

1 **Contact: Wendy Christensen, Columbia-Cascades Area Office, (509) 573-8050**
2 **Tom Tebb, Washington State Department of Ecology, (509) 574-3989**

3 **Meeting Notes**
4 **Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Project Workgroup**

5 **March 10, 2021**
6 **WebEx Virtual Meeting**

7 **Welcome, Introductions and Agenda Overview**

8 Ben Floyd, White Bluffs Consulting, welcomed the Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Project
9 (YRBWEP) Workgroup members and other attendees. The following notes summarize the YRBWEP
10 Workgroup presentations and public comments. For more information, please see the full presentations
11 available on the Integrated Plan website: [Yakima Basin Integrated Plan](#)

12

13 **Implementation Committee Update**

14 Tom Tebb, Washington Department of Ecology, welcomed everyone on behalf of Ecology and the
15 Bureau of Reclamation. Tom looks forward to the opportunity to meet in person again, potentially later
16 this year.

17 The full Integrated Plan request of \$42 million for the 2021 – 2023 State biennium budget is represented
18 in the Governor’s Budget. The legislative session is approximately half-way through, and we’re waiting
19 for the next state budget forecast, which is due next week. At that time, we’ll have a clearer picture of
20 what the legislature will propose for the budget. The Implementation Committee (IC) has been meeting
21 with state legislators; the meetings have included discussions about Nelson Dam.

22 The IC is developing a stimulus project list for fiscal years 2022 and 2023, as well as a long-term
23 funding request (approximately 5-years). The IC is also preparing the fiscal year 2022
24 congressional delegation request.

25 The IC is scheduling online meetings with Department of Interior and Reclamation representatives, as
26 well as, congressional delegation and federal House/Senate committee staff members over the next few
27 weeks.

28

29 **Wapato Irrigation Project Modernization and Conservation Plans**

30 Brady Kent, Yakama Nation, is the agriculture development coordinator for the Tribe. Brady works with
31 the Wapato Irrigation Project (WIP) and Tribe staff on agriculture projects and initiatives. Brady
32 acknowledged staff who implement project work on the WIP s, as well as Yakama Nation and Bureau of
33 Indian Affairs (BIA) staff who support implementation of WIP conservation projects.

34 Brady provided history overview of the WIP. The Yakama Nation Reservation was reserved in 1855,
35 and along with it, water rights and fishing rights on the Yakima River. In 1884, Congress appropriated
36 \$50,000 for Indian Irrigation Projects on the Yakama Reservation. The WIP was then authorized in 1904

37 and constructed in 1916. WIP is the largest irrigation project in the Yakima River basin, and the largest
38 Indian irrigation project in the nation.

39 The system is over 100 years old and aging infrastructure is causing problems. The U.S. Government
40 Accountability Office (GAO) has produced several reports describing issues on the WIP. Key issues
41 include:

- 42 • Depressed lease and water rates due to reduced deliveries
- 43 • Lack of regular maintenance led to users doing their own repairs, which decreased system
44 stability
- 45 • Understaffing and lack of planning and preventative maintenance due to reduced revenues

46 To address issues described in the GAO reports, BIA contracted with California Polytechnic State
47 University to develop a Modernization Plan (March 2018) and Conservation Plan (July 2019) for WIP.
48 These plans have lists of projects that will improve operations and conserve water. Potential funding
49 sources for these projects are diverse and include Reclamation, Ecology, the U.S. Department of
50 Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service, BIA, and the Water Infrastructure Improvements
51 for the Nation Act.

52 Richard Dills (Yakama Nation Engineering) described the WIPs first steps in carrying out these plans.
53 WIP has replaced approximately 30 miles of leaky concrete pipeline, which has conserved
54 approximately 11,000 acre-feet of conserved water per year. At full Conservation and Modernization
55 plan implementation, the estimates are up to 165,000 acre-feet per year of conserved water. The total
56 project list cost estimate is \$219 million. Conserved water has multiple potential uses. The WIP supports
57 between \$1.5 – \$2 billion in value of agriculture products, and can also support fisheries with conserved
58 water (used for instream flow), particularly for endangered species in Toppenish Creek.

59 Richard noted the Satus unit is the most modernized but has significant water delivery issues due to
60 deterioration upstream in the system. Richard described several planned projects to keep the Satus canal
61 online and healthy. One key project is to separate Yakima Project water flows, conveyed through
62 Toppenish Creek to the Satus unit via the Marion Drain from native Toppenish Creek water. This
63 project has synergies with the Toppenish Corridor Enhancement Plan.

64 Richard also noted the Wapato Diversion Dam Improvement Project. This is a priority for BIA, and
65 potentially \$40 million in federal funding may be invested to make necessary diversion improvements.
66 BIA has contracted with Dowl Engineering to plan for this project. The project goal is to have an
67 updated and operational facility in approximately 5 years.

68 **Questions and comments for WIP Modernization and Conservation Plans:**

69 **Phil Rigdon, Yakama Nation:** Phil thanked Brady and Richard for the presentation. There's a lot going
70 on in the WIP and BIA just started engagement on scoping for the diversion improvements.

- 71 • Jeff Olsson is the project manager for the diversion improvement with Dowl Engineering. If
72 anyone has questions, concerns, or wants status updates, please email Jeff directly
73 (jolsson@dowl.com) or the project email (WIPdam@dowl.com).

74 **Wendy Christensen, Bureau of Reclamation:** Wendy appreciates working with Phil Rigdon, Yakama
75 Nation, Brady, Richard, and WIP staff implementing system improvements on WIP. We've seen great
76 progress and excited that the Tribe has such a good plan for WIP modernization. Wendy is also excited
77 for the headgate/diversion improvement project.

78 **Groundwater Recharge Studies and Project Updates**

79 Michael Callahan, Washington Department of Ecology, co-chairs the Groundwater Subcommittee with
80 Tom Ring, formerly of the Yakama Nation. Michael introduced the groundwater presentation.

81 The key premise of the groundwater element is using surface water during periods of high runoff to
82 recharge aquifers for later withdrawal. This is called managed aquifer recharge (MAR), which has two
83 sub-categories: shallow aquifer recharge (SAR), and aquifer storage and recovery (ASR). Michael
84 described the principles of floodplain storage, and how reconnection of streams to adjacent floodplains
85 improves opportunity for groundwater storage, noting the potential for storage is site specific.

86 Michael described several previously funded projects:

- 87 • Groundwater Storage Potential in the Yakima River Basin, Oregon State University – identified
88 locations likely suitable for aquifer recharge
- 89 • Large Wood Restoration in Groundwater Storage in Indian Creek, OSU – studied floodplain
90 restoration and aquifer geometry resulting from restoration
- 91 • Geochemical Assessment of Groundwater Storage Locations, Central Washington University
92 (CWU) – Geochemistry study to characterize potential storage locations in the Yakima River
93 basin. The study used isotope to identify different sources of water.
- 94 • Yakima River Groundwater Infiltration Study, Selah-Moxee Irrigation District - Identified
95 potential passive recharge opportunities within the district. No favorable site for large scale SAR
96 projects were identified.
- 97 • Yakima Basin MAR Assessment, Kittitas Reclamation District
- 98 • ASR Project, City of Yakima – Developed groundwater recharge wells (predates Groundwater
99 Subcommittee).

100 The Groundwater Subcommittee is supporting several current projects, including: Large Wood and
101 Alluvial Aquifer Storage (CWU); Taneum Creek Study (KRD); Toppenish Fan Shallow Aquifer
102 Recharge (Yakama Nation); Hunt Creek Head Check Structures (Yakama Nation); and Groundwater
103 Storage Potential in Badger Coulee (CWU).

104 Dave Nazy, EA Engineering, presented highlights from the KRD MAR Report and next steps. This is a
105 desktop study to identify potential MAR recharge sites in the Yakima River basin. The study identified
106 89 potential MAR sites, which were scored and ranked for quality. High priority sites for a monitoring
107 project in 2021 – 2022 were in the Taneum, Big, Little, and Naneum Creek watersheds. The project
108 team developed a monitoring plan at the Taneum site. Four monitoring wells will be installed at the site
109 on March 11, 2021. The study recognizes a need for more detailed investigations on higher-ranked sites

110 Walter Larrick, Yakima Basin Joint Board and KRD, emphasized the importance of these studies: we
111 have a finite source of water in the basin. We see that total supply has been relatively stable over the
112 past 100 years, but it comes in different forms at different times, which can be detrimental to the health
113 of the Yakima River basin. Groundwater is another container to hold and retine water for beneficial use
114 in location and time, thereby helping with one of the Integrated Plan goals of optimizing a finite water
115 supply for multiple uses.

116 Danielle Squeochs, Yakama Nation, described the Tribe’s MAR program. The Tribe is studying and
117 establishing priority MAR sites with specific objectives. A report on these studies is expected to be
118 complete by Spring 2021. The Tribe also has several currently funded and potential future groundwater

119 projects through the Toppenish Creek Corridor Enhancement Plan, the WIP Conservation Plan, and WIP
120 Modernization Plan. The projects are not new but are now being brought together in a strategic plan.
121 Strategic implementation will provide better results.

122 Michael Callahan: The Groundwater Subcommittee is undertaking a knowledge gap assessment to guide
123 our focus moving forward. We're finding that we need to move forward on parallel tracks: basin-wide
124 scale, as well as site-specific efforts. For example, we must better incorporate groundwater storage into
125 basin-wide storage modeling efforts. At the same time, we need to move forward on feasibility and
126 study of individual sites.

127 **Questions and comments for Groundwater Recharge Studies and Project Updates:**

128 **Tom Tebb:** Tom thanked the team for the presentation. This is an impressive body of work. Tom is glad
129 to see this element moving and looks forward to its future.

130 **Wendy Christensen:** The groundwater element underscores the value of pursuing all seven elements of
131 the Integrated Plan together. Groundwater is a key tool to ensure the success of other elements. Wendy
132 thanked Danielle, Michael, Dave, and Walter for the presentation.

133 **Pat Monk, Bureau of Reclamation:** Is there a way to estimate potential volume of storage available by
134 groundwater?

- 135 • *Danielle Squeochs: A 1975 report by the USGS evaluated seasonal change in groundwater*
136 *elevation in the Toppenish basin due to irrigation. They found, in that basin, the value was*
137 *approximately 120,000 acre-feet.*
- 138 • *Dave Nazy: We can use several metrics like aquifer permeability and physical extent to help*
139 *identify storage potential. Our key interest is finding places where recharged water moves to*
140 *where we want it to go at the right time. There may be many places to recharge and store water,*
141 *but groundwater returns timing and location are the important metrics for defining a suitable*
142 *location.*

143 **Ann Lewis, Yakima Basin Coalition:** Has the natural value of beaver ponds been considered for
144 aquifer recharge? See the book Eager: The Surprising, Secret Life of Beavers and Why They Matter by
145 Gen Goldfarb for historical and current value of beavers.

- 146 • *Jeff Tayer: Yes, there is an active beaver relocation effort in the Yakima River basin to*
147 *reintroduce beavers in the best places.*

148

149 **General Public Comments**

150 Several comment responses are incorporated to these notes as addendum #1.

151 **Ann Lewis, Yakima Basin Coalition:**

- 152 1. Ann requests that Ecology provide the list of projects for which the Integrated Plan is requesting
153 funding from state and federal sources. There has been a \$1 billion number mentioned; what
154 projects are under that category? Please include this information as part of the notes.

155 *Tom Tebb: The billion-dollar list is a long-term plan. This larger federal infrastructure stimulus*
156 *list will be provided. For the upcoming FY22 budgets, the Governor is collecting similar FY22*

157 *lists of projects from all state agencies and will collect and submit them under his signature. In*
158 *regard to the 2021-2023 State Capital Budget request, the Governor has proposed a budget*
159 *which includes \$42 million for YBIP, but the legislature has not yet provided their respective*
160 *House and Senate Capital Budgets. What exactly will be provided by the state legislature for the*
161 *next few years remains to be seen.*

162 See attached for requested documents.

163 2. Roza Irrigation District stated in the Kachess Drought Relief Pumping Plants Environmental
164 Impact Statement that they would pay for the pumping plant. Ecology gave \$1 million to Roza
165 for the NEPA process design work for a comprehensive feasibility report. Please explain this
166 discrepancy. It's not ok for the public to pay for the pumping plant.

167 *In regard to Ecology's help for Roza, we typically help where we can related to Integrated Plan*
168 *elements, and this is the surface water storage element. We felt this was an appropriate expense.*
169 *We're also helping with groundwater impact mitigation. Ecology is not a primary funder for this*
170 *project, but as a primary sponsor of the Integrated Plan, Ecology works to help all elements*
171 *move forward simultaneously.*

172 **Chris Maykut, Friends of Bumping Lake:**

173 1. Regarding the 2020 Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Cost Estimate and Financing Plan Report, on
174 page 24 there is a 2021-2023 state funding request of \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million of
175 Federal/other sources of funding for a new Bumping Lake dam. What does the Department of
176 Ecology intend to spend this \$1 million on? See below for response.

177 2. Under RCW 90.38.120(1)(b), the state's support for the Yakima Plan must be formally
178 reevaluated independently by the governor and the legislature if, after December 31, 2021, and
179 periodically thereafter, the actual funding provided through nonstate sources is less than one-half
180 of all costs and if funding from local project beneficiaries does not comprise a significant portion
181 of the nonstate sources. Table 6, on page 24, shows anticipated state funding share from 2013-
182 2023 of 30.9% and anticipated federal & other share of 69.1%. What is the percentage of actual
183 funding provided during 2013-2023 from local project beneficiaries? See below for response.

184 **David Ortman, Sierra Club:** David attended the Office of Columbia River Policy Advisory Group
185 meeting and it was brought to his attention that he may have treated Tom Tebb rudely at the meeting,
186 and wanted to apologize if he was disrespectful to Tom Tebb at the meeting.

187 **John Reeves, Lake Kachess HOA:** John wants to see the plan succeed for fish, irrigators, and the
188 Tribe. He'd love to see a free-flowing Yakima River, which could include removal of Kachess dam.
189 John is confused why we're working on fish passage at Clear Lake. Why not just remove that dam?

190 John doesn't want to see a new dam at Bumping Reservoir or a pumping plant at Kachess reservoir.
191 With 30% of basin water under control, we could pull water out of the Parker area and send upstream to
192 help in drought years. We have no integration of pump hydroelectric power; this is clean energy and is
193 efficient, which could be combined with off-channel water storage.

194 It seems like we've had little progress in water marketing as far as inter-district transfer. The concern is
195 we're only putting water in the river for fish.

196 John described the drought year carryover results from the 90's and 2000's, and given those results, is
197 surprised we need new storage to solve drought problems.

198 **Atul Deshmane, Whatcom County PUD:** The County is keeping an eye on the Integrated Plan, and
199 Atul will attend meetings to continue to gain information. Atul noted some information on the Integrated
200 Plan website is out of date ([YRBWEP Reports | Bureau of Reclamation \(usbr.gov\)](#)), but that Tim
201 Poppleton had helped him find the right information.
202

203 **Project Videos and Clear Creek Fish Passage Update**

204 Dave Brown, City of Yakima introduced a new video highlighting Nelson Dam Removal Project and
205 thanked Patricia Byers, Mayor of Yakima, Joel Freudenthal, Yakima County, Joe Blodgett and Chris
206 Frederiksen with Yakama Nation for being in the video and supporting the project.
207 Wendy Christensen, Reclamation, introduced a vide on Cle Elum Dam Fish Passage Project by Garco
208 (contractor for Intake, Gate and Helix) which shows progress on the intakes and overall site for the fish
209 passage facilities. In addition, Wendy introduced video for Cle Elum Pool Raise Project and thanked
210 partners, David Empel, Reclamation CEPR Project Manager for producing the video, Phil Rigdon,
211 Yakama Nation, Perry Harvester, WDFW, Raechel Chandler, Ecology for being in the video and
212 educating stakeholders and public about the important work that is ongoing at Cle Elum to implement
213 the pool raise. The videos can be viewed at these links:

- 214 • [Nelson Dam Replacement](#)
- 215 • [Cle Elum Fish Passage](#)
- 216 • [Cle Elum Pool Raise](#)

217 Clear Creek Fish Passage

218 Clint Wertz, Bureau of Reclamation, is the project manager for the Clear Creek Fish Passage project.
219 The dam was built in 1914 and was rebuilt in the 1990's. Current fish passage at the facility is on the
220 spillway, however, it has been shown through a series of studies to ineffective for Bull Trout migration.
221 Currently, Clear Lake serves as a recreation site with multiple campgrounds around it.

222 A new fish ladder near the dam is being designed to provide fish passage. This design addresses both
223 failures of the spillway fish ladder and meeting state and federal passage criteria. The goal is to pass
224 Bull Trout, salmon, steelhead, and resident fish species into the lake. Key project challenges are the
225 small site footprint and high recreation volume.

226 The project kickoff meeting took place in May 2020. 30% design has been completed, as well as a Value
227 Engineering (VE) Study in preparation for 60% design. 90% design is planned for completion in
228 November 2021, with a construction window of 2023 – 2024. Clint displayed and described the 30%
229 design drawing.

230 Clint described a recently completed VE study. The study has several key purposes:

- 231 • Identifies project options that haven't been considered, or review other alternatives that may be
232 viable.
- 233 • All, none, or portions of proposals may be used.
- 234 • Ideas developed through study will be integrated between 30% and 60% design.

- 235 ○ Temperature of water coming into the ladder is critical. This consideration led to
236 inclusion of a modification to temperature curtain concept currently in the design.

237 Clint thanked the partners who participated in the VE study. Next steps for the project are for
238 Reclamation to review the Final VE report, adopt appropriate VE study proposals into the design, and
239 adjust design as needed to incorporate into the 60%.

240

241 **Questions and comments for Clear Creek Fish Passage Update:**

242 **Wendy Christensen:** Wendy thanked all partners who participated in the VE study.

243 **Question:** Why is removal not an option for Clear Creek Dam?

- 244 • *Wendy Christensen: In the 1990s, the dam was breached. There are numerous recreation*
245 *opportunities around the reservoir, including a camp for special needs children (Camp Prime*
246 *Time). Yakima County requested Reclamation keep the recreation values at the reservoir. That is*
247 *the key reason.*
- 248 • *Urban Eberhart: Shirley Doughty was a key player in restoring the reservoir after the dam*
249 *breach in the 1990s. It was a coordinated community effort.*

250

251 **Workgroup Roundtable**

252 Wendy Christensen noted that Elayne Hovde-Knudson, Bureau of Reclamation, will be retiring end of
253 May. Elayne has been a valuable team member in many Integrated Plan projects including Cle Elum
254 Pool Raise, the KRD Upper Yakima System Storage study, and coordinating water conservation grants
255 with basin irrigation districts and agreements with the Yakama Nation. The YRBWEP Workgroup
256 acknowledges her valuable contributions and wishes her the best of luck moving forward.

257 **Alex Conley, Yakima Basin Fish and Wildlife Recovery Board:** Alex enjoys these meetings. He's
258 pleased to see how WIP modernization has come along over the past few years. Even with the large
259 price tag, Alex is pleasantly surprised by the favorable cost per acre foot. He's also pleased with
260 progress on the groundwater element. Alex thanked folks for the hard work over several years to get
261 these projects up to speed and the great presentations today.

262 **Charlie de la Chappelle, Yakima Basin Storage Alliance:** On behalf of YBSA, we're pleased to see
263 interest in solving the problem of high temperatures in the lower Yakima River. This is crucial to our
264 success. Aligning with that is investigation into SAR. Another interesting topic on YBSA's radar are
265 efforts in Idaho to fund removal of the four lower Snake River dams for \$34 billion. That's caught our
266 attention and realize it begs a question: How have past efforts for salmon recovery in the Columbia fared
267 relative to cost and number of fish recovered? The public may not know how much has been spent and
268 how many fish have come back in. I think this is a ripe opportunity to showcase what can be done in the
269 Yakima River basin.

270 **Dave Brown, City of Yakima:** Interesting meeting hearing about these projects, especially the in-depth
271 WIP report. It's interesting to see how the district is put together and what we're doing to solve
272 problems.

273 **Erick Walker, U.S. Forest Service (USFS):** Great presentations today. It's great to see different
274 elements of the Integrated Plan worked on together as planned. Successes and efforts build on each
275 other. The USFS will stay engaged and contribute to this on-going effort where appropriate. Let's keep

276 coordination and continued dialogue alive. We're making things better for people, wildlife, and the
277 landscape.

278 **Tom Tebb, Washington Department of Ecology:** Tom thanked the presenters and appreciates all the
279 ongoing work. The in-depth briefing on groundwater and WIP are well received. Tom also thanked the
280 City of Yakima, Yakima County, and others working on the Nelson Dam project.

281 **Wendy Christensen, Bureau of Reclamation:** Talmadge Oxford, Bureau of Reclamation, sends his
282 regards. Wendy thanked Clint Wertz and other Reclamation staff for their work on the projects
283 presented today. We're using all the tools in our toolbox to achieve the goals of the Integrated Plan. It's
284 great to see everyone working together.

285 **Jaclyn Hancock, Washington Department of Agriculture:** Jaclyn thanked presenters for the good
286 content. It's good to hear about topics that we haven't heard updates on in a while. Also, Jaclyn echoed
287 excitement about aquifer recharge. This is a valuable and important tool to help us handle timing
288 changes in streamflow. Jaclyn hopes WDSA can be a part of this process building off the great things
289 already completed. Great to see progress made and looking forward to what's coming next.

290 **Seth Defoe, Kennewick Irrigation District:** KID offers appreciation and thanks for the increased focus
291 in the lower river. If we don't improve the lower river, our work in the upper basin won't achieve its
292 stated goals. The Integrated Plan must benefit the entire basin, so Seth is thankful we're looking at
293 improvements for fish passage and water supply.

294 **Jason Romine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service:** Today's presentations were great and informative.
295 Jason thanked the Clear Creek Dam team and is appreciative to see this moving forward. He thanked
296 Dave Brown for keeping the Nelson Dam program going. Getting anadromous salmonids upstream is
297 important.

298 **Jason McShane, Kennewick Irrigation District:** Jason appreciates all the work and effort that goes
299 into the projects throughout the basin. There's a lot of work behind the scenes by partner organizations
300 and others to make these projects happen. We appreciate all they do. It's been interesting to see the
301 projects we talk about happening rapidly compared to similar projects in the past. Kicking off Clear
302 Creek fish passage in 2020, and seeing it ready for construction within a few years, all through COVID,
303 is a testament to the strength of the plan.

304 **Jeff Tayer, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife:** Today reinforced that we have a lot to be
305 thankful for. It's hard to appreciate this without thinking that every one of these big projects was stuck
306 in the mud about a decade ago. Each one is a big lift and to do all these big projects at the same time is
307 truly extraordinary. Jeff is thankful for everything we're getting done.

308 **Ron Anderson, Yakima County:** Ron congratulated everyone on the work done to this date.
309 Thousands and thousands of hours over the years have been dedicated to these projects. What we're
310 seeing now is the cumulation of this large amount of work. It's heartwarming to see the work and
311 dedication that goes into all of this.

312 **Mike Livingston, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife:** Mike appreciates the presentations
313 on groundwater and the WIP. Even when we think we know everything going on under the Integrated
314 Plan, there's always something new to learn about, and Mike appreciates the opportunity to learn.
315 Nelson Dam and Cle Elum Fish Passage are critical to addressing changing climate and snowpack. We
316 have major funding needs, but I'm convinced the people pulling the oars of the Integrated Plan are the
317 best solution we have going forward. Each individual effort adds to the whole. Mike is thankful to take
318 part and work towards the future with this group.

319 **Phil Rigdon, Yakama Nation:** Phil thanked Brady and Richard for their presentation on WIP
320 conservation. In addition, he thanked everyone for continuing to contribute to the work we're doing.
321 Let's keep up the great work.

322 **Rick Dieker, Yakima-Tieton Irrigation District:** The community effort that got Clear Creek Dam
323 rebuilt in the 90s was a great project. Rick participated in that and considers it an early snapshot of
324 getting projects done when we come together. As a reminder, the dam was originally built as a re-
325 regulation reservoir for the Tieton River to help regulate the YTID original diversion of water. Storage
326 is important, and we're looking into off-channel storage such as Wymer and North Fork Cowlitz Creek.
327 These will improve our ability to use and retime flows to benefit irrigation and instream flows in the
328 tributaries and mainstem. We're not creating more water, just timing it better. Rick noted that many
329 millions have been spent already regarding storage and conservation, including SVID's conservation
330 projects in the 1980s. We're all in the same boat and working together as a group is how we're going to
331 get stuff done.

332 **Ron Cowin, Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District:** Ron thanked everyone for the presentations. Good
333 to see progress. Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District is making progress on its fish passage improvement
334 project. The anchors are installed, and the district will install the fish boom next week. Currently waiting
335 on delivery of the gate near the end of the month. Good progress and appreciate the support.

336 **Scott Revell, Roza Irrigation District:** The irrigation season is about to start. Roza installed
337 approximately 8 miles of new pipe and several hundred thousand dollars of canal sealant this year.
338 We're ready for a full supply water year and hoping the snow doesn't come off too soon.

339 **Sean Gross, NOAA Fisheries:** Sean is thinking about the need for an instream flow strategy. When we
340 put the Integrated Plan together, we had a wish list of places to improve flows for fish but without a
341 detailed strategy. We didn't have the information required at the time to make a plan. We've learned a
342 lot over the past 10 years about fish and flow, water availability, and we're looking forward with
343 enhanced conservation and groundwater storage. Things are advancing enough that within a few years,
344 we should be able to put these blocks of knowledge together and build a strategy for remedying these
345 flow problems in the lower river. Excited to see this knowledge develop into an actionable game plan.

346 **Urban Eberhart, Kittitas Reclamation District:** Urban reflected on the relationships, positive energy,
347 collaboration, and friendships that make the Integrated Plan work. As an example, on the Taneum Creek
348 groundwater project Dave Nazy discussed, we were scheduled with the driller to drill on March 11 at
349 that location. There was a presidential moratorium on any ground disturbing activity on federal lands in
350 the country. The Bureau took on this issue through their process and within 48 hours, we had a waiver
351 for that moratorium, so we can continue to gather this critical information. These relationships are
352 allowing us to study storing water in the ground, help the stream and help out water supply. We need to
353 celebrate wins as they come, and it's all a result of relationships and that's what's making this all work.

354 **Wendy McDermott, American Rivers:** Wendy learned a lot about the groundwater element today. She
355 appreciates Urban's comment about the value of collaboration and relationship. Wendy is growing
356 increasingly concerned about salmon and steelhead returns throughout the Columbia Basin. There's so
357 much opportunity to restore these fish in the Yakima River basin, and Wendy hopes we can all continue
358 to move forward together on these goals.

359 **Upcoming Meetings**

360 The next Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, June 2, 2021. Meeting format will be WebEx
361 virtual format.

362

363 **Attendance**

364 **Workgroup Members:**

365 Ron Anderson, Yakima County

366 Dave Brown, City of Yakima

367 Charlie de la Chappelle, Yakima Basin Storage Alliance (alternate for Sid Morrison)

368 Wendy Christensen, Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office

369 Alex Conley, Yakima Basin Fish and Wildlife Recovery Board

370 Ron Cowin, Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District

371 Rick Dieker, Yakima-Tieton Irrigation District

372 Urban Eberhart, Kittitas Reclamation District

373 Sean Gross, NOAA Fisheries (alternate for Dale Bambrick)

374 Jaelyn Hancock, Washington Department of Agriculture

375 Larry Leach, Washington Department of Natural Resources

376 Mike Livingston, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

377 Wendy McDermott, American Rivers

378 Jason McShane, Kennewick Irrigation District

379 Talmadge Oxford, Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office

380 Lisa Pelly, Trout Unlimited

381 Scott Revell, Roza Irrigation District and Chair of Water Use Subcommittee

382 Phil Rigdon, Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation

383 Jason Romine, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

384 Jeff Tayer, WDFW and Chair of Habitat Subcommittee

385 Tom Tebb, Ecology

386 Erick Walker, U.S. Forest Service

387 Cory Wright, Kittitas County

388 **Other Attendees:**

389 Tom Appler, Bureau of Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office

390 Justin Bezold, Trout Unlimited

391 Lori Brady, Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District

392 Russ Byington, Yakama Nation Fisheries

393 Michael Callahan, Washington State Department of Ecology

394 Debbie Carlson, Bonneville Power Administration

395 Cynthia Carlstad, Northwest Hydraulic Consultants

396 Michelle Capp, U.S. Forest Service

397 Raechel Chandler, Washington Department of Ecology

398 Stuart Crane, Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation
399 Jane Creech, Washington State Department of Ecology
400 Mark Crowley
401 Seth Defoe, Kennewick Irrigation District
402 Jeanne Demorest, Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
403 Atul Deshmane, Whatcom County PUD
404 Richard Dills, Yakama Nation Engineering
405 Melissa Downes, Washington State Department of Ecology
406 David Empel, Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
407 Janine Empel, Washington State Department of Ecology
408 Rick Evans, Office of Senator Maria Cantwell
409 Ben Floyd, White Bluffs Consulting
410 Clancy Flynn, Columbia Irrigation District
411 Chuck Freeman, Kennewick Irrigation District
412 Joel Freudenthal, Yakima County
413 Kathryn Furr, U.S. Forest Service
414 Chuck Garner, Bureau of Reclamation
415 Raelene Gold, Seattle Audubon Society
416 Dan Graves, HDR Engineering, Inc.
417 Kelsey Green, American Rivers
418 Kevin Haydon, Washington Water Trust
419 Elayne Hovde-Knudson, Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
420 Joel Hubble, Kittitas Reclamation District
421 Brady Kent, Yakama Nation
422 Chuck Klarich, Yakima Basin Storage Alliance
423 Walt Larrick, Yakima Basin Joint Board
424 Ann Lewis, Yakima Basin Coalition
425 Chris Lynch, Bureau of Reclamation, Yakima Field Office
426 Steve Malloch, Western Water Futures LLC (alternate for American Rivers)
427 Larry Martin, Velikanje Halvorson
428 John Marvin, Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation
429 Chris Maykut, Friends of Bumping Lake
430 David McKenzie, Kennewick Irrigation District
431 Jim Milton, Bureau of Reclamation
432 Merritt Mitchell-Wajeeh, Mid-Columbia Fisheries Enhancement Group
433 Bob Montgomery, Anchor QEA
434 Maddie Moore, Washington Department of Agriculture
435 Tom Myrum, Washington State Water Resources Association
436 Dave Nazy, EA Engineering
437 Todd Newsome, Yakama-Klickitat Fisheries Program
438 Jeff Olsson, Dowl Engineering

439 David Ortman, Sierra Club
440 Elaine Packard, Sierra Club
441 Sage Park, Washington State Department of Ecology
442 Mark Peterschmidt, Washington State Department of Ecology
443 Tim Poppleton, Washington State Department of Ecology
444 Joye Redfield-Wilder, Washington State Department of Ecology
445 John Reeves, Lake Kachess HOA
446 Jenna Scholz, HDR Engineering, Inc.
447 Danielle Squeochs, Confederated Tribes and Band of the Yakama Nation
448 Bruce Sully, Bureau of Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
449 Arden Thomas, Kittitas County
450 Sara Vickers, Kittitas Reclamation District
451 Richard Visser, Bureau of Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
452 Clint Wertz, Bureau of Reclamation, Columbia-Cascades Area Office
453 Laine Young, Washington Department of Ecology
454

455 **Where to Find Workgroup Information**

456 Meeting materials, notes, presentations, and materials submitted during public comment for each
457 Workgroup meeting will be posted on Reclamation’s project website:
458 (<http://www.usbr.gov/pn/programs/yrbwep/2011integratedplan/index.html>). A list of information
459 sources, many available online, is also posted on the website.

460 If you need help finding an information source, contact those listed at the top of page 1 of these notes or
461 Ben Floyd at White Bluffs Consulting, (509) 539-3366 or ben@whitebluffsconsulting.com.

462 **Addendum #1**

463 **Response to Public Comments**

464
465 Responses to Chris Maykut:

466 **Question 1 Response**

467 As noted in the report, the \$1.0 million (actually \$978,000 after staffing costs) is identified for
468 advancing the YBIP surface storage element (Bumping and/or Wymer storage) in the Governor’s 2021-
469 2023 capital budget. The first priority for these funds would be to use them toward any remaining
470 property acquisition costs for Wymer/Eaton Ranch property acquisition. The total acquisition cost is
471 still in flux. More specifically, Ecology is planning to use significant portion of this funding to complete
472 the following; legal property surveys and descriptions necessary for real estate, title research,
473 environmental phase 1 assessment, develop and implementation of an interim stock water pilot project at
474 two locations on the property, a grazing plan, and contracting with local Conservation District for long
475 term property management and other studies or analysis such as cultural, aquatic or terrestrial studies
476 and evaluations that have yet to be identified. These studies are typically recommended by the Yakama
477 Nation and Colville Tribe, respective federal agencies such as USFS, USFWS, NOAA, County
478 governments, and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to name a few. If enough funds remain after the Wymer
479 property transaction, these funds could also be used for continued data gap analysis, Riverware (surface
480 water) modeling, and/or for advancing the water supply framework matrix for both Bumping and
481 Wymer. We are in a pre-appraisal phase (early planning phase), determining the size and scope of the
482 storage needs, and doing a data gap assessment. It is too early to determine if this level of work will
483 lead to an enlarged Bumping Reservoir.

484
485 **Question 2 Response**

486 You are correct that RCW 90.38.120 states that at least one-half of the total costs to finance the
487 Integrated Plan must be funded through federal, private, local and other non-state sources. This is the
488 exact reason for the legislative reporting purposes, where Ecology has broken out the state investment
489 from all other investments. Table 6, as referenced, lays out total funding, state funding and federal/other
490 funding. The federal/other funding is the combined bucket of non-state funding sources (federal,
491 private, local, etc). We continue to refine those non-federal, non-state funding contributions into
492 Integrated Plan projects, making the most headway to-date on these local/private investments in
493 enhanced water conservation projects.

494 Response to Ann Lewis:
495 Lists of projects for which the Integrated Plan is requesting funding from state and federal sources are
496 attached. These documents were provided by the Office of Columbia River to the Governor's office for
497 possible inclusion in a federal funding stimulus request. Please note that these funding request items
498 and amounts may or may not be included in the Governor's letter.

Attachment 1 **DRAFT******

**State of Washington
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
Federal Fiscal Year 2021 Infrastructure Spending and Jobs Package Ideas**

Ecology Program: Office of Columbia River – Yakima Basin Integrated Plan

Summary Table of Projects by Construction Commencement

Fiscal Year Construction Begins	Number of Projects	Total Funding (\$M)	Jobs ¹ Direct	Jobs Total
FY21 or already in process	10	80.131	440.7	1314.1
FY22	37	192.82	1060.60	3122.20
FY23	22	181.50	998.30	2976.60
Total	59	374.32	2058.90	6098.80

Total submitted to Ecology HQ for consideration is the sum of FY 22 and FY 23:
Total amount of \$374.33 million*.

*Total amount submitted may differ than amount reflected in Governor’s Inslee correspondence to Congress due to other discretionary factors considered by the Governor’s Office.

Ecology Program: Office of Columbia River – Yakima Basin Integrated Plan

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
Yakima Basin Integrated Plan Table listed is for projects identified through FY26	Energy and Water Appropriations Bureau of Reclamation Yakima Project and Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Program	\$1.063B
DESCRIPTION:		
The Yakima Basin Integrated Plan is a long-term plan co-sponsored by the Department of Ecology and the federal Bureau of Reclamation to resolve longstanding conflicts over water use and fisheries in the Yakima River Basin, while at the same preparing the economy and environment to		

¹ Jobs estimated using construction job multipliers for the US Economy of: \$1M = 5.5 direct jobs/16.4 total (direct+indirect+induced). Source: Economic Policy Institute, January 23, 2019 <https://www.epi.org/publication/updated-employment-multipliers-for-the-u-s-economy/>

adapt to drought and climate change. It is being used as a model for addressing conflict over water and resources in Washington State and beyond – an example of integrated resources planning and management in action.

YBIP is largely implemented through five types of projects that require investment in construction and infrastructure:

- Updating water system operation and infrastructure.
- Building fish passage at six existing dams.
- Implementing enhanced water conservation projects
- Creating additional groundwater and surface storage capacity.
- Enhancing and protecting habitat and increasing in-stream flows.

There are 87 projects in these categories supporting implementation of YBIP ready for investment over the next several years. Some projects are already in progress, but need additional funding, others are ready to commence construction immediately, others are in various stages of design and permitting. The following table shows how quickly investment could be applied to construction, and the jobs that would result from that investment.

Yakima Basin Integrated Plan

Summary of Infrastructure Projects by Date of Construction Commencement

Fiscal Year Construction Begins	Total Funding (\$M)	Jobs Direct (see note)	Jobs Total
FY21 or already in process	\$80.131	440.7	1314.1
FY22	\$192.828	1060.6	3122.2
FY23	\$181.5	998.3	2976.6
FY24	\$213.45	1174.0	3500.6
FY25	\$15.15	83.3	248.5
FY26	\$410	2255.0	6724.0
Total	\$1063.059	6011.8	17926.2

Note: Jobs estimated using construction job multipliers for the US Economy of: \$1M = 5.5 direct jobs/16.4 total (direct+indirect+induced). Source: Economic Policy Institute, January 23, 2019

<https://www.epi.org/publication/updated-employment-multipliers-for-the-u-s-economy/>

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

The promise of progress represented by YBIP, especially resolving long-standing vigorous conflict among water users, the Yakama Nation, and a variety of other interests, is met through completion of projects that implement the plan. Since the State authorized YBIP and endorsed the approach, dozens of projects have been completed or started, with more in the works. With this new model of collaboration and integrated planning and management, more and more projects are being developed as engineering creativity and the recognition of opportunity grows. While some projects have a single class of beneficiary – agriculture, or flood risk reduction, or salmon recovery for instance – most of the projects ready for implementation benefit more than one set of constituents. To take

advantage of the opportunity that reaching the agreement that YBIP represents, funding for some of the projects most ripe for construction is imperative.

Through YBIP projects that are already in construction or ready to commence construction in the next several years, 6011 direct jobs and 17,926 total jobs could be created. These jobs would support the goals of YBIP – improving the reliability of water supplies and restoring fisheries in the Yakima Basin, and thereby improving the economy and environment for the State and region.

Yakima Basin Integrated Plan
Stimulus/Infrastructure
Near Term Federal Funding Request
Projects by Sponsor

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage ²	Construction (FY) ³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs ⁴	Total jobs
Bureau of Reclamation							
Bureau of Reclamation	Cle Elum Fish Passage	Fish Passage	Construction in process	FY21	30	165.0	492.0
Bureau of Reclamation	Cle Elum Pool Raise Shoreline Stabilization	Storage	Construction in process	FY21	2	11.0	32.8
Bureau of Reclamation	Schaake Property Habitat Restoration	Restoration	Construction in process	FY21	1.2	6.6	19.7
Bureau of Reclamation	Roza Dam Fish Screens and Passage	Fish Passage	Construction in process	FY21	16.5	90.8	270.6
Bureau of Reclamation	Clear Creek Dam Fish Passage	Fish Passage	Design	FY23	7	38.5	114.8

² Stages used are: Pre-design, Design/Engineering, Permitting, Permitted, Construction (ready for construction), Construction in process.

³ Federal fiscal year in which construction commences, or for projects now in construction, the current fiscal year.

⁴ Jobs estimated using construction job multipliers for the US Economy of: \$1M = 5.5 direct jobs/16.4 total (direct+indirect+induced). Source: Economic Policy Institute, January 23, 2019 <https://www.epi.org/publication/updated-employment-multipliers-for-the-u-s-economy/>

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
Bureau of Reclamation	Wildcat Creek Bridge Replacement	Road/Bridges	Design/Build	FY23	5	27.5	82.0
Bureau of Reclamation	Hydromet System Improvement Replacement	System Improvement	Design/Build	FY23	5	27.5	82.0
Bureau of Reclamation	Total				66.7	366.9	1093.9
US Forest Service Okanagon – Wenatchee National Forest						0.0	0.0
USFS	Cle Elum Pool Raise Barrier Installation and Riparian Restoration	Storage	Design	FY22	1.3	7.2	21.3
USFS	Gold Creek Bridges	Road/Bridges	Construction	FY22	12	66.0	196.8
USFS	Total				13.3	73.2	218.1
Wapato Irrigation District – BIA, Yakama Nation							
WIP	East Branch Unit 2 Pump Canal Limited-Rate Demand Closed Pipeline	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	2.5	13.8	41.0

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage ²	Construction (FY) ³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs ⁴	Total jobs
WIP	SCADA Infrastructure Backbone - Office base station, repeater sites, etc.	System Improvement	Design	FY22	1	5.5	16.4
WIP	Turnout & Lateral flow measurement improvements	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	10	55.0	164.0
WIP	Lateral 4 checks	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design Construction	FY22	2.45	13.5	40.2
WIP	Upper Ahtanum Diversion	System Improvement Fish Passage	Pre-design,	FY23	1.5	8.3	24.6
WIP	Lateral 1,2,3,4 Diversion Control I improvements & Supplemental Drain Diversions	Water Conservation System Improvement	Predesign	FY23	11	60.5	180.4
WIP	Safety upgrade to unit 2 pump station Add 15cfs VFD pump to system	System Improvement	Predesign	FY23	3	16.5	49.2
WIP	Automation and repairs of drop 1 mechanicals, electrical pumps and canal controls	System Improvement	Pre-design	FY23	10	55.0	164.0
WIP	Unit 2 canal checks	Water Conservation System Improvement	Predesign	FY23	2	11.0	32.8

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage ²	Construction (FY) ³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs ⁴	Total jobs
Yakama Nation Restoration							
Yakama Nation Restoration	Taneum Creek Ragheart Planting	Restoration	Permitting	FY21	0.1	0.6	1.6
Yakama Nation Restoration	Reecer Creek Floodplain Restoration	Restoration	Permitting	FY22	0.25	1.4	4.1
Yakama Nation Restoration	Tieton River Floodplain Restoration	Restoration	Design	FY22	0.3	1.7	4.9
Yakama Nation Restoration	Autanum Village Floodplain Restoration	Restoration	Design	FY22	0.3	1.7	4.9
Yakama Nation	Cle Elum Floodplain Restoration	Restoration	Pre-design	FY23	1	5.5	16.4
Yakama Nation Restoration Projects	Total				1.95	10.7	32.0
<i>Yakama Nation Toppenish Creek Corridor</i>							

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage ²	Construction (FY) ³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs ⁴	Total jobs
<i>Yakama Nation Aquifer Recharge</i>						0.0	0.0
Yakama Nation Aquifer	Wanity Slough Wetland Recharge and Restoration	Storage	Pre-design	FY22	0.5	2.8	8.2
Yakama Nation Aquifer	South Satus Drain Wetland Recharge and Restoration	Storage	Pre-design	FY23	1.2	6.6	19.7
Yakama Nation Aquifer	Marion Drain Check Structures	Storage Water Conservation System Improvement	Pre-design	FY23	1	5.5	16.4
Yakama Nation Aquifer	Total				2.7	14.9	44.3
<i>Washington Department of Wildlife</i>						0.0	0.0
WDFW	Ringer Boat Ramp/Access	Fish Passage Restoration Recreation	Design	FY23	1.3	7.2	21.3
WDFW	Yakima River Delta	Fish Passage	Design	FY24	10	55.0	164.0
WDFW	Total				11.3	62.2	185.3
<i>Yakima County</i>						0.0	0.0

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage ²	Construction (FY) ³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs ⁴	Total jobs
Yakima County	Phase II Gap to Gap Ecosystem Restoration	Flood Restoration	Construction in process	FY21	6	33.0	98.4
Yakima County	Naches-Cowiche Confluence Park and Restoration	Flood Fish Passage Restoration Recreation	Construction	FY21	2.1	11.6	34.4
Yakima County	S. Fork Tieton Bridge Replacement and Fish Passage	Road/Bridge Fish Passage	Design	FY24	2.7	14.9	44.3
Yakima County	Total				10.8	59.4	177.1
City of Yakima						0.0	0.0
City of Yakima	Nelson Dam-Water Supply, River Processes and Fish Passage Improvements	Flood Fish Passage System Improvement	Permitted	FY21	8	44.0	131.2
Kittitas Reclamation District Projects							
KRD	South Branch Canal Lining Phase I	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY21	2.26	12.4	37.1

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
KRD	South Branch Canal Lining Phase II	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY21	11.971	65.8	196.3
KRD	North Branch Canal Lining NB 30.6-31.1	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	5.408	29.7	88.7
KRD	South Branch 9.9 Lateral Piping	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	1.716	9.4	28.1
KRD	South Branch Manastash Ridge Trail Piping	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	0.621	3.4	10.2
KRD	Main Branch at Tucker Creek	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	1.303	7.2	21.4
KRD	South Branch 3.9-7.0 Lining	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	5.8	31.9	95.1
KRD	Pump Ditch Piping Phase I	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	11.53	63.4	189.1

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
KRD	South Branch SB 0.9-1.7	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	3.16	17.4	51.8
KRD	Pipe Lateral NB 33.5	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	7.4	40.7	121.4
KRD	Pipe Lateral SB 14.3	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	3.7	20.4	60.7
KRD	SB Extension Pipe	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	3.8	20.9	62.3
KRD	Pump Ditch Piping Phase II	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	14.62	80.4	239.8
KRD	Turbine Ditch Lining (Wipple to Ross Spill)	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	6.9	38.0	113.2
KRD	Pipe Lateral NB 35.1	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	0.9	5.0	14.8

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
KRD	Springwood Reservoir	Storage	Design	FY23	82	451.0	1344.8
KRD	Total				163.089	897.0	2674.7
<i>Roza Irrigation District</i>							
Roza	Pump Station Replacement Pumps 1-9,9A,10,12-17 and Severyn's Pump	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	47.3	260.2	775.7
Roza	Wasteway 6 Expansion	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	4	22.0	65.6
Roza	Wasteway 7 Expansion	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	2	11.0	32.8
Roza	Channel Repairs in Wasteways 3 and 5	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY22	1	5.5	16.4
Roza	Main Canal Concrete Floor Replacement (25,000 feet)	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY23	6.5	35.8	106.6

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
Roza	Lateral Canal Enclosure for Pumps 1,2,3,14	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY23	25.4	139.7	416.6
Roza	Main Canal Repairs at Mile Post 13.8 and 25.8	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY23	4	22.0	65.6
Roza	Power Pole Replacement	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY23	4	22.0	65.6
Roza	Total				94.2	518.1	1544.9
Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District Projects							
SVID	SCADA and Radio Communications Upgrade	System Improvement	Permitted	FY 22	7	38.5	114.8
SVID	Main Canal Shaping and Lining (20 miles)	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted, project can be modified to be completed sooner	FY 24	79.2	435.6	1298.9
SVID	Snipes Canal Shaping and Lining	Water Conservation System Improvement	Construction in progress	FY22	12.84	70.6	210.6
SVID	Mabton Lateral Shaping and Lining	Water Conservation System Improvement	Construction in progress	FY22	9.58	52.7	157.1

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
SVID	Turbine 30.20 Large Rebuild	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY22	0.15	0.8	2.5
SVID	Turbine 30.20 Small Rebuild	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY22	0.1	0.6	1.6
SVID	Turbine SN 9.04 E & W Rebuild	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY23	0.3	1.7	4.9
SVID	Harrison Hill Pumping Stations	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY23	1.5	8.3	24.6
SVID	Turbine 55.19 Rebuild	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY24	0.15	0.8	2.5
SVID	Turbine 50.35 Rebuild	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitted	FY25	0.15	0.8	2.5
SVID	Reregulating Reservoir on Main Canal (Grandview)	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY25	15	82.5	246.0
SVID	Mabton Siphon Replacement	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY26	10	55.0	164.0
SVID	Total				135.97	747.8	2229.9
Kennewick Irrigation District							

Sponsor	Project	Project Type	Current Stage²	Construction (FY)³	Request (\$M)	Direct Jobs⁴	Total jobs
KID	Main Canal Lining MP 2.2 to 3.7	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitting	FY22	1.5	8.3	24.6
KID	Main Canal Lining MP 23.3 to 24.1	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitting	FY22	0.8	4.4	13.1
KID	Badger East Canal MP 15.7 to 16.8	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitting	FY22	1.1	6.1	18.0
KID	Main Canal Lining MP 3.8 to 8.4	Water Conservation System Improvement	Permitting	FY23	4.7	25.9	77.1
KID	Total				8.1	44.6	132.8
Yakima-Tieton Irrigation District						0.0	0.0
YTID	French Canyon Dam Storage Increase	Storage System Improvement	Design	FY23	2.6	14.3	42.6
YTID	Wapatox Pump Station and Pipeline	Water Conservation System Improvement	Design	FY26	150	825.0	2460.0
YTID	North Fork Cowiche Creek Reservoir	Storage, System Improvement	Design	FY26	250	1375.0	4100.0
YTID	Total				402.6	2214.3	6602.6
All Sponsors	All Projects				1063.06	6011.8	17926.2

Attachment 2 ***DRAFT*******

**State of Washington
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
Federal Fiscal Year 2021 Infrastructure Spending and Jobs Package Ideas**

Ecology Program: Columbia Basin Project Infrastructure Projects – Odessa Groundwater Replacement Project

Located in Eastern Central Washington the Columbia Basin Project (CBP), authorized for 1,029,000 acres and generating over \$5 billion in annual economic activity, is owned by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation and managed by three irrigation districts. Existing infrastructure serving about 680,000 acres is aging. In some instances, it is over 70 years old. Additionally, while some farmers waited for continued CBP development, they obtained permission to dig deep groundwater wells for irrigation. However, we now know they are drawing from an aquifer that is depleting, creating an environmental and economic crisis. The Odessa Groundwater Replacement Project is working aggressively to address the declining aquifer but more financial help is needed.

The following CBP infrastructure projects could be started and/or completed in the next two years (FY22, FY23) with adequate funding. They represent **\$273,150,000.00** in needed funding.

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
Overall CBP: SCADA Upgrade	BOR	\$7,000,000
DESCRIPTION:		
Unfunded mandate to upgrade the CBP supervisory control and data acquisition system used to adjust flow rates in canals, respond to hydropower plant and pump plant outages, monitor canal levels, and manage three CBP reservoirs.		
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:		
To meet Federal Information Security Management Act requirements for Department of Interior regulatory compliance.		

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
Overall CBP: Trail Lake Project	BOR	\$3,815,759
DESCRIPTION:		
Addresses failing concrete infrastructure and provide alternate route to convey water.		
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:		

The project would reduce the likelihood of damage or failure to the canal system, improve reliability of the canal system and reduce the operation and maintenance costs associated with this section of the Main Canal.

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
Overall CBP: Keys Pump-Generating Plant Modernization		\$26,816,000
DESCRIPTION:		
Fund part of a major upgrade to aging infrastructure that lifts water from Lake Roosevelt to Banks Lake for irrigation water supply: PGP Governor, Exciters, Protective Relays and Unit Controls; PGP Phase Reversal Switches High Side (in Yard); PGP Coaster/Reverse Flow Gate Refurbs, Bypass Valves and Piping; PGP Plant Discharge Tube and Draft Tube Rehab; Crane Upgrades; PGP Fire Alarm Upgrade; PGP Station Service Batteries; PGP Station Service (DP2A, DP6A, DP10A); CO2 System Replacement		
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:		
After 70 years the infrastructure needs attention, but upgrades must be done in a manner that maintains affordability. The cost represents a portion of the irrigation district/landowner share over the next 5 years.		

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
ECBID: Conservation Pipelines 2021-2022		\$1,150,384
DESCRIPTION:		
Replace open laterals with 12,135 linear feet of PVC pipe.		
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:		
It would conserve 1,209 af of water per year and 619,192 kw hours annually. Water conservation creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no diversions from the Columbia River.		

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
ECBID: EL18 Crab Creek Siphon Repair		\$1,482,481
DESCRIPTION:		
Repair/replace 1,000 linear feet of a leaking concrete siphon.		

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

Conserves 794 af of water annually, as well as upgrades failing infrastructure.

Opportunity/Idea	Federal Program (e.g. EPA; Categorical Grants)	Amount
ECBID: Water Conservation Projects		\$15,000,000

DESCRIPTION:

Implement additional water conservation projects including pipelines, geomembrane linings, polyurea sealings and new water control structures to minimize operation; and conveyance losses.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

Water conservation creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from the Columbia River.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 11.8 Delivery System	\$12,973,750

DESCRIPTION:

Construct pumped delivery system.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

Replace 3,600 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 22.1 Delivery System	\$70,203,188

DESCRIPTION:

Construct pumped delivery system.

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

Replace 19,345 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
------------------	--------

ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 40.2 Delivery System	\$6,125,000
DESCRIPTION: Construct pumped delivery system.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace 11,306 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission..	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 54.0 Delivery System	\$4,135,000
DESCRIPTION: Construct pumped delivery system.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace 8,042 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission..	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 73.3 Delivery System	\$15,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Construct pumped delivery system.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace 17,786 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 79.2 Delivery System	\$31,384,844
DESCRIPTION: Construct pumped delivery system.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace 10,769 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-EL 86.4 Delivery System	\$9,024,332
DESCRIPTION: Construct pumped delivery system.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace 5,200 ac of groundwater withdrawals with CBP irrigation water and preserve groundwater for domestic uses/environment as part of an aquifer rescue mission.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
ECBID: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-ELC Headgate Automation	\$1,676,990
DESCRIPTION: Automate East Low Canal (ELC) gravity head gates to adjust for fluctuations resulting from new OGWRP pump plant diversions along the ELC.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Will create consistent lateral flows regardless of canal fluctuations, reducing waste and labor and resulting in water conservation.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
Adams/Grant Counties: Odessa Ground Water Replacement Program-East Low Canal County Road Bridges	\$23,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace 10 county road bridges to span East Low Canal which has been widened to provide additional carrying capacity for the OGWRP.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Existing bridges are bottlenecks to providing adequate flow rates. Increased capacity is required to serve distribution systems that will deliver Project water supplies and curtail aquifer depletion.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Conservation Geomembrane Lining – 2021-2022	\$1,250,000

DESCRIPTION: Install geomembrane liner in open laterals for water conservation and federal infrastructure improvements.
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from Columbia River.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Conservation Pipelines – 2021-2022	\$1,250,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace open laterals with PVC pipe for water conservation and federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from Columbia River.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Frenchman Hills Pumping Plant Unit #1 and #2 Motor Controls	\$500,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace aging infrastructure resulting in federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Ensure integrity of infrastructure and its continued use.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Conservation Pipelines, Lateral Lining, and Sealing Concrete, Flow control structures	\$2,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace open laterals with PVC pipe, line with Geomembrane liner, seal aging cracked concrete, and flow control with measurement for water conservation and federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from Columbia River.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: W25 Siphon Repair	\$2,500,000

DESCRIPTION: Repair/replace 3,300 linear feet of existing leaking concrete siphon to conserve water as well as upgrade failing infrastructure.
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from Columbia River.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Pumping Plant Motor Controls and Power Facilities	\$500,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace aging infrastructure resulting in federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Ensure integrity of infrastructure and its continued use.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Pumping Plant Bridge Cranes	\$500,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace aging infrastructure resulting in federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Ensure integrity of infrastructure and its continued use.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
QCBID: Homestead Pump Plant and Small Hydro Power Generation	\$1,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Installing a pumping plant and small hydro power generation plant for federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation reliability and provides renewable hydro power.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Potholes East Canal Headworks Headwall Repair	\$2,000,000

DESCRIPTION: Repair concrete headwall at PEC Headworks.
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: This structure failed in 2017.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Pump Plant Discharge Manifold Replacement	\$3,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace steel manifolds at 15 pump plants.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replacing the manifolds maintains flows to all project purposes without interruption. The manifolds are nearly 65 years old.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Conservation Pipelines and Geomembrane Lining	\$5,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace open laterals with PVC pipe and geomembrane lining for water conservation and federal infrastructure improvements.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Creates irrigation and wildlife water supplies with no new diversions from Columbia River.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Weed Screens	\$1,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Build and install weed screens for 20 laterals.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Weed screens enhance delivery efficiency and reduce aquatic chemical treatment.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Radar Pump Plant Pump	\$2,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Add redundancy and capacity to high lift pump plant.	

WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:

The plant was designed to house an additional pump.

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: WB44 Siphon Repair	\$10,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Replacement of 6,000 linear feet of aged Prestressed Concrete Cylinder Pipe.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replace aged materials.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: Ringold Storage and Wasteway Repair	\$10,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Re-regulation reservoir and replacement of failed emergency wasteway on the Potholes Canal.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Replacement of failed emergency wasteway.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
SCBID: System Automation and Flow Measurement	\$1,000,000
DESCRIPTION: Install canal automation and flow measurement.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED: Automation and measurement will improve efficiency, save water and power, and provide higher level of control.	

Opportunity/Idea	Amount
City of Othello: Sewer Line Crossing over Potholes Canal	\$900,000
DESCRIPTION: Replace two sewer crossings over Potholes Canal to provide higher level of safety, lower probability of leak or spill.	
WHY IT IS IMPORTANT/NEEDED:	

Sewer crossing over large canals are high risk. City has extremely high liability in event of a leak or spill.