These are the Biological Notes from the Upper Grande Ronde Expert Panel Look Forward session, conducted in LaGrande, OR from 3/8/2016 to 3/10/2016. Notes are specific to Steelhead. Raw notes were collected during Panel discussions, and later checked for typographical errors and for consistency with supporting tables. This spreadsheet also contains revisions look back uplifts and rationale in response to Panel review comments and revisions during the look forward meeting.

"EP table" references are to spreadsheets developed and compiled during the session. This spreadsheet references both look back and look forward calculation spreadsheets (tables). These two files are named the following:

## Look Back Calculation Table:

UGRCC\_EP\_2012-15\_LookBack\_CalcSpreadsheet\_3-29-16.xlsx

## Look Forward Calculation Table:

UGRCC\_EP\_2016-18\_LookForward\_CalcSpreadsheet\_3-29-16.xlsx

Primary biological note taker: Kim Gould, Cardno, Inc.

## Key:

Bracketing in rationale columns demarks content added during the QA process.

ESU Population Code	Assessment 2012 Unit Limiting Factor	2012 LF Adjusted 20 Weight LF Weight	L6 Adjusted 2016 LF 2012 Lo Weight Rationale Booken	updated Low Bookend (adjust 3/2016)	Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back) Change	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 201 Back Estimat (adjusted 3/2	18 Look Look Back 2018 te Change (adjuste 2016) 3/2016)	% Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating / look back uplift and updated low bookends adjusted during Look Forward Process)	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate Rationale	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate % chan	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate Rationale	2013-2018 Bookend	Original 203 Estimate	3 High 2033 Bookend	2012 IF Weight and Bookends 2012 Estimates Comments Comments
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Middle Grande 4.1: Riparian Ronde River Condition: Mainstem, Riparian Wallowa River to Vegetation Lookingglass	10.00%		80	3,2010)				80		80			1	80 5	80 0	No actions.	8	80	0 No actions.	80	80	80 80	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Creek Middle Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Form: Instream Wallowa River to Structural	10.00%		90					90		90	) )			90 5	0 0	No actions.	9	90	0 No actions.	90	90	90 90	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Complexity Creek 7.2: Sediment Ronde River Conditions: Mainstem, Increased Wallowa River to Sediment	10.00%		80					80		80				80 8	80 0	No actions.	8	80	0 No actions.	80	80	80 80	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Quantity Creek Middle Grande 8.1: Water Ronde River Quality: Mainstern, Temperature Wallowa River to	30.00%		50					50		50				50 5	50 O	No actions.	S	50	0 No actions.	50	50	50 50	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek Middle Grande Ronde River Mainstern, Wallowa River to	10.00%		50					50		54	2			50 S	50 O	No actions.	S	50	0 No actions.	50	51	50 51	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS1 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek Middle Grande Ronde River Quantity: Mainstern, Decreased Wat Wallowa River to Quantity	30.00%		50					50		54	2			50 S	50 O	No actions.	S	50	0 No actions.	50	51	50 51	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek Middle Grande 4.1: Riparian Ronde River Mainstem - Riparian	25.00%		40					40		44				40 4	10 O	No actions.	4	40	0 No actions.	40.1	50	41 60	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek to Catherine Creek Middle Grande Ronde River Mainstem - Form: Instream	20.00%		40					40		40	•			40 4	10 O	No actions.	4	40	0 No actions.	41	45	41 50	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelihead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Structural Creek to Complexity Catherine Creek Middle Grande Ronde River Conditions: Mainstem - Increased	10.00%		30					30		30			:	30 3	80 0	No actions.	3	30	0 No actions.	30.1	32 3	0.1 35	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Sediment Creek to Quantity Catherine Creek Middle Grande 8.1: Water Ronde River Quality: Mainstem - Temperature	10.00%		30					30		30			:	30 3	30 O	No actions.	3	30	0 No actions.	30	31	30 32	Projects would not provide enough water to provide temperature improvements yet,
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek to Catherine Creek Middle Grande 8.2: Water Ronde River Mainstem -	5.00%		50					50		56	•			50 S	50 O	No actions.	5	50	0 No actions.	50	51	50 51	but would contribute to improvements if more water is secured over time.
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS2 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Lookingglass Creek to Catherine Creek Middle Grande 9.2: Water Ronde River Quantity: Mainstem - Decreased Wat	30.00%		30					30		30	1			30 3	80 0	No actions.	з	30	0 No actions.	30	31	30 32	Estimate based on not knowing if water is protected;
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper	Lookingglass Quantity Creek to Catherine Creek Middle Grande 1.1: Habitat Ronde River Quantity:	2.00%		90		90	0 1 barrier project in database (4 miles of habitat are now accessible Riverside Park Spruce Bridge? Voelz push-up dam (side channel)? [	L id not	90		94				90 9	90 0	No actions.	9	90	0 No actions.	91	95	91 95	improvements would be estimated if water is protected. Riverside Estimate considers benefits Park/Spruce St from Voelz project
mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper	Mainstem - Anthropogenic Grande Ronde Barriers Valley Middle Grande 4.1: Riparian Ronde River Condition:	10.00%		45		45	open 4 miles of habitat. EP: No action. No change. 0 EP: No action. No change.		45		41	;			45 4	15 0	No actions.	4	45	0 No actions.	46	55		Bridge, trib through tunnel @ Perry + barriers in Conley Cr + Wright Slough Estimate based on about 4.5 MI riparian planting.
mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Mainstem - Riparian Grande Ronde Valley Middle Grande Ronde River Mainstem - Recruitment	10.00%		45		45	0 EP: No action. No change.		45		45				45 4	15 0	No actions.	4	45	0 No actions.	45	45	46 60	2033 estimate based on projects listed in LF 4.1
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Grande Ronde Valley Middle Grande Ronde River Mainstem - Grande Ronde Channel Form: Bed and	10.00%		30		30	0 EP: No action. No change.		30		30	,			30 3	so o	No actions.	3	30	0 No actions.	35	35	40 40	NOTE TO AA'S: DOES COPYING THE CHINOOK ESTIMATE HERE ACTUALLY MAKE SENSE? THE STEELHEAD AU IS LARGER THAN
	Valley																							THE CHROOK AU UGCER, SO THE IS MILES IN AUS SHOULD NOT APPRY? Igitisher, 7/10/12 ADD VGR12, GOODENHAM, NILSSON/RUDD FROM UGS18 Estimate considers Generative, Add at miles treatment of 15 miles in AU (NOTE COPED FROM UGC18 LF 6-1)
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Ronde River Structure and Mainstem - Form: Instream Grande Ronde Structural Valley Complexity	10.00%		30		30	0 EP: No action. No change.	ide	30	No official and to 1018 or 1013	30			:	30 3	0 0	No actions.	3	30	0 No actions.	35	35	35 40	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Middle Grande 7.2: Sediment Ronde River Conditions: Mainstem - Increased Grande Ronde Sediment Valley Quantity Middle Grande 8.1: Water	28.00%		30		30.3	0.9 Voelz push-up dam was constructed every year. Removing this pro sediment benefit. Should be 0.2 miles out of 22.4 miles, which is a uplift. 0 EP: No action. No change.	0.9%	30	No adjustment to 2018 or 2033.	30.9	•		30	30		No actions.	30	30	0 No actions. 0 No actions. 0 No actions.	30	31	30 32	
Steelhead River upper mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper	Ronde River Quality: Mainstem - Temperature Grande Ronde Valley Middle Grande 8.2: Water Ronde River Quality: Oxyge	5.00%		80		80	0 EP: No action. No change.		80		8				80 8	80 0	No actions.	8	80	0 No actions.	80	90	80 90	
mainstern Snake River Grande Ronde UGS3 Steelhead River upper mainstern	Mainstem - Grande Ronde Valley Middle Grande Ronde River Quantity: Mainstem - Decreased Wat	20.00%		30		30	0 EP: No action. No change.		30		30	•			30 3	so o	No actions.	з	30	0 No actions.	40	40	40 40	Assume Voelz provides 0.5 cfs w/1863 water right and 3 cfs from FWT project.
Snake River UGS4 Steelhead	Valley Upper Grande Node River Upper Grande All: Riparian Condition: Mainstem - Riparian Upstream End of Vegetation		0% Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate	50					50		54	)			50 5	50 0	Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.	51.	L6 1	L.6 Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.	52	60	55 70	NOTE TO AA'S: SHOULD THIS HAVE THE SAME ESTIMATE AS UGC2 OR DO PROJECTS LISTED
	Grande Ronde Valley to Meadow Creek		changes to other limiting factor weights.																					ONLY DESKETT CHINCOCY NO IMPROVEMENTS ESTIMATED IN 2012 EF WORKSHOP. Apfibier- 7/10/12 Workshop notes: indicate that EP called for steelhead HFchanges to be same as those for chinook. jmr-7/13/12
Snake River UGS4 Steelhead	Upper Grande 4.2: Riparian Ronde River Condition: LWE Mainstem - Recruitment Upstream End of Grande Ronde Valley to Mandruy Condi	12.00% 10.0	0% Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	50					50		56				50 5	60 0	Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.	50.	1.8 0	<ol> <li>Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.</li> </ol>	50.2	60 5	0.3 70	
Snake River Grande Ronde UGS4 Steelhead River upper mainstem	Meadow Creek Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem - Habitats: Side Upstream End of Grande Ronde Wetland Valley to Meadow Creek	il 10.0	0% Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016		50 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016										50 58	.1 8.1	Bird Track Springs: See Chinook UGC2 rationale.	59.	9.7 5	1.7 Bird Track Springs: See Chinook UGC2 rationale.				

ESU Population Cod	de Assessmen Unit	t Standardized Limiting Factor	2012 LF Adjusted 2016 Adjusted 2016 LF 2012 Low Weight LF Weight Weight Rationale Bookend		Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back)	Look Back % Change	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2018 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookend: adjusted during Look Forward Process)	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate % change	ated 2018 Estimate Rationale	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate Rationale Estimate % change	2013-2018 High 2018 Bookend	Original 2033 Estimate	High 2033 Bookend Comments	eight and 2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	Mainstem - Upstream B	nd of Floodplain de Condition	10.00% Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016	50	Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016							0			50	0 58.:	8.1 Bird Track Springs: S	See Chinook UGC2 rationale.	59.7	9.7 Bird Track Springs: See Chinook UGC2 rationale.				
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S4 Upper Gran Ronde Rive Mainstem	de 6.1: Channel r Structure and Form: Bed and Channel Form nde	10.00% Weight Unchanged	50					50	9		50			56	0 58.:	8.1 Bird Track Springs: S calculations table.	See UGC2 rationale and UGS4	59.7	9.7 Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.	53	60 53	70	Estimate based on total of abt. 6 miles improved channel, floodplain connectivity, morphology
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S4 Upper Gran Ronde Rive Mainstern - Upstream B Grande Ron Valley to Meadow Cr	de 6.2: Channel r Structure and Form: Instream ind of Structural Complexity reek	15.00% Weight Unchanged	50					SC			50			50	0 58.	calculations table.		59.7	9.7 Bird Track Springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 Calculations table.	56	60 56	70	Estimate considers about 20 miles total improved complexity (does not include USFS LGR Project)
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S4 Upper Gran Ronde Rive Mainstem Upstream B	ide 7.2: Sediment r Conditions: Increased ind of Sediment Quantity	10.00% S.00% Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	70					70			70			70	0 75.		See UGC2 rationale and UGS4	76.5	<ul> <li>6.5.8 and Track springs: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4 calculations table.</li> </ul>	72	75 75	80	Rock Ck is main sediment producer.
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S4 Upper Gran Ronde Rive Mainstem Upstream B Grande Ron Valley to Meadow Co	Temperature and of ade	20.00% 25.00% Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	40					40			40			40	D 41	0 Bird Track Springs: S calculations table.	See UGC2 rationale and UGS4	41.1	1.1 Bird Track Spring: See UGC2 rationale and UGS4     calculations table.	40.1	41 41	45	Estimate considers improvements from projects listed under other UGC2 LFs.
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	54 Upper Gran Ronde Rive Mainstem	ide 9.2: Water r Quantity: Decreased Wate ind of Quantity	20.00% 1.00% Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	50					SC			50			SC	D 51	0 No action.		50	0 No action.	51	51 51	52	Conservative estimate based on 3 cfs permanent acquisition.
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S5 Lookingglas Creek and Tributaries	is 1.1: Habitat Quantity:	20.00%	85					85			85			85	5 8:	0 No actions.		85	0 No actions.	85	90 85	90 passes all s lookingglas stress w/ha	s weir
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S5 Lookinggla: Creek and Tributaries	4.1: Riparian Condition:	20.00%	80					80			80			80	D 81	Actions here will be	o benefit expected to 2018. e beyond 2018 period.	80	0 Land acquisition. No benefit expected to 2018. Actions here will be beyond 2018 period.	80	85 80	90	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UG	S5 Lookingglas Creek and Tributaries S5 Lookingglas	Condition: LWD Recruitment	20.00%	80					80			80			80	D 81	Actions here will be	o benefit expected to 2018. e beyond 2018 period.	80	O Land acquisition. No benefit expected to 2018.     Actions here will be beyond 2018 period.     I and acquisition. No benefit expected to 2018.	80	80 80	85	
Steelhead River upper mainstem	Creek and Tributaries	Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity															Actions here will be	o benefit expected to 2018. e beyond 2018 period.		0 Land acquisition. No benefit expected to 2018. Actions here will be beyond 2018 period.		20 73	00 Second da	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	Cabin and	eks, Anthropogenic d Barriers nd	10.00	70								70							70		12	80 72	80 Several div on Cabin, e	tt.
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	Cabin and Gordon Cre Duncan an Rysdam Canyons, a	eks, Riparian d Vegetation nd	10.096	50					sc			50			50	D SI			50		50.1	55 51	65	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	tributaries S6 Phillips, Cla Cabin and Gordon Cre Duncan and Rysdam Canyons, a	rk, 4.2: Riparian Condition: LWD eks, Recruitment d	10.00%	50					SC			50			50	D 51			50		50	50 50	55	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	tributaries S6 Phillips, Cla Cabin and Gordon Cre Duncan and Rysdam Canyons, a	rk, 6.1: Channel Structure and eks, Form: Bed and d Channel Form	15.00%	so					sc	2		50			so	D Si			50		50	55 50	65	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	tributaries S6 Phillips, Cla Cabin and Gordon Cre Duncan and Rysdam Canyons, a	rk, 6.2: Channel Structure and Form: Instream d Structural Complexity	15.00%	50					sc	,		50			Sc	0 51			50		50	55 50	65	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	56 Phillips, Cla Cabin and	rk, 7.2: Sediment Conditions: eks, Increased d Sediment Quantity	10.00%	40					40	2		40			40	D 41			40		40.1	45 40.2	50	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	56 Phillips, Cla Cabin and	rk, 8.1: Water Quality: eks, Temperature	15.00%	50					50	)		50			Sc	D SI			50		50	55 50	65	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	tributaries S6 Phillips, Cla Cabin and Gordon Cre Duncan and Rysdam	rk, 9.2: Water Quantity: eks, Decreased Wate d Quantity	15.00%	40					40			40			40	D 41			40		40	41 40	42 flow big iss Phillips Cr	ue on
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper	Canyons, a tributaries S7 Indian Cree Tributaries	k and 1.1: Habitat Quantity:	S.00%	75		75	0 7	No actions complete; therefore no change.	75			75			75	5 7	0.00% No actions.		75	0.00% No actions.	78	100 78	100	
mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S7 Indian Cree Tributaries	Anthropogenic Barriers k and 4.1: Riparian Condition: Riparian Vegetation	20.00%	65		65	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 action listed in database, Little Indian Creek Fence, which was installed in 2012. Its functional status is 25% and there are 34.2 steellead miles listed in Streamer. This results in a 0.2% uplit, Monitoring wegetation – Note- Speer Panel Han or recellection of the purpict. Remore this station: no action agency funding (OWEB) per U.S. Forest Service. Therefore, no	65		NOTE: Joe Platts projects were determined to have an Action Agency nexus (not in Chinook range, so only applies to steelhead). EP revisited percentage of Properly Functioning Condition and revised to 0% for 2018. 20% of Properly	65.1		[3-28-16 (post-meeting): Based on calculation spreadsheet, noted 0.1% uplift based on 20% prorating factor applied to the Little Indian Creek fence project (0.25 miles).]	65	5 63	0.00% No actions.		65	0.00% No actions.	65.1	75 66	85	Estimate based on Little Indian Ck. Project; not enough project info at 2012 EP workshop to estimate improvements from USFS Riparian Mtnce & Thinning
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	57 Indian Cree Tributaries	Structure and Form: Instream Structural	20.00%	65		65	l l	projects; no % change. Panel discussed spatial and temporal variability for steelhead habitat and suggested GIS methods to map habitat. No actions; therefore no change.	65	•	Functioning Condition is expected in 2033, resulting in 0.1% uplift in 2033.	65			65	5 6:	0.00% No actions.		65	0.00% No actions.	66	75 67	85	project.
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	S7 Indian Cree Tributaries		15.00%	55		55	0 1	No projects; no % change. [see LF 4.1]	55.7		[3-28-16: During revisions, noted missed uplift during December 2015 Look Back meeting. Panel calculated 0.7% uplift based on Little Indian Creek Project with prorating factor of 10%. Revised 2018 uplift of 0.7%]	55.7			55.7	7 55.	0.00% No actions.		SS.7	0.00% No actions.	55	65 55	75	
Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	Tributaries	k and 8.1: Water Quality: Temperature	25.00%	60		60	0 7	No projects; no % change.	60		the square as a first reg	60			60	D 61	0 0.00% No actions.		60	0.00% No actions	60	65 60	70	
mainstem Snake River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem	Tributaries	Decreased Wate Quantity	15.00%	50					so			50			50	51	0.00% No actions.		50	0.00% No actions.	50	60 SO	65	
Stale River Grande Ronde UG Steelhead River upper mainstem		ek 1.1: Habitat Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	5.00%	70		70.7	a V E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Culterts on several tributaries removed. Coon Cerek IO. 24 mile of new cases from chep structure removal (not hatabase? Need to add to Willow Cerek entry)]. In database, there is the Lamman Cerek Culvert Bernow (2013). 24 miles / Keep Willow Cerek (11 miles). Doy Cerek Upper Ostruction was not removed. See EP's table of actions, which adds to 24 miles of new cases, protested by usuale habitat, as informed by intrinsic potential model, and modified using field observations of conditions and other tames. Neether tail intrice, potential doesn't always match field observations and fistar tames sail listed on Willow Cerek and thrutaries. Many this of thor Cere. Commission 64 miles for add thrutaries. Many this of thor Cere. Commission 64 miles for add thrutaries. Many this of thor Cere. Commission 64 miles for add thrutaries. Many this of thor Cere. Commission 64 miles for a 25 miles for 25 miles for 25 miles for the tames for 26 miles for add thrutaries. Many the other Cere. The Cere Many Source of 25 miles for 25 miles for 25 miles for 26 miles for 26 miles for add thrutaries. Many the commission 64 miles for 26 miles for add thrutaries. Many the other cere. 28 miles for 26 miles for add thrutaries. Many the commission 64 miles for 26 miles for add thrutaries. Many the cere cere add thrutaries for add thru	70.3			70.7			70.3	70.3	0 No action.		70.7	0 No action.	75.1	90 75.1	90	Dry Crupper obstruction, Willow Cr. Huber Diversion lower obstruction. Basin managers need to address up to 8 additional partial obstructions bly upper & lower obstructions addressed by projects. McKneine project. addressed 4 trib partial barriers

			2012			Updated Low	Updated Low Bookend L	Updated 2018	-	Updated 2018 Look	Look Back 2018 %			Look Back 2033 %		2016 Low Bookend (incorporating	LookForward	LookForward			LookForward					2012 LF	Weight and	
ESU	Population Co	Unit		Adjusted 2016 LF Weight Weight Ration	ale Bookend	Bookend (adjusted 3/2016)	Rationale E (adjusted 2 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back) Change		Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Change (adjusted	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments , Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	look back uplift and updated low bookends adjusted during Look Forward Process)	Updated 2018 Estimate	Updated 2018 Estimate % change		LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate % change		2013-2018 Hi Bo	igh 2018 Or pokend Est	iginal 2033 High : timate Book	2033 end Commen	ids 2012 l	Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	and Tributaries	Riparian Vegetation	0%	60			60	0 Willow Creek Coon Creek Project: No planting yet completed by action agencies. OAF property. Consider in Look Forward. No change in percentage.	60	D		60			6	60 60	0 0	Willow Creek OAF (2016): 157 acres: 5.52 miles of Willow, Dry, and Fir Creeks. Also Dry Creek 2018 Project would treat 0.21 miles for all imiting factors. Panel determined 1.3% uplift in 2033.	61.3		Prorated for 2033.	61	65	62	70		
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem Grande Ronde UG	and Tributaries	4.2: Riparian 10.00 Condition: LWD Recruitment	0%	60			60	0 Willow Creek Coon Creek Project: No planting yet completed by action agencies. OAF property. Consider in Look Forward. No change in percentage.	60	D		60			6	60 61		See limiting factor 4.1.	60.7	7 0.7	Used half of limiting factor 4.1 proration.	60	60	60.1	65		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UC River upper mainstem	SS8 Willow Creek and Tributaries	6.1: Channel 10.00 Structure and Form: Bed and Channel Form	96	60	)		62.8	2.8 Gird Answert Creates 1: Intel or reschared National Data (Intel) Gird Anti- dire Anaxonetta (Intel And	e is in n6	8		62.8	6		62.	.8 6:	3 0.2	Dry Creek proper.	63	3 0.2		61	65	61	70		nzie Project would water 2 mile historic vel
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UC River upper mainstem	and Tributaries	Form: Instream Structural Complexity		60			62.8	2.8 Sme project actions is for intrinsing factor 4.1.5 etc BY table 7.3 structures were initiality 6.500 piperse. Ltcs of radioing and magnitesen.3 Paosis were created by this work, resulting in 7.3 pieces per 100 meters. Compared to Mhuma 20 pieces per 100 meters reference? Still in "poor" range, but it is a big improvement. 27% improvement is word load/function. Note that engineered structures and natural accumulation are different. Total upilit is 2.8%. This differs from previous parel's estimate, new estimate based on empirical wood loading data.	es 62.1	8		62.8	8		62.	.8 63.:		Dry Creek project: 56 key pieces, compared to Properly Functioning Condition of 27 pieces per 100 meters, which is 24 pieces per 100 meters. Panel expects 0.3% uplift.	63.1	. 0.3	Same as 2018.	61	65	61	70	CONSI WORK 1.2 ST 20 MI CHINC IMPRC STEEL McKer to 4 m	LEVEE PROJECT NOT IDERED IN THE KSHOP(ADDING LWD TO TREAM MILES OF APPROX TREACH) - IS THIS WHY DOK ESTIMATE IS 5% OVEMENT AND MEAD IS 1%? mzie - 118 wood additions miles stream
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UC River upper mainstem	SS8 Willow Creek and Tributaries	7.2: Sediment 15.00 Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity	96	50	)		52.9	2.9 Same project actions as for limiting factors 6.1 and 6.2 — side channels crution and work addition. See FP3 table. Project decreases destinent input and increased gravel outring. Total of 3,000 linear feet of bash that was actively enough was addressed. FP1 in line of channel reconstruction care of "50 49% of enough no babes are project active babes and the project decrement active babes of 28% of length in project area, bat target the most active enous mass in babe the project reach and the entities assessment with Floodplatm reconstruction reducer sense for babes of the most active and 24%. Decomparison with 6.7 million results for the project reach and the entities and 24% of based many and 6.7 million results for the forward.	501) 511 6	9		52.5		Maturation of project. Already a very silly system, to shop our limitediate effect of project, lots of seliment coming from upstream, so not much change expected to be seen beyond fature expectation growth effects (include in Loid Forward). Area is considered a "sediment mask" 2033 uplift equals that of 2018.	52.	9 52.9:	3 0.03	Inmediate effect from encision coord on Dry Creek wood encist in 0.03% uplift in 2028 and 0.7% uplift in 2033.	53.6	5 0.7		51	55	52	60	AND S McKer	IS CHINOOR ESTIMATE 1% STEELHEAD ESTIMATE 1%? naie Project - eliminates It of eroding streambank
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	GS8 Willow Creek and Tributaries	8.1: Water 20.00 Quality: Temperature	0%	40			40	0 EP: Too early for temperature benefits. No change.	40	D		40			4	10 41	0 0	2 projects listed in calculations table.	40.4	1 0.4	Prorated based on growth to 2033.	40	42	40.1	45		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper	and Tributaries	9.2: Water 20.00	0%	45	5		45	0 EP: No actions in this assessment unit affect this limiting factor. No chan in percentage function.	ge 45	5		45	5		4	4	5 0	No flow actions.	45	5 0	No flow actions.	45	47	45	50		
	mainstem	SS13A Five Points Creek	Quantity 1.1: Habitat 5.00	0% Railroad ballas	t 80	0		84.8	4.8 A new project, the Five Points Creek Barrier Removal, was implemented	84.5	8		84.8	8		84.	8 84.1	8 0	As per UGC1, but diversion removal project did	84.8	3 0	No actions. No percentage change predicted.	80	100	80	100		
		and Tributaries	Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	material is sloughing into channel in area Weight Unchar	15.				remout of a 4-4 bot high concrete damit (Linice Pacific Relational Jegary nuture) in 321, additional Jegary and and an Al Y trai in the future. Barrier was partial: terebead were jumping (Jugge politic d), but it removal and holped jumping begream and downstream passage. Steehend use holped jumping begream and the passage beenfits and protected to 2016 known beenfits. Evel halfer for calculation. Traid a honge demonstered to be 4.1 King King. Next the protect was not considered in the 2021 Louis Forward Egert Pacel. Prog Also tistelife targe wood downstream.	ny niy 3 sis ct									benefit steehhead. It was not a full barrier and affected 22 miles optartem habitat: 2007 aiready covered in Look Back. No actions in Look Forward. No percentage change predicted.									
	UC	GS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries		0% Weight Unchar	nged 75	5		75	0 The 1.5-mile Dry Creek Fence Enclosure in 2015 is not mature enough to show functional change. No change in percentage.	7	5		75	5		7	15 7:	5 0	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	77.4	1 2.4	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	75	75	75	80		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UC River upper mainstem	SS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	Vegetation c 4.2: Riparian 10.00 Condition: LWD Recruitment	0% 15.00% Limiting Factor weight adjuste accommodate changes to oth limiting factor weights.	d to er	5		75	0 No actions completed by action agencies. No change in percentage.	71	5		75	5		7	15 7:	5 0	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	76.2	2 1.2	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	75	75	75	80		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper	GS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	5.1: Peripheral	5.00% EP added this limiting factor		50	EP added this				D		c	5		S	i0 51	0 0	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for	50.8	3 0.8	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for				_		
	mainstem		Habitats: Side Channel and Wetland Conditions	3/9/2016.			limiting factor on 3/9/2016.												steelhead.			steelhead.						
	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	SS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	5.2: Peripheral and Transitional Habitats: Floodplain Condition	5.00% EP added this limiting factor 3/9/2016.	an		EP added this limiting factor on 3/9/2016.				D		C			S	i0 5i	0 0	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	50.8		Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.						
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UC River upper mainstem	3513A Five Points Creek and Tributaries		95 Weight Unchar	nged 70			70	0 Pre-Pariet Creek harrier removal project did not create pools. Thus there no harchicola drugg yet, but benefit is expected for limiting factor 6.1 is the future.	165 71		Channel changes usere immediate at dam removal site. No change in width-ridgeth ratio, but made a rifle. Pool at bottom of structures is indic, just have a longer rapid leading to pool. Change expected over time: pool at bottom to changes that are difficult to quantify. Prosting at 10% results in 0.1% uplift in 2033.	e	. 0.1		7	70 71	0 0	Project and rationale are derivative to UGCIA, but calculation table uses a different denominator for stephend.	71.6	5 1.6	Projekta and retinoute are identical to UGCLA but calculation table uses a different denominator for steehead.	70	75	70	85		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	SS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	6.2: Channel 25.00 Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity	20.00% Limiting Factor weight adjuste accommodate changes to oth limiting factor	er			70.7	0.7 Five Points Creek barrier removal project included large wood installation below the dam. Approximately 15 pieces each at 7 sites, were installed along 0.5 mile of stream in 2015 (pinycist ci all off Five Points UWD Planting Phase 1/2" in Pisces). Next summer structures are to be built upstream of the dam site. To pieces total over 0.5 mile is 13 pieces per Version of the dam site. To pieces total over 0.5 mile is 13 pieces per Version of the dam site. To pieces total over 0.5 mile is 13 pieces per	a 70.:	7		70.7	7		70.	7 77.5	9 7.2	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	77.9		Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	70	75	70	85		
	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	GS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	7.2: Sediment 10.00	0% 5.00% Limiting Factor weight adjuste accommodate	70 d to	0		70	100 meters, which is 65% of the target reference of 20 pieces per 100 meters. This results in an uplift of 0.7%. 0 No actions completed; therefore no change in percentage.	71	D		70	5		7	10 71		Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	71.2		Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	70	75	70	85		
			Sediment Quantity	changes to oth limiting factor weights.	er														ACCELOL.			accenter.						
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	GS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	8.1: Water 20.00 Quality: Temperature	0% Weight Unchar	nged 80			80	0 The 1.5-mile Dry Creek Fence Enclosure in 2015 is not mature enough to show functional change. No change in percentage.	80	D		80			8	80 81	0 0	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	80.8	8 0.8	Projects and rationale are identical to UGC1A, but calculation table uses a different denominator for steelhead.	80	80	80	85		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG	SS13A Five Points Creek and Tributaries	9.2: Water 10.00	0% 5.00% Limiting Factor weight adjuste accommodate changes to oth limiting factor	d to er			80	0 No actions; therefore no change.	80	D		80	D		8	50 SI	0 0	No actions.	80	0 0	No actions.	80	80	80	85		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem		1.1: Habitat 2.00 Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	weights.	90	2		90	0 No actions. No change.	90	0		90	2		9	10 91	0 0	No actions.	90	0 0	No actions.	90	95	90	tunnel @ barriers i	le ruce St trib through @ Perry + in Conley Cr t Slough	
Snake River Steelhead			4.1: Riparian 10.00 Condition: Riparian	0%	45	5		45	0 No actions. No change.	45	5		45	6		4	15 41	5 0	No actions.	45	6 0	No actions.	45	55	45	60		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG	GS13B Conway/Owsley	Vegetation	0%	45	5		45	0 No actions. No change.	45	5		45	5		4	15 41	5 0	No actions.	45	5 0	No actions.	45	45	45	60		
Snake River	Grande Ronde UG River upper	GS13B Conway/Owsley	Recruitment	016	30	0		30	0 No actions. No change.	30	D		30	0		3	10 31	0 0	No actions.	30	0 0	No actions.	30	35	30	40		
Snake River	Grande Ronde UG	GS13B Conway/Owsley	Form: Bed and Channel Form 6.2: Channel 10.00	0%	30	0		30	0 No actions. No change.	30	D		30	0		3	10 31	0 0	No actions.	30	0	No actions.	30	35	30	40		
	River upper mainstem		Structure and Form: Instream Structural																									
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	GS138 Conway/Owsley Creeks	Conditions: Increased Sediment	0%	30			30	0 No actions. No change.	30	D		30	þ		3	10 31	0 0	No actions.	30	0 0	No actions.	30	32	30	35		
Steelhead	River upper	GS13B Conway/Owsley Creeks	Quality:	0%	30			30	0 No actions. No change.	30	D		30	0		3	10 31	0 0	No actions.	30	0 0	No actions.	30	31	30	32		
Snake River Steelhead	River upper	GS13B Conway/Owsley Creeks	Temperature 8.2: Water 5.00 Quality: Oxygen	0%	80	)		80	0 No actions. No change.	80	D		80	0		8	8	0 0	No actions.	80	0 0	No actions.	80	90	80	90		
L	mainstem		<b>└── │</b>								1																	

ESU Population	Code Asse Unit	essment 2012 it Limiting	rdized 2012 LF g Factor Weight	Adjusted 2016 Adjusted 2016 LF 2012 Low UF Weight Weight Rationale Bookend		Updated Low Bookend d Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back) Change	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Loc Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	ook Look Back 2018 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments , Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends adjusted during Look Forward Process)	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate Rationale	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate Rationale	2013-2018	High 2018 C Bookend E	riginal 2033 High 2033 timate Bookend	2012 LF Weight an Bookends Comments	d 2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS13B Conv Cree		ater 20.00 ty: sed Water	96	30		30	0 No actions. No change.		30		30	þ		3	0 30	0	No actions.	31	0 0	No actions.	30	31	30	32	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	Quantiti adow Creek 4.1: Rip Tributaries Conditic cept Dark Ripariar nyon and Vegetat Coy Creeks)	sarian 10.00 on: n	56	60		60	0 Two projects in database: Mesdow Creek Large Wood and Planting (7.25 miles treated) and Battle Campbell Creek (B miles treated). Steehold shaft per Streament is 6.3.7 miles in the assessment unit, and Parel confirmed this. Note that project inapping shows a few projects (passing moreoverse) upper and assessments. They are limited by water quantity in functional percentage change yet, due to the short time elayed since planting.		60	No adjustment.	63.1	3.2	For 2033, used 20% proration, resulting in 3.2% uplift in 2033.	6	0 60	0	Conservation acquisitions (cattle will be removed and fencing to be done in Meadow and Dark Canyon). Acquired 2015.	60.3	2 0.2	Pronated to 2033, resulting in 0.2% uplift.	60	70	60 1	steelhead; but sam	Not enough information about e USFS Riparian Thinning & Mtnce Project to estimate improvements at 2012 EP workshop ss
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 4.2: Rips I Tributaries Conditis cept Dark Recruitr yon and Coy Creeks)	on: LWD	×.	60		60	0 The projects in database. Headeso Creek Large Wood and Printing C22 in miles treated) and data Campbell Creek I miles trateful Sciented habitary per Streamed is 63.7 miles in the assessment unit, and Parel (confined this. Note that project stagging thosa is flew project (passage improvements) appresent of Streament steehead databuton lines. The streament of the streament steehead databuton lines, and some of these upper danables is unity person. Rate determined for functional percentage charge yet, due to the short time elapsed since planters.		60	No adjustment.	61.6	5 1.6	For 2033, used 10% proration, resulting in 1.6% uplift in 2033.	6	0 60	0	See limiting factor 4.1.	60.1	1 0.1	Used half of limiting factor 4.1 proration.	60	60	60 3	ro	
Sate River Grande Ronde Steelhead Rev upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 6.1: Cha Tributaries Structur cept Dark Form: B Nyon and Channel Channel	re and	~	65		68.3	33 Database has two projects. The first is Meadow Creek surge Wood and Particips Instance (particips Carlier Strateg), Carlier Strateg, Car		8.3		68.3	1		68.	3 68.3	. 0	No actions.	68.	3 0	No actions.	65	80	65 1	is i	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 6.2: Cha I Tributaries Structur cept Dark Form: Ir iyon and Structur Coy Creeks) Complet	re and nstream ral	~	65		69	4) Two applicable projects - Mession Creek Large Wood and Battle/Cample Oreak Projects. The Massion Creek projects added 4.8 pieces per 100 meters (heich is 25% of the reference). The Battle/Campled Creek project dated 6007-000 preces of large wood in famile (estimated 232 pieces in teeheet Aubita, which is 3.53, bise one pri 100 meters, compared to the 25 pieces per 100, meters reference condition. See 19% table of project metric and provations for functional condition and durined changes sees size construction. Total change in assessment unit is 4% uplit. Also see antibaskie for the size of the size of the size of the size of the size of antibaskie for information.	7	69		65	3		6	9 69	0	No actions.	64	9 0	No actions.	70	80	70 1	15	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 7.2: Sed 17 Tributaries Conditis Increase yon and Sedime Coy Creeks) Quantiti	ons: ed nt	%	60		64.7	C1 The papertails projects: Meakson Creek Large Moot and Battle Charge Orocke Projects. Sound include entries of an of Dattle Ceter papers. The parel also considered filosofabat connections benefits from Meadow CPU (27.5 miles) projects. Beil 75 biel for propriation calculations. Needaw Creek uaw an thit decrease is pool allow frees in 2011 to 2014 according demonstration of the Ceter Sound	1 6	54.7	No adjustment.	66.5	6.8	To 2033, added percent function for maturity of projects, resulting in 6.8% updit.	64.	7 64.7	0	Same actions as for limiting factor 4.1.	64.1	8 0.3	Promoted for 2003.	60	70	60 1	80	Not enough project info to estimate improvements at 2012 EP Workshop.
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 8.1: Wa d Tributaries Quality: cept Dark Temper iyon and Coy Creeks)	-	96	40		40	0 EP: No actions. No change.		40		40	9		4	0 40	0	Same actions as for limiting factor 4.1.	40.:	1 0.1	Prorated for 2033.	40	45	40 !	60	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	and 1 (Exce Cany	adow Creek 9.2: Wa d Tributaries Quantit cept Dark Decreas nyon and Quantit Coy Creeks)	ty: sed Water	56	60		60	0 EP: No actions. No change.		60		60			6	0 60	0	No actions.	60	0 0	No actions.	60	65	60 3	75	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark	Coy Creek, 1.1: Hab rk Canyon, Quantit I Tributaries Anthrop Barriers	ty: pogenic	ne.	98		100	2) Dark Canyon Culvert Replacement Project Demetted steelhead, but was above Chicok distribution. The barrier was partial; it was not a barrier for adults, only for javenifes. It was a seasonal barrier. No McCory culvert issue known to Panel. Per Streament, there are 39 steelhead miles in this assessment unit. No other culverts remain in the canyon. Panel increased percentage by 2% to 100% for this steelhead assessment unit.	s 1	100		100			10	0 100	0	No actions.	10	0 0	No actions.	100	100	100 11	0 one culvert high in system- 1.5 mi access for steelhea	1
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark	Coy Creek, 4.1: Rip: k Canyon, Conditic 1 Tributaries Vegetat	on: n	~	60		60	0 No actions. No change.		60		60			6	0 60		USS*McCay Wood and Planting project. 2018. Dark Canyon Phase 2. 2018. Both projects are 2 miles. In addition, there is the Dark Canyon fencing project in addition, there is the Dark Canyon fencing project in alterward [Conservation acquisitions (cattle will be removed and fencing to be done in theredow— spans UGS 14 and UGS 15]. Acquired 2015. Addet this to calculations table. New uplit: 3.7% in 2033.		7 3.7	Prozeted rigarian function out to 2033. Panel identified 3.7% uplift.	60	70	60 1	80 more tribs for steelhead; but sam LF requirements as chinook; Not enough info available to make site-specific change between spp	5
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark and 1	Coy Creek, 4.2: Rips rk Canyon, Conditio I Tributaries Recruitr	on: LWD ment	**	60		60	0 No actions. No change.		60		60	0		6	0 60		As per limiting factor 4.1.	61.1	8 1.8	Prorated as half of limiting factor 4.1 value, resulting in 1.8	5 60	60	60	10	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark	Coy Creek, 6.1: Cha rk Canyon, Structur I Tributaries Form: B Channel	re and	%	65		65	0 No actions. No change.		65		65	þ		6	5 65.6		USFS Dark Canyon Phase 1, 2018 (3 smaller large wood complexes) [NEED MORE DETAILS FROM USFS]. Riparing projects should narrow channel. No change in 2018, but long-term channel form changes expected in 2013. Prorated in calculations table for 0.6% uplift.		6 1	Prorated to 2033, resulting in 1% uplift.	65	80	65 1	15	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark	Coy Creek, 6.2: Cha rk Canyon, Structur d Tributaries Form: Ir Structur	re and nstream ral	96	65		65	0 No actions. No change.		65		65	5		6:	5 67.7		See limiting factor 6.2 projects. 12 pieces per 100 meters proposed on McCoy Creek. Properly Functioning Condition would be ~27 pieces per 100 m, so prorated accordingly in calculations table,	67.1	7 2.7	Same as 20118.	75	80	75 1	15	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark	Con Creek, 7.2: Sed fx Canyon, Condition I Tributaries Increase Sedimen Quantity	diment 20.00 ons: ed nt	76	60		60	0 The Antier Spring enclosure fence (not on actions list) is above steelhead distribution, but will benefit downstream sediment and water quality limiting factors in the future. No functional uplift yet, though.		60		60	5		6	0 60		resulting in 2.7% splift for both 2018 and 2033. Same projects as for rigrarian limiting factors. Fence will have quick benefits, but not immediate. No functional change expected in 2018. Reach is highly methoded. [JVEID MORE DETAILS FROM USS.] Revised to include riparian exclusion. Panel determined 1.5% upfift in 2013.	61.1	8 1.8	Prorated for growth to 2033, resulting in 1.8% uplift	- 60	70	60 1	80	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark and	Coy Creek, 8.1: Wa rk Canyon, Quality: 1 Tributaries Temper	: rature	**	40		40	0 No actions. No change.		40		40	0		4	0 40		No flow in summer at McCoy Creek currently. Revise to include riparian exclusion. Panel determined 1.8% uplift in 2033.	3 4:	1 1	Prorated for riparian growth out to 2033; 1% uplift.	40	45	40 !	60	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Dark and 1	Coy Creek, 9.2: Wa rk Canyon, Quantit d Tributaries Decreas Quantit	ty: sed Water ty	76	60		60	0 No actions. No change.		60		60			6	60		No actions.	60	0 0	No actions.	60	65	60	5	
Snake River Steellhead	Sprin Bear, Creel Tribu	ck, Whiskey, 1.1: Hat ing, Jordan, Quantit ar, and Beaver Anthrog ecks and Barriers outaries	bitat 10.00 ty: pogenic s	% Barries remain. Weight Unchanged.	85		85.1	21. See EPF table: 2 projects (Rock Ores Rhaue 1 and Phase 2) Barrier removal is not yet completed. Name notes: 15 Arests Service South Park Spring Creek aubert replacement project is within interhand distribution nor. The Grande Ender Model Waterhand and for design (125 miles of habitat advon, but was partially passable before: 4 such dirgs - two memory). Add his to the database. Par gaved, there is 0.1% improvement total from this project.	8	85.1		85.1			85.	1 90.3		Reck Creek Phase 3 (2016): Partial seasonal barrier (undersined culvert) regulacement, espected to open : and the soft habitat, including Graves Creek culvert. Denominatos set at 110.7 miles. Panel grorated miprovenent to 25% for journilgebacconal barrier. Also addet lightway 244 Wilchey Creek (2018), withis in septected to open 10 miles of habitat. Not at adult stehenda block, but does block journiles, so rated at 30%. Panel predicted 5.2% utility.	90.3		Same as 2018	88	100	88 11	00 greater effect for steelhead than chinook- more use by steelhead	
Snake River Steelhead	Sprin Bear, Creel	ck, Whiskey, 4.1: Rip ing, Jordan, Conditio ar, and Beaver Ripariar eks and Vegetat butaries	on: n	% Weight Unchanged	45		45	C) See EP's table: 2 projects (Reck Creek Phase 1 and Phase 2). Phase 1 (actually on Graves Creek - correct in database) treated 6 miles, Phase 1 (Rock Creek) treated 5 miles. Steelhead Streamet milles in assessment are 110.7 miles. Plantings have not had many years to mature yet, so no measurable optify etc. 7000 plants at fins; then additional plantings through CRP program orgoing. No percent function change at this time; reevaluate in 2018.	it.	45	No adjustment.	47	2	For 2033, 20% proration.	4	5 45	0	Rock Creek Phase 3 2016: No change in 2018.	45.		Prorated for riparian growth to 2033, resulting in 0.1% uplift.	47	50	55 1	.0	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Sprin Bear, Creel	ck, Whiskey, 4.2: Rips ing, Jordan, Conditic rr, and Beaver Recruitr eks and butaries	on: LWD	% Weight Unchanged	50		50	Trenalization music. () See EP's table: 2 projects (Rock Creek Phase 1 and Phase 2). Phase 1 (actually on Graves Creek - correct in database) treated 6 miles, Phase 2 (Rock Creek) treated 5 miles. Steelbase downamet miles in assessment un are 110.7 miles. Phase not had many years to mature yet, so on messurable upily erz. 7.000 plants artis, then additional plantings. through CRP program orgoing, No percent function change at this time; revaluate in 2018.	it	50	No adjustment.	51	1	For 2033, 10% proration.	S	0 50	0	Rock Creek Phase 3 2016: No change in 2018.	50.3	1 0.1	Half of limiting factor 4.1 value, but rounds to same uplift of 0.1	50	60	50.1	10	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Sprin Bear, Creel	ck, Whiskey, ing, Jordan, and Beaver eks and outaries Conditic	insitional ts: Side el and d	5.00 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016	S	50 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016. EP chose 50% as low bookend to reflect work to be				0		c	þ		S	0 50.7	0.7	Activation of floodplain and side channels from Rock Creek Phase 3.	50.1	8 0.8	Proration for 2033 results in 0.8% uplift.					
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Sprin Bear, Creel	ck, Whiskey, ing, Jordan, and Trai eks and butaries Conditio	insitional Is: Jain	5.00 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016	S	done. 50 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016. EP chose 50% as low bookend to reflect work to be done.				0		c			S	0 50.7	0.7	Activation of floodplain and side channels from Rock Creek Phase 3.	50.1	8 0.8	Proration for 2033 results in 0.8% uplift.					

ESU Population	Code Assessment Unit	2012 Standardized Limiting Factor		5 Adjusted 2016 LF 2012 Lo Weight Rationale Booken	d 3/2016)	Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back)	Look Back % Change	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2018 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments , Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends adjusted during Look Forward Process)	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate Rationale	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate Rationale	2013-2018 High 20 Booker	018 Origina nd Estima	al 2033 High 2033 Ite Bookend	2012 LF Weight and Bookends Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Souke Nieer Groude Rode Steefhead River opper mainstem	Spring, Jordan, Bear, and Beave	5 6.1: Channel Structure and ser Form: Bed and Channel Form	10.00%	Weight Uscharged	50		52.7	2	Ter GP: Nath: 2 projects (Back Creak Phase 1 and There 2) which projects element breakbown and functional procession. Phase 1 instability 128 wood complexes; 1480 pieces; (750 biggs pieces, rest were stabilyration); 25 effer and wood complexes instabled; channel angueded and reconnected to floodplane. Phase 1 also included reactivating 1 mile of pre-1397 channel (noval 30% functions). Phase 1 also other Miller evaluated in 60% function. Phase 1 also included reactivating 1 mile of pre-1397 channel (noval 30% functions). Phase 1 also other was 1 .50% long instability 500 pre-130% functions. Phase 1 also with weak 1 .50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total 1.50% long pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) for pieces total 1.50% long of pieces (nonzhor changen. Terul citization of pieces total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total consoling total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total consoling total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total consoling total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total (nonzhor changen) (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total consoling total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total consoling (nonzhor changen. Terul citization) consoling total total (nonzhor changen total total consoling total total consoling total (nonzhor changen total total consoling total total consoling total (nonz	d 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2		9.7			52.7	53.4		Back Cerel Phone 1840.0-15 (2010): manachera brand Cerel Noted Charge Characteria significantity, in mile treated. Designed for 1.5-year marrows internal: 6-2012; 75% function protation, resulting in 0.7% uplit. For 2013, 50% protation, resulting in 0.5% uplit.	585	0.8		51	60	51 70		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steellhead River upper	UGS16 Rock, Whiskey,	, 6.2: Channel	15.00%	Weight Unchanged	45		48.4	3.	and functions of Graves. Creek in relation to downstream contributions. Note Graves Creek in historical potential re-previous condition and potential if flows were restored. Panel consensus was a 2.7% uplift. 4 See EP's table and LF 6.1 (same projects). Percent improvement is 58% (Graves, Creek, only 3 miles treated with wood) and 76% (flock Creek, 5	48.4	4		48.4			48.4	49.3	3 0.9	Wood loading will exceed Properly Functioning Condition densities. Prorated at 100% function for	49.3	0.9		50	70		CHANGED HIGH BOOKENDS AT 2012	
Snake River Steelhead River upper mainstem	Creeks and Tributaries	Complexity , 7.2: Sediment , Conditions:	15.00% 10.0	0 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other	40		40		Identify a concept of primary shares the method pairs of primary concept of primary p	41		For 2018, adjusted prorations in calculations table, yielding 1% uplift.	43		For 2033 increased proration to 20% for maturing project, resulting in 3% uplift.	41	1 41.5		Construction Constant, and Constant of Con	41.5	0.5		42	55		WORKSHOP TO REFLECT NEW OPPORTUNITIES	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS16 Rock, Whiskey, Spring, Jordan,	Quantity , 8.1: Water	15.00%	Weight Unchanged	45		45		esponse time for fire sediment reduction potjects. Current split: (N. 0 to functional charge from excision fencing yrt, as decorded for Imility Eacher 7.2. Panel also valuated reflects from 35.45 seasonal basev Crest water refeases from dam. See UGCJ discussion, but steethead ange father upstrame. Banefel of mostly (cal.) are release point (not measurable all the way down to mainstem Grande Rock). Not much instrame data from downtearm, but litter water temperature difference i seen from the background. Instrations and advance difference is seen from the backgroundin, regardless of water additions. Panel digmain arrange conjunction, regardless of water additions. Panel	45 k	5	No adjustment.	45	0	No adjustment. Lease is 7 years.	45	5 45		Rock Creek 2: changing width to depth ratio. Beaver Creek reservoir: temperatures are already within preferred range. No benefit from dam releases respected, so promited as OK. No change from ripation in 2018, but some in 2013.	45.1	0.1	Provated riparian benefit out to 2033, resulting in 1 % uplift.	45.1	46	45.1 50		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS16 Rock, Whiskey, Spring, Jordan, Bear, and Beave Creeks and Tributaries	, Quantity: ver Decreased Water	10.00% 5.0	0 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	70		70		opinian realing columbias, ingularies or water aduatous - raine determined on presentage change. Of see UGC2 discussion and UGS16 limiting factor 8.1. Steehead range factore spittema. Panel valuated effect from 3.5 ch seasonal Beaver Creek water rebases from dans. Given season and life history changes during releases, and duration of flow addition, there were no measurable functional changes (just enough to more fish around for a few week). Would spect more benefit from spreading the same flow addition over a	70	D		20			70	) 7(	0 0	As in Look Back, no percentage change from Beaver Creek releases.	70	0	As in Look Back, no percentage change from Beaver Creek releases.	70	72	70 75		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstern, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Creek	Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	5.00%		95		95		Ionger period. No change to percentage function.	95	5		95			95	5 91	5 0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	95	0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	95	100		CTUIR weir installed Mar 1 not much of a factor for steelhead	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steellhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Creek	Condition: Riparian Vegetation	10.00%		65		65		Database has 2 projects: UGB rence installation (D022) and Worm Spring fence. Denominator is 17.8 sites/head miles per Streament. See EP's table with mileage and functional percentage providion. See UGS notes for information on pod fencing. Note: In PISCES, Warm Springs 2014 was included as part of pod project: strips development, Fencing, O.S stream mile (1 mile of fence) of cattle exclusion. No functional benefit seen yet, but expected in the future. No 5 Advances for steelhead either.	65.3	1 0.1	For 2018 1% proration yields 0.1% uplift in 2018.	66.7	1.7	For 2033: Using 20% proration yields 1.7% uplift.	65.1	65.1	1 0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	65.1	0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	66	70	67 80		Estimate based only on Starkey Mdws project.
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstern, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Craek	Condition: LWD Recruitment	10.00%		65		65		0 As with limiting factor 4.1, no change at this time.	65	5		65.8	0.8	For 2033: Using 10% proration results in 0.8% uplift.	65	5 65	5 0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	65		No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	65	65	66 70		Estimate considers Starkey Project for 2033 improvement.
Snake River Grande Ronde Steefhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Creek	Structure and Form: Instream Structural	20.00%		70		70.3	0.:	3 GR Smith Wood and held Fenorgia Istuitation project: multi-diameter schirarching word difficus only in the provide Large word was installed before 2022. Parel determined that Lish/hrushy natural had negligible fifter on channel complexity. See Chinoxia Gioscasion (JGCS): multi-filted (1)% functional change for trated avai). Add this project in database to the limiting factor. See 279 sable of millegar and functional percentages. Adjusted project length to fit assessment una boundaries. Other project, Mars Spring Ferces, Dee 279 sable of millegar after functional percentages. Adjusted project length to fit assessment una boundaries. Other project, denominator for steebaad, due to distribution difference. – 1.7 Bmiles per Streament. Total Unit determined to b col 3%.	iis nt	3		70.3			70.3	81.5		USES Grande Route River Large Restoration Complex just a wood project With test 8 miles with 400-800 pinces total, plur racking material, at approximately ju- ange per mile. Will be did S pinces per 100 meters to what is there already. Prostet dt 25%, resulting in 11.2% uplit for 2018 and 2033.	81.5	11.2	Same as 2018	72	75	72 80		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Creek	Conditions: Increased Sediment	15.00%		65		65		9 See limiting factor 4.1 action and UGCS rationale: Pod fencing only, not ful riparian fencing. No benefit yet from Warm Springs fencing either. No change in %.	n 65	5	Same as UGCS. No adjustment.	65		Same as UGCS. No adjustment.	65	6	5 0	Large wood project could change sediment routing/retention. Panel rated at 0% function in 2018 and 10% in 2033, resulting in 0% and 4.5% uplift.	69.5	4.5		66	70	67 80		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS17 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Meadow Creek to Limber Jim Creek	Quality: Temperature	25.00%		50		50		C Ber limiting factor 4.1 action, and UGS7 rationaler Pod fencing only, not fit riparian feering. Note: In PSCES: Warm Springs 2014 was included as part of pod project: spring development, fencing, D.5 arteam mile 1. Imile of fence) of castle exclusion. This assessment unit is upstream of Beaver Orenky, so removes that project from this assessment unit in database. No change in St. 0 (P: Pio actions. No change.	t SC	D		so			50	0 sc		No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	50		No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	50	52	50.1 55		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Ronde River	Quantity: Decreased Water Quantity	15.00%		20		70		Of EP: No actions. No change.	70	b	Same as UGCS. No adjustment.	70.3		Same as UGCS. 5% proration results in 0.3% change.	70	5 20	0 0	No actions expected. No percentage change predicted.	70		No actions espected. No percentage change predicted.	70	75	70 75		NOTE TO AA'S: AQUIFER STORAGE PROJECT NOT INCLUDED IN ESTIMATE FOR UGCS SO NO BENEFITS ESTIMATE FOR CHINOOK. HOWEVER, BENEFITS WERE ESTIMATED FOR STEELHEAD. IS TIMIS CORRECT? Note: benefits for chinook and steelhead are TIBC jms 7-13-12.
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Ronde River	Condition: Riparian rek Vegetation	10.00%		50					50	D		so			50	0 50	0 0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	50	0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	50	55	50 60		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Mainstem, Limber Jim Cree to Clear Creek	Condition: LWD Recruitment rek	10.00%		60					60	D		60			60	0 60	0 0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	60	0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	60	75		Per Paul B significant LWD recruitment opportunities.	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	Mainstem, Limber Jim Cree to Clear Creek	Form: Instream Structural Complexity	20.00%		60					60	D		60			60	60		No actions. No percentage change expected.	60		No actions. No percentage change expected.	60	65	60 70		
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS18 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstern, Limber Jim Cree to Clear Creek	Conditions: Increased rek Sediment	30.00%		55					55	5		55			55	53	5 0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	55	0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	55	65		Fine sediments primarily from road system. No USFS grazing allotments in UGS18. Increase to 2033 High Bookend reflects potential from recently approved USFS Travel Management Plan.	
Snake River Grande Ronde Steelhead River upper mainstem	UGS18 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem, Limber Jim Cree to Clear Creek	Quality: Temperature rek	30.00%		75					75	5		75			75	5 75	5 0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	75	0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	75	80	75 85	- mpermetts t meth	
Snake River Steelihead	UGS19 Upper Grande Ronde River Mainstem and Tributaries, Clea Creek to Headwaters	Quantity: Anthropogenic		0 Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016 (just for steelhead, not Chinook)	9	Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016 (just for steelhead, not Chinook). Not many barriers left.	t				D		0			90	96.5		Muir Creek culvert replacement: "1 mile of juvenile rearing habitat will be opened. This is a partial barrier, so prorated as 25%, resulting in 6.9% uplift.	96.9	6.9						

ESU	Population Co	de Assessment 2012 Unit Standardized Unit Umiting Factor	Adjusted 2016 Adjusted 2016 LF LF Weight Rationale	2012 Low Bookend	Updated Low Bookend (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back) Change	t % Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Look Back 2018 % Back Estimate Change (adjusted (adjusted 3/2016) 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted /2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3 /2016)	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends adjusted during Look Forward Process)	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2018 Estimate Rationale		LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate % change	LookForward Updated 2033 Estimate Rationale	2013-2018 High Boo	h 2018 Ori ikend Est	ginal 2033 High 2033 mate Bookend	2012 LF Weight and Bookends Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	5519 Upper Grande 4.1: Riparian 30.0 Ronde River Condition: Mainstem and Riparian Tributaries, Clear Creek to Headwaters	0% 25.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted tr accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.		5		75	0 See UGC7 Chinock actions (pods and slash). USPS - Small Wood and Pod Fencing Installation (2014), with mileage changed to 3 miles. See EP's table. Denominator mileage from Streamnet is 5.4 miles. No % change seen yet.		No adjustment.	80.6	5.6	5 As with UGC7, riparian vegetation growth function proration based on mining tailing soils was 10%, resulting in 5.6% uplift.	7:	5 75		Skydd project: 2.5 miles. No change in 2018, but prorated at 15% for 2033 resulting in 6.9% uplift expected in 2033.	81.9	9 6.9	þ	75	85	75 9	5	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UK River upper mainstem	5519 Upper Grande 4.2: Riparian Ronde River Condition: LWD Mainstem and Tributaries, Clear Creek to Headwaters	0% 20.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.		5		75	0 See UGC? Chinook actions (pods and slash). USFS - Small Wood and Pod Fencing Installation (2014), with mileage to 3 miles of treatment. See EF table. Denominator mileage from Streamnet is S.4 mil. No % change yet.	13	No adjustment.	77.5		8 [3-28-16 (post-meeting): Based on calculation spreadsheet, noted 2.8% uplift based on 5% prorating of the Upper Grande Ronde Small Wood and Pods project]	7:	5 75	6	Skydd project: 2.5 miles. No change in 2018, but prorated at 15% for 2033 resulting in 3.5% uplift expected in 2033.	78.5		Half of limiting factor 4.1 value, resulting in 3.5% uplift.	75	85	75 9	5	
Snake River Steelhead Snake River	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem Grande Ronde UG	S19         Upper Grande         6.2: Channel         20.0           Ronde River         Structure and Mainstem and Form: Instream Tributaries, Clear         Form: Instream Form: Instream         20.0           Ronde Kiver         Structural Creek to         Complexity         Poperty           Headwaters         Headwaters         20.0           S19         Upper Grande         7.2: Sediment         20.0	0% 25.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights. 0% 20.00 Limiting Factor		5		85.6	0.6 Å dåd pods and slash project to limiting factor 5.2. See EF's table calculations: Upper Grande Node Small Wood and Nodes project [Rencing protect plantings], with 3 miles treated, resulted in 0.6% uplift.     0. See UGC7 Chinook actions (pods and slash – Upper Grande Ronde Small			85.6	54	12-28-16 (nost-meeting): Noted 5 6%	85.	6 85.6	01	No actions. No percentage change expected. No actions. No percentage change expected.	85.6	6 0	No actions. No percentage change expected.	85	90	85 9	0	
Steelhead	River upper mainstem	Ronde River Conditions: Mainstem and Increased Tributaries, Clear Sediment Creek to Quantity Headwaters	0% 20.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.					Wood and Pods) white treated mileage changed to 3 miles. See EPs table Denominator mileage from Streamnet is 5.4 miles. No % change yet, as Chinook notes.	2				[3-28-16 (post-meeting): Noted 5.6% uplift based on 10% prorating of the Upper Grande Ronde Small Wood and Pods project]												
Snake River Steelhead Snake River	Grande Ronde UK	SS20     1.1: Habitat Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers       SS20     Limber Jim Creek 4.1: Riparian     20.0	10.00 Added limiting factor on 3/9/201 0% Weight Unchange	16	80	Added limiting factor on 3/9/2016			0		79			8	0 88.2 5 75	3	Limber Jim Creek 2017 culverts [2] by USFS will open 1.5 and 1.25 miles on N and S forks. Panel prorated improvements in calculations table based on the fact that they are seasonal partial juvenile barriers: 25% proration, resulting in 8.2% uplit. Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	88.2		2 Same as 2018 Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	76	85	80 9	0	
Steelhead	River upper mainstem	and Tributaries Condition: Riparian Vegetation			<i>m</i>																			-	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	5520 Limber Jim Creek 4.2: Riparian 20.0 and Tributaries Condition: LWD Recruitment	0% Weight Unchange	rd 75	5				75		75	5		7:	5 75		Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	76.8		8 Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	76	80	80 8	5	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	S20 Limber Jim Creek 6.2: Channel 10.0 and Tributaries Form: Instream Structural Complexity	0% 30.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	25	5				75		29			2:	5 85.7		Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	85.7		Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	80	80	85 8	5	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem Grande Ronde UG	S220         Limber Jim Creek         7.2: Sediment         20.0           and Tributaries         Conditions:         Increased           Sediment         Quantity         20.0           S220         Limber Jim Creek         9.2: Water         30.0	0% Weight Unchange 0% 0.00 No temperature	rd 75	5				75		2			7:	5 75		Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.	77.2		Same actions and prorations as for Chinook.     No actions. No expected percentage change.	76	85	78 9	0	
Steelhead	River upper mainstem	and Tributaries Quantity: Decreased Water Quantity	problems in AU. ChaMP data show no water temperature exceedances.	v	-												no actional no capacito perconago orange.			no scholit. No capecito percentage change.	70.2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		5	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	521 Fly Creek and 1.1: Habitat 5.0 Tributaries Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	0%	95	5				95		95			9:	5 95		Not discussed.	95	5	Not discussed.	98	100	98 10	0 Complete barrier on 5160 road	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	521 Fly Creek and 4.1: Riparian 20.0 Tributaries Condition: Riparian Vegetation	0%	65	5				65		65			6	5 65	4	Fly Creek Smith (called 2015, but 2016 completion) fence project: 1.5 miles. A few trees across stream, and willow pods for elk browse control. All passive, no planting. 25 year easement. No uplift expected in 2018, but 0.7% expected in 2033.	65.7		7	65	70	65 7	5	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	SS21 Fly Creek and 4.2: Riparian 15.0 Tributaries Condition: LWD Recruitment	0%	65	5				65		65			6:	5 65	0		65.4	4 0.4	Prorated as half of limiting factor 4.1, resulting in 0.4% uplift.	65	65	65 7	0	
Snake River Steelhead Snake River	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem Grande Ronde UG	S21         Fly Creek and Tributaries         6.2: Channel         20.0           Structure and Form: Instream Structural         Structural         20.0           S21         Fly Creek and         7.2: Sediment         15.0	0%	40	5				40		71			7:	5 75	01	No actions. Some immediate effect from fencing, but minimal.	40.1	1 01	No actions.	42	80	42 7	5	
Steelhead	River upper mainstem	Tributaries Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity															0% uplift expected for 2018 and 0.1% for 2033.								
Snake River Steelhead Snake River	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	SS21         Fly Creek and Tributaries         8.1: Water         25.0           Quality:         Temperature         25.0           SS22         Sheep Creek and         1.1: Habitat	10.00 Added limiting	45	80	Added limiting			45		43			4	5 45 0 823		Using CHaMP temperature model output, 0% change expected in 2018 and 0.2% in 2033. 2 projects on calculations table (Sheep and Chicken	45.2	2 0.2	2 3 Same as 2018.	45	46	45 5	0	
Steelhead		Tributaries Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	factor 1.1 on 3/9/2016. A couple of barriers still need to be addressed.			factor 1.1 on 3/9/2016. A couple of barriers still need to be addressed, but most are done or planned.										6 8 6	Creeks, 2 culverts each). Panel prorated per life history use and partial barriers. Undersized culverts, even though some were retrofitted in the past. West Chicken has 6-12-inch drop. Yields 2.3% uplift.								
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde Ut River upper mainstem	522 Sheep Creek and 4.1: Riparian 10.0 Tributaries Condition: Riparian Vegetation	0% 15.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted it changes to other limiting factor weights.	xx 50	5		50	0 See Chinood actions from Steeps and Chicken Credits (UCC), See FF1 to 1 with calculations, Add Chicken Credits (UCC), See FF1 to 1 More reveloped to limiting factor 11, but 11 is not a limiting factor to fear there are many activation of the second second second second second larger (See Second Se	e)? se set		514	. 14	Per UGC8, but different denominator.	S	o so	0	[Revixed Based on new Sheep Creek mileage from USS, resulting in 0% uplift in 2018 and 3.7% uplift in 2033.]	53.7	7 3.7	7	50	60	50 8	0	NOTE TO AA'S: CHICKEN CR. NOT CHINOOK HABITAT SO NO ESTIMATE WAS MADE FOR OHNOOK TO COPY TO STEELHEAD - kpfisher, 7/10/12 Chicken Cr. project not considered in estimate.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UK River upper mainstem	522 Sheep Creek and 4.2: Riparian Tributaries Condition: LWD Recruitment	0% 15.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted to accommodate changes to other limiting factor weights.	œ	þ		60	O See Chinock actions from Sheep and Chicken Creeks (UGCB). See (P') tal with calculations. Negligible effect due to minimal plant growth.	5le 60		60.5	1.0	8 Per UGC8, but different denominator.	6	0 60	0	See limiting factor 4.1.	61.9	9 1.9	Used half of limiting factor 4.1 proration. [Revised based on new Sheep Creek mileage from USFS, resulting in 0% uplift in 2018 and 1.9% uplift in 2033.]	60	75	60 8	0 Per Paul B significant LWD recruitment opportunities.	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UK River upper mainstem	522 Sheep Creek and 6.2: Channel 20.0 Tributaries Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity	0% Weight Unchange	ed SO	D		52.4	2.4 See Chinoda actions from Sheep and Childen creeks (UGGI), See IP's tal with calculations. Sheep Creek Large Wood and Planting resulted in 152 pieces, which in 76.8 pieces per mile and 15 percent improvement [20] target pieces per mile]. Chieden Creek Large wood and planting resulted 117 pieces, which is 8.5 pieces per mile and 15 percent improvement. Total stream miles denominator is 32.1 miles. Panel determined 2.4 percent uplift.	n		52.4			52.	4 52.4	01	No actions expected.	52.4	4 0	No actions expected.	60	60	60 8	0	Estimate based on Sheep Ck project only.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	522 Sheep Creek and 7.2: Sediment 30.0 Tributaries Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity	0% 20.00 Limiting Factor weight adjusted th accommodate changes to ather limiting factor weights.		D		30	See Chinosk actions from Sheep and Chicken creeks (UGCB). See EP's tab with calcs.	sle 30		31.4	14	Per UGC8, but different denominator. 10 % proration.	3	0 30	6 8 9 9	Riparian projects benefit, but most sediments are coming from fire areas (Tanneer of Tower fres), and heavy grazing on private land. See calculations table. Panel determined 0% change for 2018 and 1.2% upfit in 2033. Hous. revised based on new Sheep Creek mileage from USFs, resulting in 0% upfit in 2018 and 1.9% upfit in 2023.	31.9	9 1.9	Prorated for 2033.	30	50	30 8	0 Significant private land grazing.	Not enough known about USFS Sheep Cr rd decommissioning project for estimate to be made at 2012 EP workshop.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	S22 Sheep Creek and 8.1: Water 30.0 Tributaries Quality: Temperature	0% 20.00 Lower Sheep is warm, but cooler upstream.	70	D		70	0 No temperature benefit from Chicken and Sheep projects yet. No % change.	70		70			7	0 70	01	No change in 2018.	71.2	2 1.2	Prorated fence and pods to 2033, resulting in 0.2% uplift. [Fixed cell formula error in calculations table and revised based on new Sheep Creek mileage from USFS, resulting in 0% uplift in 2018 and 1.2% uplift in 2033.]	70	70	70 7	5	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	523 Clear Creek and 1.1: Habitat 0.0 Tributaries Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	0%						0						0 0		No actions.	0		) No actions.					Passage improvement projects identified but Passage LF given 0% weight. If barriers exist, consider reweighting this LF at next EP workshop.
	River upper mainstem	S23 Clear Creek and 4.1: Riparian 30.0 Tributaries Condition: Riparian Vegetation	0%	75	5				75		75			7:	5 75	01	No actions.	75	5 0	No actions.	75	85	75 9	5	
	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	5523 Clear Creek and 4.2: Riparian 30.0 Tributaries Condition: LWD Recruitment	0%	60					60		60			6	0 60		No actions.	60	0 0	No actions.	60	60	60 7	0 s	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	523 Clear Creek and 6.2: Channel 20.0 Tributaries Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity		70					70					7	70	0	No actions.	70		) No actions.	70	15	70 8		
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde UG River upper mainstem	523 Clear Creek and 7.2 Sediment 20.0 Tributaries Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity	0%	60	0				60		60			6	0 60	0 1	No actions.	60	0 0	No actions.	60.1	80	60.1 9	0	

ESU	Population	Code	Assessment Unit	2012 Standardized 2 Limiting Factor V	2012 LF Adjuste 2018 LF Weight	d Adjusted 2018 LF Weight Rationale	2012 Lo Booken	w 2016 (Updated) Low Bookend (adjusted d 3/2016)	2016 Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back workshop)		Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)		Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2033 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)		2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends during Look Forward Process)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2016 Look Forward)	2018	2016-2018 Look Forward Estimate Comments/Rationale	Updated 2033 Estimate (2016 Look Forward)	Look Forward Updated 2033 Estimate % Change	Look Forward Updated Estimate Comments/Re	2033 tionale 2013	i-2018 High 2015 Bookend	Original 2033 Estimate	tigh 2033 and Bookends Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)	Quantity:	5.00%		9	90					9	0		90				90 9	90 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	1	90 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	95 100	95	100 Elmer	MORE PASSAGE ISSUES ON MII CK AND LITTLE CK
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A		2.1: Injury and Mortality: Predation	0.00%									0		٥				0	0 0	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.		0 0	No actions, as per CCC2	E.			small mouth bass; invasive spp noted but impacts unknown	,
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A		3.3: Food: Altered Prey Species Composition and Diversity	0.00%									0		٥				0	0 0	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.		0 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.			altered food web- carp, panfish impacts unknown	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A		4.1: Riparian Condition: Riparian	10.00%		ŕ	15		45	C	Panel considered CC Baum Restoration Project. 0.25 miles treated; however, no improvement seen yet. Thus no uplift identified.	4	5	No adjustment.	45.1	P 1	Same projects as CCC2C. Added 10% proration, reusiting in 0.1% uplift in 2022		45 4	45 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	,	45 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	45.1 50	) 46	60	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)	Condition: LWD	10.00%			15		45	C	0 Panel considered CC Baum Restoration Project. 0.25 miles treated; however, no improvement seen yet. Thus no uplift identified.	4	5	No adjustment.	45	A 7	Same projects as CCC2C. Added 5% proration, resulting in 0.0% (rounded) uplift in 2033		45 4	45 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.		45 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	45 45	5 45.1	50	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A		5.1: Peripheral and Transitional Habitats: Side Channel and Wetland Conditions	10.00%			20		20.3		Panel considered CC Baum Restoration Project. 0.25 miles were treated; panel identified a S0 percent prorate improvement and denominator was 36 miles. Thus uplift was determined to be 0.3%.	20.	3		20.3	3	upint in 2033	20	0.3 20	.3 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	20	0.3 0	No actions, as per CCC2	C.	22 35	5 22	40 <25 percentage levies; many oxbows have been truncated	2
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)	5.2: Peripheral and Transitional	10.00%			40		40.3	0.3	3 Panel considered CC Baum Restoration Project. 0.25 miles were treated; panel identified a 50 percent prorate improvement and denominator was 36 miles. Thus uplift was determined to be 0.3%.	40.	3		40.3	3		40	0.3 40	1.3 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	40	0.3 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	42 50	42	55 many oxbows have been truncated	2
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)	6.1: Channel Structure and Form:	10.00%			40		40			4	0		40				40 4	40 0	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.		40 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	41 50	0 41	55 many oxbows have been truncated	2
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration	6.2: Channel Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity	15.00%			25		25.03	0.03	3 Panel considered CC Baum Restoration Project. 0.25 miles were treated; panel identified a 5 percent prorate improvement and denominator was 36 miles. Thu uplift was determined to be 0.03%.	25.0	3		25.03	3		25.	.03 25.1	03 (	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	25.1	03 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	27 35	5 27	40	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	corridor only) Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)		5.00%			50		50			5	0		50	0			50 !	50 0	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.	!	50 0	No actions, as per CCC2	C.	50.1 55	5 50.1	55 more of a non- point issue, many uncontrolled contributions, but bank erosion issue	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (mainstem migration corridor only)	8.1: Water Quality: Temperature	10.00%			10		40		Non-native predators are present due to warm water. Panel considered upstream actions that may have contributed to limiting factor 8.1 (Bheppard down to Davis (non in) (partial partial assessment unit) 72.5 cfs lases down to Davis (not in database). Not mesurable from Davis Dam to mouth, so no temperature change seen from Bases discussed in limiting factor 9.2. Per panel, heat source shows above lethal for rearing. There is not enough flow to significantly affect this limiting factor 4.2. 2.0 regrees. A few cfs is not enough to decrease temperatures measurably, especially given backwater from Davis Dam. No % change.		0		40	2			40 4	40 (	No actions, as per CCC2C.		40 0	No actions, as per CCC2	c.	40 40	40	also contributes 45 thermal barrier for adult passage; combination of other LPS over tim will be needed to affect a chance in temp	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9A	and Tributaries (mainstem migration	8.2: Water Quality: Oxygen	5.00%		,	40		40			4	0		40	)			40 4	40 0	0 No actions, as per CCC2C.		40 0	No actions, as per CCC2	C.	40 45	5 40	45 Links to flow & temp	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		and Tributaries (mainstem nigration corridor only)					30		32.2		See EP's table of instream flow leases. There are three years of annual leases: 2013 to 2015. 0.76 cfs per year, plus applicable upstream flow actions. Panel digitated weightings for each project using the percentage of total assessment unt stream mileage benefiting from these flows. All split assours: July to September, typically, Baseflow is often reavo, and additional water results only in postmers. The site should be additional table of the second second second properties. The site should be additional table of the second second second properties. The site should be additional table of the second second second properties. The site should be additional table of the second second second members and the second second second second second second second whether to use this 10 cfs as baseline. This would result in a 6% upfit, However, panel decided against this, because the 10 cfs doesn't exity set. Panel alian considered using downstream instream water right as denominator. OPFW Union) based on Bureau modeling. Using that a denominator. Upfit 12% baseline above Union) based on Bureau modeling. Using that a denominator with the paragementation benefit this? A wathwe leakage, but no longer does, so the baseline has changed. Discussion of thresholds: at what point does flow augmentation benefit this? A wathwe leakage, but no longer does, so the baseline has changed. Discussion of thresholds: at what point does flow augmentation benefit this? A wathwe leakage, but no longer does, so the baseline has changed. Discussion of thresholds: at what point does flow augmentation benefit that a wath point is in habatelle by fish? This is not a 1:1 linear relationship, and depends on channel cross-section and temperature engine. Also considered location in each of thow addition. Per panel, since flow is during critical summer months, 2% upfit is acceptable value. Calculation from table using 30 cfs baseflow as denominator cresited in a 2.2% improvement. Check FWT's basin flow data for denominator.		2	No adjustment	32.2	2 8	No adjustment	3	2.2 35		6 As per CCC2 (same denominator).	32		Some permanent lease: table, but renewal of or unknown at this time, a CCC2C [3:27-16: 2021 or clackulated due to uncertainty in leases ou 2033.]	hers is s per Iplift	35 35	5 35 .	35 m/s migration corridor; refugie @ mouths of tribs	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Quantity:	5.00%			50		61.6		$c = 6^{-1} t table. Add Little Creek Diversion Removal from 2012 (not in distabase). This was a particul jownrie is storie of 2-3 feet. Net (notification) Barrier is 12 (2 few linches), LC3 (1-2 feet), and LC4 (Hall Barrier), 1-5 miles of parsage improvement. The laid Highway 2003 Brdge replaced undersized cushert (partial barrier) in 2013, associated with primary aim of channels built and then reconnected, crossing location was changed by -1.1 miles, 3 farebased in Laid Creek now have 1 more mile of new Channel. Parel did mol term channel: Built and then reconnected, crossing location current is more mile not new changed by -1.1 miles, 3 farebased in Laid Creek now have 1 more mile of new Channel. Parel did mol term miles (a 7 miles. Parel did terming factor 1, 1, but current is do total stellhead stream miles (a 7 miles. Parel did terming Lech miles) and total stellhead stream miles (a 7 miles. Parel did terming to Lech miles miles) and the stellhead stream total.$		6		61.6	5		6;	1.6 61	.6 (	0 No actions.	61		No actions.		60 60	0 60	70 Little; Ladd; Mill; Warm Crs.	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Mortality: Predation	0.00%					C	C	No actions in database. Panel noted that screens were added, so limiting factor 2.3 should be added in the next Look Forward.	1	0		0				0	0 0	0 No actions.		0 0	No actions.				small mouth bass; invasive spp noted but impacts unknown	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Prey Species Composition and Diversity	0.00%					0		0 No actions in database.		0		0				0		0 No actions.			No actions.				altered food web- carp, panfish impacts unknown	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Condition: Riparian	10.00%			50		60	C	D No actions in database. Vegetation management on exposed banks (small area near reconnected channel).	6	0	No adjustment.	60		No adjustment.		60 0	50 0	0 No actions.		60 0	No actions.		60.1 60.1	60.2	80	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B		Condition: LWD	10.00%		,	50		60	C	0 No actions in database.	6	0	No adjustment.	60	1	No adjustment.		60 6	50 0	0 No actions.		60 0	No actions.		60.1 60.1	60.2	70	ESTIMATES COPIED FROM CCC2B
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B		5.1: Peripheral and Transitional Habitats: Side Channel and Wetland Conditions	10.00%			55		65	C	D No actions in database.	6	5		65	5			65 (	65 0	0 No actions.		65 0	No actions.		66 75	5 66	80	COPIED ESTIMATE FROM CCC2 kpfisher, 7/10/12
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS9B	Lower Catherine Creel and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	5.2: Peripheral and Transitional	10.00%			55		66.9		No actions in database. Highway 203 Bridge Replacement channel reconnection at ladd Creek is an applicable project, with 11 miles treated. See EY's table. Denominator is 24 tablehad miles per Streament. Everent current function status for this limiting factor is 80%, resulting in a 1.9% uplift.	66.	9		66.9	9		66	6.9 66	.9 (	0 No actions.	66	6.9 0	No actions.		66 75	66	80	COPIED ESTIMATE USED FOR CCC2B - kpfisher, 7/10/12

ESU	Population Code	Assessment Unit	2012 Standardized Limiting Factor	2012 LF Weight Weight	d Adjusted 2018 LF Weight Rationale	2012 Low Bookend	v Bookend (adjusted) 3/2016)	2016 Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- Lo 2015 Look Back Ch workshop)	ok Back % lange	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2018 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2033 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016) 3/2016	Look Back 2033 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016) )	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends during Look Forward Process)		2018	Estimate	Updated 2033 Estimate (2016 Look Forward)	Look Forward Updated 2033 I Estimate % I Change	Look Forward Updated 2033 Estimate Comments/Rationale	2013-2018 Hi Be	gh 2018 2013 2033 Estimat	High 2033 and Bookends Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS9 upper mainstem	and Tributaries	6.1: Channel Structure and Form Bed and Channel Form	10.00%		6	5		67.1		No actions in database. Highway 203 Bridge Replacement channel reconnection at Ladd Creek is an applicable project, with 1.1 miles treated. See EP's table. Denominator is 47 steehead miles per Streament. Panel determined percent current function status for this limiting factor as 90%, resulting in 2.1% uplift.	67.:	1		67.1		6	7.1 6	7.1 0	No actions.	67.1	1 01	No actions.	65.1	75 65	5.1 80	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS9 upper mainstem	B Lower Catherine Cree and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Structure and Form	15.00%		6	5		65.1	:	No actions in database. Highway 203 Bridge Replacement channel reconnection at Ladd Creek added a couple of wood structures. See EP's table. Denominator is 37 steelhead miles per Streamnet. Panel determined percent current function status for this limiting factor as 5%, resulting in 0.1% uplift.		1		65.1		6	5.1 6	5.1 0	No actions.	65.1	1 01	No actions.	68	75	68 80	ESTIMATE COPIED FROM CCC2B
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS9 upper mainstem	B Lower Catherine Cree and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	Conditions:	5.00%		51	0		50		No actions in database. The Ladd Creek reconnection project has not yet matured enough to show benefit. No change in %.	5	D	No adjustment.	50.2 0	0.2 10% proration addition results in 0.2% uplift.		50	50 0	No actions.	50	0 01	No actions.	50.1	55 50	0.1 55 bank erosion - more Little Cr th Ladd	an
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS9 upper mainstem	B Lower Catherine Cree and Tributaries (contributing area and tributaries only)	k 8.1: Water Quality: Temperature	10.00%		4	0		40	1	Little Creek leases. Existing Creek baseline conditions are 18-22 degrees C, even upstream of lease locations. Existing temperatures exceed 20 degrees C between 80% to 100% of days so flow increases are insuffucient to cause uplift. No temperature benefit seen from projects.	4	D		40			40	40 0	No change from flow projects: not enough flow.	40		No change from flow projects: not enough flow.	40.1	40.1 40	0.1 45	ESTIMATE COPIED FROM CCC2C (Lower Catherein Ck)
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS9 upper mainstem		k 8.2: Water Quality: Oxygen	0.00%					0	1	No actions in database.		D		0			0	0 0	No actions.	C	0 01	No actions.			need to quantifi not issue in upp reaches- some issue d/s	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	B Lower Catherine Cree and Tributaries (contributing area an tributaries only)	c 9.2: Water Quantity Decreased Water Quantity	: 15.00%		31	0		30.6		No actions in database. But 0.21 cfs lease/protected (projects are listel in USG low intead?) – e.g. Mahrung Lasse 0.33 cfs, Sheehee Lasen 0.53 cfs. Northey Lasse 20 km 20 k	30.1	ŝ		30.6		3	0.6		2 flow projects in calculations table, resulting in 0.4 % uplift. Denominator: Base flow of Little Creek, which is 7.5 cfs.	30.6	5	Cannot predict to 2033.	35	35	35 several diversio on title, Mil, an Ladd Crs	<ul> <li>Conservative estimate - assumes</li> <li>d 3 cfs from water transactions.</li> </ul>
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	0A Middle Catherine Cre and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	Quantity:	2.00%		9	5					9	5		95			95	95 0	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	95		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	97	100	97 100 increased from a partial juvenile barrier at mouth Pyles Ck	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	0A Middle Catherine Cre and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	k 4.1: Riparian Condition: Riparian	6.50%		4	5		45	1	Add CC MM 37 Project to steelhead assessment unit UGSIDA, as per assessment unit CC3A, to limiting factors 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, and 7.2. See EP's table for percent function improvement protoing calculations. Project treated 0.375 milles. Denominator was determined to le 3.7 milles. Based on Beechie classion regarding needing 5 years growth for effectiveness, panel determined 0%		5	No adjustment.	48	3 Using 15% proration for 2033 results in 3.0% uplit	t.	45	45 0	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	46.3		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	46	47	55 60	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	0A Middle Catherine Cre and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	Condition: LWD	6.50%		4	5		45		change. Add CC MI 37 Project to steelhead assessment unit UGS10A, as per assessment unit CC3A, to limiting factors 41, 42, 51, 52, 61, 62, and 72. See EP's table for percent function improvement protating calculations. Project treated 0.075 miles Denominator was determined to be 3.7 miles. Based on Beechie clation regarding needing. P varse growth for effectiveness, panel determined 0%		5	No adjustment.	46.5	1.5 Using 7.5% proration for 2033 results in 1.5% uplif	t.	45	45 0	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	45.7	7 0.7	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	45.1	45.1	46 60	Estimate considers improvements from LF 4.1 projects
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	DA Middle Catherine Cre and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	Transitional	10.00%		21	0		22.2		change. Add CC RM 37 Project to steelhead assessment unit UGS10A, as per AU CC3A, to limiting factors 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, and 7.2. Project treated 0.75 miles. Denominator was determined to be 37 miles. Fand eldemined 11 percent function improvement prorating calculation. Snotkel survey of mainstem loaded sough ust 42-4 cost side channel has been blocked of the sediments recently at bareflows, to no summer renaring occurs. However, It was designed for high flow relag, not perenation availability. Project needs more water to get full benefit. Type world ideally have had more side channel have not barlet to be full benefit. Type world ideally have had more side channel than what was built, perhaps 11.1 within transment nears, Its is now at approximately 115 of properly functioning condition (not counting vegetation development in this limiting factor). Some geomorphic changes are expected to continue. Panel determined total upitt of 2%.		2		22.2		2	2.2	23 0.8	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	23.1		identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	25	30	30 35 Potential u/s of Union (confined and semi-confin reaches); less below Union (unconfined)	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	and Tributaries - Pyle		10.00%		21	0		25.1		Add CC MM 37 Project to steellened assessment unit USSIDA, as per AU ICCA. No limiting factors A1, 24, 51, 52, 61, 62, and 72. Project transet 0.78 miles. Panel determined 25% function improvement prorating calculation. See IF-51 attonale. Panel considered entire 0.75 mile of bank signed by the transment and changes in entrenchment ratios (have CHaMP width to depth ratio data, but it is more focused on area within active channel. Main channel was designed to be slightly oversized due to flooding concerns, which reduced floodplain connection. Hence it is not as close to properly functioning condition as it might have been (rationale for the smaller improvement factor). Should have been a B "modernite" flooding potential. Historically, it would have had extensive moderate" flooding potential. Historically, it would have had extensive floodplain connection with many beaver dans. Panel used 25% of properly functioning condition to arrive at 5% change over the assessment unit.		1		25.1		2	5.1 2	5.2 0.1	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	25.3		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	25	30	30 35	Implementation planned for CC 37 in 2012, CC 36 in 2014, 38 & 39 in 2015/16.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem		Structure and Form	10.00%		4	0		48.1	1	Add CC RM 37 Project to steelhead assessment unit UGS10A, as per AU CC3A, to limiting factors 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, and 7.2. Project treated 0.75 miles. Panel determined add's function improvement prorating accluation. Sinusoity went from 1.1 to 1.45 (from CHaMP data, design criteria for project, and historical information). Historical Baseline was 2.2.2.4 from old scroll patterns, so it is now at 40% of properly functioning condition. Width to depth change yields similar percentage change (12 bisorical 2.2.5 per project, to 1.8.5 post- project at bankfull, 40 percent of properly functioning condition results in 8% change.	48.:	1		48.1		4	8.1	49 0.9	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	49	9 0.9	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	45	45	50 50 33% of channel within Union ; d/s of Union; channelized throughout read	
Snake River Steelfhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	and Tributaries - Pyle		10.00%		4	s		50.1		Add CC BM 37 Project to steelmead assessment unit UGSIDA, as per AJ UCSA. No limiting factors 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, and 7.2. Project trated 0.7 miles. Panel determined 25% function improvement prorating calculation. Project paced 13 wood complexes. CHAP d'atta indicates picces per 100 meters went from 13.4 to 6.4.7 within bankful channel. 74 structures, including 81 logs with four dwash, puls other resulting in 188 logs. Panel discussed purpose and function of structures (bank stabilization vs. fish habitat: not the same function found and do not milm cantural wood accumulation that would provide interstital volume and velocity refuge). 64.7 pieces per 100 meters included embedded logy/cribs. Fish research show loss fish response to embedded structures. About half were instream. But CHAMP sites were in a higher density part of project. Compared to Minam reference of 18 pieces per 100 meters. Using 14 pieces per 100 meters for entire reach, panel weighted improvement at 25% of properfy functioning condition. Denominator is 3.7 miles; thus total benefit is 5% uplift.	50.	1		50.1		s	0.1 5		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	56.9		Identical to CCC3A-same actions and denominator.	60	65	80 80	
Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	Conditions: er Increased Sediment Quantity	10.00%		4	0		45.7		Add CC RM 37 Project to steelhead assessment unit UGS10A, as per AU CC3A, to limiting factors 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, and 7.2. Project treated 0.75 miles. Project included bank stabilization, some immediate benefit. Reduction in bank height as well. Panel determined 28% function improvement prorating calculation. Bank stabilization/up/shack work: 1.125 linear feet treated (28% of project length). Also added gravel. CHAMP data DS0 and pool tail change shows more fine sediment now, and more boulders. Using length as metric, panel determined 5.7% uplift.	45.:	7		47.7	7.7 Added 10% to proration 2033, i.e., 38% proration resulting in 7.7% uplift in 2033.		5.7 4		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	48.8	1	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	42.5	45	46 50	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS1 upper mainstem	0A Middle Catherine Cre and Tributaries - Pyle Creek to Swackhamm	Temperature	13.00%		2			20		100% of days are in exceedance from July 20 to Aug 31 of 20 degrees C (CHAMP data). Background temperatures are too hot for flow increases to have measurable effect.	2			20					Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	20		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	21	41	23 42 lower third tem limited;	<ul> <li>Estimate considers benefits from CC-44 &amp; other upstream projects plus conservative assumption of 3 cfs for upstream water transactions.</li> </ul>

ESU	Population Code	Assessment Unit 2012 Standardized Limiting Factor	2012 LF Weight Weight	d Adjusted 2018 LF Weight Rationale	2012 Lo Booken	w 2016 (Updated) Low Bookend (adjusted d 3/2016)	2016 Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back workshop)	Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2018 % Change (adjusted 3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2033 Look 2 Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016) ( 3	ook ack J33 % Look Bi Comme djusted (2016)	Back 2033 Estimate (i nents / Rationale u sted 3/2016) b	plift and updated low ookends during Look	Estimate (2016 Look Forward)		Estimate E		Look Forward Updated 2033 Estimate % Change	Look Forward Updated 2033 Estimate Comments/Rationale	2013-2018 High 2018 Origina 2003 2033 Estima	High 2033 Bookend Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS10A upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 8.2: Water Quality: and Tributaries - Pyles Oxygen Creek to Swackhammer	0.00%								0		0			0	0	01	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	0		Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.		Associated w/flow/temp; ni point sources need more info	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS10A upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 8.4: Water Quality: and Tributaries - Pyles Turbidity Creek to Swackhammer	0.00%								0		0			0	0	01	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	0	0	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.		quantify Point discharge between RM 38- 39; need more info	D
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 9.2: Water Quantit and Tributaries - Pyles Decreased Water Creek to Swackhammer Quantity	c 20.00%			20		25	See EP's table of instream flow leases per year and note database changes to assign these to the proper assessment unit. Several projects moved from USS10A to USS8. For USS10A, Mathimurg lases is 0.25 et (Prescot dictich: 100K of assessment unit reach); Sheehee (downstream from town: 80K of assessment unit reach); Sheehee (downstream from town: 80K of assessment unit, 15 keneb, 20 ker, Mahnburg gibte lases is 0.13 cfs; 00. Ricker heave is 0.34 cfs; (100K of assessment unit), DRLT lease is 0.31 cfs; (RM 48% of assessment unit). So table 20 zfs (af Godey Dicth at Union: 80K of assessment unit). So table 20 zfs (af Godey Dicth at Union: 80K of assessment unit). So table 20 zfs (af Godey Dicth at Union: 80K of assessment unit). So table 20 zfs (af Godey Dicth at Union: 80K of assessment unit). So there are 100K of assessment unit). Table Considered flow locations (river mink 10 a 0.2 cfs) (100K of assessment unit). Falsen Gondiered flow locations (river mink 10 a 0.2 cfs) (100K of assessment unit). False Gondiered flow locations (river mink 10 assessment) in relation to reach length and main (e.g., between Piles and \$waschammer), and weighed accordingly (see table). Flow was measured at 100K Street. Annel calculated total 1.5 cfs average units (flow heat flow reach) assess to 2 cfs asserbased (95K exceedance based on flow record), but ODVW (Oregon Method IFIM) instream net heat flow assign 2 cfs baseflow reach heat 5 and so baseflow denominator, resulting in total upiff of 5K. Note: Reexamine booken as it to Lok Forward.		5		25			25	34.3	9.3	Identical to CCC3A: same actions and denominator.	25			40 50	quantify impact 40 55 Mary Oversions this reach	in Conservative estimate based on 3 cfs.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS108 upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 1.1: Habitat and Tributaries Quantity: Swackhammer to North Anthropogenic and South Forks Barriers	2.00%		\$	35		114.6	CC44 Project: only Phase 2 (2014) and Phase 1 (2015) had fish passage actions. It Phase 2.4 water rights were combined into 1 Point of thersion. Also in Phase 2, 2 barriers were removed – Smith push-up dam was removed and irrigation intais removed on Smith/Southern Cross; roughened hannel was constructed for new point of diversion and pipe delivery system, on farm water conservation conversion on Smith/Southern Internet water seasonal juvenile barriers. It benefit from water left in stream). These were seasonal juvenile barriers. It miles were opened out of 23 Streames tsellesd and limits in the assessment unit. See EPs table with benefit weighting; I25% improvement]. In Phase 3 (2015), on Smith's and Kinsky (1 additional mile, rest is counted now) (still underway, include in Look forward). Total proveste upHIT calculated a 19.6%.		6		114.6			114.6	141.6	a	Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	141.6		Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	97 100		Estimate based on CC 44 project, may be more steelhead barriers noty key the steel barriers of the steel known/identified.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS10B upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 4.1: Riparian and Tributaries - Swackhammer to North Vegetation and South Forks	6.50%			50		60	CC44 Project Phase 1 included 666 plants at wood sites (1,400 lineal feet). Phase 2 included 11,119 plants and fencing along 1.13 miles. There is no woody wegetation yet in exclusion fencing areas. 2 CRP projects [Included due to action agency news, BPA contract to Asoth County in PISCES. Link to Model Watersheld? Was it completed? Elither ways, for functional effect yet]. Little Catherine RM 28/Milk Creek/Pinship Fencing and Planting project included 18.63 acres of riparian fencing, planting, and aquisition [assume 1.8 mile, 135 feet on each side). See This table of projects and current function percentage. Plantings are too young, hence no uplit. Note: Count Phase 3 in Look Forward [see EP's table].		0		60.9	realized unit wa panel tu uplift. V 15% ap line wit approa plant g	16: In QA process, ed this assessment vas not revisited by to calculate 2033 . Weighting factor of inpplied to projects in ith typical panel vach (to account for growth). Resulting uplift is 0.9%	60	60	a	Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	62		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	61 65	67 75	Estimate does not consider USFS Catherine Ck Riparian Mtnc & Thinning Project - not enough project information known to estimate improvements at 2012 EP Workshop.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS108 upper mainstem	and Tributaries - Condition: LWD Swackhammer to North Recruitment and South Forks	6.50%		e	50		60	See notes for limiting factor 4.2 – no upilit change yet.	6	0		60.5	realized unit wa panel ti uplift. V 7.5% ap line witi approa plant g	16: In QA process, ed this assessment vas not revisited by to calculate 2033 Weighting factor of applied to projects in ith typical panel each (to account for growth). Resulting uplift is 0.5%	60	60	4 0 0	Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	61		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	60 60	61 70	Estimate considers improvement from 4.1 LF projects.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 5.1: Peripheral and and Tributaries Transitional Swackhammer to North Habitats: Side and South Forks Channel and Wetland Condition	15.00%		e	55		68.5	See EP3 table. Panel rated value based on current percentage of properly functioning condition rather than using portion of total length treated. CC44 project phases (See limiting factor 6.2 project descriptions). Side channel work was constrained by Indowner, Fish low of Side Channel R3 was seen immediately. Under Phase 1, B62 feet were treated and are currently at 5% of properly functioning condition. Under Phase 2, 55(6) Effect (11.3 miles) were treated. Phase 3 was rated at 50% current function (0.66 miles treated, which is 60% of channel length). This is a more forested reach. Thistorical imagery indicated many beaver and side channels. Total prosted functional change was determined to be a 39% upilit.	68.	9		68.9			68.9	79.5	a	Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	79.5		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	66 70	66 75 lower 4 miles channel anthropogenical altered; naturali constrained upstream	Estimate based on CC44 project - 5.5 miles restoration potential. y Little benefit from water transactions until channels are formed.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 5.2: Peripheral and and Tributaries - Transitional Swackhammer to North Habitats: Floodplai and South Forks Condition	10.00%			55		65.3	See EP stable. Panel rated value based on current percentage of properly functioning condition rather than using portion of total length treated. Phase 1 (rated at 0%), Phase 2 enhanced aiready low spots in floodplain (rated at 0%), and Phase 3 designed oversited channels due to landowner concern, so was only activated at higher flows, which reduced biological auto — but side channels increased floodplain complexity (10%). Thus total calculated uplift was 0.3%.	65.	3		65.3			65.3	71.1	a	Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	71.1		Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	66 70	67 75 lower 4 miles channel anthropogenical altered; naturall constrained upstream	Conservative estimate due to uncertain project designs, etc. at y time of 2012 EP workshop
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River UGS108 upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 6.1: Channel and Tributaries - Structure and Form Swackhammer to North Bed and Channel and South Forks Form	10.00%		e	50		62.3	See EP1 table and other limiting factor discussion notes. Panel rated value based on current percentage of properly functioning condition rather than using portion of total length treated. Phase 1 included bank stability and gravel sorting over 850 feet sproad over almost 2 times (5% improvement prorate factor). Phase 2, including roughened channel was assigned an improvement port factor of 100K. Phase 3: 1.1 sinously vs. 1.4 (small improvement), for feet down to 50 feet wide properly functioning condition would be 42 feet), improvement factor). Total calculated uplift was 2.3%.		3		62.3			62.3	68.3		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	68.3		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	62 70	63 75	Conservative estimate due to uncertain project designs, etc. at time of 2012 EP workshop
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	Middle Catherine Creek 6.2: Channel and Tributaries - Structure and Forn Swackhammer to North Instream Structura and South Forks Complexity	15.00%			50		64.3	See EP3 table and other limiting factor discussion notes. Panel rated value bases on current perecurstage of properly functioning condition. CC44 projects: Phase 1 (2013) was wood placement and side channels only, on Kerbie, Fies, and Smith properties (6-5 = 11) large wood complexes, 862 main channel feet, 546 feet side channel including alcover). 802 pieces were added to 1,408 feet (522 meters) including side channels, rescuting in 300 pieces per 100 meters, even if calculations used entire resch length = above properly functioning condition wood density (2-1) pieces per 100 meters reference condition, Phase 2 placed 970 pieces over 1,870 feet of Xirby and File; 29 large wood complexes, 1 side unade 1,06 fem4, 2113 feet of side channel data and a slaces. But phases are overlapping, so when recalcuated with all wood phases i unged: 2 meters (10 meters) total tracket, 1727 Jhane 2 and hane 2 J ehaes 3 resulting in over 100 pieces per 100 meters, which is well above the 27 pieces per 100 meters projert functioning condition for the structure. Phase 3 in the structure of the structure reference craschet.pstrstem, paid configurations may differ from natural (e.g., channel- based on how many pieces were in channel vs. embeded. Note that struc- minforus. Phase rescription to the structure wood. This panel terrainel than instream habitat structure. Phases 1 (pape juring) and have more of a fish habitat summore rearing; however, this does not change value because population if expression was not projections benefit where than instream habitat structures. Temperatures the sociated with even shift wood pieces. Denominator was set at 2 pieces are sociated with even single wood pieces. Denominator was set at 2 pieces are sociated with even single wood pieces.		3		643			643	77	a	Same actions and rationale ac CC28), but different denominator used in calculation tables.	77		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	65 70	65) 75	7 of 9 miles treated; conservative estimate due to uncertainty of design at time of 2012 EP workshop

ESU	Population	Code	Assessment Unit	2012 Standardized 201 Limiting Factor Wei	2 LF 2018 LF Weight	Adjusted 2018 LF Weight Rationale	2012 Low Bookend	2016 (Updated) Low Bookend (adjusted 3/2016)	2016 Updated Low Bookend Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2012- 2015 Look Back workshop)		Estimate Comments / Rationale	Updated 2018 Look Back Estimate (adjusted 3/2016)	3/2016)	Look Back 2012-2018 Estimate Comments / Rationale (adjusted 3/2016)		k k 13 % Look Back Comments (adjusted 016)	k 2033 Estimate its / Rationale d 3/2016)	2016 Low Bookend (incorporating look back uplift and updated low bookends during Look Forward Process)	Updated 2018 Estimate (2016 Look Forward)	opdated	Estimate	Updated 2033 Estimate (2016 Look Forward)	Look Forward Updated 2033 Lo Estimate % Es Change	ook Forward Updated 2033 stimate Comments/Rationale	2013-2018	High 2018 Origin 2033 Bookend Estima	al High 2033 ate Bookend	3 and Bookends Comments	2012 Estimates Comments
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		Middle Catherine Cree and Tributaries - Swackhammer to Nort and South Forks	Conditions:	.00%		6	0		65.4		See EP's table and other limiting factor discussion notes. Panel rated value based on current percentage of properly functioning condition. CC44 projects: Phase 1 bank stability work (100% of length stabilized). Phase 2:80% of project length stabilized. Phase 3: 80% of project length stabilized. Thus panel calculated uplits 6:50%. However, 65% overall secret high to the panel, given that some straight and entrenched areas in the reach are still eroding banks. Sediment problems are roughly equally distributed throughout each. Thus panel adjusted Phase 2 and 3 improvement proration to 60% and revised total uplift to 5.4%.		4		65.9	realized th unit was n panel to ca uplift. 2011 factors we upward by typical pan account fo	In QA process, this assessment not revisited by calculate 2033 18 weighting evere adjusted by 7.5% in line with anel approach (to for plant growth). ; 2033 uplift is	65.4	4 6		Same actions and rationale as CCC38, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	69.4	Ci de	ame actions and rationale as CC38, but different enominator used in siculation tables.	61	65	63 7	75	Conservative estimate due to uncertain project designs, etc. at time of 2012 EP workshop
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		Middle Catherine Cree and Tributaries - Swackhammer to Nort and South Forks	Temperature	.00%		61	D		60	D	57% of days are in exceedance from July 20 to Aug 31 of 20 degrees C (CHaMP data). It is cooler upstream of this assessment unit, but much solar radiation warming occurs as water flows downstream to this assessment unit. It is not lethal for steelhead, but a concern. Thus, panel determined zero uplift.	6	0		60			60	0 6	0 0	Same actions and rationale as CCC3B, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	60.5	Ci de	ame actions and rationale as CC3B, but different enominator used in alculation tables.	60.1	65	61 7	75 upper 2/3 in good conditions	1
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		Middle Catherine Cree and Tributaries - Swackhammer to Nort and South Forks	14 9.2 Water Quantity: 20 Decreased Water th Quantity	.00%		4	0		42.8		See EP table of instream flow leases and term dates. Include upstream projects in relevant. Cross-header frashwater Trust list of flow projects (used "final order rate at point of diversion" cfs, which accounted for loss rate w. 10th Stree measurements). Four projects in table. Two Nicker keess (33 and 0.3 cfs, one is TUT). Southern Cross Forbearance is 1.075 cfs, and Glen Smith Full is 0.22 cfs. Schubert, 0.22 cfs, Issame as '070' ergicitly, was not included. Panel discussed ments of adjusting proration/weightings for reach project using percentage of point of diversion in related to steelhead usable area and portion of axessment with, water right seniorky, and "instrem dates." Panel decided to weigh at 100% due to point of diversion location in re.NJ. Full diversion data set is not ready to use as its not yet QA/CC for Javarega to 8.04 cfs. Panel used 30 cfs as theh baseflow denominator. Total uplif after weighting was 2.88. Note: CCA at action conservation consension on Smith property does not result in official instream water right benefit, so it is difficult to track fish benefit from water left in stream).	E 1	8		42.8			423	8 44.		Same actions and rationale as CCGB, but different denominator used in calculation tables.	42.8			50	50	50 5	50 30 cfs baseflow Aug-Sep; 10 cfs of this diverted	CC-44 Project indirectly addresses this IF but not considered in estimate. Assume 3 cfs permanent less/acquired for estimate. (10% imp based on 3 of 30 cfs)
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS11	South Fork Catherine Creek	4.1: Riparian 10 Condition: Riparian	.00%		8	D		80	0 0	Two projects considered: Corral Creek Project: large wood, riparian plantings (1 mile in 2014-2015) and South Fork riparian project. Both are too recent to function. Currently at 0% function.	8	0	No adjustment.	88.1	8.1 For 2033, p improvem	, panel prorated ment to 20%, in 8.1% uplift.	80	8	0	Not adding limiting factor 1.1, due to limited habitat.	80			80	90	80 9	95	Not enough info about USFS projects to estimate benefits at 2012 EP Workshop
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS11	South Fork Catherine Creek	4.2: Riparian 15 Condition: LWD	.00%		8	0		80	0 0	Two projects considered: Corral Creek Project: large wood, riparian plantings [1 mile in 2014-2015] and Sourh Fork riparian project. Both are too recent to function. Currently at 0% function. [Need to check re: USFS project nexus]	8	0		84.1	4.1 For 2033, p improvem	, panel prorated ment to 10%,	80	8	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	2012 EP WORKSTOP
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		South Fork Catherine Creek	Structure and Form: Instream Structural Complexity	.00%		8	0		92		2 see EPs table for project details and calculations. Corral Creek Project installed targe wood and repriaren plantings (1 mile in 2014-2015), Project installed 115 large pieces per 100 meters. This was compared to Little Manam reference condition of 27 pieces per 100 meters, and prorated as 27%. Add South Fork Catherine Creak riparian planting project (EPA Monded Staff Biosci, installed instream wood structures – 19 structures over 4 miles). Project added 8 pieces per 100 meters. CriaMH has 6 sites in area, and shows 34 pieces per 100 meters sturally in the ware, bu site overlap is not prefict. Bu structures were added where there were not enough. This panel prorated as 30% of reference wood density. Denominator was determined as 135 steehead miles massessment unit per Streammet [seemed low to EP]. Total uplift set at 12%. [Need to check re: US55 project neus]		2		92		in 4.1% uplift.	92	2 9	2		92			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS11	South Fork Catherine Creek	7.2: Sediment 25 Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity	.00%		71	D		97.6		5 per EP table for project details and calculations. Corral Creek Project Included and South Fork Catherine Creek project (4.5 mile project) added to database (Included road obliteration and plantings). South Fork project removed 2 undersized cubrest that were scouring (having immediate benefit). BPA funded cross-drain cubret work too. Corral Creek project benefit was prosted based on sediment reductions expected from number of cross-drain cubrets (10) at 33%. South Fork project removed all cross-drain cubrets, included side channel and foodplain enhancements, and was prosted at 73% improvement in sediment retention from vegetation establishment in former road prism, this will near 100% in 4.5 years. This was the fine primary sediment source in this reach. Only 1 large project left to do in this reach. In Look Forward, note that Upper Collins Creek needs to be dealt with. Panel calculated 27.6% upilit. [Need to check re: USFS/AA project neus]		6		101.7	45% for 20 more than Catherine determine for 2033, v more than	for Corall Creek at 2033, which is 10% in for 2018. For SF e Creek, panel eved 85% proration which is 10% in for 2018. Vields lift for 2033.	97.4	5 97.		Collins will not happen in 2018 period.	97.6			70	85	70 9	15	Not enough info about USFs projects to estimate benefits at 2012 EP Workshop
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		Creek	8.1: Water Quality: 10 Temperature	.00%		8	0		80		Temperature is not a problem in this reach. Note in Look Forward. No action. No change.	8	0		80			80	8	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		South Fork Catherine Creek	Decreased Water Quantity	.00%		8	5		85		D No action. No change.	8	5		85			85	5 8	5		85			85	90	85 9	90	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS12	North Fork Catherine Creek	1.1: Habitat 0 Quantity: Anthropogenic Barriers	.00%							2 ford Renval project 6 mile access improvement. This was a flow-dependent barrier, but not 100% blocked. It was a juvenile upstream migration barrier at low flow (baseflow conditions overlaid on LH timing. 3 months of impact). Prortate improvement to 25%, resulting in 125% uplift. Note for Look Forward note: Add weight to limiting factor?	1	2		12			12	1	2	No benefit from adult weir project due to limited habitat value.	12							PASSAGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT IDENTIFIED BUT PASSAGE LF has 0% weight so no benefit from project. If barrier exists consider adding weight.
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		North Fork Catherine Creek	4.1: Riparian 10 Condition: Riparian Vegetation	.00%		8	0		80	D	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	8	0		80			80	8	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS12	North Fork Catherine Creek		.00%		8	0		80	D	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	8	0		80			80	8 0	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		North Fork Catherine Creek	6.2: Channel 30 Structure and Form: Instream Structural	.00%		8	D		80	D	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	8	0		80			80	8	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem	UGS12	North Fork Catherine Creek	Complexity 7.2: Sediment 25 Conditions: Increased Sediment Quantity	.00%		71	0		70	D	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	7	0		70			70	2 7	0		70			70	85	70 9	95	Not enough info about USFS project to estimate benefits at 2012 EP Workshop
Snake River Steelhead	upper mainstem		North Fork Catherine Creek	8.1: Water Quality: 10 Temperature	.00%		8	0		80	D	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	8	0		80			80	8	0		80			80	90	80 9	95	
Snake River Steelhead	Grande Ronde River upper mainstem		North Fork Catherine Creek	Decreased Water	.00%		8	5		85	5	No actions in database. Panel had no actions to add.	8	5		85			85	5 8	5		85			85	90	85 9	90	
				Quantity																										