

Comment #56

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/IR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1-10-07

NAME: JASON ZARGHAMI

ADDRESS: 1456 LAKE HILLS DR

TELEPHONE: 916-941-9503

E-MAIL: JASON.ZARGHAMI@INTEL.COM

COMMENT: ALT #3 TO RAISE WATER LEVEL BY 3.5 FT
IS THE RIGHT ALTERNATIVE.

ALT #5 TO RAISE THE WATER LEVEL BY 17 FT
IS PLAIN BAD. SAFETY OF THE DAM PLUS
TOO MANY PROPERTY TO BE EFFECTED BY 17 FT
OF WATER. WHY EVEN CONCEDE SUCH A BAD
ALTERNATIVE?

ALSO HAVE AREA PHOTOS & WATER LINE INFORMATION
AVAILABLE ON A WEB PAGE FOR ALL EFFECTED PROPERTY
OWNERS TO REVIEW.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #56



SAFCA



U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Sacramento District

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1/10/07
 NAME: ERICKA COONEY
 ADDRESS: 104 Willow Creek Dr.
 Folsom, CA.
 TELEPHONE: (916) 351-5615
 E-MAIL: eacooney@comcast.net

COMMENT: As a 10 year resident of Folsom, I will not stand by silently and allow ~~the~~ my main source of recreation and a huge draw to young families in the area to be shut down for 7 years. Folsom is a large lake, Dyke 7 is already closed to the public, make use of it for storage. There are other options that would not leave thousands of Folsom residents out in the cold. I am absolutely opposed to closing Dyke 8 for 7 years or 1 year. Find another option.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #57



SAFCA



US Army Corps
 of Engineers
 Sacramento District

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action
Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/IR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: Sac State Aquatic Center
NAME: Brian Cinde Dulgar
ADDRESS: 1701 Hazel Ave
Gold River CA 95676
TELEPHONE: 916-278-2842
E-MAIL: Cinde@csus.edu
Btdulgar@csus.edu

COMMENT:

The Sac State Aquatic Center uses Folsom Point on a staging area for our summer youth base ski camp, University P.E. Classes, P.W.C. Classes and multi-level ski classes. Students and ^{children} parents walk to the ski beach to meet their instructors - No where else on the lake can accommodate our numbers or program.

Our request is to look into other options for storage and rock crushing, and not negatively affect recreation on Folsom Lake by limiting access.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #58



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Sacramento District

comment # 59

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1/10/07

NAME: Sandy McKaig

ADDRESS: 105 Eveland Ct. Folsom

TELEPHONE: 916-985-6272

E-MAIL: mckaigs@arc.tosriox.edu

COMMENT: As ~~not~~ much as I realize that the project (of some sort) is necessary, public access to the lake at Folsom point should not be limited or even denied. (I would hate to see Beals Pt impacted as well).

I really believe that there should be additional mtgs (town mtg-like) to express viewpoints, to clarify alternatives and impacts, and discuss options or other solutions.

The way this project is being presented and by given only a "comment" card to write concerns on — seems a like a done deal where decisions will be made w/o public opinion.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #59



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Sacramento District

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 01-10-07
 NAME: Jim Snook
 ADDRESS: 731 SUTTER ST.
FOLSOM CA 95630
 TELEPHONE: 916-985-0620
 E-MAIL: jim@snookscandies.com

COMMENT: I am extremely concerned for the impact of closing any of the public access to the lake. While the need for flood protection is agreed upon, eliminating any of the launching recreation facilities would be incredibly detrimental to thousands of boat owners. In addition, I was disappointed to see that Economic impact was not a consideration relating to IMPACTS AND MITIGATION. This City has thousands of visitors to the lake that contribute to the local economy. Please consider how any closures to facilities would impact our city.

Jim Snook
Snook's Candies
731 Sutter St.
Folsom, CA. 95630

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #60



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers •
Sacramento District

comment #41

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1-10-2007
NAME: Craig R. Larson
ADDRESS: 11361 Folsom Blvd.
Rancho Cordova Ca 95742
TELEPHONE: 916-439-3576
E-MAIL: Craig @ Larson marine

COMMENT:

Major Concerns I have:
Loss of water access for the thousands of
people that call Folsom their Home Lake.
Loss of Revenue to Companies that depend on the
use of Folsom Lake, who have always supported
the Lake. The youth of Folsom are outlying areas
that will not be able to take part in
the wonder and beauty of Folsom Lake. The
Overall loss of interest into the use of Folsom
Lake and the activities such as boating that
have helped make Folsom the city it is today.
Please leave our Boat Ramps and access areas
open to the people and families that build their
memories on the Lake!!

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers

The loss of revenue to the Boat dealers on the Folsom Lake
would be a great loss and could help to make the lake a better place.

comment #62

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1-10-07
NAME: CAROL JAMES / BROTHERS BOATS
ADDRESS: 7450 FOLSOM AUGURN ROAD
FOLSOM, CA 95630
TELEPHONE: 916/988-1704
E-MAIL: C.JamesCA@aol.com

COMMENT: ALTHOUGH IT APPEARS NECESSARY TO REDUCE
BOAT LAUNCHING FACILITIES, WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO
ENLARGE THOSE AREAS THAT WILL STILL BE AVAILABLE
FOR THE PUBLIC TO PARK THEIR VEHICLES & TRAILERS?
THE PUBLIC WILL SURELY ADJUST TO LONGER LINES
FOR LAUNCHING, BUT KNOWING THEY CAN LAUNCH AND
STORE THEIR VEHICLES WILL LESSEN THE NEGATIVE IMPACT.
I BELIEVE THIS INVESTMENT WOULD NOT ONLY BE A
GOOD PERMANENT UPGRADE, BUT SHOW THE PUBLIC THEIR
RECREATION INTERESTS ARE STILL ACKNOWLEDGED.

THANK YOU FOR

YOUR ATTENTION

Carol James

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #62



SAFCA



U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Sacramento District

Comment # 63

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1/10/07

NAME: CHET BLOYD

ADDRESS: 3761 Recycle RD EL CA 95742

TELEPHONE: 916/638/8908

E-MAIL: chet@BAT Recycling-Com

COMMENT: ~~These~~ Proposed closure will affect not only the immediate surrounding areas in the loss of taxable revenue generated by the recreational users. It will also be devastating to the machine industry in the Sacramento and surrounding areas. The loss of revenue to these business will be greatly felt by most and some may even be closed. If there is an alternative I believe we should explore it.
Chet

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #63



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Sacramento District

comment # 64

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1/10/07

NAME: Mike Garner

ADDRESS: 4137 Yacht Harbor Dr.

TELEPHONE: 209-992-9985

E-MAIL: mgarner@aquapac.com

COMMENT: Keep the vitality of the lake and
the surrounding community at the top
of priority list. Keep the flow of the
1 mil. plus visitors flowing when the
project begins. Enough has happened
already with the closure of the dam road.
Don't hurt the livelihoods of these people
any more than what they've been subjected
to already.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #64



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers -
Sacramento District

comment # 65

COMMENT CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIS/EIR)

The public is invited to provide comment on the Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report.

DATE: 1/10/07
NAME: John Polimiro
ADDRESS: 1448 Crocker Dr.
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
TELEPHONE: 916 933-8860
E-MAIL: john@polimiro.com

COMMENT: I am also concerned about the loss of the
public viewing area (observation point) at
the south end of the dam. That is presently
in the State Park Plan as a future restaurant
& public view area. I do not see any
mention of this as a long-term recreational
impact. Some sort of accommodations to
retain this viewing area should be
allowed.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



Comment #65



SAFCA



US Army Corps
of Engineers •
Sacramento District

Comment # 102

SPEAKER CARD

Folsom Dam Safety/Flood Damage Reduction Action Draft Environmental Impact
Statement/Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/DEIR)

Name: JOHN POIMIROO Affiliation: Folsom Lake Yacht Club

Address: 1448 Crocker Dr. EDM, CA 95762

☒ I would like to speak

☐ I would like my comments to be read aloud

Comment:

Please continue on the reverse side if needed

Statement to the Bureau of Reclamation

I'm John Poimiroo, Staff Commodore of the Folsom Lake Yacht Club. We are one of the oldest and largest recreational groups on Folsom Lake, having been established in ¹⁹⁵⁶~~1962~~. Our club conducts sailing programs including races, cruises, water safety, instruction and social events on Folsom Lake. On behalf of our members, I urge the Bureau of Reclamation not to close Folsom Point during the time that Folsom Dam is being raised.

Closing Folsom Point would seriously impact Folsom and communities surrounding it, as well as public use of Folsom Lake State Recreation Area. Should the boat launch facility at Folsom Point be closed, most of the boaters who now launch at Folsom Point would shift to the next nearest launch ramp at Brown's Ravine in El Dorado Hills. There is not enough trailer parking at Brown's Ravine to accommodate this shift which now runs at capacity on most weekend days throughout the boating season. Through most of the year only one ramp is available at Brown's Ravine.

Closing Folsom Point would increase traffic both on Natoma Street and Green Valley Road. It would also discourage boaters from using Folsom Lake because of the inconvenience of long waiting times to launch, lack of parking, conflicts arising at the launch ramp because of delays and not knowing whether there will be space at Brown's Ravine to launch. Should Folsom Point be closed, reestablishing boating among those who have shifted to other recreational pursuits will take years. In the meantime, clubs such as FLYC and the marine industry in the Sacramento area will suffer and perhaps be irreparably damaged.

Alternative locations to stage construction equipment and materials exist closer to the dam than Folsom Point, such as near the intersection of the Folsom Dam Road and Natoma St. Large areas of land owned by the California Department of Corrections are accessible from Folsom Dam Road that would allow staging materials on public land closer to the dam and that would also not require that

Comment



construction traffic travel along Natoma St nor ~~that~~ Folsom Point be closed for nearly a decade.

So, we ask that you not close Folsom Point and avoid these negative impacts on the Folsom community and boaters.

Comment # ~~444~~ 47

Porter, Stacy

From: kevinkraft [kevinkraft4@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2007 4:28 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov.
Subject: Folsom dam construction

Not sure about this,as avid boaters,a bigger lake would be cool but.We need the flood protection,I guess,IMHO we need more bridges over the American river and Sacto too,as this would help with traffic.As a native sacramentan,I am bitter about all the traffic and really would like to see the house construction and builders go away.If the bigger dam will give the builders the go for more houses,I say no.Just my opinion

Kevin Kraft
Folsom, Ca.

Comment #  68**Porter, Stacy**

From: Clark, Pete (STS US) [pete.clark@siemens.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 10:03 AM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Folsom Point Potential Closure

Hello,

I was not able to attend the meeting last night in Folsom, so my friend/colleague sent me your address so I could provide my two cents.

Closure of ANY ramps/facilities would mean certain negative impacts:

1. Being a regular boater at Folsom Lake over the last 12 years, I have seen a steady increase in traffic/delays/safety issues/parking problems/congestion/turn-aways at every ramp. This would only be compounded by a closure of one of the more popular, more accessible and convenient launch facilities. I am waiting for the day when access to the launch facilities is dictated by something like odd/even numbers of the hull license number (e.g. CA 1234 AB) to alleviate congestion.
2. Access fees would probably increase due to an overall decrease in patronage due to the other ramps' capacities not being able to handle the diversion from Folsom Point. Fees are already borderline outrageous, even if you purchase a season pass like I do.
3. People would find even more "creative" ways of accessing the lake. This would include driving on otherwise forbidden hillsides/embankments to swim, picnic, launch craft... especially PWC's. This is already a problem.
4. The south end of the lake could only be serviced by ONE facility, which often operates at half capacity since the Hobie Cove portion is often inaccessible (**I'm not complaining about lake levels here!**). The lake must be at a maximum of about 75% to expose Hobie Cove for use. There would still be a significant increase in traffic to the marina area from the closure, which is something I am not sure this quite narrow/tight facility can handle. Most of Folsom's population is on the south side of the dam, thereby making these southern facilities the most convenient for the public.
5. If the capacity of the lake were increased (I believe part of this project is to raise the level by 7 feet), then most or all of the other ramp facilities would need to be modified since the current 100% waterline at those facilities is at the top of the ramp. This would cause a closure of the other facilities at some point as well, since the "new" 100% mark would render these facilities useless.
6. There would be an additional increase in traffic through downtown Folsom as some would try launching at Granite Bay. As you may know, the traffic through downtown has been a huge issue since the Dam Road closure.
7. Personally, I haven't heard or read convincing arguments on why the dam and dikes need work in the first place. If there is a mechanical concern with these structures, then come out and say it. At least the public would understand and accept it. "Flood control" isn't enough explanation to warrant 7 years of inconvenience trying to use my floating entertainment investment. What specific work is proposed to control flooding? It's kind of like shutting the Dam Road down 1.5 years after 9/11, citing "security reasons" as the driver for the closure. If the Dam can't handle the increased traffic, then that's a much more logical reason than what was provided to the public.

I would appreciate it if these could be forwarded on to any other appropriate individuals.

Thanks for your time,
 Peter Clark
 696 Hildebrand Cir.
 Folsom, CA 95630

Comment

~~#144~~ 69

Porter, Stacy

From: Todd Drybread [todddrybread@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 3:05 PM

To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov

Subject: Folsom Point

I was unable to attend the public hearing last night, so please excuse myself if I am out of line. I am incredibly upset with the possibility of closing Folsom Point. My family and I use the facility for walks and runs year round as well as boat access during the summer months. Folsom lake has gained in popularity and closing Folsom Point would drive a large number of people to Granite Bay and especially Brown's Ravine. Browns Ravine will be continually overcrowded. plus it does not have the recreational access as does Folsom Point. Please let me know what I can do to help stop this closure.

Todd Drybread
Resident

Access over 1 million songs - [Yahoo! Music Unlimited.](#)

Comment #24 70

Porter, Stacy

From: Scott Howlett [sahowlett@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 9:13 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Folsom Point

We seriously disagree with any decision to close Folsom Point. We use the facility 5 times a week from May through Sept. It is a very busy boating launch and picnic area. I can't imagine Browns Ravine being able to accommodate the extra traffic. There must be another staging area that could be used!

The Howlett Family

Comment #71

Porter, Stacy

From: Patterson, Rick B [rick.b.patterson@intel.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 3:06 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Oppose closing of Folsom Point!

Shawn,
I'm opposed to closing Folsom Pt. I never go anywhere else on Folsom Lake except there, there is shade. Big mistake,
Thank you,

Rick and Pam Patterson
Folsom residents

Comment #12

Porter, Stacy

From: Sheila Leard [sheilaleard@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 3:49 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Folsom Dam Safety Project

Dear Mr. Oliver,

I write this as a very concerned resident of Folsom that the closing of Dyke 8 is an unreasonable burden that the residents of Folsom are expected to endure. Not only have we had to tolerate the closing of the Dam road, traffic on Sutter Street, but the inconvenience and lack of forthright public notification is too much to sit quietly this time.

My own personal story is of the enjoyment I have of running with my dog in the Folsom Point area. There are elderly men who have had 10 year ritual of walking in the early morning and stay connected to the world while exercising. This is the place I take all out of town relatives and friends to show off our gorgeous lake and vistas. This is the lake that several friends swim in while we train for various events. This is the lake that is part of an annual second grade field trip to learn about our local habitat. The loss of local vegetation and wildlife will be an irreversible loss to our children.

The closing of Folsom Point has a ripple effect here that needs to be addressed before there is anymore disruption to the residents and near by communities. In the report it states that Folsom Point is 'only for day use and a boat launch'. It is so much more than that! I urge you to carry out an alternative plan for a staging site for this project.

Sheila and Tom Leard
Folsom residents

Comment ~~73~~ 73

Porter, Stacy

From: grpetersen@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 3:52 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Closing of Folsom Point

Being a current resident of Folsom and long time Sacramentoan, I must say I was very concerned to hear that Folsom Point may be closed for 7 years for work on the dam. I understand that there is the need for a staging area for the dam, but I urge you to choose one that will not have such an impact on a community. Close access to the lake is one of the reasons we moved to Folsom from Carmichael. We enjoy being able to go to the lake, swim and boat from the close proximity to our house. I know that we would still be able to access Folsom Lake, but it wouldn't be the same.

Comment # ~~101~~ 74

Porter, Stacy

From: Greg Fales [gregfales@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 5:23 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Cc: themayor@folsom.ca.us
Subject: Closure of Folsom Point for Folsom Dam Safety Project

Dear Sir

I am writing to let you know my great concern and disapproval of shutting down Folsom Point for any length of time. My family and I moved to Folsom over ten years ago and we use all of the parks located at the lake on a regular basis. Having access to Folsom Point or any other Park at Folsom Lake is a big reason that we moved to Folsom and it's part of the quality of life that we paid for when buying our home. Giving up access for even one summer is not acceptable. let alone for seven years.

Please keep the parks open.

916-849-3200

--

Greg Fales.

Comment #  75**Porter, Stacy**

From: Ppalilla@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 11, 2007 5:09 PM
To: soliver@mp.usbr.gov
Subject: Potential Folsom Point Closing

Dear Mr. Oliver,

As a long time resident of Folsom we are strongly opposed to the potential closing of Folsom Point for a long term staging area for proposed construction of a new spillway for Folsom Dam. Folsom is such a desirable city to live in part to the beautiful recreational lake we have in our backyard. We recognized that right away when we moved into the Briggs Ranch neighborhood with our 3 daughters after relocating from Atlanta, GA 16 years ago. Folsom Point (formerly Dyke 8) has been a constant destination for our family over the years that has included enjoying the point on our walks, on challenging bike rides, exploring the Point with our Girl Scout troops, spontaneous family picnics, taking the opportunity to enjoy the visual beauty of the lake since the closure of Folsom Dam Road and of course, the abundance of fun-filled boating opportunities through the convenient boat ramp access.

Please reconsider what a huge negative affect this would have on the families of Folsom and on the wonderful quality of life that having such a beautiful, convenient destination has provided the ever growing number of Folsom residents. Eliminating access to Folsom Point for 6-7 years would be a devastating loss.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Marco and Patti Palilla

Patti Palilla
 Creative Memories Director
 (916) 985-7959 www.mycmsite.com/pattipalilla

CM ID: 05999651

Use this ID for CM Photo, Lasting Moments Magazine Subscriptions, and Digital Storybooks

your life | your story | your way

Did you know that I offer:

Organizational Classes (Piles of photos overwhelming you? I can help.)

Picfolio Parties (Bring 170 photos & complete a "slip in album" in 2 hours.)

Get-Togethers (Learn the quick & simple way to create a family album.)

One-on-One (I'll come help you get photo-organized and moving forward.)