# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Proposed Water Service Contract, El Dorado County Water Agency, El Dorado County, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement/ environmental report (EIS/EIR) and notice of scoping meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (as amended) and Section 21061 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and El Dorado County Water Agency (EDCWA) intend to prepare a joint EIS/ EIR for a Municipal and Industrial (M&I) water service contract from the Central Valley Project (CVP), California. The proposed project consists of a long term water supply contract under which Reclamation would provide up to 15,000 acre-feet per annum (AFA) to the EDCWA for diversion from Folsom Reservoir or for exchange upstream on the American River. The EDCWA proposes to sub-contract this water equally between El Dorado Irrigation District (EID) and Georgetown Divide Public Utility District (GDPUD). EID proposes to take its supply from Folsom Reservoir. GDPUD proposes to take its supply upstream by way of a water exchange with Placer County Water Agency (PCWA). The GDPUD diversion facility is at the American River Pump Station which is currently under construction.

**DATES:** Reclamation and EDCWA will host two public scoping meetings on the proposed project. The meeting dates are:

• Tuesđay, September 26, 2006, 6 to 8 p.m., Placerville, CA.

• Wednesday, September 27, 2006, 6 to 8 p.m., Greenwood, CA.

Any interested member of the public is invited to attend. An overview of the project will be presented and public comments received. Written comments should be mailed to James A. Roberts at the address below by October 11, 2006. ADDRESSES: The locations of the two meetings are:

• Placerville—El Dorado Irrigation District, Harry J. Dunlop Customer Service Building, Board Room, 2890 Mosquito Road, Placerville.

• Ĝreenwood—Greenwood Community Center, 4411 Highway 193, Greenwood, CA 95635.

Written comments on this notice or the scope of this EIS/EIR should

reference the Bureau of Reclamation/ EDCWA CVP Water Service Contract EIS/EIR and be sent to: James A. Roberts, Ph.D., El Dorado County Water Agency, 3932 Ponderosa Road, Suite 200, Shingle Springs, CA 95682.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Deason, Environmental Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, California 95630, telephone: (16) 989–7279 or James A. Roberts, Ph.D. at the above address, telephone: (530) 621–5392.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1990, Congress passed Public Law 101-514, which directed Reclamation to enter into a long-term CVP M&I water service contract with EDCWA. Section 206 (b)(1)(B) provides that Reclamation enter into an M&I water service contract with EDCWA for up to 15,000 AFA. The proposed action is the execution of a long term water service contract with EDCWA. EDCWA intends to apportion this new contract water to both EID and GDPUD based on these parties' individual water needs and timing requests. This will require separate contracts between EDCWA and both EID and GDPUD with Reclamation approval. At present, it is assumed that the new Federal water supply would be split equally between EID and GDPUD such that each purveyor would be provided up to 7,500 AFA.

The EIS/EIR focuses on the potential environmental impacts resulting from the execution and implementation of the new CVP water service contract. The EIS/EIR will include evaluation of the no project alternative as well as alternative delivery quantities. At a project-level, it will address a comprehensive nag of in-stream potential effects resulting from this new 15,000 AFA withdrawal, including a detailed evaluation of the effects to the CVP and the State Water Project (SWP). This will involve assessments of waterrelated resources including: Fisheries, riparian species/habitats, water-related recreation, water-related cultural resources, and water quality. It will also address water supply impacts across the CVP/SWP, flood control at Folsom Reservoir, and potential effects to CVP hydropower generation and pumping impacts at the reservoir. Water-related analyses will be facilitated through the application and use of Reclamation's planning and operations model, CALSIM II, along with other environmental models that utilize CLASIM II output hydrology. At present, the lead agencies lack sufficient information to ascertain whether any of these impacts will be significant.

No new infrastructure facilities are included with this proposed action. While the EIS/EIR will identify, to the extent known, possible future infrastructure projects that would be needed to fully utilize this contract water, many of these details are not currently known. Accordingly, a program-level assessment of known or potential facilities will be provided in the EIS/EIR.

The EIS/EIR will assess potential impacts to any Indian Trust Assets (ITAs) or any environmental justice issues. Input about concerns or issues related to ITAs is requested from potentially affected Indian groups and individuals, the public, and state and Federal agencies.

This proposed action has been the subject of previous scoping meetings that were published in the **Federal Register** (58 FR 28034, May 12, 1993, and 63 FR 30512, June 4, 1998). However, because the proposed action and alternatives have been updated and more than eight years has passed since the last scoping meetings, additional scoping activities are being initiated at this time.

#### **Special Services**

If special assistance is required at the scoping meetings, please contract Donna Potter at 916–978–5103, TDD 916–978–5608, or via e-mail at *lpotter@mp.usbr.gov.* Please notify Ms. Potter as far in advance of the meetings as possible to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified. A telephone device for the hearing impaired (TDD) is available at 916–978–5608.

Our practice is to make comments, including names, home addresses, home phone numbers, and email addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and/or home addresses, etc., but if you wish to consider withholding this information you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of

organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

#### Michael Nepstad,

Acting Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 06–7705 Filed 9–14–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–364 and 731– TA–711 and 713–716 (Second Review)]

## Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG") from Italy and the antidumping duty orders on OCTG from Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on OCTG from Italy and the antidumping duty orders on OCTG from Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 5, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202– 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 5, 2006, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (71 FR 31207, June 1, 2006) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy. and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: September 11, 2006.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–15359 Filed 9–14–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–707–709 (Second Review)]

#### Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From Argentina, Brazil, and Germany

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on certain seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe ("seamless pipe") from Argentina, Brazil, and Germany.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on seamless pipe from Argentina, Brazil, and Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 5, 2006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

September 5, 2006, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 31209, June 1, 2006) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response with respect to Argentina was adequate and decided to conduct a full review with respect to the order covering seamless pipe from Argentina. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Brazil and Germany were inadequate.<sup>1</sup> However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning seamless pipe from Brazil and Germany to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to seamless pipe from Argentina. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane found that the respondent interested party group response with respect to Germany was adequate.