**Attachment 4** 

# Groundwater Modeling Output – Schmidt Method

Modeling



· · · ·	Average of All Years (feet)						
Month	No-Action Alternative	Proposed Action)	Change from No-Action				
	Alternative	Action	Proposed Action				
Exeter Irrigation District	75.6	76.3	-0.74 (-0.98%)				
Ivanhoe Irrigation District	85.9	86.2	-0.33 (-0.38%)				
Lindmore Irrigation District	71.0	72.1	-1.10 (-1.54%)				
Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District	59.7	60.1	-0.36 (-0.60%)				
Orange Cove Irrigation District	44.5	47.2	-2.73 (-6.13%)				
Tulare Irrigation District	152.9	154.0	-1.09 (-0.71%)				
Lower Tule River Irrigation District	167.9	168.8	-0.88 (-0.52%)				
Porterville Irrigation District	60.5	61.7	-1.16 (-1.92%)				
Saucelito Irrigation District	176.3	177.4	-1.08 (-0.61%)				
Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District	180.5	181.7	-1.24 (-0.69%)				
Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District	328.1	328.9	-0.82 (-0.25%)				
Southern San Joaquin Municipal Utility District	177.7	177.8	-0.11 (-0.06%)				
Arvin Edison Water Storage District	410.0	412.8	-2.75 (-0.67%)				
Chowchilla Water District	153.8	154.6	-0.83 (-0.54%)				
Madera Irrigation District	153.8	154.0	-0.21 (-0.14%)				

Table 1. Depth to Groundwater, Long-Term Average for No-Action Alternative and Proposed Action

Schmidt Method Calculations

Notes:

Year type as defined by the Restoration Year Types (%) indicates percent change from No-Action Alternative

	Average of All Years (acre-feet)						
Month	No-Action	Proposed	Change from No-Action				
	Alternative	Action	Proposed Action				
Exeter Irrigation District	20,000	20,908	908 (4.54%)				
Ivanhoe Irrigation District	16,000	16,476	476 (2.98%)				
Lindmore Irrigation District	34,000	34,049	49 (0.14%)				
Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District	7,000	7,929	929 (13.26%)				
Orange Cove Irrigation District	41,000	42,324	1,324 (3.23%)				
Tulare Irrigation District	137,000	141,873	4,873 (3.56%)				
Lower Tule River Irrigation District	134,000	142,284	8,284 (6.18%)				
Porterville Irrigation District	23,000	24,323	1,323 (5.75%)				
Saucelito Irrigation District	15,000	16,572	1,572 (10.48%)				
Delano-Earlimart Irrigation District	26,000	30,438	4,438 (17.07%)				
Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District	55,000	57,146	2,146 (3.90%)				
Southern San Joaquin Municipal Utility District	49,000	52,630	3,630 (7.41%)				
Arvin Edison Water Storage District	186,000	196,035	10,035 (5.40%)				
Chowchilla Water District	93,000	98,647	5,647 (6.07%)				
Madera Irrigation District	153,000	160,390	7,390 (4.83%)				

Table 2. Groundwater Pumping, Long-Term Average for No-Action Alternative and Proposed Action

Schmidt Method Calculations

Notes:

Year type as defined by the Restoration Year Types (%) indicates percent change from No-Action Alternative

**Attachment 5** 

# Air Quality Modeling Output – URBEMIS

**Modeling** 



#### Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Detail Report for Annual Construction Unmitigated Emissions (Tons/Year)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\weirichj\Desktop\SJ River Restoration 07110191.01\EA\_IS\SJRR EA IS.urb924

Project Name: SJRR EA IS

Project Location: San Joaquin Valley APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

#### CONSTRUCTION EMISSION ESTIMATES (Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated)

	ROG	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	PM10 Dust	PM10 Exhaust	PM10 Total	PM2.5 Dust	PM2.5 Exhaust	PM2.5 Total	<u>CO2</u>
2011	0.16	1.13	0.82	0.00	0.33	0.09	0.42	0.07	0.09	0.15	132.03
Fine Grading 09/01/2011- 11/30/2011	0.16	1.13	0.82	0.00	0.33	0.09	0.42	0.07	0.09	0.15	132.03
Fine Grading Dust	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.33	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00
Fine Grading Off Road Diesel	0.15	0.95	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.08	93.12
Fine Grading On Road Diesel	0.01	0.18	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	26.17
Fine Grading Worker Trips	0.00	0.01	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.74

#### Phase Assumptions

Phase: Fine Grading 9/1/2011 - 11/30/2011 - Invasive Vegetation Removal

Total Acres Disturbed: 660

Maximum Daily Acreage Disturbed: 1

Fugitive Dust Level of Detail: Default

10 lbs per acre-day

On Road Truck Travel (VMT): 200

Off-Road Equipment:

10 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (108 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 7 hours per day

#### Page: 1

#### 3/6/2009 4:10:44 PM

#### Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

### Detail Report for Annual Operational Unmitigated Emissions (Tons/Year)

## File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\weirichj\Desktop\SJ River Restoration 07110191.01\EA\_IS\SJRR EA IS.urb924

Project Name: SJRR EA IS

Project Location: San Joaquin Valley APCD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

### OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES (Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated)

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Restoration Area	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.71
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.71

Does not include correction for passby trips

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips

Analysis Year: 2011 Season: Annual

Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

#### Summary of Land Uses Land Use Type Trip Rate Total VMT Acreage Unit Type No. Units **Total Trips** 1.00 1.00 19.89 **Restoration Area** 1.00 acres 1.00 19.89 Vehicle Fleet Mix Vehicle Type Percent Type Non-Catalyst Diesel Catalyst 42.4 1.2 0.2 Light Auto 98.6

# Page: 2

# 3/6/2009 4:10:44 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix									
Vehicle Type		Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	C	Catalyst	Diesel			
Light Truck < 3750 lbs		12.1	2.5		90.9	6.6			
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs		21.1	0.9		98.6	0.5			
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs		11.9	0.8		99.2	0.0			
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs		2.4	0.0		75.0	25.0			
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs		0.9	0.0		44.4	55.6			
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs		1.3	7.7		15.4	76.9			
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs		2.8	0.0		0.0	100.0			
Other Bus		0.1	0.0		0.0	100.0			
Urban Bus		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Motorcycle		3.9	64.1		35.9	0.0			
School Bus		0.1	0.0		0.0	100.0			
Motor Home		1.0	0.0		90.0	10.0			
		Travel Con	<u>ditions</u>						
		Residential		Commercial					
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer			
Urban Trip Length (miles)	10.8	7.3	7.5	9.5	7.4	7.4			
Rural Trip Length (miles)	16.8	7.1	7.9	14.7	20.0	20.0			
Trip speeds (mph)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0			
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1						

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)

# Page: 3

## 3/6/2009 4:10:44 PM

		Travel Con	ditions					
	Residential				Commercial			
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer		
Restoration Area				2.0	1.0	97.0		
	<u>C</u>	Operational Chang	ges to Defaults					
The urban/rural selection has been chang	ged from Urban	to Rural						

Commercial-based non-work rural trip length changed from 6.6 miles to 20 miles

Commercial-based customer rural trip length changed from 6.6 miles to 20 miles