Appendix C Cultural Resources Determination

CULTURAL RESOURCES COMPLIANCE Mid-Pacific Region Division of Environmental Affairs Cultural Resources Branch

MP-153 Tracking Number: 16-SCAO-200

Project Name: Central Valley Project Interim Renewal Contracts for Panoche Water District

(PWD) and San Luis Water District (SLWD) 2017-2019

NEPA Document: EA-16-21

NEPA Contact: Jennifer Lewis, Natural Resources Specialist

MP-153 Cultural Resources Reviewer: Joanne Goodsell, Archaeologist

Date: July 28, 2016

JOANNE GOODSELL

Deputably upgered by JOANNE COODSELL
Descriptions, quantification, quantification, durinfluence, and format and a fine bit protection, durinfluence, and discontinuous, control and a fine bit protection, durinfluence, and discontinuous, control and a fine bit protection, durinfluence, and discontinuous, control and a fine bit protection, and discontinuous, and discontinuous and disconti

Reclamation proposes to execute two interim renewal water service contracts with PWD and SLWD. The proposed action would continue the existing interim renewal contracts, with only minor, administrative changes to the contract provisions to update the previous interim renewal contracts for the new contract period (2017-2019). The proposed action is limited to these administrative changes and would result in no changes to the contractors' service areas or water deliveries

Reclamation has determined that the proposed action is the type of undertaking that has no potential to cause effects on historic properties, should such properties be present, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.3(a)(1) and will result in no impacts to cultural resources. With this determination, Reclamation has fulfilled its obligations under 54 U.S.C. § 306108, commonly known as Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA).

This document conveys the completion of the cultural resources review and NHPA Section 106 process for this undertaking. Please retain a copy with the administrative record for the proposed action. Should the proposed action change, additional review under Section 106, possibly including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, may be required.