

Guidance for Identifying Tributary Habitat Actions during 2013-2018 Implementation

1. Identify projects that can be implemented in the 2013-2018 period that address key limiting factors within the Assessment Unit/Watershed boundaries of targeted populations.
2. Sources available to assist in the identification/development of 2013-2018 projects:
 - a. Highest priority limiting factors (LFs) in the highest priority area (i.e., largest pies in assessment units with widest bars on LF pie maps) - Use these to identify project types and project locations that have the greatest potential to improve fish habitat.
 - b. Tributary and Reach Assessments – Use to identify which project types have the greatest potential to improve fish habitat.
 - c. Relevant monitoring and other information to support project selection decisions.
 - d. Planning documents (Recovery Plans, Subbasin Plans, Habitat Work Schedules, etc)
 - e. Projects already in the pipeline (permitting or design work has been initiated).

Projects identified should contain the following information:

Project Name	Required	Provide short descriptive title. If available identify currently used name/number (i.e. BPA project or contract name/ number, Habitat Work Schedule reference, proposal title, etc.).	Enter text
Project/Action Description	Required	Select the type of work to be implemented from the Work Element pick list. May be multiple selections.	Choose from pick list
	Optional	Brief description of project that could help Expert Panels understand and evaluate the potential benefits of the project. May include relationships to other projects, geographic scope, etc.	Enter text
Target Species	Required	Specify Steelhead and/or Chinook Population code to be benefitted by the project.	Choose from pick list
Action Location	Required	Identify appropriate Assessment Unit/Reach Expert Panel worksheets.	Choose from pick list
	Optional	Provide additional location information (i.e. road number, river miles, parcel name, lat/long, etc.)	Enter text

Limiting factors addressed	Required	Identify the limiting factor(s) number to be addressed by the project using the NOAA Science Center pick list. May include multiple selections.	Choose from pick list
Metric	Required	Select from Metric pick list. May include multiple selections.	Choose from pick list
Metric Value	Required	Quantify the metric to establish intended scale of work to be implemented.	Enter number
	Optional	Brief information about scale of implementation that would help Expert Panels understand and evaluate project benefits.	Enter text
Cost	Required	Estimated cost	Enter number or range
Related source or reference material	Optional	Identify any source documents that reference the proposed project or project type such as Recovery Plans, Subbasin Plans, Tributary/Reach Assessments, research/monitoring reports, etc. Identify any available monitoring data that would help Expert Panels evaluate project benefits.	Enter text
Other comments	Optional	Provide any information that would help Expert Panels understand the project objectives and evaluate project benefits. May include qualitative information, project dependencies, or other issues not already included.	Enter Text

3. Individual project selection will continue to rely on annual prioritization assessments.
4. The Action Agencies will reconvene the Expert Panel workshop processes again in 2015 which will allow for a subsequent refinement of available projects through 2018.