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Abstract

This article focuses on the potential effects of changes in temperature and food resources on the life history trajectories of juvenile Oncorhynchus mykiss. The authors present methods for assessing the impact of environmental changes on fish survival and growth, using case studies from the Columbia River. The study highlights the importance of considering both temperature and food availability in understanding fish population dynamics.
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Abstract

Increasing temperatures and changes in food resources owing to climate change may alter the growth and migratory behavior of organisms. This is particularly important for salmonid species like Oncorhynchus mykiss, where some individuals remain in freshwater to mature (nonanadromous Rainbow Trout) and others migrate to sea (anadromous Steelhead). Whether one strategy is adopted over the other may depend on the individual’s growth and size. In this study, we explored (1) how water temperature in Beaver Creek, a tributary to the Methow River, Washington, may increase under four climate scenarios, (2) how these thermal changes may alter the life history trajectory followed by O. mykiss (i.e., when and if to smolt), and (3) how changes in food quality or quantity might interact with increasing temperatures. We combined bioenergetic and state-dependent life history models parameterized for O. mykiss in Beaver Creek to mimic baseline life history trajectories. Based on our simulations, when mean water temperature was increased by 0.6°C there was a reduction in life history diversity and a 57% increase in the number of individuals becoming smolts. When mean temperature was increased by 2.7°C, it resulted in 87% fewer smolts than in the baseline and fewer life history trajectories expressed. A reduction in food resources led to slower growth, more life history trajectories, and a greater proportion of smolts. In contrast, when food resources were increased, fish grew faster, which reduced the proportion of smolts and life history diversity. Our modeling suggests that warmer water temperatures associated with climate change could decrease the life history diversity of O. mykiss in the central portion of their range and thereby reduce resiliency to other disturbances. In addition, changes in food resources could mediate or exacerbate the effect of water temperature on the life history trajectories of O. mykiss.

Rising temperatures associated with global climate change are apparent (IPCC 2007) and can alter an organism’s physiology, ecology, and behavior. Examples include increased growth rate and earlier maturation of plants and animals (Nemani et al. 2003; Pörtner and Farrell 2008), and earlier onset of migration in birds, butterflies, and fishes (Roy and Sparks 2000; Cotton 2003; Jonsson and Jonsson 2009). However, the consequences of warming temperatures on growth, maturation, and migratory behavior may not be mutually exclusive because age at maturation or migration is often associated with the size of the individual (Pörtner and Farrell 2008). For instance, in aquatic ecosystems where most fish are ectothermic, those in warmer waters tend to grow faster and, in turn, mature or migrate at younger ages than those in colder waters (Brander 2007; Jonsson and Jonsson 2009). Thus, increasing water temperatures linked to climate change can increase growth rates and advance development of fish unless thermal tolerances are exceeded, in which case growth may decline.

In addition to water temperatures directly controlling the rates of growth and development of fish by altering metabolism, temperature can indirectly control these processes via changes in food resources (Beauchamp 2009). For example, warming temperatures have been linked to a decline in the abundance and size of aquatic macroinvertebrates (Durance and Ormerod 2007; Daufresne et al. 2009). This, in turn, can reduce the growth of fish by reducing the quantity (abundance), quality (size), or...
both of aquatic macroinvertebrate prey. Furthermore, changes in temperature might shift aquatic macroinvertebrate life history timing relative to that of some fish species, which may also impact food availability (Harper and Peckarsky 2006). Alternatively, as temperatures rise, primary production of streams may increase, subsequently increasing the prey resources and fish growth (Woodward et al. 2010). Regardless of the mechanism, changes in growth and development have been linked to changes in fish migratory behavior, especially those that undergo long-distance migrations (Jonsson and Jonsson 2009). For example, recent studies have observed a decrease in age at migration and earlier migration timing of Chinook Salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha in response to environmental conditions, including increased water temperature (Achord et al. 2007; Crozier et al. 2008a). However, these studies have been for those salmon in which most or all individuals migrate to sea.

Some salmonid species display partial migration, where populations may be divided into anadromous and nonanadromous individuals (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993; Thorpe et al. 1998). In such populations, offspring may adopt an anadromous or nonanadromous life history despite their parentage (Christie et al. 2011), suggesting that juveniles maintain sufficient phenotypic plasticity to adopt either life history strategy (Zimmerman and Reeves 2000). For fish species exhibiting partial migration, juvenile freshwater residents are likely to have lower metabolic costs, higher lipid content, and increased growth rates, which often leads to larger size relative to similarly aged anadromous conspecifics (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993, 2009; Thorpe et al. 1998; Morinville and Rasmussen 2003). Furthermore, anadromous individuals that migrate to richer feeding areas may exhibit increased growth and size, which is related to fitness via fecundity and survival (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993). Hence, whether an individual adopts a life history to migrate to sea may be influenced by its growth and size, which, in turn, are influenced by the environment. However, few studies have explored the consequences of environmental drivers, such as water temperature or food resources, on the distribution of life history strategies in populations exhibiting partial migration.

Among salmonid fishes, O. mykiss (Steelhead and Rainbow Trout) display partial migration that, in part, can be attributed to environmental factors (Thorpe 2007). Juveniles may spend 1–7 years in freshwater (Peven et al. 1994) before adopting either an anadromous (migrating to sea as smolts to become Steelhead) or nonanadromous (sexually maturing in freshwater to become Rainbow Trout) life history strategy. Hence, following Thorpe (2007), there are 14 possible life history trajectories for juveniles. We define a life history trajectory as a combination of age and pathway taken (i.e., to smolt as a Steelhead or mature in freshwater to become a Rainbow Trout) life history strategy. Despite a diversity of potential trajectories, relatively little research has been conducted to project which pathway will be followed. However, it is likely that thermal conditions and food resources are important (Olsson et al. 2006; McMillan et al. 2012). Recently, a state-dependent model was used to predict life history trajectories for juvenile O. mykiss in central California streams by Satterthwaite et al. (2009, 2010) based on survival, fecundity, and growth. We modified this model to simulate how environmental changes may alter the distribution of life history trajectories adopted by O. mykiss in a stream in northern Washington.

Here, we explore the relationship between warming temperatures and changes in food resources owing to climate change and the potential changes in life history trajectories of O. mykiss. We simulated the growth of female fish and their life history trajectory under different climate scenarios using a combination of bioenergetic (Hanson et al. 1997) and state-dependent life history (Satterthwaite et al. 2009) models (Figure 1). We focused on female fish because they tend to migrate more frequently and typically exhibit greater fitness with size than males (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993; Satterthwaite et al. 2009). We expected that their growth would increase with temperature and thus maturing as a Rainbow Trout or smolting as a Steelhead would occur at an earlier age, which would reduce the diversity of life history trajectories. In addition, we explored the consequences of warming temperatures and changes in food quantity or quality on the growth of O. mykiss and the proportion of the juvenile population adopting the anadromous versus nonanadromous pathway.

METHODS

Study site.—To simulate potential effects of warming temperature owing to climate change on growth and life history of juvenile O. mykiss, we focused on a population of Rainbow Trout and Steelhead in the lower portion of Beaver Creek, a tributary of the Methow River, Washington. In this section, Beaver Creek is a third-order stream at low elevation (463–548 m) and relatively small in wetted width (4.6 ± 0.2 m [mean ± SE]) and discharge at base flow (0.05 m³/s; Martens and Connolly 2010). The Steelhead population in the Methow River basin is supported by hatchery releases of smolts (Berejkjian et al. 2012), but in Beaver Creek the population is largely from natural spawning O. mykiss. Although O. mykiss is the dominant fish species, other fish present in Beaver Creek include Chinook Salmon, Brook Trout Salvelinus fontinalis, and Shorthead Sculpin Cottus confusus (Martens and Connolly 2010).

The life history trajectory and dispersal of juvenile O. mykiss in Beaver Creek has been investigated by tagging these fish with PIT tags. Passive integrated transponder tags were used to detect fish movement via instream antennas known as PIT tag interrogation systems (river kilometer [rkm] 3.5 in Beaver Creek), and some were captured at a weir near the mouth of Beaver Creek (rkm 1; Connolly et al. 2008; Martens and Connolly 2010). For juvenile Steelhead, we have observed three life history trajectories; the majority will smolt at age 2 or 3 (66% and 30%, respectively), with some (~4%) age-4 smolts being observed (P. J. Connolly, unpublished data). However, it is possible that some of these fish simply moved out of Beaver Creek and into the Methow River. We are unsure of the ratio of the population of O. mykiss that becomes Steelhead to those that remain in...
freshwater as Rainbow Trout. We assumed nonanadromous Rainbow Trout mature anywhere from age 1 to 4, but the distribution of age at maturation is unknown.

Temperature and climate change scenarios.—To simulate daily water temperatures in Beaver Creek, we first used a non-linear regression model to estimate weekly average water temperatures from weekly average air as described in Mohseni et al. (1998). This model assumes that the relationship between air and water temperature will incorporate factors that influence water temperature (e.g., shading, hydrology, atmospheric conditions). We sampled daily water temperature (Stowaway Tidbit; Onset, Pocasset, Massachusetts) in Beaver Creek every 30–60 min from 25 October 2005 to 23 April 2007, 27 March 2009 to 17 March 2010, and 13 July to 31 December 2010. Continuous average daily air temperature recorded at a weather station near the mouth of the Methow River (latitude = 48°8′, longitude = 120°3′, elevation = 357 m) was obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Climate Data Center (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html).

Given the estimated parameters of the regression model, we then predicted daily water temperature using a 7-d moving average of air temperature. We considered an estimate of daily water temperature from a weekly average air temperature to be an appropriate measure because water temperature does not
change instantaneously with air temperature but lags behind (Mohseni and Stefan 1999). In addition, water temperature summarized as a 7-d moving average may be more appropriate to estimate fish growth and behavior because fish are likely to find refuge to avoid temporary peaks in temperature but less likely to avoid prolonged peaks (Eaton and Scheller 1996). The air-to-water temperature model for Beaver Creek had a good fit based on the Nash–Sutcliffe coefficient (NSC; Nash and Sutcliffe 1970 as cited in Mohseni et al. 1998; NSC = 0.94 [perfect fit = 1.0]). This model was treated as the baseline model to which water temperature increases under the climate change scenarios were compared.

Because of the uncertainty in predicting increases in temperature over the next seven decades, we simulated seasonal changes in stream temperature under four climate change scenarios. We used an average seasonal temperature increase under the A1B (n = 10) and B1 (n = 9) climate change scenarios for future greenhouse gas emissions in the Pacific Northwest (Mote and Salathé 2010). These can be considered medium (A1B) and low (B1) warming scenarios with an average annual increase in air temperature of 1.2°C by the 2020s (scenario 1), 1.9°C by the 2040s (scenario 2), and 3.1°C by the 2080s (scenario 3; see Table 1 for seasonal temperature increases). In addition, we estimated water temperature increases based on Mote and Salathé's (2010) most extreme predicted warming of air temperature, 5.6°C, by 2080 (scenario 4). Under each scenario, we added the respective seasonal increase in air temperature to the baseline 7-d moving average of air temperature and modeled the respective increase in daily water temperature for years 2005–2010. We then averaged daily water temperature across the 6 years to develop the average annual temperature cycle of Beaver Creek.

Bioenergetics and life history models.—We used a modified version of the Wisconsin bioenergetics model (Hanson et al. 1997), parameterized for *O. mykiss* (Thornton and Lessem 1978; Railback and Rose 1999), to explore the effects of increasing temperature projected under climate change on the growth of juvenile *O. mykiss* (Figure 1). Growth rates under the bioenergetics model were determined based on physiology, water temperature, food availability or quality, and individual variability (i.e., emergence size and daily consumption). The average daily water temperature as derived above was used for the baseline and climate scenarios to grow fish in the bioenergetics model. We used the same daily water temperature for each year the fish grew, ending when a fish either emigrated as a smolt or spawned as a mature freshwater resident (see below).

We simulated 2,000 age-0 fry with an average start size of 55 mm (SD = 7.16) drawn from a normal distribution and start date of August 1, corresponding to field observations. We assumed the variation in starting size on a given date captures the variation in size expected from differences in date of fry emergence. The proportion of maximum consumption ($P_{C_{max}} = 0.34 \pm 0.10$ [mean $\pm$ SD]) was estimated by fitting the bioenergetics model to observed growth of marked–recaptured juvenile fish in Beaver Creek ($n = 128$). We structured the model to randomly assign a different daily $P_{C_{max}}$ for each fish ($n = 1,700$), drawn from the normal distribution (mean = 0.34; SD = 0.10). However, this did not account for individuals adopting a trajectory at age 4, as observed in our empirical data. Thus, for 300 fish, we randomly assigned daily $P_{C_{max}}$ of 0.30 $\pm$ 0.09 (mean $\pm$ SD), which was within the range of values (0.20–0.50) estimated from recaptured fish. Diets of juvenile *O. mykiss* in the Methow River basin were assumed to be 75% aquatic and 25% terrestrial insects (energy density = 2,800 J/g and 5,000 J/g, respectively; Beauchamp 2009) from April to October, and 95% aquatic and 5% terrestrial from November to March (Bellmore 2011).

Specific growth estimates from the bioenergetics model during key timing events of a fish’s life were fit into a state-dependent life history model developed by Satterthwaite et al. (2009) to project the trajectory of *O. mykiss* to smolt and migrate to the ocean or to mature without going to the sea (Figure 1). We based the timing of events on field observations and previously published literature for the area. These included an average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Emergence</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>55-mm FL</th>
<th>achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12 Jun</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10 Jun</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7 Jul</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3 Jun</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>Jul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1. The average seasonal increase in air temperature projected under the baseline and four climate scenarios, and corresponding mean (SE) water temperatures for Beaver Creek. In addition, the estimated shifts in the mean emergence day and mean date that age-0 *O. mykiss* reach 55-mm FL. The winter season includes the months December, January, and February; spring includes March, April, and May; summer includes June, July, and August; and fall includes September, October, and November.
spawning date (May 1), an average date when fry emerge from the gravel (June 15), an average date when smolts emigrate (June 1; Peven et al. 1994; Snow et al. 2008), and discrete segments of time when smoltification or maturation are initiated (described below). The life history model evaluates which potential life history trajectory will provide the greatest fitness based on the growth and size of a fish during a “decision window.” For this model, fitness is defined as a combination of size-dependent egg production and survival; thus, the trajectories are estimated for female fish only. Decision windows were assumed to be fixed periods in time where physiological, morphological, and behavioral changes may begin depending on the growth and size of the fish (Thorpe et al. 1998; Satterthwaite et al. 2009). For smolting, we assumed the window was between October 1 and December 31, approximately 6 months prior to emigration. The window for maturing fish, May 1–June 1, was assumed to occur approximately 1 year before spawning because sexual maturation likely involves a greater physiological investment than smolting. This window, however, occurs prior to emergence, which would preclude age-0 fish from becoming sexually mature at age 1. To negate this, age-1 maturation was based on growth from date of emergence (i.e., June 15) to October 1.

In addition to timing events and growth through a juvenile fish’s life, the life history model estimates fitness based on survival, fecundity, and the maximum size achievable in freshwater (Satterthwaite et al. 2009). No data were available for egg-to-emergence survival rates within the Methow River basin, so we estimated it to be 6.5% based on a coastal Steelhead population in southwest British Columbia (Ward and Slaney 1993). The remaining freshwater annual survival rates were assumed to be 50%, which is within the range of survival rates of other *Oncorhynchus* spp. throughout the Columbia River basin (Bradford 1995) and provided similar proportions of smolts we observed in the field. Length-dependent, smolt-to-adult return survival, $\sigma(l)$, was determined from the release of 50,000 hatchery fish in the Methow River (Gale et al. 2009) and estimated as

$$\sigma(l) = 0.36 - \frac{e^{-6.171 + 0.03843l}}{1 + e^{-6.171 + 0.03843l}},$$

using a Cormack-Jolly-Seber mark–recapture model in R (R Development Core Team 2011). The ocean survival rate of 36% was suggested by Ward et al. (1989) for Steelhead smolts emigrating at 230 mm, which is consistent with the largest smolts from the Methow River (Peven et al. 1994). Length-specific fecundity of nonanadromous female Rainbow Trout, $\phi(l)$, was estimated from Hodge (2010) for *O. mykiss* in the Klamath River basin as

$$\phi(l) = 0.2128 \ast l^{2.4301}.$$  

An average lifetime fecundity of anadromous females was estimated at 5,545 based on returns of wild Steelhead to Wells Dam from 2000 to 2006 (Snow et al. 2008). Although a small percentage of Steelhead spawn multiple times, we considered the contribution of repeat spawning events to be negligible and thus only one spawning event was considered in the fitness estimation. Rainbow Trout in Beaver Creek can achieve a maximum size of approximately 400 mm (Connolly, personal observation) owing to some individuals becoming fluvial and moving between Beaver Creek and the Methow River.

For the climate scenarios, we used similar parameters described above with some exceptions. First, we assumed whether a fish matures or smolts would follow the environmental conditions (i.e., increased temperature) for a particular period. Thus, we updated the rules for each climate change scenario. Second, we used an earlier start date for simulations to correspond with an earlier emergence time predicted for salmonid species as stream temperatures rise (Crozier et al. 2008a; Healey 2011). To advance the date of emergence, we first calculated the degree-days (404) from spawning (May 1) to emergence (June 15) under baseline. For each climate scenario, we then recalculated emergence date assuming the same spawning date and 404 degree-days needed to emerge. However, our simulations did not start until fish were, on average, 55 mm (August 1 at baseline), which was 592 degree-days between emergence and August 1 under baseline. A similar approach was used to recalculate a new start date for when 55 mm was achieved for each climate simulation (Table 1). Third, we ran additional simulations for the climate scenarios where food quantity ($P_{\text{Cmax}}$) or quality (energy density) in the bioenergetics model were either reduced or increased at 5% increments, up to a 25% change (Table 2). We reasoned a decrease would be possible given other studies on the impacts of warming temperature on aquatic macroinvertebrates (Harper and Peckarsky 2006; Durance and Ormerod 2007; Daufresne et al. 2009). However, the Methow River basin is a coldwater, oligotrophic system (Bellmore 2011) that may experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change (%)</th>
<th>Terrestrial</th>
<th>Aquatic</th>
<th>Prey availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–25</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–20</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–15</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>2,380</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–10</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–5</td>
<td>4,750</td>
<td>2,660</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>2,940</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5,750</td>
<td>3,220</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>6,250</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
greater primary and secondary production if temperatures increase (Woodward et al. 2010). In addition, terrestrial invertebrates provide a higher quality food resource for fish (Nakano et al. 1999), but, to our knowledge, the consequences of climate change on this subsidy have not been investigated.

RESULTS

Temperature

Average water temperature in Beaver Creek at baseline was 6.3°C (range = 0.7–15.2°C) and increased with air temperature under each scenario modeled (Table 1). Mean water temperature increased by 10% under scenario 1 (mean = 6.9°C; range = 0.8–15.9°C), 14% under scenario 2 (7.2°C; 0.9–16.2°C), 23% under scenario 3 (7.8°C; 1.1–16.7°C), and 42% under scenario 4 (9.0°C; 1.5–17.5°C). The increase in minimum water temperatures ranged from 14% to 114%, whereas the increase in maximum water temperatures was more modest, ranging from 5% to 15%. Increases in water temperature also varied by season, the smallest increases (8–28%) being simulated during summer and the largest during winter (20–120%).

Baseline Model

Most juvenile female fish under baseline temperature conditions became Steelhead smolts (59%), the rest maturing as Rainbow Trout (41%; Figure 2a). Overall, the state-dependent model estimated five life history trajectories under the baseline model (Figure 3a). Those that were simulated to become smolts did so at age 2 (68%) and age 3 (27%), the rest (5%) doing so at age 4 (Table 3). This simulated distribution for Steelhead smolts was consistent with our field observations described above. Most of the simulated fish that matured did so at age 3, and a small fraction matured at age 4.

Specific growth rate (g·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) tended to be greater and initial length (mm) larger for females committing to a life history trajectory at a younger age (Table 3). For instance, fish emigrating at age 2 had a 26–33% greater mean specific growth rate and were 9–22% larger at the beginning of the simulation than the age-3 and age-4 migrants. Similar results were obtained for fish following a trajectory of freshwater maturation. Steelhead smolts and Rainbow Trout did not appear to have different specific growth rates, whereas Rainbow Trout tended to be larger at spawning than Steelhead smolts at time of migration (Figure 2).

Climate Scenarios

As water temperatures increased and food resources remained constant, our simulations suggest two notable changes in the proportion of female fish that adopted the anadromous pathway as well as the diversity of life history trajectories. First, in scenarios 1 and 2, the proportion of fish that became smolts increased from that of baseline by 50–57% (Figure 2a). In addition, the number of life history trajectories declined from five

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Start length (mm)</th>
<th>End length (mm)</th>
<th>Growth (g·g⁻¹·d⁻¹ × 10²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>Smolt</td>
<td>Mature Smolt</td>
<td>Mature Smolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>59.3 (6.6)</td>
<td>143.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>52.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>172.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>50.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>156.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>52.8 (6.0)</td>
<td>169.4 (15.1)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>55.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>151.3 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>53.1 (9.3)</td>
<td>169.4 (15.1)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>50.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>158.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>56.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>154.6 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>72.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>163.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>56.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>154.6 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>47.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>151.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>166.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>64.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>163.8 (10.0)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>56.4 (6.5)</td>
<td>162.1 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>68.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>133.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>55.0 (6.4)</td>
<td>173.9 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>46.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>143.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Percent, mean (SD) length at the start of the simulation, mean length at emigration (smolt) or spawning (mature), and mean growth rates for each life history trajectory under the baseline and four climate scenarios (see Table 1 for climate scenario definitions).
FIGURE 2. The proportion of (a) expressed life history trajectory, (b) mean specific growth rate (±SD), and (c) mean fork length for resident female Rainbow Trout (black) and Steelhead smolts (gray) in Beaver Creek, Washington, under baseline and climate scenarios. Growth was averaged for each fish from emergence date to time of spawning for Rainbow Trout or emigration for Steelhead smolts, and then averaged among fish. Similarly, length was averaged among fish at time of spawning or emigration (see Table 1 for definition of climate scenarios).

FIGURE 3. Relationship between changes in prey availability and (a) the number of life history trajectories or (b) specific growth (× 10²) at different warming scenarios. Linear trend lines of life history trajectories from the simulated data have the following $r^2$: scenario 1, $r^2 = 0.80$; scenario 2, $r^2 = 0.82$; scenario 3, $r^2 = 0.55$; and scenario 4, $r^2 = 0.74$. For growth, $r^2 ≥ 0.94$ (see Table 1 for definition of climate scenarios).
in baseline to four in scenarios 1 and 2 owing to the eventual loss of those that adopted either trajectory at age 4 and the subsequent appearance of those maturing at age 2 (Table 3). Second, under scenario 4, the proportion of females becoming Steelhead smolts declined up to 87% compared with baseline. Furthermore, the number of life history trajectories was reduced to three in scenarios 3 and 4. In scenario 3, most fish (74%) adopted an anadromous trajectory at age 2, whereas in scenario 4, most fish (93%) became Rainbow Trout at age 2.

In our simulations, the commitment to a life history trajectory at younger ages was because of the changes in growth and length with increasing temperature. On average, specific growth rate increased with temperature up to 57% for Steelhead smolts and 48% for Rainbow Trout (Figure 2b). However, up to a 16% decrease in growth was exhibited between baseline and scenarios 1 and 2 for Rainbow Trout owing to the slower growing fish adopting this pathway. In scenario 3, the average size of Steelhead smolts gradually increased by 11%. Size of Steelhead then declined from that of baseline by 6% in scenario 4 because of more fish becoming smolts at a younger age (age 1 versus age 2; Figure 2c). In contrast, the size of spawning female Rainbow Trout declined up to 10% between baseline and scenarios 1 and 2 owing to slower growing fish adopting this pathway at a younger age. The size of age-2 spawning Rainbow Trout in scenario 4 was similar to the size of Rainbow Trout in baseline but at a younger age.

**Changes in Food Quality or Quantity**

We attempted to decrease and increase prey quantity or quality up to 25% at 5% increments. However, in the life history model, if growth was restricted to the point that length thresholds would not be reached by all fish the model failed to run. This was the case for scenarios 1 and 2, where we were only able to reduce prey resources up to −15%, and −20% for scenario 3. Regardless, patterns were similar for reductions in prey quantity and quality; thus, we present only results from reductions in prey quantity (i.e., prey availability).

Distinct trends were apparent between food resources and warming temperatures in life history diversity, fish growth, and the proportion of individuals adopting an anadromous trajectory, whereas their length at emigration varied. Life history diversity declined with increased food quantity (Figure 3a). Moreover, at low prey availability, life history diversity appeared to be more sensitive to changes in temperature, whereas greater prey availability offset the influence of temperature. Under each climate scenario, growth of fish was positively related to food resources, warmer temperatures having greater growth across the amount of prey available (Figure 3b). Our simulations suggested a general decline in the proportion of smolts with increasing food resources. However, this was dependent on the climate scenario (Figure 4). For instance, in the two warmest climate scenarios, the lowest proportion of smolts was at intermediate to moderately high levels of food resources. This pattern was because of a shift from older age-classes (age 3 to age 7) at low food resources to younger age-classes (age 1 and age 2) at higher food resources. Length varied among climate scenarios and available food owing to fish following an anadromous or nonanadromous trajectory at a younger age as temperature and food resources increased.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used a bioenergetics approach, coupled with a life history model, as a conceptual framework for understanding how *O. mykiss* may respond to potential increases in temperature and changes in food resources owing to climate change. Our simulations suggested that Rainbow Trout–Steelhead in Beaver Creek will respond to warmer water temperatures by a decrease in size and age at maturation or age at smoltification, and a reduction in life history diversity because of a faster growth rate. Moreover, ecologically significant life history changes may occur with a small amount of warming (i.e., ~0.6°C), which may imply that the population in Beaver Creek is near a threshold. However, these responses may be mediated or exacerbated by concomitant changes in food resources.

The results of our simulations are consistent with some empirical evidence but run counter to the results of others. For example, increased stream temperature following logging led to faster growth, increased overwinter survival, and younger age of smoltification of Coho Salmon *O. kisutch* (Holty 1988). Similarly, growth of Sockeye Salmon *O. nerka* in an Alaskan watershed increased with warming temperatures (i.e., ~0.6°C), which may imply that the population in Beaver Creek is near a threshold. However, these responses may be mediated or exacerbated by concomitant changes in food resources.

**Climatic conditions experienced during early development may have consequences for survival and performance later in life as observed for fish (Holty 1988; Jonsson et al. 2005) as well as birds, mammals, and amphibians (Lindström 1999;**
Grayson et al. 2011). In Steelhead, marine survival has been observed to increase with body size (Ward and Slaney 1988) and age of smolts (Holtby 1988). Based on our simulations, it may be possible that smoltification occurs at younger ages, which may have lower marine survival. However, lower survival of younger smolts may be offset by their larger size relative to baseline in all but the warmest climate scenario.

In our simulations, we detected a positive, linear relationship between growth and the quality or quantity of aquatic and terrestrial invertebrate prey in each climate scenario. This resulted in lower diversity of life history trajectories and fewer fish adopting an anadromous life history with increasing food resources. One explanation is that as food resources within natal streams approach optimal growing conditions, the fitness benefit from remaining a resident exceeds the risk (mortality) relative to the rewards (egg production) of emigrating to richer habitat. The opposite could be said when food resources in natal streams are poor. This suggests that as climate changes, food quality and availability may be more important than temperature to the growth and trajectory of juvenile *O. mykiss* (Beauchamp 2009) and is consistent with laboratory and field experiments (Connolly and Petersen 2003; Boughton et al. 2007). Size and abundance of aquatic macroinvertebrate prey may be reduced with warming temperatures (Durance and Ormerod 2007; Daufresne et al. 2009) unless more light is available, which would increase basal resources for macroinvertebrates.
(Hawkins et al. 1982), or colonization of invertebrate taxa tolerant to warmer water temperatures occurs (Jacobsen et al. 1997). Given that water temperature in the Methow River basin is relatively cold and that increases with climate change may be small (<3°C), it is possible that the quality or quantity (or both) of food will remain constant or increase. Regardless of the response of prey resources to climate change, fish are likely to track shifts in the prey assemblage (Woodward et al. 2010) as well as select for higher quality food resources (Marcarelli et al. 2011). More controlled experiments coupled with observational studies are needed to better understand interactions between temperature and predator–prey dynamics.

The loss of life history diversity may reduce the viability of a population (Lehman and Tilman 2000; Koellner and Schmitz 2006). For example, variation in life history trajectories (e.g., age of smolting and time spent in the ocean) has allowed Sockeye Salmon populations in Bristol Bay, Alaska, to remain viable and productive under varying climate conditions (Hilborn et al. 2003). In contrast to the Sockeye Salmon population, the population of *O. mykiss* in Beaver Creek includes anadromous individuals as well as fluvial and nonmigratory Rainbow Trout, which may further buffer the population during poor ocean conditions because their offspring are capable of adopting either an anadromous or nonanadromous life history strategy (Christie et al. 2011). Likewise, anadromous individuals may provide a buffer when freshwater conditions are not favorable. The life history trajectories of *O. mykiss* in Beaver Creek may be further diversified by the movement of juveniles from Beaver Creek to the larger main-stem Methow River several months prior to their emigration as smolts (Connolly, unpublished data). This presmolt movement may alter the subsequent longer-distance migration to the ocean. The stability and sustainability of *O. mykiss* in Beaver Creek may be influenced by the performance of these different life history types at different times. Our simulations suggest that some life history trajectories, including that of older residents and smolts, may no longer be expressed under climate change scenarios. Moreover, based on our simulations, warmer temperatures, improved food resources, or both may lead to Rainbow Trout becoming the dominant pathway. This could have management implications because this pathway is currently not listed as a species under the Endangered Species Act, whereas those following a Steelhead pathway are listed as threatened (NOAA 2008). We recommend restoration actions that may occur in Beaver Creek, the Methow River watershed, and elsewhere be focused on maintaining or enhancing diversity of *O. mykiss* and other salmon populations as opposed to actions that focus on one life history trajectory (Battin et al. 2007).

Lipid content may be an important driver in determining life history expression of fish (Jonsson and Jonsson 1993). A surplus of lipids is needed for gonadal development; thus, individuals with greater lipid content are more likely to mature in freshwater without migrating to sea. In male *O. mykiss*, lipid content was positively correlated with freshwater maturation and negatively correlated with water temperature (McMillan et al. 2012), but this relationship may be size dependent (Connolly and Petersen 2003). Lipids have been included as a parameter in similar life history models for Atlantic Salmon *Salmo salar* (Thorpe et al. 1998) and could be included in the model we used to simulate the trajectories adopted by *O. mykiss*. We did not collect lipid content for *O. mykiss* in Beaver Creek or the Methow River, but acknowledge that if it was included it may result in a different distribution of life history trajectories.

Assumptions and limitations associated with the models we used may have influenced our results. First, we assumed that the relationship between air and water temperature would be the same as climate changes, but water temperature can also be influenced by stream shading via riparian vegetation, shifts in hydrology, or anthropogenic events such as development (Nelson et al. 2009; Isaak et al. 2010). To address this assumption, we focused on a range of temperature-warming scenarios, from relatively mild to extreme, because it is uncertain which climate scenario may be most realistic in the future. Second, our model does not consider shifts in survival of *O. mykiss* associated with climate change, but reduced survival has been suggested by modeling efforts for salmon (Crozier et al. 2008b) and other aquatic species (Andrè et al. 2010). The life history model we used has been shown to be sensitive to freshwater and marine survival (Satterthwaite et al. 2009, 2010), but we chose to keep survival constant to allow a better understanding of the consequences that warmer temperatures alone may have on life history trajectories of *O. mykiss*. Third, salmon may not adhere to the “decision windows” we assigned in the life history model, and these windows are likely to change with climate as shown for cephalopods (Satterthwaite et al. 2009, 2010), but we chose to keep survival constant to allow a better understanding of the consequences that warmer temperatures alone may have on life history trajectories of *O. mykiss*. Lastly, our models do not consider the impacts of warming temperatures beyond smolting or maturing. Most assuredly, climate change will affect the entire life cycle and changes in ocean condition, albeit difficult to predict, could be catastrophic (Zabel et al. 2006). In the end, we present the results of our climate scenario simulations as a demonstration of potential effects rather than actual predictions. Considerable uncertainty remains about the relationship between environmental conditions, climate change, life history of *O. mykiss*, and their behaviors or physiological traits (Crozier et al. 2008a).

Our modeling effort compliments others (e.g., Mangel 1994; Schindler et al. 2005; Crozier et al. 2008a, 2008b) that have explored the potential consequences climate change may have on migratory salmon. However, we add to this body of literature by being one of the first to demonstrate potential changes in life history trajectories of *O. mykiss*, an organism with various life history pathways. Our simulations suggest that biologically
significant changes for *O. mykiss* are likely and can occur with increases in mean water temperatures just over 0.5°C, which may not provide much time for management actions to occur. Moreover, the response of *O. mykiss* to warming temperatures may be mediated by concomitant changes in food resources. We focused on the potential changes in life history trajectories exhibited by *O. mykiss*, but we did not explore the vulnerability of the population following warmer temperatures or other climatic events. However, managers will need to consider both when prioritizing conservation efforts. Thus, we suggest broader-scale analyses need to be conducted to better understand the generality of the consequences climate change may have on fish species that display partial migration as well as more detailed studies to understand the mechanisms that influence life history expression. One approach may be to compare biological responses across many streams with contrasting climates and food resources. Alternatively, responses of populations could be compared within one stream across years that have differing environmental conditions.
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