## Guidance for Identifying Tributary Habitat Actions during 2013-2018 Implementation

- 1. Identify projects that can be implemented in the 2013-2018 period that address key limiting factors within the Assessment Unit/Watershed boundaries of targeted populations.
- 2. Sources available to assist in the identification/development of 2013-2018 projects:
  - a. Highest priority limiting factors (LFs) in the highest priority area (i.e., largest pies in assessment units with widest bars on LF pie maps) Use these to identify project types and project locations that have the greatest potential to improve fish habitat.
  - b. Tributary and Reach Assessments Use to identify which project types have the greatest potential to improve fish habitat.
  - c. Relevant monitoring and other information to support project selection decisions.
  - d. Planning documents (Recovery Plans, Subbasin Plans, Habitat Work Schedules, etc)
  - e. Projects already in the pipeline (permitting or design work has been initiated).

| Project Name    | Required | Provide short descriptive title. If | Enter text            |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                 |          | available identify currently used   |                       |
|                 |          | name/number (i.e. BPA project or    |                       |
|                 |          | contract name/ number, Habitat      |                       |
|                 |          | Work Schedule reference,            |                       |
|                 |          | proposal title, etc.).              |                       |
| Project/Action  | Required | Select the type of work to be       | Choose from pick list |
| Description     |          | implemented from the Work           |                       |
|                 |          | Element pick list. May be multiple  |                       |
|                 |          | selections.                         |                       |
|                 | Optional | Brief description of project that   | Enter text            |
|                 |          | could help Expert Panels            |                       |
|                 |          | understand and evaluate the         |                       |
|                 |          | potential benefits of the project.  |                       |
|                 |          | May include relationships to other  |                       |
|                 |          | projects, geographic scope, etc.    |                       |
| Target Species  | Required | Specify Steelhead and/or Chinook    | Choose from pick list |
|                 |          | Population code to be benefitted    |                       |
|                 |          | by the project.                     |                       |
| Action Location | Required | Identify appropriate Assessment     | Choose from pick list |
|                 |          | Unit/Reach Expert Panel             |                       |
|                 |          | worksheets.                         |                       |
|                 | Optional | Provide additional location         | Enter text            |
|                 |          | information (i.e. road number,      |                       |
|                 |          | river miles, parcel name, lat/long, |                       |
|                 |          | etc.)                               |                       |

Projects identified should contain the following information:

| Limiting factors | Required | Identify the limiting factor(s)   | Choose from pick list |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| addressed        |          | number to be addressed by the     |                       |
|                  |          | project using the NOAA Science    |                       |
|                  |          | Center pick list. May include     |                       |
|                  |          | multiple selections.              |                       |
| Metric           | Required | Select from Metric pick list. May | Choose from pick list |
|                  |          | include multiple selections.      |                       |
| Metric Value     | Required | Quantify the metric to establish  | Enter number          |
|                  |          | intended scale of work to be      |                       |
|                  |          | implemented.                      |                       |
|                  | Optional | Brief information about scale of  | Enter text            |
|                  |          | implementation that would help    |                       |
|                  |          | Expert Panels understand and      |                       |
|                  |          | evaluate project benefits.        |                       |
| Cost             | Required | Estimated cost                    | Enter number or       |
|                  |          |                                   | range                 |
| Related source   | Optional | Identify any source documents     | Enter text            |
| or reference     |          | that reference the proposed       |                       |
| material         |          | project or project type such as   |                       |
|                  |          | Recovery Plans, Subbasin Plans,   |                       |
|                  |          | Tributary/Reach Assessments,      |                       |
|                  |          | research/monitoring reports, etc. |                       |
|                  |          | Identify any available monitoring |                       |
|                  |          | data that would help Expert       |                       |
|                  |          | Panels evaluate project benefits. |                       |
| Other comments   | Optional | Provide any information that      | Enter Text            |
|                  |          | would help Expert Panels          |                       |
|                  |          | understand the project objectives |                       |
|                  |          | and evaluate project benefits.    |                       |
|                  |          | May include qualitative           |                       |
|                  |          | information, project              |                       |
|                  |          | dependencies, or other issues not |                       |
|                  |          | already included.                 |                       |

- 3. Individual project selection will continue to rely on annual prioritization assessments.
- 4. The Action Agencies will reconvene the Expert Panel workshop processes again in 2015 which will allow for a subsequent refinement of available projects through 2018.