# RECEMBER 1

Managing Water in the West

FCRPS BiOp Tributary Habitat Implementation

Update



February 22, 2012

#### Goals

- Meet FCRPS BiOp requirements
- Explore ideas to improve the project selection processes to meet BiOp requirements

#### **Today's Topics**

FCRPS BiOp requirements





- AA timelines/processes
- Recent planning and implementation tools
- EP prep tasks
- Integration
- Summary

**Table 5.** Estimated Habitat Quality Improvements

ESU	Major Population Group	Population	Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007- 2009 Actions	Total Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007-2018 Actions	
Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook		Catherine Creek	4	23	
	Grand Ronde/Imnaha	Lostine/Wallowa River	2	2 *	
	Grand Ronde/Inmana	Grand Ronde River upper mainstem	2	23	
		Imnaha River mainstem	1	1 *	
	Middle Fork Salmon River	Big Creek	1	1 *	
		Secesh River	1	1 *	
	South Fork Salmon River	South Fork Salmon River Mainstem	<1	<1 *	
	Lower Snake	Tucannon River	7	17	
		East Fork Salmon River	1	1 *	
		Lemhi River	7	7 *	
		Pahsimeroi River	41	41 *	
	Upper Salmon River	Salmon River lower mainstem below Redfish Lake	1	1 *	
		Salmon River upper mainstem above Redfish Lake	14	14 *	
		Valley Creek	1	1 *	
		Yankee Fork	10	30	
Upper Columbia Spring	Upper Columbia – Below	Entiat River	10	22	
Chinook	Chief Joseph	Methow River	2	6	
Cinnook	Ciner Joseph	Wenatchee River	1	3	

 Table 5. Estimated Habitat Quality Improvements (continued)

ESU	Major Population Group	Population	Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007- 2009 Actions	Total Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007-2018 Actions	
Middle Columbia		Deschutes River – eastside	1	1 *	
Steelhead	Cascades Eastern Slope	Deschutes River – Westside	<1	<1 *	
	Tributaries	Fifteen mile Creek (winter run)	<1	<1 *	
		Klickitat River	4	4 *	
		John Day River lower mainstem tributaries	<1	<1 *	
	John Day River	John Day River upper mainstem	<1	<1 *	
		Middle Fork John Day River	<1	<1 *	
		North Fork John Day River	<1	<1 *	
		South Fork John Day River	1	1 *	
	Umatilla and Walla Walla	Touchet River	4	4 *	
	River	Umatilla River	4	4 *	
	Taver	Walla Walla River	4	4 *	
		Naches River	4	4 *	
		Satus Creek	4	4 *	
	Yakima River Group	Toppenish	4	4 *	
		Yakima River upper mainstem	4	4 *	
Snake River Steelhead		Lochsa River	6	16	
		Lolo Creek	8	12	
	Clearwater River	Selway River	<1	<1	
		South Fork Clearwater River	5	14	

 Table 5. Estimated Habitat Quality Improvements (continued)

ESU	Major Population Group	Population	Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007- 2009 Actions	Total Estimated Percentage Habitat Quality Improvement of 2007-2018 Actions	
Snake River Steelhead	Grand Ronde River	Grand Ronde River lower	2007 Actions	01 2007-2010 Actions	
Shake Kivei Steemeau	Grand Ronde River	mainstem tributaries	<1	<1 *	
		Grand Ronde River upper			
		mainstem	4	4 *	
		Joseph Creek (OR)	<1	<1 *	
		Joseph Creek (WA)	4	4 *	
		Wallowa River	<1	<1 *	
	Hells Canyon	Hells Canyon	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Imnaha River	Imnaha River		*	
	Lower Snake	Asotin Creek	4	4 *	
	Lower Shake	Tucannon River	5	5 *	
	Salmon River	Lower Middle Fork	3	J :	
	Samon River	mainstem and tribs (Big,	1	2	
		Camas, and Loon Creeks)	1	2	
		East Fork Salmon River	2	2 *	
		Lemhi River	3	3 *	
		Pahsimeroi River	9	9 *	
		Salmon River upper	,		
		mainstem	6	6 *	
		Secesh River	1	6	
		South Fork Salmon River	<1	1	
Upper Columbia Steelhead	Upper Columbia River –	Entiat River	6	8	
Sper Columbia Steemeau	below Chief Joseph  Methow River		2	4	
	coro emer vosepii	Okanogan River	12	14	
		Wenatchee River	1	4	

<sup>\*</sup> The Action Agencies may provide funding and/or technical assistance for replacement projects should they become necessary for the Action Agencies to achieve equivalent MPG or ESU survival benefits.

## **Today's Topics**


- FCRPS BiOp requirements
- AA timelines/processes





- Recent planning and implementation tools
- EP prep tasks
- Integration
- Summary

		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
RPA					J F M A M J J A S O N D				
	ementation Plan								
	t Report								
Prepa	are trib Hab section								
1 Suppl	lemental Trib Hab Imp Plan								
	: Report								
Analy	yze data								
		_						_	
	ual Progress Report			2012 APR			2015 APR		
	t report			subsumed by			subsumed by		
Comp	pile Habitat data						2016 CE		
3 Comp	prehensive Evaluation								
Draft	: Report								
Comp	pile Habitat Data								
						<u> </u>			
25 Hede	ate Subbasin Programmatic Plans		_				<del> </del>	<del> </del>	
	t Report								
	yze data								
7	,								
	ize benefit conversion								
	rt Panel Workshops								
	ntation mtgs								
	materials to subbasin leads are RME materials for each subbasin								
	ate tracking materials for each wkshp								
Ориал	ate tracking materials for each wiship								
	ect Planning and Implementation								
	truct project (3)								
	plete permits/Env. Compl. (3)								
	ect design (2-3) owner coordination (1-3)								
	ect selection (1)								
	ect identification/prioritization (-1)								
	update (-1)								
		Green boxes- major milestone							
	inuous process. The majority of projects take 3								
	s to complete. The number within parentheses								
		Red boxes- interim milestone (fixed							
		due time) Orange boxes- work activity							
	stones can occur in any month; but the ion between green and purple milestones is	Grange DOXES- WOLK ACTIVITY							
	It the same in the year of construction.								
35000	The second second deciding								
(-1) - \	yr milestones occur before projects are								
select	ted. Project selection can occur in any month								
	med by the last set of RME info.								
					J F M A M J J A S O N D				
	-					_			



#### 2010 – 2012 Planned Actions Table

#### Upper Columbia River Steelhead and Spring Chinook - Entiat River

Assessment Unit	Primary Limiting Factor(s)		2010	2010	2011	2011	2012	2012	
(AU)	(PLF) by AU	Actions	Metric	Planned Value	Metric	Planned Value	Metric	Planned Value	Comments
Lower Entiat	Low Stream flow	Continue Knapp-Wham and Hanan							Commonto
		Detwiler irrigation ditch							
		consolidation effort							
Lower Entiat		Continue exploring extension of							
		Entiat Irrigation District line							
		upstream to serve PUD							
		canal/system users							
Lower Entiat		Pursue other water conveyance	cubic feet/second	2 cfs (McKenzie);					Surface water effect,
		efficiency and diversion	restored to stream	USBR stimulus well					savings will be somewhat
		improvements		conversions ~ 2 cfs;					less.
				Roaring Cr. Well conversion ~ 1.5 cfs;					
				BOR					
Lower Entiat		Improve on-farm irrigation		2 cfs (McKenzie);					Surface water effect,
Lower Linda		application efficiency, scheduling,		USBR stimulus well					savings will be somewhat
		and general water conservation.		conversions ~ 2 cfs;					less.
				Roaring Cr. Well					1
				conversion ~ 1.5 cfs;					
				BOR					
Lower Entiat		Provide technical and cost-share							
		assistance for water metering and							
		reporting	1: 6 1/						
Lower Entiat		Continue conversion of surface	cubic feet/second	1 cfs (surface to					
		water diversions to ground	restored to stream	wells)					
		water/well withdrawals, when							
Lower Entiat	Riparian condition	feasible Implement riparian planting							
Lower Lindat	Typanan condition	projects with willing landowners							
Lower Entiat		Work with willing landowners to							
		protect larger, undisturbed riparian							
		areas by first pursuing conservation							
		easement, lease, and options other							
		than outright property acquisition							
Lower Entiat	Floodplain connectivity	Implement Ecosystem Diagnosis	miles of river restored	0.2 miles (Foreman)	miles of river restored	0.3 miles (hatchery)			
		and Treatment (EDT) Alternative 5				0.45			
		related to side-channel options				CMB review			
						comment: planned for			
						implementation in 2010			
Lower Entiat	Habitat diversity	Implement EDT Alternative 5,	miles of river treated	0.2 miles (lower	miles of river restored				
	,	focusing on pool forming structures		screw trap); 0.2 miles		bridge); 0.3 miles			
		3		(Foreman); 0.3 miles		(hatchery); 0.3 miles			
				(B2B Phase 3)		(LBS); 0.3 miles			
				,		(Keystone)			
						CMB review			
						comment: implement			
						action in next cycle,			
						2014, per IMW			
Lower Entiat	Habitat quantity	Implement EDT Alternative 5,	miles of river restored	0.2 miles (lower	miles of river restored	0.3 miles (4 mile			
Lower Elliat	i iabitat qualitity	focusing on pool forming structures	illies of fiver restored	screw trap); 0.2 miles	illies of fiver restored	bridge); 0.3 miles			
		locasing on poor forming structures		(Foreman); 0.3 miles		(hatchery); 0.3 miles			
				(B2B Phase 3)		(LBS); 0.3 miles			
				(525 ) 11450 0)		(Keystone)			
						(1.0)3(0110)			
						CMB review			
						comment: implement			
						action in next cycle,			
						2014, per IMW			
						decision			

## **Estimated % Change of Limiting Factors from Implementation of 2010-2012 Actions**

#### Steelhead - Entiat River

		2010-2012 VALUES						
		Starting Low	10-12 Es	stimates	Updated High Bookends		Updated LF	
Assessment Unit	Limiting Factor	Bookend	2018	2033	2018	2033	Weight	
Lower Entiat	Excessive Fine Sediment	23	24	24	30	30	10	
Lower Entiat	Floodplain connectivity	20	21	21	21	21	6	
Lower Entiat	Habitat diversity	15	19	19	41	41	20	
Lower Entiat	Habitat quantity	15	19	19	41	41	35	
Lower Entiat	Low Stream flow	80	85	85	87	87	2	
Lower Entiat	Obstructions/entrainment <sup>1</sup>							
Lower Entiat	Riparian condition	30	30	30	35	40	2	
Lower Entiat	Side-channel connectivity	10	12	12	15	15	25	
Mad River	Habitat diversity	91	91	91	97	99	33.33	
Mad River	Habitat quantity	90	90	90	97	99	33.33	
Mad River	Improve streamflow <sup>1</sup>							
Mad River	Two obstructing pipes in Tillicum	98	98	98	100	100	33.33	
Middle Entiat	Excessive Fine Sediment	23	24	24	30	30	40	
Middle Entiat	Habitat diversity	60	62	62	70	80	35	
Middle Entiat	Riparian condition	80	81	82	85	90	20	
Middle Entiat	Stormy obstructions to passage	93	93	93	99	99	5	
Middle Entiat	Water Quantity <sup>1</sup>							


#### **Today's Topics**

- FCRPS BiOp requirements
- AA timelines/processes
- Recent planning and implementation tools




Summary

## Organizational Changes



USBR Tributary and Reach Assessments, 2011



**Methow** TA- 79 mi. Big Valley RA 7 mi. Lower White Pine Middle Methow RA RA 2 mi. 11 mi. **Upper White Pine Preston RA** RA 2 mi. 2 mi. Kahler RA Stormy RA 4 mi. **Entiat** 3 mi. TA- 26 mi. Wenatchee Nason Creek TA- 10 mi.

Oxbow RA
2 mi.

Middle Fork
John Day

Forrest RA
4 mi.

Grande Ronde

Catherine C




Yankee Fork TA- 18 mi.


Catherine Creek TA- 59 mi.


RECLAMATION

http://www.usbr.gov/pn/programs/fcrps/thp/index.html

#### **Lower Entiat Chinook Limiting Factor Status**







LF Weight: 33.33%


#### **EP Prep tasks**


- Standardizing Limiting Factors
- Building list of 2009-2012 completed projects
- Building list of 2013-2018 projects
- Developing database system to manage workshop proceedings
- Working w/NOAA FSC to support EPs (and watershed planning groups) with readily-available, relevant monitoring info
- EP workshops completed by April

#### Integration

- USBR- BPA- NOAA- NPCC- CRITFC- Watershed Partners
- Planning- Funding- Implementation- Reporting- RME-Adaptive Management-

# Generalized Implementation Cycle (2009)





#### Summary

- FCRPS BiOp table 5 commitments are paramount
- AAs and region have two BiOp implementation cycles behind us
- Results indicate where progress is in line and where focus is needed
- Tools help illustrate what can be done and where to do it to provide greatest biological benefits
- Reclamation has made significant internal adjustments to better address table 5 commitments and provide technical services for this effort
- Reclamation contributions aid integrated approach to plan and implement habitat improvement projects among regional partners, (but not all the way there yet)