

**From:** Richard Albrecht <richalb@u.washington.edu>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Albrecht  
1614 39th Ave E  
Seattle, WA 98112-3102

**From:** Susan Alter <susan.l.alter@boeing.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Alter  
204 Nth 195th St.  
Shoreline, WA 98133-3404

**From:** Greg Arnold <gregarnold@harbornet.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Arnold  
8828 S D St  
Tacoma, WA 98444-6459

**From:** Wendy Barner <wendybarner@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:17 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Barner  
102 N Lilley St  
Moscow, ID 83843-2016

**From:** Seana Blake <funkiemunkee@gmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Seana Blake  
114 W 10th Ave  
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

**From:** David Bowman <davesofyore@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:17 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Bowman  
90662 Lewis Rd  
Warrenton, OR 97146-7227

**From:** Elisabeth Brackney <elisabethb@nezperce.org>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 2:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elisabeth Brackney  
838 S Lynn St  
Moscow, ID 83843-3519

**From:** James Bradford <jbbrad@cableone.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Bradford  
388 Shiloh Dr  
Lewiston, ID 83501-9623

**From:** Jack Brown <jackdbrownjr@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:47 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Brown  
334 3rd Ave N  
Payette, ID 83661-2308

**From:** "John A. Burgess, Jr." <chewieburgess@netscape.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. John A. Burgess, Jr.  
4250 Scenic Dr  
Eugene, OR 97404-1266

**From:** Robert and Elizabeth Burns <randeburns@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 2:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robert and Elizabeth Burns  
2219 34th Ave S  
Seattle, WA 98144-4926

**From:** Sarah Campbell <scampbell1@wsu.edu>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Campbell  
810 S Mill St  
Colfax, WA 99111-1742

**From:** Sara Cendejas-Zarelli <saraandphil@gmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:47 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Cendejas-Zarelli  
2124 Young St Apt 2  
Bellingham, WA 98225-3670

**From:** Demelza Costa <hotep@centurytel.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Demelza Costa  
28626 Ridgeway Rd  
Sweet Home, OR 97386-9523

**From:** Russell Daggatt <russ@daggatt.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Daggatt  
933 N Northlake Way  
Seattle, WA 98103-8874

**From:** "Shelley Dahlgren, PhD" <shelley@dahlgren.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shelley Dahlgren, PhD  
4449 242nd Ave SE  
Issaquah, WA 98029-7520

**From:** Tony DeFalco <tonydefalco@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony DeFalco  
4347 NE Sumner St  
Portland, OR 97218-1543

**From:** Red Diamond <mrreddiamond@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:52 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Red Diamond  
PO Box 11535  
Olympia, WA 98508-1535

**From:** Richard Fernald <fernald45@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Fernald  
PO Box 1320  
Bend, OR 97709-1320

**From:** R Garfield <sunga@centurytel.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. R Garfield  
48430 Santiam Hwy  
Cascadia, OR 97329-9701

**From:** Ray Hutchinson <rayhutchinson@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:47 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Hutchinson  
3428 25th Ave W Apt 301  
Seattle, WA 98199-2228

**From:** "Robert B. Kaplan" <rkaplan@olyphen.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 8:18 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert B. Kaplan  
PO Box 577  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-0105

**From:** Wayne Kelly <waynekins@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:17 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Kelly  
1257 Siskiyou Blvd # 1133  
Ashland, OR 97520-2241

**From:** Ronald Marquart <mnimages@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Marquart  
3300 E Red Stone Dr  
Boise, ID 83712-8582

**From:** Stephen Matera <stephen@materaphoto.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Matera  
943 NW 63rd St  
Seattle, WA 98107-2215

**From:** Steven Park <park@bendres.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Park  
1821 NW Iowa Ave  
Bend, OR 97701-1009

**From:** Teresa Pedersen <beaunose@aol.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:23 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Pedersen  
1725 E Lewis Ln  
Nampa, ID 83686-8960

**From:** River Eyes No last name <rivereyes@cableone.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. River Eyes No last name  
1918 N 7th St  
Boise, ID 83702-2805

**From:** Rich Sheibley <sheibley@gmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rich Sheibley  
506 E Howell st  
E109  
Seattle, WA 98122

**Mail Envelope Properties** (47C5AF9E.792 : 4 : 30610)

**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal  
**Creation Date** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:44 AM  
**From:** Rich Sheibley <sheibley@gmail.com>

**Created By:** sheibley@gmail.com

**Recipients**

pn.usbr.gov  
1yak1100.ibr1dm20  
StorageStudy

**Post Office**  
1yak1100.ibr1dm20

**Route**  
pn.usbr.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1884	Wednesday, February 27, 2008 10:44 AM
Mime.822	3150	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Sender: sheibley@gmail.com  
Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was classified as Junk Mail  
Sender e-mail address is not in recipient's personal address book

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk List is enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is enabled  
Block List is enabled

**From:** Rich Simms <rks57@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rich Simms  
2157 Clover Ct  
Mukilteo, WA 98275-2420

**From:** Richard Smith <rasmithwa@igc.org>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 1:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Smith  
4800 Fremont Ave N Apt 202  
Seattle, WA 98103-6565

**From:** Seth Snapp <seth@anotherworldpossible.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Seth Snapp  
2214 H St  
Bellingham, WA 98225-3316

**From:** "Venus St.Paul-Endicott" <venuss@nezperce.org>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 1:46 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Venus St.Paul-Endicott  
2329 12th Ave  
Lewiston, ID 83501-3590

## Comment XX-01

**From:** Charlotte Stahl <grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:17 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Stahl  
1167 NW Wallula Ave  
Gresham, OR 97030-3666

**From:** Walter Sykes <wally\_sykes2000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Walter Sykes  
PO Box 733  
Joseph, OR 97846-0733

**From:** Ricky Taylor <taylorrl@mindspring.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 8:47 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ricky Taylor  
4221 114th St SE  
Everett, WA 98208-7761

**From:** Patricia Sunny Walter <sunny@sunnywalter.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency,

more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof. One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Sunny Walter  
12525 206th Pl SE  
Issaquah, WA 98027-8543

**From:** Richard Ward <milducks@earthlink.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:16 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Ward  
2815 SE Madison St  
Milwaukie, OR 97222-6629

**From:** Stephen White <stevewhite70@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Feb 27, 2008 5:47 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen White  
2011 Irving Ave  
Astoria, OR 97103-3435

**From:** Rosemary Busterna <rgbusterna@msn.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:19 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemary Busterna  
815 NE 97th St  
Seattle, WA 98115-2109

**From:** Raymond Gill <don.gill41@gmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 10:49 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Gill  
200 217th Pl SW  
Bothell, WA 98021-8227

**From:** Stacy Jensen <stacy@nrsweb.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 8:54 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Stacy Jensen  
700 N Lincoln St  
Moscow, ID 83843-9213

**From:** Sandra Lord <cheezzyballz420@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 8:49 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Miss Sandra Lord  
3531 S M St  
Tacoma, WA 98418-2606

**From:** Robert Masonis <rmasonis@msn.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:19 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Masonis  
8543 19th Ave NW  
Seattle, WA 98117-3519

**From:** Thomas Reese <celticrees@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:51 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Reese  
2900 N Government Way  
PMB 121  
Coeur D Alene, ID 83815-3751

**From:** Robin Supplee <robinmik@u.washington.edu>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:50 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Supplee  
10140 NE Roberts Rd  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3383

**From:** Brian Bouvia <bbouvia@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 2, 2008 9:49 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 2, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Bouvia  
318 28th Ave SE  
Puyallup, WA 98374-1237

**From:** Eldon Ball <eldonball@juno.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 3, 2008 8:43 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

We need a national population policy! Then we wouldn't run out of resources!

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eldon Ball  
3022 NE 140th St Apt 121  
Seattle, WA 98125-3588

**From:** Jennifer Pickering <jennifer.pickering@cingular.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 3, 2008 12:42 PM  
**Subject:** No on Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Pickering  
16921 NE 166th St  
Woodinville, WA 98072-8900

**From:** Jayne Reed <kkjreed@mindspring.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 3, 2008 10:14 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 4, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jayne Reed  
450 S Fork Rd  
Garden Valley, ID 83622-1028

**From:** Alexa Brown <elixer07@msn.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Mar 5, 2008 12:48 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 5, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alexa Brown  
1652 25th Pl NE Unit 103  
Issaquah, WA 98029-2607

**From:** Michael O'Brien <alpinepainting@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Sat, Mar 8, 2008 9:04 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 8, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael O'Brien  
18214 W Spring Lake Dr SE  
Renton, WA 98058-0604

**From:** Julie O'Donnell <julieo@efn.org>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 9, 2008 9:08 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 9, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie O'Donnell  
10046 13th Ave NW  
Seattle, WA 98177-5214

**From:** David Grant <d2avid@charter.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 16, 2008 12:06 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 16, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Grant  
129 Oxford Pl  
Medford, OR 97504-9333

**From:** Harrison Grathwohl <hgrathwohl6448@msn.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Mar 20, 2008 2:53 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 20, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

THIS IS BOILER PLATE, BUT IT EXPRESSES MY SENTIMENTS VERY WELL.  
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin  
Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and  
Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).  
The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that  
the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to  
build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives  
fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable  
alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency,  
more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these  
non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the  
study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new  
dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state  
alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less  
will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management  
policies in the Yakima River basin.  
One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam  
should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion  
proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient  
tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On  
top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive  
groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the  
Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for  
downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned  
now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars  
studying this risky and expensive proposal.  
Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Harrison Grathwohl  
5507 258th Ave NE  
Redmond, WA 98053-2515

**From:** Josh Norris <mr\_garbonzo@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 24, 2008 4:04 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 24, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Josh Norris  
834 NW 11th St  
Corvallis, OR 97330-6000

**From:** Barb Kruse <krusenketchum@netscape.net>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 24, 2008 10:35 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 25, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin. One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barb Kruse  
PO Box 2011  
Ketchum, ID 83340-2011

**From:** Ray Gardner <rgardner@utc.wa.gov>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 3, 2008 8:21 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Gardner  
110 Stauffer Rd  
Raymond, WA 98577-9310

**From:** Ryan Kennedy <mrryanpkennedy@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 3, 2008 1:12 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Kennedy  
7624 SE Southgate St  
Portland, OR 97222-1279

**From:** SIMON POLLACK <simonbusiness@earthlink.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Mar 5, 2008 11:48 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 5, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. SIMON POLLACK  
4685 Taylor Ave NE  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3185

**From:** Susan Kuhn <susak32799@aol.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Mar 27, 2008 9:27 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Kuhn  
9807 NE Skidmore St  
Portland, OR 97220-3565

**From:** Susan Rosenthal <susan@trillium-hollow.org>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Thu, Mar 20, 2008 8:50 AM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 20, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Rosenthal  
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 204  
Portland, OR 97229-6384

**From:** Theresa Kunch <tkunch@hotmail.com>  
**To:** <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 9, 2008 5:09 PM  
**Subject:** Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 9, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Theresa Kunch  
1873 NW Sunny Ln  
Albany, OR 97321-1148