Table of Contents

Contents

xecutive Summary ES-1			
ES.1 Introduction			
Project Background	ES-1		
Document Overview	ES-3		
1. Purpose of this RDEIR/SDEIS	ES-3		
2. Intended Use of this RDEIR/SDEIS	ES-4		
Scoping and Public Involvement Process	ES-4		
Project Overview	ES-5		
CEQA Objectives and NEPA Purpose and Need	ES-6		
Project Alternatives	ES-7		
1. No Project Alternative	ES-7		
2. Action Alternatives	ES-7		
Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-13		
Areas of Known Controversy	ES-14		
Commenting on this RDEIR/SDEIS	ES-15		
Introduction	1-1		
Sites Project Authority	1-1		
Project Background	1-2		
CALFED Record of Decision	1-2		
Proposition 1 of 2014—Water Storage Investment Program	1-3		
. Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act of 2016	1-3		
. Governor's Executive Order N-10-19 and the Water Resiliency Port	folio 1-4		
Value Planning Process	1-5		
Scoping and Public Comments	1-5		
Scoping	1-5		
Comments Received on the 2017 Draft EIR/EIS	1-6		
CEQA Objectives and NEPA Purpose and Need	1-6		
Type and Intended Use of this RDEIR/SDEIS	1-7		
Type and Intended Use of this RDEIR/SDEIS Type of Document			
	1-7		
Type of Document	1-7 1-8		
Type of Document	1-7 1-8 1-9		
	Document Overview 1. Purpose of this RDEIR/SDEIS 2. Intended Use of this RDEIR/SDEIS Scoping and Public Involvement Process Project Overview CEQA Objectives and NEPA Purpose and Need Project Alternatives 1. No Project Alternative 2. Action Alternatives Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures Areas of Known Controversy Commenting on this RDEIR/SDEIS Introduction Sites Project Authority Project Background CALFED Record of Decision Proposition 1 of 2014—Water Storage Investment Program Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act of 2016 Governor's Executive Order N-10-19 and the Water Resiliency Port Value Planning Process Scoping and Public Comments Scoping Comments Received on the 2017 Draft EIR/EIS		

	1.9		References	1-11
		1.9.1.	Printed References	1-11
Ch	apte	er 2	Project Description and Alternatives	2-1
	2.1		Alternatives Development Process	2-1
		2.1.1.	Evaluated Prior to 2019	2-1
		2.1.2.	Value Planning Process and Alternatives Post-2019	2-2
	2.2		CEQA and NEPA Requirements	2-3
		2.2.1.	CEQA Requirements	2-3
		2.2.2.	NEPA Requirements	2-4
	2.3		Overview of Alternatives	2-4
	2.4		No Project/No Action Alternative	2-7
	2.5		Elements Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-8
		2.5.1.	Facilities	2-8
		2.5.2.	Operations and Maintenance Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-29
		2.5.3.	Construction Considerations Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-45
		2.5.4.	Project Commitments and Best Management Practices	2-54
		2.5.5.	Proposition 1 Benefits Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-56
	2.6		Alternative 1 Specific Elements	2-56
		2.6.1.	Sites Reservoir and Related Facilities	2-57
		2.6.2.	TRR East Facilities	2-58
		2.6.3.	New and Existing Roadways	2-59
		2.6.4.	Operations and Maintenance	2-60
	2.7		Alternative 2 Specific Elements	2-60
		2.7.1.	Sites Reservoir and Related Facilities	2-61
		2.7.2.	TRR West Facilities	2-61
		2.7.3.	Conveyance to Sacramento River	2-62
		2.7.4.	New and Existing Roadways	2-63
		2.7.5.	Operations and Maintenance	2-64
	2.8		Alternative 3 Specific Elements	2-64
	2.9		References	2-64
Ch	apte	er 3	Environmental Analysis	3-1
	3.1		Introduction	3-1
	3.2		Analysis	3-1
		3.2.1.	Existing Conditions and No Project Alternative	3-1
		3.2.2.	Regulations and Regulatory Setting	3-4
		3.2.3.	Study Areas	3-4
		3.2.4.	Methods	3-4
		3.2.5.	Determination of Impacts	3-5
		3.2.6.	Mitigation Measures	3-8

3.3		Additional Analyses	
3.4		Other Required Analyses	3-9
3.5		References	3-9
	3.5.1.	Printed References	3-9
Chapte	er 4	Regulatory and Environmental Compliance: Project	ct Permits, Approvals, and
		Consultation Requirements	
4.1		Introduction	4-1
Chapte	er 5	Surface Water Resources	5-1
5.1		Introduction	5-1
5.2		Environmental Setting	5-3
	5.2.1.	River and Hydrologic Systems	5-3
	5.2.2.	Water Supply and Service Areas	5-22
5.3		Hydrologic Modeling Methods	5-25
5.4		Hydrologic Modeling Results	5-27
	5.4.1.	CALSIM	5-27
	5.4.2.	CBD Hydraulic Modeling	5-46
5.5		Methods of Analysis	5-46
	5.5.1.	Construction	5-46
	5.5.2.	Operation	5-48
	5.5.3.	Thresholds of Significance	5-48
5.6		Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	5-49
5.7		References	5-58
	5.7.1.	Printed References	5-58
	5.7.2.	Personal Communications	5-63
Chapte	er 6	Surface Water Quality	6-1
6.1		Introduction	6-1
6.2		Environmental Setting	6-4
	6.2.1.	Overview of Surface Water Quality Objectives	6-4
	6.2.2.	Constituents	6-5
6.3		Methods of Analysis	6-27
	6.3.1.	Construction	6-29
	6.3.2.	Operation	6-30
	6.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	6-47
6.4		Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	6-49
6.5		References	6-103
	6.5.1.	Printed References	6-103
	6.5.2.	Personal Communications	6-113
Chapte	er 7	Fluvial Geomorphology	7-1
7 1		Introduction	7.1

7.2	En	vironmental Setting	7-3
7.:	2.1.	Drainages in Proximity to Antelope Valley	7-3
7.:	2.2.	Other Valley Drainages	7-5
7.:	2.3.	Sacramento River	7-5
7.:	2.4.	Colusa Basin Drain	7-7
7.3	2.5.	Delta and Yolo Bypass	7-8
7.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	7-8
7.3	3.1.	Construction	7-9
7	3.2.	Operation	7-9
7.	3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	7-11
7.4	Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	7-11
7.5	Re	ferences	7-27
7.:	5.1.	Printed References	7-27
Chapter 8	3	Groundwater Resources	8-1
8.1	Int	troduction	8-1
8.2	En	vironmental Setting	8-2
8.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	8-4
8	3.1.	Construction	8-5
8	3.2.	Operation	8-5
8	3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	8-7
8.4	Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	8-7
8.5	Re	ferences	8-22
8.3	5.1.	Printed References	8-22
Chapter 9)	Vegetation and Wetland Resources	9-1
9.1	Int	troduction	9-1
9.2	En	vironmental Setting	9-6
9.3	Ph	ysical Setting	9-6
9	3.1.	Vegetation and Wetland Resource Types in the Study Area	9-8
9	3.2.	Sensitive Natural Communities	9-8
9	3.3.	Wetlands and Non-Wetland Waters	9-9
9	3.4.	Special-Status Plant Species	9-10
9	3.5.	Invasive Plant Species	9-12
9.4	Me	ethods of Analysis	9-12
9.	4.1.	Construction	9-13
9.4	4.2.	Operation	9-16
9.	4.3.	Thresholds of Significance	
9.5	Im	spact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	9-17
9.6	Re	ferences	
9.	6.1.	Printed References	9-64

9.6.2.		Personal Communications	9-66
Chapter 10		Wildlife Resources	10-1
10.1	Intr	roduction	10-1
10.2	Env	vironmental Setting	10-7
10.2	2.1.	Methods for Assessing Wildlife Resources in the Study Area	10-7
10.2	2.2.	Land Cover Types and Associated Common Wildlife Species	10-8
10.2	2.3.	Special-Status Wildlife Species	10-15
10.3	Me	thods of Impact Analysis	10-29
10.3	3.1.	Construction	10-30
10.3	3.2.	Operation	10-33
10.3	3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	10-34
10.4	Imp	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	10-34
10.5	Ref	ferences	10-147
10.:	5.1.	Printed References	10-147
10.5	5.2.	Personal Communications	10-156
Chapter 11	L	Aquatic Biological Resources	11-1
11.1	Intr	oduction	11-1
11.2	Env	vironmental Setting	11-8
11.2	2.1.	Fish and Aquatic Species of Management Concern	11-8
11.2	2.2.	Habitat Conditions and Environmental Stressors	11-10
11.	1.1.	Delta and Suisun Bay/Marsh	11-10
11.2	2.3.	Sacramento River Flood Bypasses (Butte Basin, Sutter Bypass, and	
		Yolo Bypass)	
11.2	2.4.	Upstream of Delta	
11.2	2.5.	San Pablo and San Francisco Bays	11-49
11.2	2.6.	Local Drainages	
11.3	Me	thods of Analysis	
11.3	3.1.	Construction	11-53
11.3	3.2.	Operations	11-56
11.3	3.3.	Maintenance	
11.3	3.4.	Thresholds of Significance	
11.4	•	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	
11.5		ferences	
	5.1.	Printed References	
	5.2.	Personal Communications	
Chapter 12		Geology and Soils	
12.1		roduction	
12.2		vironmental Setting	
12.2	2.1.	Geology	12-6

12.2	2.2.	Seismicity	12-10
12.2	2.3.	Soils	12-16
12.2	2.4.	Paleontological Resources	12-17
12.3	Me	thods of Analysis	12-21
12.3	3.1.	Thresholds of Significance	12-24
12.4	Imp	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	
12.5	Ref	ferences	12-66
12.5	5.1.	Printed References	12-66
12.5	5.2.	Personal Communications	12-68
Chapter 13	3	Minerals	
13.1	Intr	roduction	
13.2	Env	vironmental Setting	
13.3	Me	thods of Analysis	
13.3	3.1.	Construction	
13.3	3.2.	Operation	
13.3	3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	
13.4	Imp	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	
13.5	Ref	ferences	13-10
13.5	5.1.	Printed References	
Chapter 14	ļ	Land Use	14-1
14.1	Intr	roduction	14-1
14.2	Env	vironmental Setting	
14.2	2.1.	Glenn County	
14.2	2.2.	Colusa County	
14.2	2.3.	Yolo County	
14.3	Me	thods of Analysis	
14.3	3.1.	Thresholds of Significance	
14.4	Imp	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	
14.5	Ref	ferences	14-17
14.5	5.1.	Printed References	14-17
Chapter 15	5	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	15-1
15.1	Intr	roduction	15-1
15.2	Env	vironmental Setting	
15.2	2.1.	Glenn County	
15.2	2.2.	Colusa County	15-7
15.2	2.3.	Yolo County	15-9
15.3	Me	thods of Analysis	
15.3	3.1.	Thresholds of Significance	15-13
15.4	Imp	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	15-14

15.5	Refe	erences	15-36
15.	5.1.	Printed References	15-36
15.	.5.2.	Personal Communications	15-39
Chapter 16	6	Recreation Resources	16-1
16.1	Intro	oduction	16-1
16.2	Env	ironmental Setting	
16.	2.1.	Project Setting	
16.	.2.2.	Regional Setting	
16.3	Met	hods of Analysis	16-8
16.	.3.1.	Construction	16-8
16.	.3.2.	Operation	16-8
16.	.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	16-8
16.	3.4.	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	16-9
16.4	Refe	erences	16-18
16.	4.1.	Printed References	16-18
Chapter 17	7	Energy	17-1
17.1	Intro	oduction	17-1
17.2	Env	ironmental Setting	17-3
17.	2.1.	Electricity	17-3
17.	.2.2.	Petroleum Products	17-9
17.3	Met	hods of Analysis	17-10
17.	.3.1.	Construction	17-10
17.	.3.2.	Operations	17-11
17.	.3.3.	Thresholds of Significance	17-13
17.4	Mod	leling Results	17-13
17.	4.1.	Electricity	17-14
17.	4.2.	Petroleum Products	17-25
17.5	Imp	act Analysis and Mitigation Measures	17-26
17.6	Refe	erences	17-46
17.	6.1.	Printed References	17-46
17.	.6.2.	Personal Communications	17-47
Chapter 18	8	Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic	18-1
18.1	Intro	oduction	18-1
18.2	Env	ironmental Setting	18-4
18.	2.1.	Project Access Roads	
18.	2.2.	Roadway Classification and Roadway Capacity	18-10
18.	2.3.	Regional Study Area	
18.	2.4.	Modes of Transportation Other Than Private Vehicles	
18.	2.5.	Navigation	18-17

18.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	18-17
18	.3.2.	Other Modes of Transportation	18-23
18	.3.3.	Geometric Design Hazards and Emergency Access	18-23
18	.3.4.	Navigation	18-24
18	.3.5.	Transportation Topics Eliminated from Further Analysis	18-24
18	.3.6.	Thresholds of Significance	18-25
18.4	Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	18-26
18.5	Re	ferences	18-52
18	.5.1.	Printed References	18-52
18	.5.2.	Personal Communications	18-54
Chapter 1	9	Noise	19-1
19.1	Int	roduction	19-1
19.2	En	vironmental Setting	19-2
19	.2.1.	Fundamental Concepts of Noise and Vibration	19-2
19	.2.2.	Noise Sources in the Study Area	19-3
19	.2.3.	Surrounding Sensitive Land Uses	19-4
19.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	19-4
19	.3.1.	Sensitive Receptors	19-4
19	.3.2.	Construction Noise and Vibration	19-6
19	.3.3.	Operational Noise	19-10
19	.3.4.	Thresholds of Significance	19-10
19.4	Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	19-12
19.5	Re	ferences	19-25
19	.5.1.	Printed References	19-25
Chapter 2	0	Air Quality	20-1
20.1	Int	roduction	20-1
20.2	En	vironmental Setting	20-4
20	.2.1.	Criteria Pollutants	20-4
20	.2.2.	Toxic Air Contaminants	20-8
20	.2.3.	Valley Fever	20-9
20	.2.4.	Regional Climate and Meteorology	20-9
20	.2.5.	Existing Air Quality Conditions	20-10
20	.2.6.	Sensitive Receptors	20-15
20.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	20-17
20	.3.1.	Construction	20-17
20	.3.2.	Operation	20-19
20	.3.3.	CEQA Thresholds of Significance	20-21
20.4	Im	pact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	20-25
20.5	Re	ferences	20-63

20.5	5.1. Printed References	20-63
20.5	5.2. Personal Communications	20-66
Chapter 21	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	21-1
21.1	Introduction	21-1
21.2	Environmental Setting	21-2
21.2	2.1. Global Climate Change	21-2
21.3	Methods of Analysis	21-5
21.3	3.1. Construction	21-5
21.3	3.2. Operations	21-7
21.3	3.3. Thresholds of Significance	21-9
21.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	21-11
21.5	References	21-31
21.5	5.1. Printed References	21-31
Chapter 22	Cultural Resources	22-1
22.1	Introduction	22-1
22.2	Environmental Setting	22-3
22.2	2.1. Cultural Resources Study Area	22-4
22.2	2.2. Cultural Resources Background	22-4
22.2	2.3. Methods for Identifying Cultural Resources	22-13
22.2	2.4. Summary of Archaeological Resources in the Study Area	22-14
22.2	2.5. Summary of Historic Built Resources in the Study Area	22-17
22.3	Methods of Analysis	22-21
22.3	3.1. Thresholds of Significance	22-21
22.3	Resources Occurrence by Project Components	22-22
22.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	22-26
22.5	References	22-43
22.5	5.1. Printed References	22-43
Chapter 23	Tribal Cultural Resources	23-1
23.1	Introduction	23-1
23.2	Environmental Setting	23-6
23.2	2.1. Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation	23-7
23.2	2.2. Cachil Dehe Band of Wintun Indians (Colusa Indian Community)	23-8
23.3	Methods of Analysis	23-9
23.3	3.1. Construction and Operation	23-12
23.3	3.2. Thresholds of Significance	23-12
23.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	23-13
23.5	References	23-19
23.5	5.1. Printed References	23-19
Chapter 24	Visual Resources	24-1

	24.1	Introduction	24-1
	24.2	Environmental Setting	24-4
	24.2	.1. Regional Landscape	24-4
	24.2	2.2. Project Landscape	24-5
	24.3	Methods of Analysis	24-8
	24.3	.1. Construction	24-9
	24.3	.2. Operation	24-9
	24.3	.3. Thresholds of Significance	24-9
	24.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	24-10
	24.5	References	24-26
	24.5	.1. Printed References	24-26
Cł	hapter 25	Population and Housing	25-1
	25.1	Introduction	25-1
	25.2	Environmental Setting	25-2
	25.3	Methods of Analysis	25-3
	25.3	.1. Thresholds of Significance	25-3
	25.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	25-4
	25.5	References	25-7
	25.5	.1. Printed References	25-7
Cł	hapter 26	Public Services and Utilities	26-1
	26.1	Introduction	26-1
	26.2	Environmental Setting	26-4
	26.2	.1. Public Services	26-4
	26.2	.2. Utilities	26-6
	26.3	Methods of Analysis	26-13
	26.3	.1. Construction	26-13
	26.3	.2. Operation	26-16
	26.3	.3. Thresholds of Significance	26-17
	26.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	26-18
	26.5	References	26-37
	26.5	.1. Printed References	26-37
	26.5	2. Personal Communications	26-40
Cł	hapter 27	Public Health and Environmental Hazards	27-1
	27.1	Introduction	27-1
	27.2	Environmental Setting	27-5
	27.2	.1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	27-5
	27.2	.2. Wildfire Hazards	27-9
	27.2	2.3. Public Health Hazards Related to Methylmercury and HABs	27-11
	27.2	.4. Mosquitos and Vectors	27-14

	27.3	Methods of Analysis	27-15
	27.3.	1. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	27-15
	27.3.	2. Wildfire Hazards	27-17
	27.3.	3. Bioaccumulation of Methylmercury in Fish	27-18
	27.3.	4. Harmful Algal Blooms	27-18
	27.3.	5. Mosquitos and Vectors	27-18
	27.3.	6. Thresholds of Significance	27-18
	27.4	Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures	27-20
	27.5	References	27-48
	27.5.	1. Printed References	27-48
Ch	apter 28	Climate Change	28-1
	28.1	Introduction	28-1
	28.2	Affected Environment	28-2
	28.2.	1. Climate	28-2
	28.2.	2. Global Climate Trends	28-3
	28.2.	3. Climate Change Effects on California	28-4
	28.2.	4. Water Management and Climate	28-5
	28.3	Methods of Analysis	28-7
	28.3.	1. Indicators	28-9
	28.4	Surface Water Resources, the Project, and Climate Change	28-10
	28.4.	1. Modeling Results	28-11
	28.5	Potential Project-Related Climate Change Effects	28-25
	28.5.	1. Surface Water Resources and Fluvial Geomorphology	28-25
	28.5.	2. Surface Water Quality	28-26
	28.5.	3. Groundwater Resources	28-28
	28.5.	4. Wildlife and Vegetation Resources	28-28
	28.5.	5. Aquatic Biological Resources	28-29
	28.5.		
		Socioeconomics	
	28.5.		
	28.5.	8. Other	28-33
	28.6	References	28-35
	28.6.	1. Printed References	28-35
Ch	apter 29	Indian Trust Assets	
	29.1	Introduction	
	29.2	Affected Environment	
	29.3	Methods of Analysis	
	29.3.	1. Construction	29-2
	29.3.	2. Operations	29-3

29.3	3.3.	Evaluation Criteria	29-3
29.4	Env	vironmental Consequences	29-3
29.5	Ref	ferences	29-5
29.:	5.1.	Printed References	29-5
Chapter 30)	Environmental Justice and Socioeconomics	30-1
30.1	Inti	roduction	30-1
30.2	Aff	fected Environment	30-6
30.2	2.1.	Minority Populations	30-7
30.2	2.2.	Income and Poverty	30-7
30.2	2.3.	Population and Demographics	30-8
30.2	2.4.	Employment	30-8
30.2	2.5.	Property Taxes and County Revenue	30-9
30.2	2.6.	Agriculture	30-9
30.2	2.7.	Municipal and Industrial Water Use	30-10
30.3	Me	ethods of Analysis	30-11
30	3.1.	Environmental Justice	30-11
30	3.2.	Socioeconomics	30-14
30	3.3.	Evaluation Criteria	30-19
30.4	Env	vironmental Consequences	30-19
30.5	Ref	ferences	30-28
30	5.1.	Printed References	30-28
Chapter 31	Ĺ	Cumulative Impacts	31-1
31.1	Reg	gulatory Requirements for Analysis	31-1
31.2	Cui	mulative Project Selection and Approach	31-1
31.3	Cui	mulative Impacts Analysis by Resource	31-18
31	3.1.	Surface Water Resources and Water Quality	31-18
31	3.2.	Fluvial Geomorphology	31-25
31	3.3.	Groundwater Resources	31-27
31	3.4.	Vegetation and Wetland Resources	31-28
31	3.5.	Wildlife Resources	31-31
31	3.6.	Aquatic Biological Resources	31-34
31	3.7.	Geology and Soils	31-43
31	3.8.	Minerals	31-44
31	3.9.	Land Use	31-45
31	3.10.	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	31-46
31	3.11.	Recreation Resources	31-49
31	3.12.	Energy	31-50
31	3.13.	Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic	31-55
31	3.14.	Noise	31-57

31.	3.15.	Air Quality	31-58
31	3.16.	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	31-64
31	3.17.	Cultural Resources	31-65
31	3.18.	Tribal Cultural Resources	31-67
31	3.19.	Visual Resources	31-68
31	3.20.	Population and Housing	31-70
31	3.21.	Public Services and Utilities	31-71
31	3.22.	Public Health and Environmental Hazards	31-73
31	3.23.	Indian Trust Assets	31-76
31	3.24.	Environmental Justice and Socioeconomics	31-77
31.4	Ref	erences	31-78
31.	4.1.	Printed References	31-78
31.	4.2.	Personal Communications	31-85
Chapter 32	2	Other Required Analyses	32-1
32.1	Intr	oduction	32-1
32.2	Gro	wth-Inducing Impacts	32-1
32.	2.1.	Introduction	32-1
32.	2.2.	Construction	32-1
32.	2.3.	Operation and Maintenance	32-2
32.	2.4.	Operation and Water Supply	32-3
32.3	Rela	ationship Between Short-Term Uses and Long-Term Productivity	32-11
32.4	Irre	versible or Irretrievable Resource Commitments	
32.	4.1.	Introduction	32-12
32.	4.2.	Commitments of Resources	32-13
32.	4.3.	Potential Environmental Accidents	32-14
32.	4.4.	Commitment of Future Generations to Similar Uses	32-14
32.5	Env	rironmentally Superior/Environmentally Preferable Alternative	32-15
32.6	Ref	erences	32-22
32.	6.1.	Printed References	32-22
Chapter 33	3	Consultation and Coordination and List of Preparers	33-1
33.1	Con	nsultation and Coordination	33-1
33.	1.1.	Consultation	33-1
33.	1.2.	Coordination	33-1
33.	1.3.	Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS and Project Approval Process	33-6
33.2	List	of Preparers and Contributors	33-7
33.	2.1.	Introduction	33-7
33.	2.2.	Contributors to the Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS	33-7
Chanter 34	1	Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS Document Distribution	n 34-1

Appendix 1

Appendix 1A, Introduction to Appendices and Models

Appendix 2

Appendix 2A, Alternatives Screening and Evaluation

Appendix 2B, Additional Alternatives Screening and Evaluation

Appendix 2C, Construction Means, Methods, and Assumptions

Appendix 2D, Best Management Practices, Management Plans, and Technical Studies

Appendix 4

Appendix 4A, Regulatory Requirements

Appendix 5

Appendix 5A, Surface Water Resources Modeling of Alternatives

Appendix 5A1, Model Assumptions

Appendix 5A2, CALSIM II Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A3, DSM2 Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A4, HEC5Q and Reclamation Temperature Model Assumptions Callouts

Appendix 5A5, CALSIM II Model Delivery Specifications

Appendix 5A6, Model Limitations and Improvements

Appendix 5A7, Daily Pattern Development for the Estimation of Daily Flows and Weir Spills in CALSIM II

Appendix 5B, Water Resources System Modeling

Appendix 5B1, Project Operations

Appendix 5B2, River Operations

Appendix 5B3, Delta Operations

Appendix 5B4, Regional Deliveries

Appendix 5B5, Water Supply

Appendix 5C, Upper Sacramento River Daily River Flow and Operations Model

Appendix 6

Appendix 6A, California State Water Resources Control Board Constituents of Concern

Appendix 6B1, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling, Salinity Results

Appendix 6B2, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling, Chloride Results

Appendix 6B3, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Modeling, X2 Results

Appendix 6C, River Temperature Modeling

Appendix 6D, Sites Reservoir Discharge Temperature Modeling

Appendix 6E, Water Quality Data

Appendix 6F, Mercury and Methylmercury

Appendix 7

Appendix 7A, Fluvial Geomorphic Setting Information

Appendix 7B, Hydrodynamic Geomorphic Modeling Results

Appendix 8

Appendix 8A, Groundwater Resources

Appendix 8B, Groundwater Modeling

Appendix 9

Appendix 9A, Special-Status Plant Species

Appendix 9B, Vegetation and Wetland Methods and Information

Appendix 10

Appendix 10A, Wildlife Database Results

Appendix 10B, Wildlife Habitat Models and Methods

Appendix 10C, Special-Status Wildlife Impacts Tables

Appendix 11

Appendix 11A, Aquatic Species Life Histories

Appendix 11B, Upstream Fisheries Impact Assessment Quantitative Methods

Appendix 11D, Fisheries Water Temperature Assessment

Appendix 11E, Reservoir Fish Species Analysis

Appendix 11F, Smelt Analysis

Appendix 11H, Salmonid Population Modeling (SALMOD)

Appendix 11I, Winter Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Modeling

Appendix 11J, Through-Delta Survival of Juvenile Salmonids

Appendix 11K, Weighted Usable Area Analysis

Appendix 11L, Sturgeon Analysis

Appendix 11M, Yolo and Sutter Bypass Flow and Weir Spill Analysis

Appendix 11N, Other Flow-Related Upstream Analyses

Appendix 11O, Anderson-Martin Models

Appendix 11P, Riverine Flow-Survival

Appendix 11Q, Other Delta Species Analyses

Appendix 12

Appendix 12A, Soil Survey Map

Appendix 12B, Soil Map Units

Appendix 17

Appendix 17A, CVP/SWP Power Modeling

Appendix 19

Appendix 19A, Noise Definitions and Noise Calculations

Appendix 20

Appendix 20A, Methodology for Air Quality and GHG Emissions Calculations

Appendix 20C, Ambient Air Quality and Health Risk Analysis Technical Report

Appendix 20D, Photochemical Modeling Study to Support a Health Impact Analysis

Appendix 22

Appendix 22A, Cultural Resources

Appendix 24

Appendix 24A, Landscape Character Photos and Associated Maps

Appendix 24B, Regional and Project Landscape Description

Appendix 27

Appendix 27A, Environmental Records Search

Appendix 28

Appendix 28A, Climate Change

Appendix 30

Appendix 30A, Regional Economics Modeling

Appendix 30B, Economics Model Comparison

Appendix 33

Appendix 33A, 2017 Draft EIR/EIS Chapter 36, Consultation and Coordination

Appendix 33B, Previous Scoping Processes

Appendix 33C, Planning Aid Memorandum

Tables

		Page
ES-1	Defining Characteristics of Action Alternatives	ES-8
ES-2	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-16
2-1	Summary of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-5
2-2	Summary of I/O Tower Design Characteristics	2-18
2-3	Main Dams, Saddle Dams, and Saddle Dikes for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-19
2-4	Sites Project Roads and Purposes Common to Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-24
2-5	Summary of Project Diversion Criteria	2-31
2-6	Pumping Summary for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-40
2-7	Potential Generating Summary for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	2-40
2-8	General Construction Timing and Sequencing	2-50
2-9	Estimated Temporary Construction Power Requirements	2-51
2-10	General Reservoir Characteristics of Alternative 1	2-58
2-11	General Reservoir Characteristics of Alternative 2	2-61
4-1	Federal Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-2
4-2	State Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-5
4-3	Local Permits, Approvals, Reviews, and Consultation Requirements	4-7
5-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Resou	irces .5-2
5-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Resource	es5-2
5-2	Summary of Daily Flow Measured in the CBD Discharging to the Sacramento River Knights Landing between 1984 and 2012.	
5-3	Summary of Daily Flow Measured (cfs) in the Sacramento River below Wilkins Sloubetween 1985 and 2020.	_
5-4	Summary Flood Control Facilities and Management of the SRFCP	5-13
5-5	Lower Sacramento River Leveed Capacity.	5-15
5-6	Probability of Flows Exceeding Leveed Capacity, Sacramento River	5-15

5-7	Summary of Feather River Flow Requirements in NMFS 2016 Biological Opinion	5-17
5-8	Summary of Daily Flow Measured (cfs) in the Yolo Bypass near Woodland between and 2020.	
5-9	Types and Examples of CVP Water Recipients	5-22
5-10	Storage Partner Summary Table	5-23
5-11	Simulated Shasta Lake Storage: No Action Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-12	Simulated Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-13	Simulated Sacramento River Diversion at Red Bluff: No Action Alternative (cfs) a in cfs between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (cfs, Not Percent Change)	_
5-14	Simulated Flow in Sacramento River below Red Bluff Pumping Plant: No Action Action (cfs) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-15	Simulated Hamilton City Diversion: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Change in cfs No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (cfs, Not Percent Change)	
5-16	Simulated Sacramento River Flow downstream of Hamilton City near Wilkins Slo Action Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-17	Simulated Sites Reservoir Storage for All Alternatives (TAF)	5-33
5-18	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release for All Alternatives (cfs)	5-33
5-19	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release to Sacramento River (Release to Dunnigan Pipe Release to Yolo Bypass) for All Alternatives (cfs)	
5-20	Simulated Sites Reservoir Release to Yolo Bypass for All Alternatives (cfs)	5-35
5-21	Simulated Total Yolo Bypass Flow: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Percent Chang No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	-
5-22	Simulated Lake Oroville Storage: No Action Alternative (TAF) and Percent Chang No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-23	Simulated Feather River Flow at Mouth: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Percent C between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-24	Simulated Folsom Lake Storage: No Action Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	
5-25	Simulated American River Flow at H Street: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Perce between No Action Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	

5-26	Simulated Sacramento River Flow at Freeport: No Action Alternative NAA (cfs) and Percent Change between No Action Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-27	Simulated Delta Outflow: No Action Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-28	Simulated Delta Exports (Banks and Jones): No Action Alternative (cfs) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-29	Simulated San Luis Reservoir Storage: No Action Alternative (TAF) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
5-30	Simulated Sites Water Supply Deliveries5-41
5-31	Simulated CVP and SWP Water Supply Deliveries: No Action Alternative (TAF) and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Minus No Action (TAF)
5-32	CALSIM II Modeled Flood Flows
5-33	Summary of Expected Construction Water Use for Alternatives 1, 2, and 35-49
5-34	Percent Change between the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 35-52
6-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Quality Resources
6-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Surface Water Quality Resources
6-2	Monthly Average and Average of the Daily Maximum Water temperatures (°F) in the Yolo Bypass at Lisbon Weir, the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, and the Sacramento River at Rio Vista during 2015–2020
6-3	Nutrients, Organic Carbon and Dissolved Oxygen6-11
6-4	Water Quality Criteria and Objectives for Mercury and Methylmercury Applicable to the Study Area
6-5	Total Mercury Concentrations in Surface Waters in the Study Area
6-6	Total Methylmercury Concentrations in Surface Waters in the Study Area6-20
6-7	Potential Mechanisms of Operational Effects on Water Quality6-28
6-8a	Sites Reservoir Average End-of-Month Water Surface Elevation (ft) as Simulated by CALSIM for Dry Water Years
6-8b	Sites Reservoir Average End-of-Month Water Surface Elevation (ft) as Simulated by CALSIM for Critically Dry Water Years

6-8c	Sites Reservoir Minimum End-of-Month Water Surface Elevation (ft) for the CALSIM 82-Year Simulation Period
6-9	Metals Water Quality Standards6-43
6-10	Approach for Evaluating Significance of Operations Effects on Water Quality (Impact WQ-2) 6-48
6-11	Estimated Concentrations of Total Mercury and Methylmercury in Sites Reservoir in the Short-Term6-54
6-12a	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 1A6-61
6-12b	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 1B6-62
6-12c	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 26-62
6-12d	Estimated Change in Sacramento River Water Temperature (°F) when Sites Reservoir Water is Released to the Dunnigan Pipeline under Alternative 36-62
6-13	Estimated Electrical Conductivity (EC in µmhos/cm) of Reservoir Release if Salt Pond Water were to Mix Directly with the Release
6-14	Clifton Court Forebay (SWP Banks Pumping Plant) Electrical Conductivity: No Action Alternative (μ S/cm) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (positive value indicates an increase)
6-15	Jones CVP Pumping Plant Electrical Conductivity: No Action Alternative (µS/cm) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (positive value indicates an increase)
6-16	X2: No Action Alternative (km) and Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (km)
6-17	Mallard Island Electrical Conductivity: No Action Alternative (μS/cm) and Percent Change between No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 36-68
6-18	Estimated Long-Term Average Concentrations of Total Mercury and Methylmercury in Sites Reservoir
6-19	Arsenic Concentrations in the Sacramento River, Sites Reservoir, and Regulatory Standards6-86
6-20	Modeled Monthly Average Sites Reservoir Water Temperatures (°F)6-88
7-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Fluvial Geomorphology7-1
7-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Fluvial Geomorphology7-2

7-2	Drainage Geomorphic Characteristics Summary7-4
7-3	Percent Exceedance Values of USRDOM Modeled Monthly Average Flow for No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
7-4	Flow and Percent Change between the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3
8-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts between Alternatives
8-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts between Alternatives8-2
8-2	Summary of Groundwater Resources in the Study Area8-3
9-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Vegetation and Wetland Resources
9-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Vegetation and Wetland Resources
9-2a	Alternatives 1 and 3 Acreages of Permanent Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas
9-2b	Alternatives 1 and 3 Acreages of Temporary Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas
9-3	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Plant Species Habitat in the Study Area
9-4a	Alternative 2 Acreages of Permanent Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas
9-4b	Alternative 2 Acreages of Temporary Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types in Project Component Areas
9-5	Comparison of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Special-Status Plant Habitats, Sensitive Natural Communities, and Wetland and Non-Wetland Water Types
10-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Wildlife Resources10-1
10-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Wildlife Resources
10-2a	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Vernal Pool Branchiopod Habitat in the Study Area

10-2b	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Terrestrial Invertebrate Habitat in the Study Area
10-2c	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Amphibian and Reptile Habitats in the Study Area
10-2d	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Special-Status Bird Habitats in the Study Area
10-2e	Acreages of Permanent and Temporary Impacts on Modeled Habitat for Special-Status Mammals in the Study Area
11-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aquatic Biological Resources
11-1b	Summary of Operations and Maintenance Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Aquatic Biological Resources
11-2	Aquatic Species of Management Concern by Area of Occurrence
11-3	Stone Corral Creek Daily and Monthly Flows Near Sites, USGS 1139067211-53
11-4	Methods for Analysis of Potential Effects on Fish and Aquatic Resources11-59
11-5	Interim Criteria for Injury to Fish from Impact Pile-Driving Activities11-66
11-6	Red Bluff Diversion as Percentage of Sacramento River Flow, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, from CALSIM Modeling
11-7	Hamilton City Diversion as Percentage of Sacramento River Flow, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, from CALSIM Modeling
11-8	Number and Proportion of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Released and Recaptured at the Hamilton City Intake, 2007
11-9	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Winter-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-10	Distributions of Spawning Redds among WUA River Segments as Percent of Total in the Sacramento River for Chinook Salmon Runs
11-11	Mean Annual Number of Days in January–June With Yolo Bypass Floodplain Inundation by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-12	Mean Annual Number of Days in September–June With Yolo Bypass Floodplain Inundation by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-13	Estimated Mean Daily Inundated Habitat (Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Juvenile Salmonids in the Yolo Bypass and the Percent Differences (in parentheses) for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)

11-14	Juvenile Salmonids in the Yolo Bypass and the Differences (in parentheses) for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3) 11-118
11-15	Mean and Median of Estimated Change in Colusa Basin Drain Water Temperature (°F) from Sites Reservoir Releases, August–October
11-16	Probability of Juvenile Chinook Salmon Through-Delta Survival, Averaged by Month and Water Year Type, Based on Perry et al. (2018)
11-17	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-18	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-19	Mean Female Adult Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Escapement by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-20	Mean Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Egg Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-21	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Fry Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-22	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Juvenile River Migration Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-23	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Proportional Juvenile Through-Delta Migration Survival by Water Year Type Based on IOS
11-24	Abundance and Percentage of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Adult Escapement Upstream and Downstream of the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Intakes, 2009–2018
11-25	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-26	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Feather River
11-27	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-28	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-29	Abundance and Percentage of Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Adult Escapement Upstream and Downstream of the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Intakes, 2009–2018

11-30	Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-31	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Late Fall—run Chinook Salmon, Sacramento River
11-32	Number of Unmarked and Marked Chinook Salmon Collected at Wallace Weir, in Relation to Fish Trap Capture Effort (Hours)
11-33	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Feather River
11-34	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, American River
11-35	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-36	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-37	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-38	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-39	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, Sacramento River
11-40	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, Feather River
11-41	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Steelhead, American River
11-42	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-43	Entrainment Loss of Juvenile Steelhead At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-44	Rotary Screw Trap Catches of Sturgeon at GCID

11-45	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Green Sturgeon, Sacramento River
11-46	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type Below Red Bluff Diversion Dam for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses).
11-47	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Bend Bridge for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-48	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Hamilton City for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-49	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type at Wilkins Slough for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-50	Number of Month and Water Year Type Combinations that Satisfy Both Criteria for Being Biologically Meaningful in the Water Temperature Index Value Analysis, Green Sturgeon, Feather River
11-51	CALSIM II Monthly Average Flow (cfs) by Month and Water Year Type in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay Outlet for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2, and 3, and Percent Differences between Them (in Parentheses)
11-52	Salvage of Juvenile Green Sturgeon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-53	Salvage of Juvenile Green Sturgeon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-54	Salvage of Juvenile White Sturgeon At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-55	Salvage of Juvenile White Sturgeon At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-56	Year-Class Strength of White Sturgeon Based on April–May Regression with Delta Outflow
11-57	Year-Class Strength of White Sturgeon Based on March–July Regression with Delta Outflow
11-58	Density of Adult Eurytemora affinis Based on March–May Regression with X211-261
11-59	Density of Adult + Juvenile Eurytemora affinis Based on March–June Regression with Delta Outflow (Hennessy and Burris 2017)

11-60	Type
11-61	Mean March–May South Delta Exports (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-62	Mean July–September Delta Outflow (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-63	Density of Adult + Juvenile <i>Pseudodiaptomus forbesi</i> Based on June–September Regression with Delta Outflow (Hennessy and Burris 2017)
11-64	Mean January QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type. 11-268
11-65	Mean February QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-66	Mean March QWEST (Cubic Feet Per Second) by Alternative and Water Year Type11-269
11-67	Mean December–March X2 (Kilometers Upstream of Golden Gate Bridge) by Alternative and Water Year Type
11-68	Density of <i>Neomysis mercedis</i> Based on March–May Regression with Delta Outflow (Hennessy and Burris 2017)
11-69	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model, Based on Good Juvenile Survival Scenario
11-70	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model, Based on Poor Juvenile Survival Scenario11-272
11-71	Mean Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index Based on January–June X211-274
11-72	Tidal Habitat Restoration Mitigation for Longfin Smelt (Acres)11-275
11-73	Percentage of Pacific Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the Sacramento River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-74	Percentage of River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the Sacramento River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-75	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Keswick Dam and Differences in the Percentages for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3). January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey

11-76	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge and Differences in the Percentages for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3). January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-77	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Differences in the Percentages for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3). January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-78	Percentage of Pacific and River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-79	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the Feather River at Gridley Gage and Differences in the Percentages for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3). January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-80	Percentage of Pacific Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the American River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-81	Percentage of River Lamprey Spawning Months with >50% Flow Reduction in the Next Month, Used as a Proxy for Redd Dewatering Risk for Locations in the American River, and Percent Differences (in parentheses) between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-82	Percent of Pacific and River Lamprey Ammocoetes Stranded During 7-Year Rearing Period in the American River at Nimbus Dam and Differences in the Percentages for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3). January through August Results Pertain to Pacific Lamprey and the February through June Results Pertain to River Lamprey
11-83	Salvage of Pacific Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-84	Salvage of Pacific Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-85	Salvage of River Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-86	Salvage of River Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method

11-87	Salvage of Unknown Species of Lamprey At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-88	Salvage of Unknown Species of Lamprey At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-89	Estimated Mean Daily Inundated Habitat (Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Sacramento Splittail in the Yolo Bypass and the Percent Differences (in parentheses) for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3)
11-90	Estimated Mean Daily January through April Inundated Habitat (Acres <1 Meter Deep) for Sacramento Splittail in the Yolo Bypass and the Differences (in parentheses) for the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternatives 1–3 (Alt 1A, Alt 1B, Alt 2, and Alt 3) 11-304
11-91	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-92	Salvage of Sacramento Splittail At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-93	Salvage of Starry Flounder At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-94	Salvage of Starry Flounder At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-95	Starry Flounder Bay Otter Trawl Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean March–June X2
11-96	Salvage of Striped Bass At SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-97	Salvage of Striped Bass At CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-98	Striped Bass Summer Townet Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-99	Striped Bass Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-100	Striped Bass Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-101	Striped Bass Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2
11-102	Mean Fall (September–December) X2, Averaged by Water Year Type11-319
11-103	Salvage of American Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method

11-104	Salvage of American Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-105	American Shad Fall Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean February–May X2
11-106	American Shad Bay Midwater Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean February–May X2
11-107	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-108	Salvage of Threadfin Shad at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-109	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at SWP Banks Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-110	Salvage of Largemouth Bass at CVP Jones Pumping Plant, Averaged by Water Year Type, Based on the Salvage-Density Method
11-111	California Bay Shrimp Bay Otter Trawl Abundance Index, Averaged by Water Year Type, as a Function of Mean April–June X2.
12-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology and Soils Resources
12-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Geology and Soils Resources
12-2	Regional and Local Fault Information
12-3	Paleontological Sensitivity Ratings
12-4	University of California Museum of Paleontology Vertebrate Fossil Records, by Formation Extent and Study Area Counties, and Paleontological Sensitivity of Geologic Units in the Study Area
12-5	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology's Recommended Treatment for Paleontological Resources
12-6	Location of Faults Relative to Alternative 1 or 3 Structures
12-7	Ground-Disturbing Construction Activities and the Geologic Units Affected12-53
13-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Mineral Resources13-1
13-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Mineral Resources13-2
14-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Resources 14-1

14-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Land Use Resources	14-2
14-2	Summary of Glenn County Land Use and Zoning Designations	14-3
14-3	Summary of Colusa County Land Use and Zoning Designations	14-5
14-4	Summary of Yolo County Land Use and Zoning Designations	14-6
14-5	Summary of Alternatives 1 and 3 Components in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties14	4-10
14-6	Summary of Alternative 2 Components in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties14	4-14
15-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Agriculture Resources	15-2
15-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Agriculture Resources	15-3
15-2	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated und the FMMP in Glenn County in 2006, 2016, and 2018 (acres)	
15-3	Land Designated under FPPA in Glenn County (acres)	15-6
15-4	Zoned Agricultural Land in Glenn County (acres)	15-7
15-5	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Glenn County (acres)	15-7
15-6	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated und the FMMP in Colusa County in 2006 and 2016 (acres)	
15-7	Land under FPPA in Colusa County (acres)	15-8
15-8	Zoned Agricultural Land in Colusa County (acres)	15-8
15-9	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Colusa County (acres)	15-8
15-10	Important Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Grazing Land as Designated und the FMMP in Yolo County in 2006 and 2016 (acres)	
15-11	Land under FPPA in Yolo County (acres)	15-9
15-12	Zoned Agricultural Land in Yolo County (acres)	15-9
15-13	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in Yolo County (acres	*
15-14	Williamson Act Minimum Parcel Size Requirements by County	5-11
15-15	FMMP Important Farmland Temporarily Disturbed and Permanently Converted by Project Facilities under All Alternatives (acres)	

15-16	Land Zoned for Agricultural Use Permanently Disturbed by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-17	Land under Williamson Act Contract Permanently Disturbed by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-18	Acreage of Remnant Parcels of Williamson Act Contracted Land below County Thresholds Permanently Created by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-19	Important Farmland as Designated under FPPA outside FMMP Important Farmland Temporarily Affected and Permanently Used by Project Facilities under Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (acres)
15-20	Modeled Average Release Water Temperatures by Alternative (°F)
15-21	Modeled 90% Exceedance (Tenth Percentile) for Release Water Temperatures by Alternative (°F)
16-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Recreation Resources16-2
16-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Recreation Resources16-2
16-2	Key Recreational Characteristics of Recreation Areas Potentially Affected by Project-Related Changes to SWP or CVP Operations
16-3	Federal and State Wildlife Refuges in the Sacramento Valley along the Sacramento River
16-4	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Shasta Lake (feet)16-11
16-5	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Shasta Lake (feet)
16-6	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Lake Oroville (feet)
16-7	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Lake Oroville (feet)
16-8	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Folsom Lake (feet)
16-9	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in Folsom Lake (feet)
16-10	Average Modeled Water Surface Elevation in San Luis Reservoir (feet)16-13
16-11	90th Percent Exceedance Values of Modeled Water Surface Elevation in San Luis Reservoir (feet)
16-12	Sites Reservoir Delivery of Level 4 Water to North-of-Delta Refuges (thousand acre-feet)

17-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Energy Resources 17-2
17-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Energy Resources17-2
17-2a	2019 Total System Electricity Generation
17-2b	2018 Total System Electricity Generation
17-3	In-State Electricity Generation by Fuel Type (GWh)
17-4	Comparison of CED 2019 and CEDU 2020 Low-, Mid-, and High-Case Demand Baseline—Statewide Consumption (GWh) and Net Peak Demand (MW)17-8
17-5	Annual Electricity Consumption by County for the Electricity Supply Study Area in 2019 (GWh)
17-6	Annual Gasoline Sales for the Petroleum Products Study Area (millions of gallons per year)
17-7	Annual Diesel Fuel Sales for the Petroleum Products Study Area (millions of gallons per year)
17-8	Temporary Electricity Requirements and Consumption for Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (kVA, kW, and kWh per year)
17-9	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year)1—No Action Alternative (NAA), Alternative 1A and Alternative 1B
17-10	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year)1 —No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternative 2
17-11	CVP, SWP, and Project Facilities Operation Energy Consumption (GWh/year)1—No Action Alternative (NAA) and Alternative 3
17-12a	Diesel Fuel and Gasoline Consumption for Construction of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (gallons per year and total gallons) for Construction Period
17-12b	Diesel Fuel and Gasoline Consumption for Operation of Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 (gallons per year and total gallons) for 2030–2040 Modeled Operating Period
17-13	Project Operations Electricity Demand and Net Reduction in CVP/SWP System Electricity Generation for Alternatives as Percentages of Statewide and Regional Electricity Demand
18-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic
18-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Navigation, Transportation, and Traffic
18-2	Sites Reservoir Project Access Roads

18-3	Existing Conditions Average Daily Traffic	18-9
18-4	Roadway Classifications	18-10
18-5	Roadway Segment Level of Service Characteristics	18-11
18-6	Existing Roadway Segment Level of Service	18-12
18-7	Existing Recreation Areas in the Regional Study Area	18-13
18-8	Regional Urban Populations Likely to Make Recreational Trips to Sites Reservoir	18-14
18-9	Roadway Segment Level of Service Thresholds	18-19
18-10	Sites Reservoir Recreational Trip Origins	18-22
18-11	Alternatives 1 and 3 Estimated Construction Daily Trips	18-26
18-12	Alternatives 1 and 3 Roadway Levels of Service during Construction	18-28
18-13	Alternatives 1 and 3 Roadway Level of Service during Peak Recreation, Operations, ar Maintenance	
18-14	Alternative 2 Estimated Construction Daily Trips	18-33
18-15	Alternative 2 Roadway Level of Service during Construction	18-35
18-16	Sites Reservoir Estimated Recreational Daily Trips from Population Centers	18-38
18-17	Daily Trips Relocated to Sites Reservoir from Other Recreation Locations	18-39
18-18	Alternatives 1 and 3 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled Change	18-40
18-19	Alternative 2 Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled Change	18-42
19-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Resources	19-1
19-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Noise Resources	19-2
19-2	Summary of Sensitive Receptors	19-5
19-3	Commonly Used Construction Equipment Noise Levels	19-7
19-4	Caltrans Vibration Guidelines for Potential Damage to Structures	19-8
19-5	Caltrans Guidelines for Vibration Annoyance Potential	19-9
19-6	Typical Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	19-9
19-7	Construction Noise Levels by Project Component	19-12
19-8	Construction Noise Abatement Plan and Construction Noise Attenuation	19-14
Sites Rese	ervoir Project RDEIR/SDEIS	i-33

20-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Resou	ırces20-1
20-1b	Summary of Operation Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Air Quality Resource	es20-3
20-2	Sources and Potential Health and Environmental Effects of Criteria Pollutants	20-5
20-3	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data (2017–2019)	20-12
20-4a	Federal Attainment Status of Four Counties in the Study Area	20-14
20-4b	State Attainment Status of Four Counties in the Study Area	20-14
20-5	Summary of Sensitive Receptors.	20-15
20-6	CEQA Emissions Thresholds for Air Districts in the Study Area	20-22
20-7	NEPA Thresholds for Nonattainment Areas in the Study Area (tons per year)	20-23
20-8	Localized Ambient Air Quality Significant Impact Levels (µg/m³)	20-23
20-9	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 Best Management Practices	
20-10	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 Management Practices	
20-11	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Maintenance Activities and Recre Activity (Worst-Case Year)	
20-12	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 Nonattainment Areas of the SVAB – without Best Management Practices	
20-13	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Construction of Alternatives 1–3 Nonattainment Areas of the SVAB – with Best Management Practices	
20-14	Criteria Pollutant and Precursor Emissions from Operations in the Nonattainment the SVAB	
20-15	Excess Cancer and Noncancer Health Risks from Project Construction	20-46
20-16	Maximum CAAQS and NAAQS Criteria Pollutant Concentration Impacts During Construction (μg/m³) [Non-Particulate Matter Pollutants]	20-51
20-17	Alternative 1 and 3 Maximum Particulate Matter Concentration Impacts During C $(\mu g/m^3)$	
20-18	Alternative 2 Maximum Particulate Matter Concentration Impacts During Constru (μg/m³)	
21-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts between Alternatives	21-1

21-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts between Alternatives	21-2
21-2	Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Key Greenhouse Gases	21-3
21-3	Global, National, State, and Local Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories	21-5
21-4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Construction Alternatives 1, 2 and 3 a (metric tons CO	
21-5	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 1 Operations (metric tons CO ₂ e)	21-14
21-6	Summary of Metric Ton Reduction (metric tons CO ₂ e)	21-17
21-7	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 2 Operations (metric tons CO ₂ e)	21-27
21-8	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Alternative 3 Operations (metric tons CO ₂ e)	21-30
22-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources	22-2
22-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources	22-3
22-2	Archaeological Periods of the Sacramento Valley	22-5
22-3a	Early Native American Archaeological Property Types	22-15
22-3b	Post-Contact Archaeological Property Types	22-16
22-4	Significant Historic Built Resources	22-17
22-5	Ineligible Built Environment Resources	22-20
22-6a	Alternatives 1 and 3 Project Components and Cultural Resources	22-22
22-6b	Alternative 2 Project Components and Cultural Resources	22-24
22-7a	Summary of Potentially Significant Built Resources Types Within or Outside of the Alternatives 1 and 3 Inundation Areas	22-28
22-7b	Summary of Potentially Significant Built Resources Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 2 Inundation Areas	.22-32
22-8	Summary of Early Native American Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of Alternative 1 and 3 Inundation Areas	
22-9	Summary of Post-Contact Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of the Alternative 1 and 3 Inundation Areas	.22-35
22-10	Summary of Early Native American Archaeological Property Types Within or Outside of Alternative 2 Inundation Areas	

22-11	Alternative 2 Inundation Areas	22-40
23-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Tribal Cultural Resources	23-2
23-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Tribal and Cultural Resonant	
23-2	Summary of AB 52 Consultation	23-11
23-3	Additional Outreach to California Native American Tribes	23-11
24-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Visual Resources	24-3
24-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Visual Resources	24-3
24-2	Summary of Existing Project Landscape	24-7
25-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Population and Housing Resources	
25-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Population and Housing Resources	
25-2	Current and Projected Populations of Colusa, Glenn, and Yolo Counties	25-2
25-3	Housing Units in the Study Area	25-3
26-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Service and Ut Resources	
26-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Service and Utili Resources	
26-2	Summary of Glenn County Wastewater Treatment	26-8
26-3	Summary of Colusa County Wastewater Treatment	26-9
26-4	Estimates of Solid Waste as a Result of Demolition	26-33
27-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Health and Environmental Hazards	27-2
27-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Public Health and Environmental Hazards	27-4
27-2	Project Facilities and Associated Responsibility Areas	27-10
28-1	Summary of Project Operation Effects with Climate Change by Alternative	28-2

28-2	Modeled Baseline from 1961–1990)	
28-3	Climate Change Trends for Hydrologic Regions Participating with Sites Reservoir	28-5
28 4	Variables Analyzed in Climate Change Model	28-9
28 5	Benefit Criteria for Climate Change Model Variables	28-10
28-6	Shasta Lake Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate Change (a) and with Climate Change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-12
b)	With climate change	28-12
28-7	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Projection Without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-13
b)	With climate change	28-13
28-8	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Proje without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Wet Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-13
b)	With climate change	28-14
28-9	RBPP Diversions: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Wet Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-14
b)	With climate change	28-14
28-10	Hamilton City Diversions: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without C Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Wet Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-15
b)	With climate change	28-15
28-11	Sacramento River Flow near Wilkins Slough: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically	•
	Dry Water Years	28-16
a)	Without climate change	28-16

b)	With climate change	28-16
28-12	Sacramento River Flow near Wilkins Slough: Alternatives Compared with NAA (N without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Wet Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-16
b)	With climate change	28-17
28-13	Sites Reservoir (Total) Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) wit Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-17
b)	With climate change	28-17
28-14	Sites Reservoir Releases (Total): Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) with Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	No climate change	28-18
b)	With climate change	28-18
28-15	Sites Reservoir Release to Sacramento River: Alternatives Compared with NAA (N without Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
٥)	Without climate change	
a)		
b)	With climate change	
28-16	Folsom Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate C and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years.	
a)	Without climate change	28-19
b)	With climate change	28-20
28-17	Lake Oroville Storage: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Clir Change (a) and with Climate Change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-20
b)	With climate change	28-20
28-18	Feather River Flow at Mouth: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) with Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-21
b)	With climate change	28-21

28-19	American River Flow at H Street: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) w Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-21
b)	With climate change	28-22
28-20	Total SWP and CVP Exports: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) with Climate Change (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-22
b)	With climate change	28-22
28-21	Yolo Bypass Flow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate (a) and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years	_
a)	Without climate change	28-23
b)	With climate change	28-23
28-22	Yolo Bypass Flow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate (a) and with climate change (b) — Wet Water Years	
a)	Without climate change	28-24
b)	With climate change	28-24
28-23	Delta Outflow: Alternatives Compared with NAA (No Project) without Climate Cha and with climate change (b) — Critically Dry Water Years.	
a)	Without climate change	28-24
b)	With climate change	28-25
29-1a	Summary of Construction Effects on ITAs by Alternative	29-1
29-1b	Summary of Operations Effects on ITAs by Alternative	29-2
30-1a	Summary of Construction Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Environmental Just Socioeconomics Resources	
30-1b	Summary of Operations Impacts and Mitigation Measures for Environmental Justice Socioeconomics Resources	
30-2	Population by Race and Ethnicity in 2019 of Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties and California (percent)	
30-3	2019 Income Levels and Poverty Rates in Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counties and Ca	alifornia 30-7

30-4	2019 Socioeconomic Population Characteristics for Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Coun California	
30-5	Summary of 2019 Average Employment Rates for Glenn, Colusa, and Yolo Counti	
30-6	Substantial Adverse Effects Summary and Mitigation Measures	30-12
30-7	Summary of Socioeconomic Effects Approaches	30-17
31-1	Cumulative Project List	31-4
32-1	Summary of Simulated Sites Reservoir Annual Averages of Agricultural Deliveries (Thousand Acre Feet/Year)	
32-2	Sites Reservoir Agricultural Deliveries Compared to Total Agricultural Deliveries.	32-5
32-3	Summary of Simulated Sites Reservoir Annual Averages of Municipal and Industrial Deliveries (Thousand Acre Feet/Year)	
32-4	Simulated Sites Reservoir Municipal and Industrial Deliveries Compared to Total I and Industrial Deliveries	
32-5	Simulated Metropolitan Water District Water Deliveries (TAF/Year)	32-10
32-6	Population Growth from 2000 to 2020	32-10
32-7	Projected Population Growth from 2020 to 2040	32-11
32-8	Summary of Significant Impacts of Each Alternative Before and After Implementa Mitigation Measures	
33-1	Reclamation Staff Contributors	33-7
33-2	Authority Staff Contributors	33-8
33-3	Project Integration Team Contributors	33-9
33-4	Consultant and Subconsultant Contributors	33-9
34-1	Locations of Available Copies of the Sites Reservoir Project RDEIR/SDEIS	34-1

Figures

		Page
ES-1	Regional Map	follows page ES-6
ES-2	Vicinity Map	follows page ES-6
ES-3	Local Vicinity	follows page ES-6
ES-4	Sites Reservoir Project Storage Partners Service Areas	follows page ES-6
ES-5	Alternatives 1 and 3 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	follows page ES-12
ES-6	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	follows page ES-12
ES-7	Alternative 2 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	follows page ES-12
ES-8	Alternative 2 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	follows page ES-12
1-1	Regional Map	follows page 1-1
1-2	Vicinity Map	follows page 1-1
1-3	Local Vicinity	follows page 1-1
2-1	Alternatives 1 and 3 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	follows page 2-5
2-2	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	follows page 2-5
2-3	Alternative 2 Regulating Reservoirs and Conveyance and Sites Reservoir Facilities	follows page 2-5
2-4	Alternative 2 Conveyance to Sacramento River Components	follows page 2-5
2-5	Sacramento River Conveyance Components	follows page 2-9
2-6	Red Bluff Pumping Plant	follows page 2-9
2-7	GCID Main Canal Head Gate Structure	follows page 2-9
2-8	GCID System Upgrades	follows page 2-10
2-9	GCID System Upgrades Continued	follows page 2-10
2-10A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East Facilities Site Plan	follows page 2-11
2-10B1	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Main Reservoir Plan	follows page 2-11
2-10B2	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Reservoir Extension Plan	follows page 2-11

2-10B3	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Inlet/Outlet Canal Plan	follows page 2-11
2-11A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East and West Alts Pumping Generating Plant	follows page 2-11
2-11B	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East and West Alts Pumping Generating Plant	follows page 2-11
2-12	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East or West Substation	follows page 2-12
2-13A	Terminal Regulating Reservoir East Pipelines	follows page 2-12
2-13B	Terminal Regulating Reservoir West Pipelines	follows page 2-12
2-14	Funks Reservoir Facilities Site Plan	follows page 2-13
2-15	Funks Reservoir Stockpile and Haul Route Plan	follows page 2-13
2-16A	Funks Pumping Generating Plant Facilities	follows page 2-13
2-16B	Funks Pumping Generating Plant Facilities	follows page 2-13
2-17	Alternatives 1 and 3 Conveyance Complex Facilities	follows page 2-14
2-18	WAPA Schematic Sketch	follows page 2-15
2-19	PG&E Schematic Sketch	follows page 2-15
2-20	Double-Circuit Source Transmission Poles	follows page 2-15
2-21	Alternatives 1 and 3 Funks Reservoir to Terminal Regulating Reservoir Ea	
2-22	Administration and Operations Building	follows page 2-17
2-23	Maintenance and Storage Building	follows page 2-17
2-24	Plan of Inlet/Outlet Works Site	follows page 2-17
2-25	Profile of Inlet/Outlet Works Site	follows page 2-17
2-26	Sites Dam Plan	follows page 2-19
2-27	Sites Dam Section	follows page 2-19
2-28	Golden Gate Dam Plan	follows page 2-20
2-29	Golden Gate Dam Section	follows page 2-20
2-30	Saddle Dike Section	follows page 2-20
2-31	Saddle Dam 8B Spillway	follows page 2-20

2-32	TC Canal Intake Site Plan follows page 2-2
2-33	Dunnigan CBD Discharge Site Plan
2-34	Recreation Areas
2-35	Local Access, Construction Access, and Maintenance Access Roads follows page 2-2:
2-36	Available Diversion Capacity versus Streamflow at Red Bluff Pumping Plant
2-37	Available Diversion Capacity versus Streamflow at the GCID Hamilton City Pump Station
2-38	Onsite Borrow Area Details
2-39	Sites Lodoga Road Realignment and Bridge follows page 2-59
2-40	Dunnigan Sacramento River Discharge Site Plan follows page 2-6
5-1	Daily Flow in Stone Corral Creek near Sites (cfs)
5-2	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the Project Facilities follows page 5-
5-3	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to Northern California's Central Valley
5-4	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the Dunnigan Pipeline follows page 5-1
5-5	100-Year Inundation Areas Relative to the GCID follows page 5-1
6-1	Electrical Conductivity Measurements from the Sacramento River and Colusa Basin Drain
6-2	Estimated Effect of Evaporation from Sites Reservoir on a Hypothetical Constituent through Time as Derived from CALSIM Results
6-3	Relationships between Flow Metric and Total Aluminum Concentrations6-4.
6-4	Estimated Total Concentration of Aluminum before and after Settling of Suspended Sediment
6-5	Estimated Aqueous Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 and the No Project Alternative for Annual Average Flows
6-6	Estimated Fish Tissue Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 and the No Project Alternative for Annual Average Flows
6-7	Estimated Aqueous Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 and the No Project Alternative for Mean Monthly Flows in July – November of Dry and Critically Dry Water Years6-80

6-8	Estimated Fish Tissue Methylmercury Concentrations at Freeport for Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 and the No Project Alternative for Mean Monthly Flows in July – November of Dry and Critically Dry Water Years
6-9	Estimated Total Aluminum Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location
6-10	Estimated Total Copper Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location6-84
6-11	Estimated Total Iron Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location6-85
6-12	Estimated Total Lead Concentration in Inflow to Sites Reservoir, in Sites Reservoir, and in the Sacramento River at the Sites Discharge Location6-85
7-1	Sacramento River Reaches
8-1	Subbasins of the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin follows page 8-2
11-1	Aquatic Biological Resources Study Area
11-2	Local Drainages, Stone Corral and Funks Creeks
11-3	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-82
11-4	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-83
11-5	Daily Percentage of Sacramento River Flow Entering the Oxbow Containing the Hamilton City Intake, 2018, Divided into Five Groups Based on Percentage of Hamilton City River Flow Diverted by the Intake
11-6	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013.
11-7	Predicted Screen Passage Time for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (44-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-8	Predicted Screen Passage Time for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (79-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-9	Predicted Number of Screen Contacts for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (44-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night

11-10	Predicted Number of Screen Contacts for Juvenile Chinook Salmon (79-mm Standard Length) Encountering the Red Bluff and Hamilton City Fish Screens at Approach Velocity of 0.33 Feet per Second During the Day and Night
11-11	Streamflow Overtopping the Fish Screen Structure at Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Hamilton City Pumping Plant, February 18, 2017
11-12	Segments 2–6 of the Sacramento River Used in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Studies to Determine Spawning and Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA)
11-13	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-134
11-14	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-135
11-15	Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, October 2002–June 2013
11-16	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-161
11-17	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-162
11-18	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 164 and River Mile 298, 1981–199111-163
11-19	Mean Monthly Catch Per Beach Seine of Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River Between River Mile 71 and River Mile 144, 1981–201911-164
11-20	Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013.
11-21	Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013
11-22	Steelhead Fork Length (a) Capture Proportions, (b) Cumulative Capture Size Curve, and (c) Average Weekly Median Boxplots, As Sampled at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Rotary Screw Traps, July 2002–June 2013
11-23	Overview of Flow-Tolerance Limitations of Green (GS) and White (WS) Sturgeon Throughout the Sacramento-San Joaquin Watershed According to Location and Time of Year, Based on Critical Swimming Speed
11-24	Green Sturgeon a) Annual Total Length Capture Boxplots and b) Annual Cumulative Capture Trends with 10-Year Mean Trend Line, from Rotary Screw Trap Sampling at Red Bluff Diversion Dam, 2003–2012

11-25	Nocturnal Distribution Pattern of Capture of Larval Green Sturgeon at Red Bluff Diversion Dam Outfall and Tehama Bridge in 2010
11-26	Managed Flow Pulse in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir and Chlorophyll Concentration at Rio Vista During 2016 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidy From Colusa Basin Drain Action
11-27	Managed Flow Pulse in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir and Chlorophyll Concentration at Rio Vista During 2016 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidy From Colusa Basin Drain Action
11-28	Managed Flow Pulse in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir and Chlorophyll Concentration from North (RCS) to South (STTD) in the Yolo Bypass During 2018 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidy From Colusa Basin Drain Action
11-29	Chlorophyll Concentration at Rio Vista Before, During, and After 2018 Pilot North Delta Food Subsidies Action
11-30	Dissolved Oxygen in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Liberty Island During 201811-256
11-31	Dissolved Oxygen in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir (Blue Line) and Sacramento River at River Vista (Black Line) During 2019
11-32	Dissolved Oxygen Cache Slough at Liberty Island During 2018
11-33	Dissolved Oxygen Cache Slough at Liberty Island During 2019
11-34	Water Temperature in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Liberty Island During 2018 11-258
11-35	Water Temperature in the Yolo Bypass Toe Drain at Lisbon Weir (Blue Line) and Sacramento River at Rio Vista (Black Line) During 2019
11-36	Violin Plots of Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model
11-37	95% Confidence Intervals of Longfin Smelt Fall Midwater Trawl Index by Water Year Type from Nobriga and Rosenfield (2016) Model
12-1A	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Central Portion) follows page 12-6
12-1B	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Northern Portion) follows page 12-6
12-1C	Geologic Map of the Study Area (Southern Portion) follows page 12-6
12-2	Detailed Geologic Map of Dam and Inundation Area follows page 12-8
12-3	Cross Section of Geologic Structures
12-4	Regional and Sites Reservoir Faults
12-5	Regional Seismicity follows page 12-13

12-6	Division of Safety of Dams' Fault Slip Consequence-Hazard Matrix	follows page 12-27
12-7	Median and 84th Percentile Deterministic Seismic Response Spectra	follows page 12-31
15-1A	Cropland in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-1B	Cropland in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-1C	Cropland in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-2A	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-2B	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-2C	Important Farmland and Grazing Land in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-3A	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-3B	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-3C	Agricultural Zoning in Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-4A	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-4B	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
15-4C	Land under Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Contracts in the Project Area	follows page 15-6
16-1	Reservoirs and Other Recreation Near the Study Area	follows page 16-3
18-1	Reservoirs and Other Recreation Near the Study Area	follows page 18-13
18-2	Population Centers Near the Study Area	follows page 18-14
20-1	Project Area to Study Area	follows page 20-9
20-2	Ozone and PM2.5 Federal Attainment and Non-Attainment Areas in the Study Area	follows page 20-43
27-1	State Responsibility Areas and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3	follows page 27-9
27-2	Fire Hazard Severity Zones	follows page 27-10
30-1	Minority-Based Environmental Justice Populations	follows page 30-20
30-2	Low Income-Based Environmental Justice Populations	follows page 30-21

Acronyms

Acroynm	Definition
°F	Fahrenheit
μg/L	micrograms per liter
$\mu g/m^3$	micrograms per cubic meter
μS/cm	microsiemens per centimeter
μmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter
1D	one-dimensional
2017 Draft EIR/EIS	Public Draft EIR/EIS for the Project in 2017
AAQA	Ambient Air Quality Analysis
AASHTO	Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACID	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District
ACM	asbestos-containing materials
ADIs	areas of potential direct impacts
ADL	aerially deposited lead
AF	acre-feet
AFY	acre-feet per year
AICP	American Institute of Certified Planners
AIPCP	Aquatic Invasive Plant Control Program
AP-42	EPA's AP-42 Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
ATLs	Advisory Tissue Levels
Authority	Sites Project Authority
Bay-Delta Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BiOp	biological opinion
BMOs	Basin Management Objectives
BMPs	best management practices
BRWL	blue-rich with lamps
C&HRR	Colusa & Hamilton Railroad

Acroynm	Definition
C.F.R.	Code of Federal Regulations
CAAQS	California ambient air quality standards
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
Cal. Code Regs	California Code of Regulations
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CalGEM	California Geologic Energy Management Division
CalGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CalISO	California Independent System Operator
CalOES	Governor's Office of Emergency Services
Cal-OSHA	California-Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CBD	Colusa Basin Drain
CCAPCD	Colusa County Air Pollution Control District
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CEC	California Energy Commission
Central Valley Basin Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins
Central Valley RWQCB	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
СЕР	Certified Environmental Planner
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality's
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CERS	California Environmental Reporting System
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFNR	California Northern Railroad
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CGA	Colusa Groundwater Authority
CGS	California Geological Survey

Acroynm	Definition
CH ₄	methane
СНР	California Highway Patrol
CHRIS	California Historical Resources Information Center
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	community noise equivalent level
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
СО	carbon monoxide
CO_2	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CPT	cone penetration test
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CPUE	catch per unit effort
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank
CRSBZ	Coast Ranges – Sierran Block Boundary Zone
CT 2035	2035 Central Tendency
CTR	California Toxics Rule
CUPA	Certified Unified Program Agencies
CVFPB	Central Valley Flood Protection Board
CVHM	Central Valley Hydrologic Model
CVJV	Central Valley Joint Venture
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWC	California Water Commission
dB	decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibel
DBPs	disinfection byproducts
DBW	Division of Boating and Waterways
DCC	Delta Cross Channel
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta

Acroynm	Definition
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOC	California Department of Conservation
dph	days post hatch
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
DPS	distinct population segment
DRMS	Delta Risk Management Strategy
DSOD	California Department of Water Resources, Division of Safety of Dams
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EC	electrical conductivity
EDWPA	El Dorado Water & Power Authority
EFH	essential fish habitat
EID	El Dorado Irrigation District
EIR/EIS	Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement
EMF	Electric Magnetic Field
EMFAC	Emissions Factors
EMTs	emergency medical technicians
EO	Executive Order
ERP	Ecosystem Restoration Program
ERS	emergency release structures
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
ЕТо	evapotranspiration
FCWCD	Flood Control and Water Conservation District
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FHSZs	fire hazard severity zones
FL	fork length
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FMUs	future mitigation units
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
ft/s	feet per second

Acroynm	Definition
FR	Federal Register
FRSA	Feather River Service Area
g	acceleration speed of gravity
gallons/day	gallons per day
GCAPCD	Glenn County Air Pollution Control District
GCID	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information system
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSPs	Groundwater Sustainability Plans
Guthion	azinphos-methyl
GWh	gigawatt-hours
GWMPs	Groundwater Management Plans
GWP	global warming potential
HABs	harmful algal blooms
HAPC	Habitat Area of Particular Concern
НСМ	Highway Capacity Manual
НСР	Habitat Conservation Plan
HEC-SSP	Hydraulic Engineering Center's Statistical Software Package
HFC	high-flow channel
HFCs	hydrofluorocarbons
HMMPs	Hazardous Materials Management Plans
HOR	Head of Old River
hp	horsepower
HRA	health risk assessment
HSC	Health and Safety Code
I-	Interstate
I/O	Inlet/Outlet
I/O Works	Inlet/Outlet Works
I:E	inflow to exports
I-5	Interstate 5
IHN	Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis

Acroynm	Definition
in/sec	inches per second
IPaC	Information, Planning, and Consultation
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISWEBE	Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries
ITAs	Indian Trust Assets
ITP	Incidental Take Permit
kg	kilogram
KLOG	Knights Landing Outfall Gates
kV	kilovolt
kVA	kilovolt-amperes
kW	kilowatts
LBP	lead-based paint
LCPSIM	Least Cost Planning Simulation
LESA	Land Evaluation and Site Assessment
LFC	low-flow channel
LMP	Land Management Plan
LOS	level of service
LRA	Local Responsibility Area
LRFD	Load and Resistance Factor Design
M&I	municipal and industrial
MAF	million acre-feet
MAR	Managed Aquifer Recharge
MCLs	maximum contaminant levels
MCRP	Master of Community and Regional Planning
METS	Medical Transportation Service
mg	milligrams
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MGD	million gallons per day
mm	millimeters
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MP	Mile Post
mph	miles per hour

Acroynm	Definition
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organizations
MRR	minimum release requirement
MRZs	Mineral Resource Zones
msl	mean sea level
MT	metric tons
MUTCD	Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Devices
MVA	megavolt amperes
MW	megawatt
MWI	Maxwell Water Intertie
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NAA	No Action Alternative
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NCCP	Natural Community Conservation Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFH	National Fish Hatchery
NGOs	nongovernmental organizations
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NO	nitric oxide
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOA	naturally occurring asbestos
NODOS	North-of-Delta Offstream Storage
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NO _X	nitrogen oxides
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
NZE	near-zero emission
ОЕННА	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OES	Office of Emergency Services

Acroynm	Definition
OHP	Office of Historic Preservation
OMWEM	Other Municipal Water Economics Model
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
Pb	lead
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PECs	potential environmental concerns
PFCs	perfluorocarbons
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
PGP	pumping generating plant
PM	particulate matter
PM10	particulates 10 microns in diameter or less
PM2.5	2.5 microns in diameter or less
PMF	probable maximum flood
POD	Pelagic Organism Decline
POI	point of interconnection
Portfolio	2020 Water Resilience Portfolio
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per thousand
PPV	peak particle velocity
PRMMP	paleontological resources monitoring and mitigation plan
Project	Sites Reservoir Project
Proposition 1	Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014
PSPS	Public Safety Power Shutoff
pTms	pre-Tertiary
RBDD	Red Bluff Diversion Dam
RBPP	Red Bluff Pumping Plant
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RDEIR/SDEIS	Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Reclamation	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
RM	River Mile

Acroynm	Definition
RMP	Reservoir Management Plan
RMS	root mean square
ROC ON LTO	Reinitiation of Consultation on the Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and the State Water Project
ROD	Record of Decision
ROGs	reactive organic gases
ROV	remote operated vehicle
RPAs	Reasonable and Prudent Actions
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard
RTP	regional transportation plan
RTS	reservoir-triggered seismicity
RV	recreational vehicle
RWQCB model	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board TMDL model
SALMOD	Salmonid Population Modeling
SB	Senate Bill
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SDC	California Department of Transportation Seismic Design Criteria
SEL	sound exposure level
Settlement	Stipulation of Settlement
SF ₆	sulfur hexafluoride
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SLCPs	short-lived climate pollutants
SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
SO_2	sulfur dioxide
SOI	Secretary of Interior
SPCCPs	Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasure Plans
SPFC	State Plan of Flood Control
SPRR	Southern Pacific Railroad
SR	State Route
SRA	shaded riverine aquatic
SRBPP	Sacramento River Bank Protection Project

Acroynm	Definition
SRFCP	Sacramento River Flood Control Project
SST	Salmonid Scoping Team
SSURGO	Soil Survey Geographic
State ITP	SWP Incidental Take Permit for Long-term Operations of the State Water Project in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
State Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
SURF	California Department of Pesticide Regulation's Surface Water Database
SVAB	Sacramento Valley Air Basin
SVP	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology
SWAMP	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program
SWAP	Statewide Agricultural Production
SWP	State Water Project
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TACs	toxic air contaminants
TAF	thousand acre-feet
TAF/yr	thousand acre-feet per year
TCCA	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority
TC Canal	Tehama-Colusa Canal
TCAPCD	Tehama County Air Pollution Control District
TCD	temperature control device
TDS	total dissolved solids
TL	trophic level
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TMP	Traffic Management Plan
TOC	total organic carbon
TRAX	Tehama Rural Area Express
TRR	Terminal Regulating Reservoir
U.S.C.	United States Code
UCMP	University of California Museum of Paleontology
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Acroynm	Definition
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
USRDOM	Upper Sacramento River Daily Operations Model
USTs	underground storage tanks
VHFHSZ	Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VP	Value Planning
WA	Wildlife Area
WAPA	Western Area Power Administration
WCG	wildlife crossing species guild
WDL	Water Data Library
WEAP	Worker Environmental Awareness Program
WIIN Act	Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act
WNV	West Nile virus
WRLCM	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Life Cycle Model
WSE	water surface elevation
WSIP	Water Storage Investment Program
WUA	weighted usable area
ww	wet weight
WWD	Westlands Water District
YBHR	Yolo Bypass Habitat Restoration
YSAQMD	Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District
ZE	zero emission