# RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

Finding Of No Significant Impact

# North Kern Water Storage District Calloway Canal Lining and Water Delivery Improvements

**FONSI 17-23-MP** 

Prepared by:	Douglas Kleinsmith Natural Resource Specialist Mid-Pacific Regional Office	Date:	11/29/17
Concurred by:	Gene Lee Water Conservation Specialist	Date:	11/20/17
Concurred by:	Mid-Pacific Regional Office  Language Mid-Pacific Regional Office  Heather Casillas  Chief, Program Management Branch	Date:	11/30/17
Approved by:	Mid-Pacific Regional Office  Richard Woodley Regional Resources Manager	Date:	12/5/17

#### 1 Background

Through the WaterSMART program, Reclamation provides cost-shared funding on a competitive basis for projects that seek to conserve and use water more efficiently, increase the use of renewable energy and protect endangered species or facilitate water markets. North Kern Water Storage District (District) would like to be able to conserve and manage groundwater supplies more efficiently during times of drought. Therefore, the District applied to Reclamation and received three WaterSMART Water Energy and Efficiency Grants to help fund the lining of 1.1 miles of the Callaway Canal with concrete, installing water delivery improvement equipment at 112 District-owned groundwater and monitoring wells, and installing water level sensing equipment at 14 remote terminal unit locations.

Reclamation provided \$2,249,049.60 to the District, of a total project cost of \$5,827,970.00.

The environmental assessment (EA) was available for public review on October 30, 2017. The review period ended on November 10, 2017. No comments were received on the EA.

### 2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

#### 2.1 No Action

Under No Action, Reclamation would not award three WaterSMART Water Energy and Efficiency Grants to partially fund the District for constructing and operating the lining of 1.1 miles of the Callaway Canal with concrete, installing water delivery improvement equipment at 112 District-owned groundwater and monitoring wells, and installing water level sensing equipment at 14 remote terminal unit locations.

#### 2.2 Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, Reclamation would award a three WaterSMART Water Energy and Efficiency Grants to partially fund the District for constructing and operating the lining of 1.1 miles of the Callaway Canal with concrete, installing water delivery improvement equipment at 112 District-owned groundwater and monitoring wells, and installing water level sensing equipment at 14 remote terminal unit locations.

## 3 Findings

Based on the attached EA, Reclamation finds that the Proposed Action is not a major Federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not necessary. The EA describes the existing environmental resources in the area of the Proposed Action, and evaluates the effects of the No Action and Proposed Action alternatives on the resources near the Callaway Canal, the groundwater and monitoring wells, and the remote terminal unit locations. This EA was prepared in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act, Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR 1500-1508), and Department of the Interior regulations (43 CFR Part 46). Effects on environmental resources were examined and found to be absent or minor. That analysis is provided in the attached EA, and the analysis in the EA is hereby incorporated by reference.

Following are the reasons why the impacts of the proposed action are not significant:

- 1. The proposed action will not significantly affect public health or safety (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(2)).
- 2. The proposed action will not significantly impact natural resources and unique geographical characteristics such as historic or cultural resources; parks, recreation, and refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order (EO) 11990); flood plains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(3) and 43 CFR 46.215(b)).
- 3. The proposed action will not have possible effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(5)).
- 4. The proposed action will neither establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects nor represent a decision in principle about a future consideration (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(6)).
- 5. There is no potential for the effects to be considered highly controversial (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(4)).
- 6. The proposed action will not have significant cumulative impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)).
- 7. The proposed action will not adversely affect any districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(8)). Pursuant to 54 USC § 306108, commonly known as Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations at 36 CFR Part 800, Reclamation determined that no historic properties would be affected and therefore, the proposed action will result in no significant impacts to cultural resources.
- 8. The proposed action will not affect listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(9)).

- 9. The proposed action will not violate Federal, state, tribal or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(10)).
- 10. The proposed action will not affect Indian Trust Assets (512 DM 2, Policy Memorandum dated December 15, 1993).
- 11. Implementing the proposed action will not disproportionately affect minorities or low-income populations and communities (EO 12898).
- 12. The proposed action will not limit access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007 and 512 DM 3).