebee, R.A., Grant, G.E., Sarna-Wojcicki, A., 2003. Quaternary
721	geology and geomorphology of the lower Deschutes River Canyon, Oregon. In: Grant,
722	G.E., O'Connor, J.E. (Eds.), A Peculiar River: Geology, Geomorphology, and Hydrology
723	of the Deschutes River, Oregon. Water Science and Applications, vol. 7. American
724	Geophysical Union, pp. 77–98.

725	Ostenaa, D.A., Levish, D.R., O'Connell, D.R.H., 1996. Paleoflood study for Bradbury Dam,					
726	Cachuma Project, California. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Seismotectonic Report 96-3,					
727	Denver, CO.					
728	Patton, P.C., Baker, V.R., 1977. Geomorphic response of central Texas stream channels to					
729	catastrophic rainfall and runoff. In: Doehring, D. (Ed.), Geomorphology of Arid and					
730	Semi-arid Regions. Allen and Unwin, London, pp. 189–217.					
731	Patton, P.C., Dibble, D.S., 1982. Archeologic and geomorphic evidence for the paleohydrologic					
732	record of the Pecos River in west Texas. American Journal of Science 82, 97–121.					
733	Partridge, J. and Baker, V. R. (1987), Palaeoflood hydrology of the Salt River, Arizona. Earth					
734	Surf. Process. Landforms, 12: 109–125. doi:10.1002/esp.3290120202					
735	Pickup, G., Allan, G., Baker, V.R., 1988. History, palaeochannels, and palaeofloods of the Finke					
736	River, central Australia. In: Warner, R.F. (Ed.), Fluvial Geomorphology of Australia.					
737	Academic Press, Sydney, pp. 177–200.					
738	Prescott, J. R., Hutton, J.T., 1994. Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and					
739	ESR dating: Radiation Measurements 23, 497-500.					
740	Rouhani, H., and R. Leconte, 2016. A novel method to estimate the maximization ratio of the					
741	Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) using regional climate model output, Water					
742	Resour. Res., 52, 7347–7365, doi:10.1002/2016WR018603.					
743	Saint-Laurent, D., Couture, C., McNeil, E., 2001. Spatio-temporal analysis of floods of the Saint-					
744	Francois drainage basin, Quebec, Canada. Environments 29, 74–90.					
745	Sheffer, N., Enzel, Y., Benito, G. et al., 2003. Historical and paleofloods of the Ardech River,					
746	France. Water Resources Research 39. doi: 10.1029/2003WR002468.					

- Song, X.Y., Song S.B., Sun W.Y., et al., 2015. Recent changes in extreme precipitation and
 drought over the Songhua River Basin, China, during 1960–2013. Atmospheric Research
 157, 137-152.
- Springer, G.S., Kite, J.S., 1997. River-derived slackwater sediments in caves along Cheat River,
 West Virginia. Geomorphology 18, 91–100.
- Stedinger, J.R., and Baker, V.R., 1987, Surface water hydrology: historical and paleo-flood
 information: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 25, p. 119 124.
- Stedinger, J.R., Cohn, T.A., 1986. Flood frequency analysis with historical and paleoflood
 information. Water Resources Research 22, 785–793.
- 756 Stedinger, J.R., Griffis, V.W., 2008. Flood Frequency Analysis in the United States: Time to
- 757 Update. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 13(4): 199-204.

758 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2008)13:4(199)

- 759 Webb, R.H., O'Connor, J.E., Baker, V.R., 1988. Paleohydrologic reconstruction of flood
- frequency on the Escalante River. In: Baker, V.R., Kochel, R.C., Patton, P.C. (Eds.),
- Flood Geomorphology. John Wiley and Sons, N.Y., pp. 403–418.
- 762 Western Regional Climate Center. (2013). Cooperative Climatological Data Summaries.

763 Retrieved from http://wrcc.dri.edu/climatedata/climsum/

- Toonen, W.H.J., Foulds, S.A. Macklin, M.G. et al., 2017. Events, episodes, and phases: Signal
 from noise in flood-sediment. Geology, doi:10.1130/G38540.1.
- 766 Wintle, A.G. Murray, A.S., 2006. A review of quartz optically stimulated luminescence
- ⁷⁶⁷ characteristics and their relevance in single-aliquot regenerative protocols: Radiation
- 768 Measurements, v. 41, p. 369-391.

769	WMO, 2009	. Manual on	Estimation	of Probable	Maximum	Precipitation	(PMP).	World

- 770 Meteorological Organization, Switzerland, pp 257.
- Wohl, E.E., Greenbaum, N., Schick, A.P., Baker, V.R., 1994. Controls on bedrock channel
- morphology alongNahal Paran, Israel. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 19, 1–13.
- Yevjevich, V., 1968. 'Misconceptions in Hydrology and their Consequences.' Water Resource
- 774 Research, 4(4), 225-232.

*Declaration of Interest Statement

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Figure1 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 2 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 3 Click here to download high resolution image





Figure5 Click here to download high resolution image





Figure7 Click here to download high resolution image



A-049
Figure8 Click here to download high resolution image



Tables Click here to download Table: Tables.docx

Table 1. Characteristics of slackwater depositional environments for paleoflood SWDs in Stillwater Canyon of the lower Green River. Top unit Stratigraphic Thickness SWD Depositional Texture elevation Layers section (m) environment (m a.w.l.) DHC-1 1 25 8 At a tributary mouth. silt and fine sand 7.00 Γ F F F

DHC-1	1.23	0	sint and time sand	7.00	At a tributary mouth;
DHC-2	>4.00	4	clay, silt and fine sand	6.35	On a high rock ledge
RF-1-1	2.50	8	silt and fine sand	8.00	
RF-1-2	4.20	18	clay, silt and fine sand	5.50	On the top of a fock-fail;
RF-2	1.55	4	medium and fine sand	8.00	Covered by stony contribution
LS	0.70	2	silt and fine sand	13.50	On a high rock ledge;
					Area of widening canyon
HD	1.50	7	silt and fine sand	10.00	Severe channel bend (>90°);
					Covered by stony colluvium
HB	2.00	9	clay, silt and fine sand	10.00	On the top of high alluvial terrace;
					Covered by stony colluvium
PC	>8.00	9	silt and fine sand	>12.00	Severe channel bend (>90°)

Table 2. Results of AMS 14C Dating of Paleoflood Deposits on lower Green River

Site, SWD Unit No.	Lab Sample no.	Type of dated material	Radiocarbon age (years BP)	Calibrated Age range (years AD)
DHC, #8	AA101614	Charred wood	90 ± 38	1681-1739 (26.6%) 1802-1938 (67.2%)
PC, #4	AA101615	Charred leaf	80 ± 39	1682-1736 (26.3%) 1805-1936 (69.1%)
PC, #5	AA101613	Charred bark	52 ± 38	1690-1730 (23.6%) 1810-1926 (71.8%)

Site, SWD Unit No.	SWD Lab No. t No.		K (%)	U (ppm)	Th (ppm)	Cosmic (Gy/ka)	Dose rate (Gy/ka)	De (Gy)	Age $\pm 2\sigma$ (ka)
DHC-1, #1	GRV-2	1.00	1.66	1.9	6.0	0.19	2.58 ± 0.07	1.75 ± 0.64	0.68 ± 0.25
DHC-2, #2	GRV-3	4.00	1.74	2.5	8.0	0.13	2.68 ± 0.06	1.25 ± 0.43	0.47 ± 0.16
DHC-2, #11	USU-2310	1.10	1.78	2.8	8.4	0.18	3.10 ± 0.14	0.61 ± 0.25	0.20 ± 0.08
RF-1, #2	GRV-11	4.00	1.66	3.0	10.7	0.13	2.63 ± 0.06	1.43 ± 0.44	0.55 ± 0.17
RF-1, #11	USU-2309	1.25	1.72	1.9	5.9	0.23	2.69 ± 0.12	0.85 ± 0.22	0.31 ± 0.09
RF-1, #14	GRV-9	0.30	1.66	2.8	7.8	0.23	3.00 ± 0.06	1.20 ± 0.30	0.40 ± 0.10
RF-2, #19	GRV-12	0.40	1.66	2.3	7.5	0.22	2.85 ± 0.07	0.83 ± 0.16	0.29 ± 0.06
RF-1, #20	USU-2307	2.60	1.74	2.6	9.0	0.19	3.08 ± 0.14	0.58 ± 0.24	0.19 ± 0.08
RF-1, #30	GRV-10	0.30	1.66	2.5	8.1	0.23	2.95 ± 0.06	0.64 ± 0.31	0.22 ± 0.11
LS, #2	GRV-6	0.30	1.58	1.4	4.6	0.23	2.36 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.10	0.22 ± 0.04
HB, #1	GRV-5	1.90	1.58	1.9	5.4	0.17	2.40 ± 0.06	1.07 ± 0.16	0.35 ± 0.07
HB, #8	GRV-4	0.30	1.66	2.2	7.0	0.23	2.80 ± 0.07	0.88 ± 0.07	0.32 ± 0.07
PC, #2	GRV-8	2.50	1.74	2.5	8.6	0.15	2.74 ± 0.08	0.94 ± 0.24	0.34 ± 0.09
PC, #7	USU-2306	0.55	1.56	2.0	6.7	0.24	2.65 ± 0.12	0.53 ± 0.26	0.20 ± 0.10
PC, #8	GRV-7	0.30	1.83	2.5	8.5	0.23	3.13 ± 0.08	1.51 ± 0.25	0.48 ± 0.17

Table 3. Results of OSL Dating of Paleoflood Deposits on lower Green River

Site Name	Deposit unit	Water level during the LiDAR Flight	Elevation above the water level	Estimated peak stage	Minimum peak discharge	Variation
Dead Horse		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m ² /s)	14362%
Deau Hoise	DHC-9		7.00	1197.97	2747	-14.3-0.2%
	DHC-8	1190 97	6.65	1197.62	2558	-14.7-6.3%
	DHC-1	11,00,7	5.80	1196.77	2120	-15.5-6.5%
	DHC-2		3.00	1193.97	920	-17.7-6.9%
Rock fall	RF-19		8.00	1198.03	7271	-13.1-4.8%
	RF-30	1100.02	5.50	1195.53	3768	-16.1-5.5%
	RF-20	1190.05	5.10	1195.13	3313	-16.5-5.5%
	RF-2		1.40	1191.43	507	-18.9-6.1%
Ledge Site	LS-top		13.50	1203.23	7499	-6.4-4.9%
	LS-2	1189.73	13.35	1203.08	7379	-6.5-4.9%
	LS-1		13.25	1202.98	7299	-6.5-4.9%
High	HD-7	1185.82	10.00	1195.82	3207	-12.4-7.6%
Driftwood	HD-1	1105.02	8.40	1194.22	2520	-13.7-8.0%
High Bank	HB-9		10.00	1194.78	3615	-11.6-4.4%
	HB-8	1184.78	9.80	1194.58	3520	-11.8-4.5%
	HB-1		8.20	1192.98	2790	-13.6-5.1%
Powell	PC-9		12.00	1195.13	5104	-9.3-4.1%
Canyon	PC-8	1183 13	11.90	1195.03	5047	-9.4-4.1%
	PC-7	1105.15	11.65	1194.78	4905	-9.6-4.2%
	PC-2		9.80	1192.93	3891	-10.7-4.3%

Table 4. Results of the minimum paleoflood peak discharges using SHR-2D model with the percentage of variation resulting from a 25% change in the Manning's n values.

Table 5. EMA (Expected Moments Algorithm) flow intervals for the paleoflood and systematic records during 1080-2016 on the lower Green River, Utah.

Water Year	Q _{Y,lower} (m ³ /s)	$\begin{array}{c} Q_{Y,upper} \ (m^3/s) \end{array}$	Comment				
1330	7500	9000					
1530	5050	6060					
1660	4910	5890	For paleofloods, estimated peaks are minimal values:				
1670	3890	4670	with an addition 20% as upper level for each estimation.				
1690	3520	4220					
1790	2790	3350					
1810	2120	2540					
1895-1899	0 - 0	- 0	Gaged data are nearly exactly known				
1905-1961	$Q_{Y,lower} = Q$	$Q_{Y,lower} = Q_Y$	equaling to measured value (Q_Y) .				

Table 6. EMA (Expected Moments Algorithm) perception threshold for the paleoflood and historical period from 1080 to 1961 on the lower Green River, Utah.

Stort Voor	End Voor	EMA percept	ion threshold (m ³ /s)	- Commonto
Start Tear	Ella Tear	$T_{Y,lower}$	$T_{Y,upper}$	- Comments
1080	1961	0	Infinity	Total Record
1080	1430	7500	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1431	1595	5050	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1596	1665	4910	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1666	1680	3890	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1681	1740	3520	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1741	1800	2790	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1801	1894	2120	Infinity	Top of paleoflood SWD
1895	1899	0	Infinity	Systematic data
1900	1904	2030	Infinity	Broken; Largest systematic data
1905	1961	0	Infinity	Systematic data

Table 7. A Comparison between flood frequency results using different methods on the lower Green River, Utah (in m^3/s)

No	Mathad	Т								
INO.	Method	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
1	Bulletin 17C Method (with paleofloods)	847	1289	1671	2274	2825	3475	4245	5483	6616
2	Bulletin 17C Method (Systematic data)	867	1259	1504	1798	2004	2201	2389	2628	2801
3	Bulletin 17B Method (with paleofloods)	962	1623	2196	3101	3925	4894	6032	7845	9487
4	Bulletin 17B Method (Systematic data)	913	1275	1511	1806	2023	2238	2452	2736	2954
5	Lognormal distribution (with paleofloods)	995	1641	2132	2818	3374	3968	4602	5507	6247
6	Lognormal distribution (Systematic data)	914	1279	1526	1840	2078	2317	2560	2888	3144
7	Self-similar Model (PSD with paleofloods)	828	1121	1409	1907	2398	3015	3791	5131	6451
8	self-similar Model (Systematic PSD)	945	1243	1531	2014	2479	3052	3756	4944	6085
9	Regional-regression Equation (Systematic data)	615	949	1308	1509	1753	2082	2283	2654	

Figure 1. Green River Basin including the large tributaries, USGS gauging station, and the study reach.

Figure 2. Annual maximum peak discharges on the Green River at the USGS gauging station Green River, Utah, 1894-2016.

Figure 3. A map showing six study sites (DHC, RF, LS, HD, HB, and PC) on the Lower Green River (left), the stratigraphic illustrations showing the paleoflood slackwater deposit layers (black lines) and the river channel and valley dimensions of each stratigraphic section (middle), and the cross-sections at each site (right), showing the range of extreme flood water surface elevation.

Figure 4. Particle tracings and water depths associated with sites of DHC, PC, HB, and HD on the Lower Green River. Areas of slack-water deposition develop through combinations of flow direction, speed, and depth. For sites location see figure 3.

Figure 5. Rating curves (solid lines) and corresponding results (dashed lines) for the 25% of Manning's n variation for six cross sections at the paleoflood sites on the Lower Green River. The sensitivity test shows an error of 3.0-20.4% can be introduced by the uncertainty of Manning's *n*.

Figure 6. Graph showing approximate systematic peak discharge and paleoflood estimates, with paleoflood exceedance thresholds, on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon reach. A scale break is used to separate the gaging station data from the much longer paleoflood record. Flood intervals for large floods in the paleoflood period are shown as red squares and black vertical bars with caps that represent minimum peaks and an additional 20% of the minimum. Mean values of paleofloods threshold age data are plotted for simplicity. Perception threshold ranges are shown as orange lines for the paleoflood period, blue lines for the systematic period, and green lines for the discontinued period. The gray shaded areas represents: (1) floods of unknown magnitude less than the perception thresholds for the paleoflood periods after 1961.

Figure 7. Results of flood frequency analysis (FFA) using Expected Moments Algorithm (EMA) with Multiple Grubbs-Beck Test (MGBT) on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon reach, using (a) both systematic and paleoflood data; (b) systematic peaks only. The solid line is the fitted log-Pearson Type III frequency curve and the dash lines are the 95% confidence limits. Peak discharge estimates from the gage are shown as open circles; vertical bars represent estimated data uncertainty for paleofloods; the solid black circle is the potentially influential low flood (PILF) threshold as identified by the MGBT. Y-axis of the subplot (c), CI/EQ, is the ratio of confidence limits to expected quantiles.

Figure 8. Comparison of different techniques for flood frequency analysis (FFA) on the Lower Green River in the Stillwater Canyon reach, including systematic and paleoflood data. Subplots a-e include nine FFA curves using five techniques and all of them were synthesized in the subplot f. Annual exceedance probability (AEP), return period (T), and discharge (Q) for these curves are summarized in Table 7. The numbers refer to the discussion of each curve in the text and table.

Appendix B – Holocene Paleoflood and their Climatological Context, Upper Colorado River Basin, USA

Progress in Physical Geography

Holocene Paleofloods and Their Climatological Context, Upper Colorado River Basin, USA

Journal:	Progress in Physical Geography
Manuscript ID	PPG-19-061.R1
Manuscript Type:	Main Article
Keywords:	Palaeofloods, Meta-analysis, Extreme floods, Climate Change, Holocene, Upper Colorado River Basin
Abstract:	Given its singular importance for water resources in the southwestern U.S., the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) is remarkable for the paucity of its conventional hydrological record of extreme flooding. This study uses paleoflood hydrology to examine a small portion of the underutilized, but the very extensive natural record of Holocene extreme floods in the UCRB. We perform a meta-analysis of 77 extreme paleofloods from seven slackwater deposit sites in the UCRB to show linkages between Holocene climate patterns and extreme floods. The analysis demonstrates several clusters of extreme flood activity: 8040- 7790, 3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 years BP. The extreme paleofloods were found to occur during both dry and wet periods in the paleoclimate record. When compared with independent paleoclimatic records across the Rocky Mountains and the southwestern U.S., the observed temporal clustering pattern of UCRB extreme paleofloods shows associations with periods of abruptly intensified North Pacific-derived storms connected with enhanced El Niño variability.



http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

Holocene Paleofloods and Their Climatological Context, Upper
 Colorado River Basin, USA

5 Abstract

Given its singular importance for water resources in the southwestern U.S., the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) is remarkable for the paucity of its conventional hydrological record of extreme flooding. This study uses paleoflood hydrology to examine a small portion the underutilized, but very extensive natural record of Holocene extreme floods in the UCRB. We perform a meta-analysis of 77 extreme paleofloods from seven slackwater deposit sites in the UCRB to show linkages between Holocene climate patterns and extreme floods. The analysis demonstrates several clusters of extreme flood activity: 8040-7790, 3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 years BP. The extreme paleofloods were found to occur during both dry and wet periods in the paleoclimate record. When compared with independent paleoclimatic records across the Rocky Mountains and the southwestern U.S., the observed temporal clustering pattern of UCRB extreme paleofloods shows associations with periods of abruptly intensified North Pacific-derived storms connected with enhanced El Niño variability.

20 Key words

Palaeofloods; Meta-analysis; Extreme floods; Climate Change; Holocene; Upper Colorado
River Basin

1. Introduction

Floods are among the most destructive natural hazards causing widespread loss of life, serious damage to infrastructure and economic deprivation. Stream gauge and meteorological station networks established in many countries during the past century provide extensive systematic information about floods, as well as their meteorological and climatological contexts. The classical extreme flood estimations made in hydrological engineering (e.g., flood frequency analysis or FFA) commonly utilize several decades of stream gauge observations to estimate flood magnitudes and their associated exceedance probabilities or return periods. However, because of their short record lengths, systematic hydrological records largely consist of frequent, small magnitude flood events. This leads to very great aleatory uncertainties about infrequent, extreme flood events and about their climate-driven causal associations. Moreover, FFA inherits, as a necessary assumption for statistically valid inferences, that they be restricted to stochastic hydrological processes with independent, identicallydistributed random variables (Kisiel, 1969). The problem with this assumption is not merely that it is nearly always violated in a FFA; the bigger problem is that its imposition actually ensures that, beyond the actual flood events themselves, a FFA can add no new scientific information, as opposed to the abstract expediencies needed to achieve an engineering design decision (Klemeš, 1987, 1989). Another defect with FFA, from a scientific point of view, is the common tendency of conventional statistical flood estimation to relegate the most extreme flood events to "outlier" status, thereby minimizing their consideration. This produces a lack of knowledge or ignorance of potentially known facts, i.e., an epistemic uncertainty. In extreme cases this epistemic uncertainty will lead to what Taleb (2010) has termed a "black swan" event, which is an extreme deemed to be sufficiently improbable that it tends to be unexpected, with the consequence that it will lead to immense disasters for society. Recent incidents of very high-profile "black swan" event have led Taleb (2010) to a blanket criticism of probabilistic risk assessment as a productive means for decision-making in high-risk situations, i.e. where there are real possibilities for extreme disasters. The irony here is that a methodology, FFA, used for expediency in coming to

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

design decisions, can, in rare cases, lead to consequences that totally invalidate the utility of those decisions. Paleoflood hydrology (PFH), by combining stratigraphic geology, geomorphology, geochronology, hydraulic modeling, and flood hydrology, aims to discover and understand the very real extreme floods that have occurred on the planet (Baker, 1982; Kochel and Baker, 1982; Baker, 2008). PFH employs an investigative methodology to discover extreme flood events that occurred in the past, thereby demonstrating what flooding is possible for the future. This can provide a kind of epistemic certainty: that what has happened can indeed happen in the future. Natural PFH evidence of past extreme hydrological events can also be linked to Earth's climate variability over long timescales (Baker, 1987; Ely, 1997; Wohl et al., 1994; Knox, 2000; Harden et al., 2010; Benito et al., 2015). The extensive global application of PFH is now making regional and global palaeohydrological reconstructions and syntheses available for many rivers worldwide (Baker, 2006, 2013). A major recent advance for relating these data to climate is the meta-analysis developed

by Macklin and Lewin (2003). This involves systematic, probability-based analysis of geochronologically dated fluvial units (Jones et al., 2015), and it provides for a synthesis of the growing spatial coverage and increasing chronological precision of fluvial archives for reconstructing past river responses to environmental changes (Macklin et al., 2006; Benito et al., 2015). Meta-analysis has now been employed in regional analyses of extreme flood events for many different parts of the world including Northern Europe (Macklin and Lewin, 2003; Willem et al., 2017), the Mediterranean region (Macklin et al., 2006; Benito et al., 2015; Rossato et al., 2015), North Africa (Macklin et al., 2015), the southwestern and central U.S. (Harden et al., 2010; Harden et, al., 2015), and the north-eastern Tibet Plateau (Stauch G., 2016).

In this study we apply the meta-analysis method to retrieve the extreme flood history of the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB). We then compare the retrieved flood history with long-term climate variable proxies during the Holocene. The results advance the scientific understanding of extreme flood events and their linkages with climatic changes in the UCRB.

83 2. Study area

The Colorado River lies within the intermontane plateaus of the western U.S. and is the most important water resources of the seven states (parts of Colorado, New Mexico, Wyoming, Utah, Nevada, and California, and nearly all of Arizona) in southwestern U.S. (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 2012). The upper Colorado River basin (UCRB), the portion of the Colorado River basin (CRB) upstream of the Lees Ferry USGS stream gauge, encompasses 289,600 km², accounting for 45% of the total CRB drainage area, while providing up to about 90% of the streamflow of the entire basin (USGS Fact Sheet 2004-3062). This is mainly due to about 70% of Colorado River streamflow coming from snowmelt in the highest 14% of the UCRB (Christensen and Lettenmaier, 2007; National Research Council. 2007).

Regional to local-scale hydroclimatic variability in the UCRB is controlled at multiple scales by physiographic, oceanic, and atmospheric factors, each operating at different spatial and temporal scales (Mock, 1996; Hirschboeck, et al., 2000). Large-scale atmospheric circulation governs the precipitation pattern of the UCRB. Concretely, cool-season Pacific airstream originated precipitation dominates in the northern portions of the basin and the warm-season monsoon derived rainfall in the southern portions (McCabe, 1996; McGinnis, 2000). North Pacific air masses bring most of the winter precipitation in the UCRB, entering as mid latitude cyclones or extratropical storms, and these account for the majority of precipitation in the region. Summer convective storms draw their moisture from the North American Monsoon (Adams and Comrie, 1997; Seastrand et al., 2015), but these storms tend to only produce A more recently recognized mechanism for floods in the smaller tributaries. generating anomalously high precipitation in the Western U.S. is the "atmospheric river." During winter months this phenomenon can move streams of highly concentrated water vapor from North Pacific into the western U.S. through a variety of pathways (e.g., Ralph et al., 2006; Dettinger et al., 2011). Two main trajectories have been identified for the large precipitation event incursions into the UCRB: one involves flow from the southwest, drawing the Pacific moisture into the southern portion of the UCRB; the

other follows flow from the west, advecting Pacific moisture into the northern portion ofthe UCRB (Kirk and Schmidlin, 2018; Alexander et al., 2015).

Given its singular importance of the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) as a critical water resource in the southwestern U.S. it is surprising to find that the conventionally characterized flood history of the UCRB is remarkably poor and short. Interestingly, the poor conventional record of flooding poses a particular problem because of the basin's complex hydroclimatology (Hirschboeck, 1987; Mock, 1996; Cline, 2010). Prevailing conditions of severe draught, alarmingly intense flood events, and rapidly growing water demand with climatic warming have been posing immense challenges for both water resource and flood risk management in the southwestern U.S. (National Research Council. 2007; IPCC, 2012).

Given the considerable climatic uncertainty for UCRB water resources, much attention is being focused on supply-side options to increase water availability, robustness, and resilience; however, the demand side has received considerably less attention. Increasing water storage in reservoirs is being considered a feasible strategy for practical dam operations (Eugene et al., 2016). However, this strategy, involving the filling of reservoirs during wet periods, will necessarily reduce the flood control function of those reservoirs and thereby increase flood risks for downstream areas. This problem will be especially acute for the most extreme floods, which pose risks to the safety of the dams themselves. Based on natural evidence extreme flood records, long-term flood frequency has been linked to climate variability, which can provide new insights into a comprehensive understanding of extreme hydrological events (Wilhelm, et al., 2018).

The USGS gauging station (No. 09380000) at Lees Ferry, Arizona, serves as the dividing point between the Upper and Lower basins of the Colorado River (Fig. 1). Established in 1921, this gage has accumulated one of the most extensive streamflow records in the U.S. The average annual discharges at the gage were 470.4 m³ s⁻¹ during period 1922-1962 and 374.4 m³ s⁻¹ after the 1963 closure of Glen Canyon Dam created Lake Powell. The annual maximum flood series ranges from a low peak of 716.4 m³ s⁻¹

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

in 1934, to a high of 6230 m³ s⁻¹ in 1921 with, an average annual maximum of 2342.6 m³ s⁻¹ before 1962 (Fig. 2). The maximum pre-gage historical flood at Lees Ferry occurred on July 7, 1884, with an estimated peak discharge of 8500 m³s⁻¹. This number was estimated by extrapolating the Lees Ferry rating curve from its highest stage/discharge measurement of 3400 m³s⁻¹ to the presumed stage reached by the 1884 That stage height was inferred 4 decades after the flood event, and it relied flood. solely on the memory of a local resident, who recalled rescuing his cat from the branch of an apple tree at which level the cat had escaped the peak flow of the 1884 flood This 1884 "cat-in-the-tree" estimate is exemplary of the state of (LaRue, 1925). scientific understanding for the most extreme Colorado River flooding prior to the advent of PFH estimates that began in the 1990s (O'Connor et al, 1994).

3. Paleoflood chronology and meta-analysis

The importance of PFH investigations for understanding extreme floods in the UCRB is exemplified by a recent study conducted on the Upper Colorado River near Moab, UT (Greenbaum et al., 2014). In that study, paleoflood stratigraphy revealed 44 extreme floods that occurred during the last 2000 years, several of which lie near the maximum flood envelope curve for the Southwestern U.S. (Enzel et al., 1993), and two of which exceeded the probable maximum flood (PMF) for that portion of the river. The study revealed the natural occurrence of extreme floods that are significantly larger than those of the recent historical record, such that they posed a substantial risk to major infrastructure.

This study moves beyond the use of paleofloods in FFA in order to explore possible linkages between climate patterns and rare, extreme floods in the UCRB. This study relies on the currently available paleoflood data for the UCRB in a meta-analysis to be described below. Because there is an immense untapped wealth of potential paleoflood sites in the UCRB, the current study should be viewed as preliminary, limited to the current state of hydrologically analyzed paleoflood sites, but aimed more at

> http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG **B-008**

demonstrating the potential for this kind of analysis than providing a definitive, data-rich compendium. A total of 77 geochronological ages (39 radiocarbon (14C) and 38 optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages) provide quantitative age estimates of paleoflood SWD deposits (Table 1). These data come from three main-stem Colorado River reaches (near Moab and in Cataract Canyon, Utah, and near Lees Ferry, Arizona) and from two reaches in downstream portions of major upper Colorado River tributaries: the Green and Delores rivers (Fig. 1). The Green River is the primary tributary of the upper Colorado River. It is 1,170 km long with a drainage area of 124,600 km² and it contributes nearly half of the total annual flow to the Colorado River. The Delores River is 388 km long with a drainage area of 11, 860 km². This relatively small tributary empties nearly 10% of the Colorado's annul flow upstream of Moab, UT. A previous fluvial paleohydrological dataset study for the entire southwestern U.S., (Harden et al., 2010) included only a small portion of the UCRB data from the present study and considered data from a broad range fluvial unit types from basins of various scales in the states of Arizona, Utah, New Mexico, Nevada and Texas. The paleoflood dataset presented here is an initial collection of extreme flood evidence for the last 10,000 years in the UCRB. For the meta-analysis the dataset is inferred to consist of flood deposit ages (Macklin et al., 2010), in which each data point represents an individual extreme flood interpreted from sedimentological evidence and hydraulic analysis (O'Connor et al., 1994; Cline, 2010; Greenbaum et al., 2014). An age calibration was first performed on the dataset using the program OxCal (version 4.3, Bronk Ramsey, 2009). The individual probability distribution of each calibrated radiocarbon age was then summed, producing a cumulative probability distribution function (CPDF) plot. Next, this CPDF curve was normalized by dividing each date by the highest value in the curve. Finally, various flooding episodes were identified in the CPDF curve by noting intervals where the relative probability exceeds the mean probability (Macklin et al., 2010; Harden et al., 2010).

The resulting CPDF curve (Figure 3a) suggests the extreme floods cluster on certain

decades and centuries during the past 10,000 years. Five flood episodes are identified
at 8040-7790, 3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 a BP. Several peaks occur
around 7970, 3580, 2780, 1420, 1280, and 200 a BP. Below-average probabilities are
evident in the early to middle Holocene except for a few short and weak flood episodes
at around 9000, 8000, 4500, 3500 and 2800 a BP. Most flood units clustered in the late
Holocene (after ~2300 a BP) indicating that it was a period of more frequent, extreme
flooding.

4. Paleoflood episodes and their implications for extreme flood-climate links

Unlike the Lower Colorado River Basin, where the compilation of extreme paleofloods is well developed (Ely et al., 1993; Ely, 1997) and updated (Harden et al., 2010), and despite an abundance of sites containing detailed paleoflood evidence, very few paleoflood hydrological analysis has been done for vast portions of the UCRB-a critical water resource region because of its extensive winter snowpack. This study is an early step in developing the UCRB chronology and probability record of extreme paleoflood incidences. Nevertheless, the existing record draws needed attention to evidence of naturally occurring floods that are much larger than those previously measured and documented for the basin. The result is a truer understanding of the naturally occurring range of possibilities for flood magnitudes. The result is an expansion of understanding the actual flood hazard in terms of what can be expected in the current period of rapidly changing climate, i.e., that what has happened can happen.

By combining the paleoflood data with independent paleoclimatic records of mean precipitation and temperature conditions over the same time periods as the flooding, it is possible to examine the relationship between floods and climate change on long timescales (Baker, 1987; Ely, 1997; Knox, 1993, 2000; Macklin et al., 2010; Benito et al., 2015; Wilhelm, et al., 2018). Climatic variations over the past 10,000 years have played a significant role in generating temporal and spatial patterns of flooding episodes, and the growing paleoflood datasets from diverse hydrologic and climatic systems around the world indicate that region-wide flooding episodes exist on centennial to millennial timescales (Knox, 1993; Ely, et al., 1993 and 1997; Huang, et al.,

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

228 2013; Liu, et al., 2014; Benito, et al., 2015; Harden, et al., 2015; Willem, et al., 2017). The
229 clustering of extreme floods in the UCRB also suggests a major influence by climate
230 dynamics on the temporal distribution of flood episodes. Large flood-generating
231 storms in the UCRB are mainly controlled by southward displacement of the Pacific
232 airstream, including North Pacific frontal storms, Pacific tropical cyclones, warm winter
233 storms, and the North American Monsoon (NAM) (Webb and Betancourt, 1992; Mock,
234 1996; McCabe, 1996; McGinnis, 2000; Hirschboeck, et al., 2000).

A number of climate proxy records from southwestern U.S. document paleoclimate conditions over the past 10,000 years (Kennett and Ingram, 1995; Thompson and Anderson, 2000; Betancourt et al., 2001; Polyak and Asmerom, 2005, Asmerom et al., 2007 and 2010; Wagner et al., 2010; Metcalfe et al., 2015 and its references). $\delta^{18}0$ records from three lakes at high elevations in the northwestern and southern Colorado Rocky Mountains (Yellow, Bison and San Luis Lakes) provide short and long-term precipitation variations (Anderson, 2011 and 2012; Yuan, et al., 2013). Long-term (since 12.3 ka BP) total precipitation variations are provided by a complete high-resolution δ^{18} O series from analysis of a speleothem in Pink Panther Cave of the Guadalupe Mountains, New Mexico (Asmerom et al., 2007). In addition, the El Niño frequency from Ecuador and the Galapagos Islands in the eastern equatorial offers important references of Holocene ENSO variability (Moy et al., 2002; Conroy et al., 2008).

Figure 3 shows the CPDF flood curves for both the UCRB (a) and for bedrock reaches of rivers and streams from throughout the lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB) and its vicinity (b). These are compared to the δ^{18} O records (c, d, e, and f) mentioned above, and to the ENSO variability index (g). All studies exhibit high δ^{18} O indicating the arid conditions with a rainfall-dominated precipitation during the early to mid-Holocene (10500-6700 a BP) (Fig. 3, light pink shading; Asmerom et al., 2007; Anderson, 2012; Yuan et al., 2013). The long-term decreasing trend of δ^{18} O values indicates a gradual decrease in the monsoon-dominated precipitation regime (Friedman et al., 1988; Yuan et al., 2013). However, the great negative excursion in a δ^{18} O stalagmite record around 8000 yrs BP indicates a winter Pacific-derived precipitation increase (Fig. 3f), which

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

indicates a relatively brief wet interval during prevailing dry conditions (Asmerom et al., 2007). This corresponds to the flood clustering around 8000 yrs BP observed in both the UCRB (Figure 3a) and the southwestern U.S. bedrock reaches (Figure 3b) (Harden et al., 2010). This suggests the extreme flood clustering was likely induced by abnormally increased frequent Pacific-derived storms. These storms can include winter North Pacific frontal storms, or late summer and fall storms associated with Pacific tropical cyclones over the Four Corners area in conjunction with a mid-latitude low-pressure trough.

The relatively low and decreasing trends of δ^{18} O from the three lakes records (Figures 3c, d, and e) between 6700 and ~2800 yrs BP suggest stable wet condition with a corresponding winter-dominant precipitation regime (Fig. 3 light green shadings; Anderson, 2011 and 2012; Yuan et al., 2013). This climate pattern is interpreted as a southward shift in the strengthening Pacific airstreams (e.g., the Polar Jet Stream, or PJS) (Metcalfe et al., 2015 and its references). A low-to-zero probability of extreme floods characterizes this overall wet climate period for the UCRB with the exception of relatively weak flood probability episodes at approximately 4400, 3500 and 2800 yrs BP. In contrast, this wet period coincided with two relatively long-duration flood probability episodes for the bedrock systems in the LCRB and its vicinity (Ely, et al., 1993; Ely, 1997; Harden et al., 2010). This contrast pattern in flood episodes suggests that the PJS-originated precipitation fell and was stored in the colder UCRB and was less likely to experience rapid melting to generate high-magnitude flood peaks. In contrast the overall wet climate for the entire southwestern U.S. region led to a flood prone context for its warmer southern portions.

The three weak flood episodes around 4400, 3500 and 2800 yrs BP in the UCRB and a short flood episode between 4500-3300 yrs BP in the bedrock systems of the southwest U,S. generally correspond to three pronounced negative excursions of δ^{18} O in the San Luis Lake (Figure 3c) and two in the Pink Panther Cave (Figure 3f). The period of 4000-3000 a BP, saw widespread pluvial events (a "Neopluvial") in the greater southwest, which corresponds well with a cooling of the North Pacific and an abrupt southward displacement of the PJS (Yuan et al., 2013). This period is also consistent

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

with the shift in the predominantly Pacific Ocean originated NAM (Jones et al., 2015) and an increase in frequency and strength in ENSO (more frequent El Niño's) beginning around 4000 years ago (Conroy et al., 2008 and Donders et al., 2008).

After ~2800 a BP, a gradual northward shift of the PJS, indicated by the San Luis Lake δ^{18} O variability (Fig. 3c, d, and e), led to a considerable reduction in winter precipitation in southern Colorado and the Four Corners area, which includes the southern portion of the UCRB (Yuan et al., 2013). This low winter precipitation and dry condition persisted until Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA, 1150-700 yrs BP). The northern UCRB, by contrast, experienced an increasing snowfall fraction in total precipitation until ~2300 yrs BP (Anderson, 2011 and 2012). Although the ENSO variability increased sharply between 2700-2400 yrs BP (Fig. 3g), a low probability of extreme flood episodes appeared in this period for the entire Colorado River Bains and its vicinity (Ely, et al., 1993; Ely, 1997; Harden et al., 2010). This implies that north Pacific derived precipitation was more likely to be the dominant factor of extreme floods in the southwestern U.S.

After ~2300 a BP, δ^{18} O variability in San Luis Lake Bison and Yellow lakes (Fig. 3d, e and f) suggests overall dry conditions with comparatively high summer/winter precipitation for the UCRB (Anderson, 2011 and 2012; Yuan et al., 2013). During this period, tree-ring records also provide evidence for decadal-centennial scale megadrought conditions across southwestern U.S. during MCA (Cook et al., 2004; Stahle et al., 2009; Routson et al., 2011). Negative excursions in lower overall δ^{18} O values represent an abrupt, decade-to-century increase in the fraction of winter precipitation (Anderson, 2011 and 2012). This coincides with increasing ENSO dominance (Conroy et al., 2008; Moy et al., 2002), which seems to have brought a return to slightly wetter conditions in some locations of the northern margin of the NAM region (Barron and Anderson, 2011; Metcalfe et al., 2015). This climate regime could well have triggered more rain-on-snow events during the spring snowmelt season, which would have increased the likelihood of large floods. The timing of this change coincides with the UCRB's most dominant flood probability episode (2330-700 yrs BP), which is also seen in the flood probability record for bedrock systems of the LCRB and its vicinity (Ely, et

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

al., 1993; Ely, 1997; Harden et al., 2010).

After 620 yrs BP the δ^{18} O values in the three lake records (Figures 3c, d, and e) indicate the prevalence of more arid conditions with slightly more winter precipitation during the Little Ice Age (LIA, ~350-200 yrs BP) in the Rocky Mountains (Anderson, 2011 and 2012; Yuan et al., 2013). This is consistent with the extreme flood episode in the bedrock systems in the LCRB and its vicinity (Harden et al., 2010) and with the prominent peaks of high-magnitude floods for river and streams in Arizona and southern Utah over the last 500-years (Ely, et al., 1993; Ely, 1997). This is also the period during which flood deposits are more likely to have been preserved given that their analyses contribute nearly a quarter of total geochronology used to construct the CPDF curve.

The UCRB flood episodes at around 8000, 3500, 2800, 2300-700, and after 600 yrs BP are consistent with flood probability records in the LCRB and its vicinity, and all of these correspond to punctuated north Pacific-derived winter precipitation during both overall wet and dry climate period. In contrast, the timing of stable dry periods (10,500-6700 yrs BP) and persistent wet periods (6700-2700 yrs BP) correspond to relatively few extreme flooding for the UCRB. Increased frequency of El Niño events seems to have played a minor role in regard to large UCRB floods, though some concurrence is seen after about 2300 yrs BP. Of course, this relation is based on the relatively small number of currently identified SWD units, indicating the need for much additional paleoflood hydrological research in the UCRB. The evidence suggests that the temporal clustering pattern of extreme paleofloods in the UCRB is a consequence of abrupt intensified North Pacific-derived storms associated with enhanced ENSO variability.

5. Discussion

We have identified extreme flood temporal patterns and their likely climatic controls for the UCRB by retrieving the Holocene extreme flood events history and comparing this with records of long-term climatic change. Although our meta-analysis is based on a relatively small dataset of extreme paleofloods, the results reflect the realities of the naturally occurring range of possibilities for flood magnitudes in the

Page 13 of 27

 348 UCRB, rather than the conventional approach of extrapolating by FFA to projected large
349 magnitudes from samples of small-scale flood events. As a result, the natural extreme
350 flood history and its temporal clustering pattern advances scientific understanding of
351 extreme floods and their climatological context for the UCRB.

Our results should also be viewed as preliminary and limited to the current state of hydrologically analyzed paleoflood data. Nevertheless, the results indicate both the great potential for meta-analysis of the real extreme floods and the potential for using the immense untapped wealth of the many paleoflood sites that occur in the UCRB and in other places around the world.

Naturally well-preserved paleoflood records, historical-archival flood information, and instrumentally measured datasets all supply essential information that can be relied upon for statistical analysis and for deepening understanding of extreme floods behaviors. A periodically updated compilation of historical and paleoflood records collected by the PAGES Floods Working Group embraces the published past flood records in Europe, North America, and Asia, other places in the southern hemisphere (Wilhelm, et al., 2018).

Except for Spain, the United Kingdom (Kjeldsen et al., 2014), and China (Luo, 2006; SL44-2006), where a review of natural and historical sources is legally required, few countries legally require paleoflood and/or historical flood investigation to be undertaken prior to implementation of infrastructural measures for flood control and other water-resource projects. Hopefully the recent advances in the science of paleoflood hydrology will encourage more nations to follow these leads.

6. Conclusions

Based on extensive paleoflood investigations and geochronological analyses, we used meta-analysis to derive the history of the Holocene extreme floods in the UCRB. The flood clusters for the UCRB indicate that periods of frequent extreme flooding occurred during the age ranges of 8040-7790, 3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 a BP. The temporal clustering pattern of extreme paleofloods in the UCRB likely results from abrupt strengthened North Pacific-derived storms associated with enhanced El Niño variability, and this occurs regardless of the prevailing climate period

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

being dry or wet. This first meta-analysis of Holocene 14C and OSL dated SWD units from across the entire UCRB demonstrates that CPDFs enable both an objective definition of flood activity periods and the identification of likely hydro-climatic controls on those flood activity periods. This approach also demonstrates the value of creating palaeohydrological databases and comparing them to hydro-climatic proxies in order to identify natural patterns and to discover possible linkages to fundamental processes such as changes in climate. Further site-based studies of the paleoflood history throughout the UCRB and other drainage basins should be encouraged so that additional data can be applied to improve our understanding of the regional response of floods to climate variability. **References:** Adams, D.K. and Comrie, A.C., 1997. The North American monsoon. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 78(10): 2197-2213. Alexander, M.A., Scott, J.D., Swales, D., Hughes, M., Mahoney, K. and Smith, C.A. (2015) Moisture pathways into the U.S. intermountain west associated with heavy winter Journal of Hydrometeorology, 1184-1206. precipitation events. 16, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0139.1. Anderson, L., 2011. Holocene record of precipitation seasonality from lake calcite delta 0-18 in the central Rocky Mountains, United States. Geology 39, 211-214. Anderson, L., 2012. Rocky Mountain hydroclimate: Holocene variability and the role of insolation, ENSO, and the North American Monsoon. Glob. Planet. Chang. 92-93, 198-208. Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V., Burns, S., Rassmussen, J., 2007. Solar forcing of Holocene climate: newinsights from speleothem record, southwestern United States. Geology 35, 1–4. Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V.J., Burns, S.J., 2010. Variable winter moisture in the southwestern United States linked to rapid glacial climate shifts. Nat. Geosci. 3, 114–117. Baker, V.R., 1982, Geology, determinism, and risk assessment, in Scientific basis of

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

Page 15 of 27

1 2		
3 4	408	water-resource management: National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., p.109-117.
5 6	409	Baker, V. R., 1987, Paleoflood hydrology and hydroclimatic change, The influence of the
7 8	410	climate change and climatic variability on the hydrologic regime and water resources,
9 10	411	IAHS Publ., 168, 123–131.
11	412	Baker, V.R., 2006. Palaeoflood hydrology in a global context. Catena 66: 141-145.
12 13	413	Baker V.R., 2008. Paleoflood hydrology: origin, progress, prospects. Geomorphology 101: 1–
14 15	414	13.
16 17	415	Baker, V.R., 2013. Global late Quaternary fluvial paleohydrology: with special emphasis on
18 19	416	paleofloods and megafloods. In: Shroder, J. (Editor in Chief), Wohl, E. (Ed.), Treatise on
20 21	417	Geomorphology. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, vol. 9, Fluvial Geomorphology, pp.
22 23	418	511–527.
24 25	419	Baker, V.R., 2017. Debates—Hypothesis testing in hydrology: pursuing certainty versus
26 27	420	pursuing uberty: Water Resources Research, DOI: 10.1002/2016WR020078.
28	421	Barron, J.A., Anderson, L., 2011. Enhanced Late Holocene ENSO/PDO expression along the
29 30 31	422	margins of the eastern North Pacific. Quat. Int. 235, 3-12.
32	423	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2010.02.026.
33 34 25	424	Benito, G., Macklin, M.G., Panin, A., Rossato, S., Fontana, A., Jones, A.F., Machado, M.J.,
35 36 27	425	Matlakhova, E., Mozzi, P., and Zielhofer, C., 2015, Recurring flood distribution patterns
37 38	426	related to short-term Holocene climatic variability: Nature Scientific Reports, v. 5,
39 40	427	16398, doi: 10 .1038 /srep16398.
41 42	428	Betancourt, J.L., Rylander, K.A., Penalba, C., McVickar, J.L., 2001. Late Quaternary vegetation
43 44	429	history of Rough Canyon, south-central NewMexico, USA. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol.
45 46	430	Palaeoecol. 165, 71–95.
47 48	431	Bronk Ramsey, C. 2009. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon, 51(1),
49 50	432	337-360.
51 52	433	Bryson, R.A. and Hare, F.K., 1974. The climates of North America. Climates of North America,
53 54	434	World Survey of Climatology II. Elsevier, New York.
55 56	435	Cline, M.L. 2010. Extreme flooding in the Dolores River Basin, Colorado and Utah: Insights
57 58	436	from paleofloods, geochronology and hydroclimatic analysis. Ph.D. Dissertation,
59 60	437	University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, 221 p.

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

1 2		
3 4	438	Conroy, J.L., Overpeck, J.T., Cole, J.E., Shanahan, T.M., Steinitz-Kannan, M., 2008. Holocene
5 6	439	changes in eastern tropical Pacific climate inferred from a Galapagos lake sediment
7 8	440	record. Quat. Sci. Rev. 27, 1166–1180.
9 10	441	Cook, E.R., Woodhouse, C.A., Eakin, C.M., Meko, D.M., Stahle, D.W., 2004. Long-term aridity
11 12	442	changes in the western United States. Science 306, 1015-1018.
13 14	443	Dettinger, M.D., Ralph, F.M., Das, T., Neiman, P.J. and Cayan, D.R. (2011) Atmospheric rivers,
15 16	444	floods, and the water resources of California. Water, 3, 445–478.
17 18	445	https://doi.org/10.3390/w3020445.
19 20	446	Donders, T.H., Wagner-Cremer, F., Visscher, H., 2008. Integration of proxy data and model
21	447	scenarios for the mid-Holocene onset of modern ENSO variability. Quat. Sci. Rev. 27,
23	448	571-579.
24 25 26	449	Dunne, T. and Leopold, L. B. 1978: Calculation of flood hazard. In Dunne, T. and Leopold, L.B.,
20 27 28	450	Water in environmental planning, San Francisco, WH Freeman and Co, CA: 279-391.
20 29 20	451	Ely, L.L., Enzel, Y., Baker, V.R. and Cayan, D.R., 1993. A 5000-year record of extreme floods
30 31	452	and climate change in the southwestern United-States. Science, 262(5132): 410-412.
32 33	453	Ely, L. L. 1997: Response of extreme floods in the southwestern United States to climatic
34 35	454	variations in the late Holocene. Geomorphology 19, 175-201.
36 37	455	Enzel, Y., Ely, L.L., House, P.K., Baker, V.R. and Webb, R.H., 1993. Paleoflood evidence for a
38 39	456	natural upper bound to flood magnitudes in the Colorado River Basin. Water Resources
40 41	457	Research, 29(7): 2287-2297.
42 43	458	Eugene Z. Stakhiv, William Werick, Robert W. Brumbaugh, 2016, Evolution of drought
44 45	459	management policies and practices in the United States. Water Policy, 18 (S2): 122-
46 47	460	152. doi: https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2016.017
48 49	461	Friedman, I., Carrara, P., Gleason, J., 1988. Isotopic evidence of Holocene climatic change in
50 51	462	the San Juan Mountains, Colorado. Quat. Res. 30, 350–353.
52 53	463	Friedman, J.M. et al., 2006. Transverse and longitudinal variation in woody riparian
54 55	464	vegetation along a montane river. Western North American Naturalist, 66(1): 78-91.
56 57	465	Galbraith, R. F. 2005: Statistics for Fission Track Analysis. 240 pp. Chapman and Hall,
58 59	466	London.
60	467	Greenbaum, N., T. M. Harden, V. R. Baker, J. Weisheit, M. L. Cline, N. Porat, R. Halevi, and J.

1 2		
3 4	468	Dohrenwend. 2014. A 2000 year natural record of magnitudes and frequencies for the
5 6	469	largest Upper Colorado River floods near Moab, Utah, Water Resour. Res., 50, 5249-
7 8	470	5269, doi:10.1002/2013WR014835.
9 10	471	Harden, T.M., Macklin, M. G. and Baker, V. R., 2010, Holocene flood histories in south western
11 12	472	USA: Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, v. 35, p. 707–716.
13 14	473	Harden, T.M., O'Connor, J.E., Driscoll, D.G., 2015. Late Holocene flood probabilities in the
15 16	474	Black Hills, South Dakota with emphasis on the Medieval Climate Anomaly. Catena 130:
17 18	475	62–68.
19 20	476	Hirschboeck, K.K., 1987. Catastrophic flooding and atmospheric circulation anomalies, in
21 22	477	Mayer, L. and Nash, D.B., eds., Catastrophic Flooding, Allen & Unwin, 23-56.
23 24	478	Hirschboeck, K.K., Ely, L.a.M. and R.A. (Editors), 2000. Hydroclimatology of meteorologic
25	479	floods Inland Flood Hazards: Human, Riparian and Aquatic Communities. Cambridge
27 28	480	University Press, p. 39-72 pp.
20 29 30	481	Huang, C.C., Pang,. J.L., Zha, X.C., et al. 2013. Extraordinary hydro-climatic events during the
31 22	482	period AD 200–300 recorded by slackwater deposits in the upper Hanjiang River valley,
33 24	483	China. Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol., 374: 274–283.
35 36	484	IPCC, 2012: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change
30 37	485	Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel
38 39	486	on Climate Change (IPCC). [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L.
40 41	487	Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley
42 43	488	(eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
44 45	489	USA, 582 pp.
46 47	490	Jones, A.F., Macklin, M.G., Benito, G., 2015. Meta-analysis of Holocene fluvial sedimentary
48 49	491	archives: A methodological primer. Catena 130, 3–12.
50 51	492	Jones, M.D., Metcalf, S.E., Davies, S.J., Noren, A., 2015. Late Holocene reorganization and the
52 53	493	North American Monsoon. Quat. Sci. Rev. 124, 290–295.
54 55	494	Keen, Richard A. "WEATHER AND CLIMATE." The Western San Juan Mountains: Their
56 57	495	Geology, Ecology, and Human History, edited by Rob Blair et al., University Press of
58 59	496	Colorado, 1996, pp. 113–126.
60	497	Kennett, J.P., Ingram, B.L., 1995. A 20,000-year record of ocean circulation and climate

1 2		
3 4	498	change from the Santa Barbara Basin. Nature, 377: 510–514.
5 6	499	Kirk JP, Schmidlin TW. Moisture transport associated with large precipitation events in the
7 8	500	Upper Colorado River Basin. Int J Climatol. 2018;1–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5734
9 10	501	Kiseiel, C.C. 1969. Time series analysis of hydrologic data. Adv. Hydrosci. 5, 1-119.
11 12	502	Kjeldsen, T. R., Macdonald, N., Lang, M., Mediero, L., Albuquerque, T., Bogdanowicz, E., et al.
13 14	503	2014. Documentary evidence of past floods in Europe and their utility in flood
15 16	504	frequency estimation. Journal of Hydrology, 517, 963–973.
17 18	505	Klemeš V., 1987, Hydrological and engineering relevance of flood frequency analysis. In
19 20	506	Singh, V. P., editor, Hydrologic Frequency Modeling: D. Reidel Publishing Company, p.
21 22	507	1-18.
23 24	508	Klemeš, V., 1989, The improbable probabilities of extreme floods and droughts, In
25	509	Starosolszky, O., and O.M. Melder O.M., editors, Hydrology of Disasters: James &
27	510	James, London, p. 43–51
29	511	Knox, J.C., 1993. Large increases in flood magnitude in response to modest changes in
30 31	512	climate. Nature, 361(6411): 430-432.
33 34	513	Knox J C. 2000, Sensitivity of modern and Holocene floods to climate change[J]. Quaternary
34 35	514	Science Reviews, 19(1): 439-457.
30 37	515	LaRue, E.C., 1925, Water power and flood control of Colorado River below Green River, Utah:
38 39	516	U.S. Geol. Survey Water Supply Paper 556, 176 p.
40 41	517	Liu, T., Huang, C.C., Pang, J.L., et al., 2014. Extraordinary hydro-climatic events during 1800-
42 43	518	1600 yr BP in the Jin–Shaan Gorges along the middle Yellow River, China. Palaeogeogr.,
44 45	519	Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol., 410: 143–152.
46 47	520	Kochel, R.C., Baker, V.R., 1982. Paleoflood hydrology. Science 215, 353–361.
48 49	521	Macklin, M.G., Lewin, J., 2003. River sediments, great floods and centennial-scale Holocene
50 51	522	climate change. J. Quat. Sci., 18: 101–105.
52 53	523	Macklin, M.G., Benito, G., Gregory, K.J., Johnstone E., Lewin, J., Starkel, R. Soja, L.,
54 55 56 57	524	Thorndycraft, V.R 2006. Past hydrological events reflected in the Holocene fluvial
	525	history of Europe. Catena, 66: 145–154.
58 59	526	Macklin, M.G., Jones, A.F., Lewin, J., 2010. River response to rapid Holocene environmental
60	527	change: evidence and explanation in British catchments. Quat. Sci. Rev. 29, 1555–1576.

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

1 2		
3 4	528	Macklin M G, Toonen W H J, Woodward J C et al. 2015, A new model of river dynamics,
5 6	529	hydroclimatic change and human settlement in the Nile Valley derived from
7 8	530	meta-analysis of the Holocene fluvial archive. Quaternary Science Reviews, 130:
9 10	531	109-123.
11 12	532	McCabe, G.J. (1996) Effects of winter atmospheric circulation on temporal and spatial
13 14	533	variability in annual streamflow in the western United States. Hydrology and Earth
15 16	534	System Sciences, 41, 873–887. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626669609491556.
17 18	535	Metcalfe, S.E., Barron, J.A. and Davies, S.J., 2015. The Holocene history of the North American
19 20	536	Monsoon:'known knowns' and'known unknowns' in understanding its spatial and
21	537	temporal complexity. Quaternary Science Reviews, 120, pp.1-27.
23 24	538	Mock, C.J., 1996. Climate controls and spatial variations of precipitation in the western
25 26	539	United States. Journal of Climate 9, 1111–1125.
20 27 28	540	Moy, C.M., Seltzer, G.O., Rodbell, D.T., Anderson, D.M., 2002. Variability of El Niño/ Southern
20 29	541	Oscillation activity at millennial timescales during the Holocene epoch. Nature 420,
30 31	542	162–165.
32 33	543	National Research Council. 2007. Colorado River Basin Water Management: Evaluating and
34 35	544	Adjusting to Hydroclimatic Variability. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
36 37	545	doi: 10.17226/11857.
38 39	546	O'Connor, J. E., L. L. Ely, E. Wohl, L. E. Stevens, T. S. Melis, V. S. Kale, and V. R. Baker (1994), A
40 41	547	4500-year record of large floods on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona. J.
42 43	548	Geol., 102, 1–9.
44 45	549	Polyak, V.J., Asmerom, Y., 2005. Orbital control of long-termmoisture in the southwestern
46 47	550	USA. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32.
48 49	551	Ralph, F.M., Neiman, P.J., Wick, G.A., Gutman, S.I., Dettinger, M.D., Cayan, D.R. and White, A.B.
50 51	552	(2006) Flooding on California's Russian River: role of atmospheric rivers. Geophysical
52 53	553	Research Letters, 33, L13801. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026689.
54 55	554	Rittenour, T. M., Goble, R. J. & Blum, M. D. 2005: Development of an OSL chronology for late
56 57	555	Pleistocene channel belts in the lower Mississippi valley. Quaternary Science Reviews
58 59	556	24, 2539–2554.
60	557	Rodionov, S.N., Bond, N.A. and Overland, J.E., 2007. The Aleutian Low, storm tracks, and

1 2		
3 4	558	winter climate variability in the Bering Sea. Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	559	in Oceanography, 54(23-26): 2560-2577.
	560	Rossato, S., Fontana, A., Mozzi, P., 2015. Meta-analysis of a Holocene 14C database for the
	561	detection of paleohydrological crisis in the Venetian-Friulian Plain (NE Italy). Catena
	562	130, 34–45.
	563	Routson, C.C., Woodhouse, C.A., Overpeck, J.T., 2011. Second century megadrought in the Rio
	564	Grande headwaters, Colorado: how unusual was medieval drought? Geophysical
	565	Research Letters 38, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050015.
	566	Sheppard, P.R., Comrie, A.C., Packin, G.D., Angersbach, K. and Hughes, M.K., 2002. The climate
21	567	of the US Southwest. Climate Research, 21(3): 219-238.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20	568	Stahle, D.W., Burnette, D., Villanueva, J., Cerano, J., Fye, F.K., Griffin, R.D., Cleaveland, M.K.,
	569	Stahle, D.K., Edmondson, J.R., Wolff, K.P., 2012b. Tree-ring analysis of ancient
	570	baldcypress trees and subfossil wood. Quat. Sci. Rev. 34, 1-15.
	571	Stauch G. Multi-decadal periods of enhanced aeolian activity on the north-eastern Tibet
30 31	572	Plateau during the last 2ka. Quaternary Science Reviews, 2016, 149: 91-101.
32 33 34	573	Seastrand, S., Serra, Y., Castro, C. and Ritchie, E., 2015. The dominant synoptic-scale modes of
35 36	574	North American monsoon precipitation. Int. J. Climatol, 35: 2019-2032.
37 38	575	doi:10.1002/joc.4104
39 40	576	Taleb, N. N., 2007, The Black Swan: The Impact of the Highly Improbable: Penguin, London.
41 42	577	Thompson, R.S., Anderson, K.H., 2000. Biomes of western North America at 18,000, 6000 and
43 44	578	0 C-14 yr BP reconstructed from pollen and packrat midden data. J. Biogeogr. 27, 555–
45 46	579	584.
47 48	580	Tooth, S., Rodnight, H., Duller, G. A. T., McCarthy, T. S., Marren, P. M. & Brandt, D. 2007:
49	581	Chronology and control of avulsion along a mixed bedrock-alluvial river. Geological
50 51 52	582	Society of America Bulletin 119, 452–461.
52 53 54	583	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 2012: Colorado River basin water supply and demand study:
55 56	584	Executive summary. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 28 pp.,
57 58	585	https://www.usbr.gov/watersmart//bsp/docs/finalreport/ColoradoRiver
59 60	586	USGS Fact Sheet 2004-3062. Climatic Fluctuations, Drought, and Flow in the Colorado River

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG

1 2						
3 4	587	Basin". August 2004.				
5 6	588	Wagner, J.D.M., Cole, J.E., Beck, J.W., Patchett, P.J., Henderson, G.M., Barnett, H.R., 2010.				
7 8	589	Moisture variability in the southwestern United States linked to abrupt glacial climate				
9 10	590	change. Nat. Geosci. 3, 110–113.				
11 12	591	Webb, RH., Betancourt, J.L., 1992. Climatic variability and flood frequency of the Santa Cruz				
13 14	592	River, Pima County, Arizona. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water-Supply Paper, 2379.				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	593	Willem H.J. Toonen, Simon A. Foulds, Mark G. Macklin, John Lewin, 2017. Events, episodes,				
	594	and phases: Signal from noise in flood-sediment. Geology, doi:10.1130/G38540.1.				
	595	Wilhelm B, Ballesteros Cánovas JA, Macdonald N, et al., 2018. Interpreting historical,				
	596	botanical, and geological evidence to aid preparations for future floods. WIREs Water.				
	597	2018; e1318. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1318				
	598	Wohl, E. E., Fuertsch, S. J. and Baker, V. R. 1994: Sedimentary records of late holocene floods				
	599	along the Fitzroy and Margaret Rivers, western Australia. Australian Journal of Earth				
	600	Sciences 41, 273-280.				
	601	Yuan F, Koran MR, Valdez A. 2013. Late glacial and Holocene record of climatic change in the				
	602	southern Rocky Mountains from sediments in San Luis Lake, Colorado, USA.				
	603	Palaeogeogr , Palaeoclimatol , Palaeoecol. 392:146-60.				
36 37	604					
38 39						
40 41						
42 43						
44						
45 46						
47						
48 49						
50						
51						
53						
54						
55 56						
57						
58						
59 60						

1 Table 1. Paleofloods used in calculating cumulative probability distribution function curves

- 2 for all four reaches in the upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB). Information shown was from
- 3 O'Connor, et al., (1994), Cline (2010), Greenbaum et al., (2014) and unpublished scientific
- 4 reports.

River	Reach	Flood deposit #	Lab code	Dating method	Age (a BP)
Colorado Riv.	Moab	1	A13878	¹⁴ C	135 ± 35
	Moab	2	AA65420	¹⁴ C	340 ± 34
	Moab	3	A13879	¹⁴ C	120.5 ± 1.8
	Moab	4	A13877	¹⁴ C	6275 ± 160
	Moab	5	P2U5	OSL	1410 ± 110
	Moab	6	P4U4	OSL	2140 ± 220
	Moab	7	P5U1	OSL	1300 ± 90
	Moab	8	P5U2	OSL	1460 ± 80
	Moab	9	P9U5	OSL	390 ± 100
	Moab	10	P10U3	OSL	170 ± 40
	Moab	11	P10U7	OSL	410 ± 70
	Moab	12	P11U5	OSL	230 ± 60
	Moab	13	P12U5	OSL	490 ± 150
	Moab	14	P13U6	OSL	220 ± 70
	Moab	15	P14U7	OSL	460 ± 110
	Moab	16	P14U13	OSL	200 ± 60
	Cataract Canyon	17	GRV-13	OSL	370 ± 100
	Cataract Canyon	18	GRV-14	OSL	410 ± 100
	Cataract Canyon	19	USU-2309	OSL	310 ± 90
	Cataract Canyon	20	USU-2308	OSL	1160 ± 210
	Cataract Canyon	21	GRV-1	OSL	1100 ± 600
	Lees Ferry	22	GX-16055	¹⁴ C	1425 ± 130
	Lees Ferry	23	GX-16052	¹⁴ C	2150 ± 140
	Lees Ferry	24	GX-16053	¹⁴ C	2155 ± 75
	Lees Ferry	25	GX-16049	¹⁴ C	2470 ± 85
	Lees Ferry	26	GX-16050	¹⁴ C	2930 ± 165
	Lees Ferry	27	GX-16054	¹⁴ C	3530 ± 80
	Lees Ferry	28	GX-16051	¹⁴ C	3915 ± 85
	Lees Ferry	29	GX-16012	¹⁴ C	330 ± 120
	Lees Ferry	30	GX-16024	¹⁴ C	1470 ± 190
Green Riv.	Dead Horse Canyon	1	GRV-2	OSL	680 ± 250
	Dead Horse Canyon	2	GRV-3	OSL	470 ± 160
	Dead Horse Canyon	3	USU-2310	OSL	200 ± 80
	High Bank	4	GRV-4	OSL	320 ± 70
	High Bank	5	GRV-5	OSL	350 ± 70
	Ledge	6	GRV-6	OSL	220 ± 40
	Powell Canyon	7	GRV-7	OSL	480 ± 170

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG B-024

1 2						
3		Powell Canvon	8	CRV-8	051	340 + 90
4		Powell Canyon	0		OSL	340 ± 100
5			9	030-2300	OSL	200 ± 100
7		Rockfall	10	GRV-9	OSL	400 ± 100
8		Rockfall	11	GRV-10	USL	220 ± 110
9		Rockfall	12	GRV-11	OSL	550 ± 170
10		Rockfall	13	GRV-12	OSL	290 ± 60
11 12		Rockfall	14	USU-2307	OSL	190 ± 80
13	Dolores Riv.	Big Rock	1	AA82500	¹⁴ C	991 ± 37
14		Big Rock	2	AA82502	¹⁴ C	1226 ± 38
15		Big Rock	3	AA85261	¹⁴ C	1337 ± 36
16 17		Big Rock	4	AA85262	¹⁴ C	1359 ± 36
18		Big Alcove	5	AA82506	¹⁴ C	1231 ± 38
19		Big Alcove	6	AA86680	¹⁴ C	1271 ± 34
20		Big Alcove	7	AA86681	¹⁴ C	947 ± 33
21		McPhee	8	AA84122	¹⁴ C	1526 ± 53
22		McPhee	9	AA84123	¹⁴ C	1578 ± 45
24		McPhee	10	AA86674	¹⁴ C	1822 ± 67
25		Mucky	11	AA84126	¹⁴ C	7800 ± 200
26		Mucky	12	AA84127	¹⁴ C	2089 + 70
27 28		Mucky	13	AA84128	¹⁴ C	1596 + 43
29		Mucky	14	AA95262	140	1370 ± 43
30		Mucky	14	AA05205	146	3322 ± 02
31		миску	15	AA85264	140	2212 ± 97
32 33		Миску	16	AA85267	±∗C	1342 ± 50
34		Mucky	17	AA86675	¹⁴ C	1750 ± 38
35		Mucky	18	AA86676	¹⁴ C	1735 ± 38
36		Mucky	19	AA86677	¹⁴ C	1626 ± 38
3/		Mucky	20	AA86678	¹⁴ C	1821 ± 39
39		Mucky	21	AA84130	¹⁴ C	2680 ± 64
40		Tafoni	22	AA85258	¹⁴ C	893 ± 51
41		Tafoni	23	AA85259	¹⁴ C	1995 ± 94
42		Juniper	24	AA86019	¹⁴ C	8033 ± 55
43 44		Juniper	25	AA86021	¹⁴ C	7153 ± 48
45		Tributary	26	AA86024	¹⁴ C	7060 ± 190
46		Tafoni	27	USU-330	OSL	2940 ± 320
47		Big Alcove	28	USU-331	OSL	1440 ± 180
48		Big Alcove	29	USU-332	OSL	990 ± 80
50		Big Rock	30	USU-626	OSL.	1100 + 130
51		Tafoni	31	USU-627	051	1100 = 100 1110 + 170
52		Juniner	22	11511 620	OSL	7940 ± 660
53 54		Tributory	3 <u>2</u> 22	USU (20	001	010 ± 000
55 .		moutary	33	020-030	USL	0210 ± /90
56 5						
57						

> http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG B-025

1	Figure 1. Map showing the location of sample sites included the 14C and OSL dated
2	paleoflood slack-water deposits (SWDs) dataset in the upper Colorado River basin (UCRB).
3	Locations of δ^{18} O records of Yellow, Bison and San Luis Lakes are also labeled.
4	
5	Figure 2. Annual maximum peak discharges in the Colorado River at the USGS gauging station
6	near Lees Ferry, Arizona 1884-2015.
7	
8	Figure 3. Comparison of the cumulative probability distribution function (CPDF) from (a) the
9	Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB), (b) the bedrock reaches in the southwest US (Harden et
10	al., 2010), and climate records from (c) δ^{18} O of San Luis Lake (Yuan et al., 2013); (d and e)
11	δ^{18} O of Yellow and Bison Lake (Anderson, 2011, 2012); (f) δ 180 of a speleothem from Pink
12	Panther Cave (Asmerom et al., 2007) and (g) ENSO frequency reconstructions from El Junco
13	Lake (dashed line, Conroy et al., 2008) and Laguna Palcacocha (black line, Moy et al., 2002).
14	Light pink shadings (10500-6700 a BP and after 2800 a BP) are overall dry periods; green
15	shading (6700-2800 a BP) is relatively stable wet period, and blue shadings (8040-7790,
16	3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 a BP) are five flood episodes.
17	



http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG


129x50mm (300 x 300 DPI)

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/PiPG



194x176mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Appendix C – Final Program Performance Report: Paleoflood Hydrology of the Colorado River System

FINAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT, 12/31/2018

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Agreement No. R16AC00021

Project Title: Paleoflood Hydrology of the Colorado River System

Final Period: October 1, 2018 – December 30, 2018

Recipient/Project Manager: Dr. Victor R. Baker, The University of Arizona

This report covers the final 3-month period of the project timeline. The nominal project period officially terminated on December 31, 2018, after a 3-month, no-cost extension. Discussions were on-going at that time about a continuation of the project, but details were not finalized as of this writing, partly related to agency budget considerations related to federal budgetary uncertainties. Though this report is technically the "Final Project Report," in reality our project has been continuing without funding in anticipation of renewal. As a result, this report will include summaries of work that has been accomplished, is ongoing, and is planned for the future.

1. Green River and Cataract Canyon Paleoflood Study

Work on this aspect of the project was completed, and a journal article on the results has been written, as follows:

Liu, T., Greenbaum, N., Baker, V. R., Ji, L., Rittenour, T., Porat, N., Onken, J., and Weisheit, J., in review, Paleoflood hydrology of the lower Green River, upper Colorado River Basin, USA.

Through a comprehensive paleoflood hydrological investigation we documented natural evidence for 70 high-magnitude paleofloods at six sites on the Lower Green River, Utah. Hydraulic analysis, using the Sedimentation and River Hydraulic-2D model (SRH-2D), showed that the responsible peak paleoflood discharges ranged between 500 and 7500 m³/s. At least 14

of these paleoflood discharge peaks exceed a level twice that of the maximum systematic record of gauged flows: 1929 m³/s. Geochronological analyses, employing optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon dating techniques, demonstrated that these 14 largest paleoflood peaks occurred during the past 700 years. Integration of the paleoflood data into flood frequency analyses (FFA) revealed considerably higher values for the upper tails of the flood distribution than did a FFA based solely on the systematic gauged record, indicating that extreme floods are larger and more frequent than implied by the relatively short gauged record. Through examination of three approaches to extreme flood estimation – conventional FFA, probable maximum flood estimation (PMF), and paleoflood hydrology (PFH) – we showed the significance of the natural evidence for advancing scientific understanding of extreme floods that naturally occur in the Colorado River system. We argue that this kind of scientific understanding is absolutely essential for achieving a credible evaluation of extreme flood risk in a watershed of immense importance to economic prosperity of the southwestern U.S.

Data from 2 paleoflood sites in Cataract Canyon of the Colorado Rive are being incorporated into a regional study of Colorado River paleoflood hydrology.

2. Delores/San Miguel Studies

This aspect of the study has been completed, and a journal article on the results has been written, as follows:

Liu, T., Ji, L., Baker, V.R., Harden, T.M., Cline, M.L., in review, Holocene paleoflood events and their climatological and physiographic context, upper Colorado River Basin, USA.

Given its singular importance for water resources in the southwestern U.S., the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) is remarkable for the paucity of its conventional hydrological record of extreme flooding. This study used paleoflood hydrology to examine a small portion the underutilized, but very extensive natural record of Holocene extreme floods in the UCRB. We performed a meta-analysis of 77 extreme paleofloods from seven slackwater deposit (SWD) sites in the UCRB (Table 1) to show linkages between Holocene climate patterns and rare, extreme floods (Figure 1). The analysis demonstrated several clusters of extreme flood activity: 8040-7790, 3600-3460, 2880-2740, 2330-700, and 620-0 years BP. The extreme paleofloods were found to occur during both dry and wet periods in the paleoclimate record. When compared with independent paleoclimatic records across the Rocky Mountains and the southwestern US, the observed temporal clustering pattern of UCRB extreme paleofloods was found to be associated with periods of abruptly intensified North Pacific-derived storms connected with enhanced El Niño variability.

River	Reach	Flood deposit #	Lab code	Dating method	Age (a BP)
Colorado Riv.	Moab	1	A13878	¹⁴ C	135 ± 35
	Moab	2	AA65420	¹⁴ C	340 ± 34
	Moab	3	A13879	¹⁴ C	120.5 ± 1.8
	Moab	4	A13877	¹⁴ C	6275 ± 160
	Moab	5	P2U5	OSL	1410 ± 110
	Moab	6	P4U4	OSL	2140 ± 220
	Moab	7	P5U1	OSL	1300 ± 90
	Moab	8	P5U2	OSL	1460 ± 80
	Moab	9	P9U5	OSL	390 ± 100
	Moab	10	P10U3	OSL	170 ± 40
	Moab	11	P10U7	OSL	410 ± 70
	Moab	12	P11U5	OSL	230 ± 60
	Moab	13	P12U5	OSL	490 ± 150
	Moab	14	P13U6	OSL	220 ± 70
	Moab	15	P14U7	OSL	460 ± 110
	Moab	16	P14U13	OSL	200 ± 60
	Cataract Canyon	17	GRV-13	OSL	370 ± 100
	Cataract Canyon	18	GRV-14	OSL	410 ± 100
	Cataract Canyon	19	USU-2309	OSL	310 ± 90
	Cataract Canyon	20	USU-2308	OSL	1160 ± 210
	Cataract Canyon	21	GRV-1	OSL	1100 ± 600
	Lees Ferry	22	GX-16055	¹⁴ C	1425 ± 130
	Lees Ferry	23	GX-16052	¹⁴ C	2150 ± 140
	Lees Ferry	24	GX-16053	¹⁴ C	2155 ± 75
	Lees Ferry	25	GX-16049	¹⁴ C	2470 ± 85
	Lees Ferry	26	GX-16050	¹⁴ C	2930 ± 165

Table 1. Paleofloods used in calculating cumulative probability distribution function curves for all four reaches in the upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB). Source: O'Connor, et al., (1994), Cline (2010), Greenbaum et al., (2014), and unpublished data from this study and other scientific reports.

Paleoflood Hydrology of the Colorado River System

	Lees Ferry	27	GX-16054	¹⁴ C	3530 ± 80
	Lees Ferry	28	GX-16051	¹⁴ C	3915 ± 85
	Lees Ferry	29	GX-16012	¹⁴ C	330 ± 120
	Lees Ferry	30	GX-16024	¹⁴ C	1470 ± 190
Green Riv.	Dead Horse Canyon	1	GRV-2	OSL	680 ± 250
	Dead Horse Canyon	2	GRV-3	OSL	470 ± 160
	Dead Horse Canyon	3	USU-2310	OSL	200 ± 80
	High Bank	4	GRV-4	OSL	320 ± 70
	High Bank	5	GRV-5	OSL	350 ± 70
	Ledge	6	GRV-6	OSL	220 ± 40
	Powell Canyon	7	GRV-7	OSL	480 ± 170
	Powell Canyon	8	GRV-8	OSL	340 ± 90
	Powell Canyon	9	USU-2306	OSL	200 ± 100
	Rockfall	10	GRV-9	OSL	400 ± 100
	Rockfall	11	GRV-10	OSL	220 ± 110
	Rockfall	12	GRV-11	OSL	550 ± 170
	Rockfall	13	GRV-12	OSL	290 ± 60
	Rockfall	14	USU-2307	OSL	190 ± 80
Dolores Riv.	Big Rock	1	AA82500	¹⁴ C	991 ± 37
	Big Rock	2	AA82502	¹⁴ C	1226 ± 38
	Big Rock	3	AA85261	¹⁴ C	1337 ± 36
	Big Rock	4	AA85262	¹⁴ C	1359 ± 36
	Big Alcove	5	AA82506	¹⁴ C	1231 ± 38
	Big Alcove	6	AA86680	¹⁴ C	1271 ± 34
	Big Alcove	7	AA86681	¹⁴ C	947 ± 33
	McPhee	8	AA84122	¹⁴ C	1526 ± 53
	McPhee	9	AA84123	¹⁴ C	1578 ± 45
	McPhee	10	AA86674	¹⁴ C	1822 ± 67
	Mucky	11	AA84126	¹⁴ C	7800 ± 200

Mucky	12	AA84127	¹⁴ C	2089 ± 70
Mucky	13	AA84128	¹⁴ C	1596 ± 43
Mucky	14	AA85263	¹⁴ C	3322 ± 62
Mucky	15	AA85264	¹⁴ C	2212 ± 97
Mucky	16	AA85267	¹⁴ C	1342 ± 50
Mucky	17	AA86675	¹⁴ C	1750 ± 38
Mucky	18	AA86676	¹⁴ C	1735 ± 38
Mucky	19	AA86677	¹⁴ C	1626 ± 38
Mucky	20	AA86678	¹⁴ C	1821 ± 39
Mucky	21	AA84130	¹⁴ C	2680 ± 64
Tafoni	22	AA85258	¹⁴ C	893 ± 51
Tafoni	23	AA85259	¹⁴ C	1995 ± 94
Juniper	24	AA86019	¹⁴ C	8033 ± 55
Juniper	25	AA86021	¹⁴ C	7153 ± 48
Tributary	26	AA86024	¹⁴ C	7060 ± 190
Tafoni	27	USU-330	OSL	2940 ± 320
Big Alcove	28	USU-331	OSL	1440 ± 180
Big Alcove	29	USU-332	OSL	990 ± 80
Big Rock	30	USU-626	OSL	1100 ± 130
Tafoni	31	USU-627	OSL	1110 ± 170
Juniper	32	USU-628	OSL	7840 ± 660
Tributary	33	USU-630	OSL	8210 ± 790



Figure 1. Comparison of the cumulative probability distribution function (CPDF) from (a) the Dolores River Basin (DRB), (b) the bedrock reaches in the southwest US (Harden et al., 2010), and climate records from (c) δ^{18} O of San Luis Lake (Yuan et al., 2013); (d and e) δ^{18} O of Yellow and Bison Lake (Anderson, 2011, 2012); (f) δ^{18} O of a speleothem from Pink Panther Cave (Asmerom et al., 2007) and (g) ENSO frequency reconstructions from El Junco Lake (dashed line, Conroy et al., 2008) and Laguna Palcacocha (black line, Moy et al., 2002).

3. Marble Canyon

The Marble Canyon study site (Colorado River Mile 2.1), originally described by O'Connor et al. (1994), was re-visited as part of the April 2018 Grand Canyon reconnaissance. That study also identified a nearby site, Cathedral Wash (Mile 2.8), where crevice slack-water deposits were found at 22 m above the current river water level (compared to a 14 m maximum paleoflood high-water stage at the Mile 2.1 site).

As a result of this discovery, it was concluded that the earlier work at Colorado River Mile 2.1 would be best extended in a continuation of the original project that also incorporated the evidence at Mile 2.8, the mouth of Cathedral Wash. The Cathedral Wash site can be reached without the need for a river trip, so, with the projected new funding, we plan to incorporate its analysis into a study that improve upon the earlier O'Connor et al. (1994) results.

4. Grand Canyon paleoflood Sites

The in-depth reconnaissance of the Grand Canyon was accomplished in April 2018. The research trip departed Lees Ferry on the morning of Thursday, April 19, and finished at Diamond Creek on the morning of Saturday, April 28. The trip participants were Victor R. Baker, PI; Dr. Tessa Harden, U.S. Geological Survey; Tao Liu, Dept. of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences, Univ. of Arizona; Joanna Redwine, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation; and John Weisheit, Living Rivers, Moab, UT.

By comparison to a previously studied site, Axehandle Alcove at Mile 2.1L in Marble Canyon (O'Connor et al., 1994), this survey allowed us to estimate the probable magnitudes of past extreme floods (last few thousand years) at multiple "slackwater deposit" (SWD) sites in Marble and Grand Canyons. This was accomplished by measuring the elevations of the highest emplacement of ancient flood sediments (SWDs) relative to the current river level, which averaged about 12,000 ft³/s during the study period. At the Axehandle site the highest flood evidence ("paleostage indicator" – PSI) occurs at 14 m above the current river level, corresponding to a peak paleoflood discharge of 14,000 m³/s (nearly 500,000 ft³/s) (O'Connor et al., 1994). This flow is 1.65 times that of the largest historical flood for the Colorado River at Lees Ferry, which occurred in 1884.

The reconnaissance study discovered multiple sites that preserve evidence of flows that exceeded what is preserved at the Axehandle Alcove site. At Cathedral Wash (Mile 2.8L) crevice SWDs were found at 22 m above the current river water level. At Mile 42.5L and Mile 120.3L mounded SWDs were measured to a height of 20 m above the river water level. The peak discharges that produced these deposits were very likely to have been considerably in excess of that preserved at Axehandle Alcove. Our preliminary estimate is that the flows were about 20,000 m³/s (about 700,000 ft³/s). This equals or exceeds the Probably Maximum Flood (PMF) that was calculated for the construction of the Glen Canyon Dam.

At one site, Mile 49.7R, located downstream from the mouth of Saddle Canyon, we measured a SWD to an elevation of about 35 m above the current river level. This extraordinary flood level may be the result of special hydraulic conditions that prevailed during extreme the flooding of the site, but its extreme character certainly indicates a need for further study.

Conclusions from this reconnaissance study are as follows:

- (1) Numerous SWD and PSI sites (we documented more than 40) exist in Marble and Grand Canyons.
- (2) The high elevations of some sites (20+ m above current river level) indicate that extremely large paleofloods occurred during the last several thousand years.
- (3) Some of the extreme paleofloods may have exceeded the PMF that has been used to evaluate the risk to Glen Canyon Dam (20,000 m^3/s).
- (4) The extreme character of the paleofloods in Marble and Grand Canyon is broadly consistent with results from studies done on the Upper Colorado River near Moab Utah (Greenbaum et al., 2014) and on the Green River near its junction with the Colorado (see section 1 above).
- (5) The study results indicate the potential need for a reevaluation of extreme flood risk on the Colorado River, with potential implications for dam safety, the security of cultural resources in Grand Canyon National Park, risk to infrastructure, etc.
- (6) An in-depth analysis of the newly discovered SWD-PSI sites is clearly warranted.

We hope to be able to follow-on from these discoveries during a funded extension of the current project, and/or with the support of new project funding during the upcoming fiscal year. In the meantime, we will work on completing the review of manuscripts and submit them for journal submission. We will also work on the planning needed for a detailed survey of the Grand Canyon sites that were identified by the April reconnaissance expedition.

Reference Cited

Anderson, L., 2011. Holocene record of precipitation seasonality from lake calcite delta O 18 in the central Rocky Mountains, United States. Geology 39, 211–214.

Anderson, L., 2012. Rocky Mountain hydroclimate: Holocene variability and the role of insolation, ENSO, and the North American Monsoon. Glob. Planet. Chang. 92–93, 198–208.

Asmerom, Y., Polyak, V.J., Burns, S.J., 2010. Variable winter moisture in the southwestern United States linked to rapid glacial climate shifts. Nat. Geosci. 3, 114–117.

Cline, M.L. 2010. Extreme flooding in the Dolores River Basin, Colorado and Utah: Insights from paleofloods, geochronology and hydroclimatic analysis. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, 221.

Conroy, J.L., Overpeck, J.T., Cole, J.E., Shanahan, T.M., Steinitz-Kannan, M., 2008. Holocene changes in eastern tropical Pacific climate inferred from a Galapagos lake sediment record. Quat. Sci. Rev. 27, 1166–1180.

Greenbaum, N., Harden, T.M., Baker, V.R., Weisheit, J., Cline, M.L., Porat, N., Halevi, R., and Dohernwend, J., 2014, A 2000-year natural record of magnitudes and frequencies for the largest upper Colorado River floods near Moab, Utah: Water Resources Research, v. 50, p. 5249-5269.

Harden, T.M., O'Connor, J.E., Driscoll, D.G., 2015. Late Holocene flood probabilities in the

Black Hills, South Dakota with emphasis on the Medieval Climate Anomaly. Catena 130: 62 68.

Moy, C.M., Seltzer, G.O., Rodbell, D.T., Anderson, D.M., 2002. Variability of El Niño/ Southern Oscillation activity at millennial timescales during the Holocene epoch. Nature 420, 162 165.

O'Connor, J.E., Ely, L.L., Wohl, E.E., Stevens, L.E., Melis, T.S., Kale, V.S., and Baker, V.R., 1994, A 4500-year record of large floods on the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona: Journal of Geology, v. 102, p. 1-9.

Yuan, F., Koran, M.R., Valdez, A., 2013, Late glacial and Holocene record of climatic change in the southern Rocky Mountains from sediments in San Luis Lake, Colorado, USA. Palaeogeogr, Palaeoclimatol , Palaeoecol. 392:146-60.